

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

USDA's Economic Research Service has provided this report for historical research purposes.

## Current reports are available in *AgEcon Search*

(http://ageconsearch.umn.edu) and on https://www.ers.usda.gov.



United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service https://www.ers.usda.gov A 93.44 AGES 9015

hited States Department of Agriculture

Economic Research Service

Commodity Economics Division

# THE U.S. WATERMELON INDUSTRY

Amy J. Allred Gary Lucier

> WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION DEPT. OF AG. AND APPLIED ECONOMICS 1994 BUFORD AVE. - 232 COB UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA ST. PAUL, MN 55108 U.S.A.

## It's Easy To Order Another Copy!

**Just dial 1-800-999-6779.** Toll free in the United States and Canada. Other areas, please call 1-301-725-7937.

Ask for The U.S. Watermelon Industry (Staff Report No. AGES 9015).

The cost is \$11.00 per copy. For non-U.S. addresses (including Canada), add 25 percent. Charge your purchase to your VISA or MasterCard, or we can bill you. Or send a check or purchase order (made payable to ERS-NASS) to:

ERS-NASS P.O. Box 1608 Rockville, MD 20849-1608.

We'll fill your order via 1st class mail.

#### Can You Use an Electronic Database?

An electronic database containing the data in this report is available. The database is in the form of Lotus 1-2-3 (Release 2) worksheet files on MS-DOS and PC-DOS compatible, 5.25-inch DDSD diskettes.

Write to the above address and ask for the *U.S. Watermelon Industry* database (order #89029, \$35). Non-U.S. addresses (including Canada), add 25 percent. **Or use our toll-free number, 1-800-999-6779.** 

For further information on this database, write Gary Lucier, Room 1234, 1301 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005-4788 or phone 1-202-786-1884.

THE U.S. WATERMELON INDUSTRY. By Amy J. Allred and Gary Lucier, Commodity Economics Division, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Staff Report No. AGES 9015.

> A 93.44 AGES 9015

#### Abstract

Watermelon production and utilization declined from 1960 to 1980. However, recent evidence indicates that since 1980 both aggregate production and domestic utilization have expanded. This study reviews supply and utilization trends, prices, transportation, packaging, marketing, cash receipts, and costs of producing watermelons. It also documents historical industry changes, and reviews the research and promotion program, enacted by the industry in April 1989.

**Keywords:** Watermelon, supply, utilization, trade, prices, marketing

Reference to commercial firms or brand names in this publication is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the reviewers for their comments and suggestions: Shannon Reid Hamm, Charles Barnard, Glenn Zepp, Fredrick Hoff, and Katherine Buckley (all of the Economic Research Service), and William Paterson and Richard Mathews from the Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA. We are also grateful to the National Watermelon Association, especially former president, Donald Hales, for guidance and support. We thank Sharon Lee and Bonnie Moore for editorial expertise.

> WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION DEPT. OF AG. AND APPLIED ECONOMICS 1994 BUFORD AVE. - 232 COB UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA ST. PAUL, MN 55108 U.S.A March 1990

Washington, DC 20005-4788

#### Contents

#### <u>Page</u>

Summary	iv
Introduction	1
History	3
Domestic Supply. Number of Farms. Acreage Harvested. Irrigation. Yield. Production. World Production. Genetics and Breeding. Varieties. Seedless Varieties.	4 5 6 7 8 11 12 12 13
Trade.	14
Fresh Imports.	15
Seed Imports.	20
Ports of Entry.	20
Fresh Exports.	20
Seed Exports.	24
World Trade.	24
Domestic Utilization	25
Per Capita Utilization Trends	25
Utilization Demographics	27
Nutritional Benefits	28
Pesticides and Residues	30
Prices	30
Trends	31
Variability	32
Seasonality	33
Price Spreads	33
Transportation and Packaging	35
Transportation	37
Storage Conditions	38
Packaging	38
Marketing	40
Present Promotion Activities	41
Research and Promotion Program	42
Cash Receipts and Costs of Production	43
Costs of Production	46
Florida Cost of Production Budgets	47
Labor	47

# Conclusions.....

<u>Page</u>

49

References	50
Further Reading	52
Appendixes	54

Summary

Until the early 1980's, the U.S. watermelon industry appeared locked in an inexorable state of decline. U.S. production was trending downward and domestic utilization was in a tailspin. Domestic watermelon production gradually fell from 29.3 million hundredweight in 1960 to 26.1 in 1981 - the last year the U.S. Department of Agriculture estimated official U.S. watermelon production. With output falling and population growing, per capita utilization declined by a third - from 16.1 pounds in 1960 to a record-low 10.7 pounds in 1980. Industry efforts in dealing with these problems were not well coordinated.

Unlike many other fresh fruits and melons, watermelon utilization had been on the decline for many years. A private survey of 2,000 households in 1988 indicated consumer concerns about convenience and quality as possible explanations for this decline.

Changes during the 1980's may have given renewed vigor to the industry. Changes include a modification in the consumption patterns of health conscious consumers, the introduction of new varieties, and the passage of a watermelon research and promotion program. Statistics from various States representing about 70 percent of U.S. production in 1981 indicate that production and utilization have been increasing. Utilization is estimated to have increased roughly 3 percent per year between 1980 and 1988. Recent expansion in watermelon production has largely been the result of relatively favorable grower prices. Rising utilization has been due to a general trend toward more fresh produce in the diet, increased off-season availability of watermelons through rising imports, the convenience offered by new "icebox" and seedless melons, and the emphasis on value-added produce marketing in retail stores.

Further gains in utilization are sought by the industry. To this end, watermelon growers voted in early 1989 to begin a national program of research and promotion. This grower-funded program, authorized through title XVI of the 1985 Food Security Act, is geared toward strengthening and expanding the market for U.S. watermelons.

International trade has not generally been a major factor in the U.S. watermelon industry. Since 1972, the United States has been a net importer of fresh watermelons. As with most other vegetables, the most important exporter to the United States is Mexico. Canada is by far the leading export market for U.S. watermelons, accounting for over 95 percent of U.S. export volume. The value of watermelon seed exports now surpasses that of fresh exports.

According to a 1979 Vegetable Pesticide Survey, growers tend to use pesticides less intensively for watermelons than for other crops. In this survey, watermelon planted area represented 9 percent of the total vegetable acreage covered by the sample, but just 2 percent of the total quantity of chemicals applied. Transportation and packaging issues for watermelons are and have been difficult for the industry to deal with effectively. Most watermelons are shipped by truck with minor quantities arriving by rail or piggyback. Difficulty in securing adequate transportation at times during the season remains a problem.

## **Summary Figure 1**



vi

## The U.S. Watermelon Industry

Amy J. Allred Gary Lucier

#### Introduction

Until the early 1980's, the U.S. watermelon industry appeared locked in decline. U.S. production was trending downward and domestic utilization in a tailspin. Domestic watermelon production fell from 29.3 million hundredweight (cwt) in 1960 to 26.1 in 1981 — the last year of official U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) national watermelon production estimates. With output falling and population growing, per capita utilization declined by a third — falling from 16.1 pounds in 1960 to a record low 10.7 pounds in 1980. Industry efforts dealing with these problems were not well coordinated.

Changes during this decade may have given renewed vigor to the industry. Changes include a modification in the consumption patterns of consumers, introduction of new varieties, and passage of a watermelon research and promotion program.

Although watermelon has historically been one of the largest users of fresh vegetable acreage, USDA ceased estimating national watermelon statistics (acreage, production, value) at the close of the 1981 season (fig. 1). Since then, nine States accounting for about 70 percent of U.S. production have continued to provide watermelon statistics (fig. 2). Data from these nine States indicate that U.S. production and utilization have been increasing during the 1980's. Utilization is estimated to have increased roughly 3 percent per year between 1980 and 1988.

Expansion in watermelon production has been the result of relatively favorable grower prices and the interest of many farmers in diversifying into several crop enterprises. Rising consumption has been due to a general trend toward more fresh produce in the diet, increased "off-season" availability of watermelons through rising imports, the convenience offered by new "icebox" and seedless watermelons, and the emphasis on enhanced produce marketing in retail stores.

This study provides general economic information about the U.S. watermelon industry. Its purpose is to 1) organize and present the latest data available for watermelons, 2) document historical

## Figure 1 U.S. watermelon harvested area compared with four major fresh vegetables



Source: NASS, USDA, except 1988 watermelon acreage based on ERS estimates.

## Figure 2 Availability of U.S. watermelon data



1/ Other States include: IL, OR, VA, WA (39-68), IA (39-64), and NJ (39-52). California data after 1981 from California County Commissioners. industry changes, 3) analyze price, supply, and utilization trends, and 4) review the research and promotion program enacted by the industry in April 1989.

#### History

Watermelons are thought to be indigenous to Africa and have been cultivated for over 4,000 years. Introduced into Europe early in the Christian era, watermelons officially arrived in the United States with both African slaves and European colonists. Seeds were also taken to Brazil, the West Indies, the islands of the Pacific, New Zealand, and Australia  $(\underline{10})$ .<sup>1</sup> In addition to these known African roots, watermelon may have American origins as well. Early French explorers reportedly found Indians growing watermelons in the Mississippi Valley.

The colonial watermelon was small by present standards, averaging on the low end of today's 5- to 50-pound range (watermelons have been known to reach 100 pounds). Unlike today, there were few alternative cultivars but low-sugar varieties used for watermelon rind pickles were common by 1796. During the Civil War, the South boiled down watermelons as a source of sugar and molasses. Professional melon breeding became more common between 1880 and 1900. By the late 19th century, catalogs were offering 20 to 30 cultivars (9).

Watermelon is a trailing annual with stems which can reach 15 feet in length. It is known in botanical circles as <u>Citrullus</u> <u>lanatus</u> of the Cucurbitacae (or Gourd) family. Other cucurbits include gourds, pumpkins, squashes, cantaloupes, cucumbers, gherkins, and chayote. The globular to oblong melon has smooth skin and weighs 5 to 50 pounds. Watermelon consists of a firm outer rind, a 1/2- to 1-inch thick inner rind, and an interior colored edible pulp that may or may not contain seeds. The flesh may be white, cream-color, honey-color, yellow, pale red, red, or scarlet (table 1).

A warm-season crop, watermelons are most productive in regions with at least a 4-month, frost-free growing season  $(\underline{19})$ . About 80 to 120 days are needed for fruit to mature, with optimum growth occurring with 70- to 80-degree Fahrenheit (F) days and 65- to 70-degree F nights. Watermelon is generally not sensitive to extremes in humidity and, hence, can be grown in many areas. Bees or other insects are vital for proper pollination. The plants require a good water supply during their early growth stages, but good quality fruit depends on warm, dry weather conditions. Watermelons prefer light soils for their extensive, but shallow, root systems (<u>19</u>).

<sup>1</sup> Underscored numbers in parentheses refer to sources listed in the References.

	Size		Rind	Flesh
Cultivar	(pounds)	Shape	color	color
				•••••
	05 75			
Charleston Gray	25-35	Oblong	Grey-green	Bright red
Dixie Queen	25	Oval	Striped	Red
Garrisonian	35	Oblong	Striped	Red
Mirage	25	Oblong	Striped	Red
Prince Charles	25	Oblong	Dark green	Red
Sweet Princess	30	Oblong	Pale green	Red
Crimson Sweet	25	Oval	Striped	Deep red
Black Diamond	40	Round	Dark green	Red
Dixie Lee	25	Round	Striped	Intense red
Jubilee	35	Oblong	Striped	Bright red
Klondike Striped	12-29	Oblong	Striped	Red
Klondike R-7	12-29	Oblong	Dark green	Red
Peacock Improved	12-29	Oblong	Dark green	Orange-red
Royal Sweet	12-29	Oblong	Striped	Red
Klondike 155	12-29	Oblong	Dark green	Dark pink
Klondike Black Seeded	12-29	Oblong	Dark green	Dark pink
Klondike Striped Blue Ribbon	12-29	Oblong	Striped	Red
Peacock Resistant	12-29	Oblong	Dark green	Orange-red
Sugar Baby	8-10	Round	Pale green	Red
Allsweet	25-35	Oblong	Striped	Red
Petite Sweet	8-10	Round	Striped	Red
Crimson Sweet	25	Round	Striped	Deep red
Blackstone-Improved Black Diamond	30-50	Round	Dark green	Red
Blue Bell	15	Round	Dark green	Red
Tri-X 313	18-25	Round	Striped	Red
Tender Sweet	25-40	Oblong	Striped	Yellow
Summitt	25-35	Round	Green	Red
Desert King	20-30	Round	lt green	Yellou
Yellow Baby	5-10	Round	Striped	Yellow
NH Midget	2-4	Round	Mod green	Pod
Mickeylee	10	Round	Grev-green	Reight red

Table 1--Selected varieties and characteristics of watermelons grown in the United States

Source: Cooperative Extension Service, USDA, various publications.

#### Domestic Supply

Because of its physical size and the vining nature of its growth, watermelon is an acreage intensive commodity. According to the 1987 Census of Agriculture (census), watermelons are the sixth largest users of U.S. vegetable land, surpassed by such relative giants as tomatoes (fresh and processing), sweet corn (fresh and processing), and lettuce. Florida is the leading producer of watermelons, marketing twice as many melons as Texas, the second largest watermelon State. California, Georgia, Indiana, South Carolina, and Missouri are also among 25 States that reported 500 or more harvested acres in the 1987 census.

Watermelon supplies are available nearly year-round. The marketing season for domestic watermelons begins in early April and is usually completed in October, with 97 percent of the domestic crop shipped between May and August. Florida's marketing season begins in late April, with Texas in mid-May, Alabama and Mississippi in mid-June, and California in mid-July. The peak supply of watermelon is centered around July 4. Once available only in the summer months from domestic supplies, watermelon is now becoming a year-round resident of the produce department as Mexican, Central American, and Caribbean imports increase. From November through June, supplies are available from Mexico, Panama, Guatemala, El Salvador, Dominican Republic, Venezuela, Honduras, and Costa Rica. In the 1950's, Cuba was the second largest exporter of watermelons to the United States. The supply of U.S. grown watermelons trended down slightly from almost 3 billion pounds in 1960 to 2.7 billion in 1980. While U.S. production declined over this period, imports increased and continue to trend upward. However, imports have historically been a small part of total supply, comprising about 9 percent of total supply in the late 1970's and early 1980's.

#### Number of Farms

According to the census of agriculture, as with other agricultural commodities, the number of farms reporting watermelon acreage has declined dramatically over time. In 1909, 35,345 farms reported watermelon acreage. After peaking at 199,367 farms in 1934, the number fell to 10,234 in 1987. Partly a result of a change in the definition of a farm, the number of farms reporting watermelon acreage fell 69 percent from 1959 to 1987.<sup>2</sup> This compares with a 46-percent drop in the total number of farms during this period. Few specialized watermelon farms likely exist in the United States today.

Some growers probably just stopped producing watermelons in favor of alternative crops. Other growers left agriculture completely for such reasons as unfavorable economics and retirement. The remaining growers have harvested larger acreage in watermelons, especially in more recent years. The long-term decline in farms reporting watermelon acreage has been evident across all States.

#### Acreage Harvested

According to the 1987 Census of Agriculture, watermelons accounted for about 6 percent of harvested vegetable acreage. Sweet corn (for fresh and processing uses) held the top position with 19 percent and tomatoes (fresh and processing) was second at 12 percent of harvested vegetable acreage. According to data from USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), U.S. watermelon acreage was on a downward trend from 1960 to 1981, falling from 332,290 to 203,600 harvested acres (fig. 3).

<sup>2</sup> The official census farm definition in use today was adopted in 1974. A farm is defined as an establishment with annual sales, or potential sales, of \$1,000 or more. The definition of a farm changed several times after 1934. This would be an important caveat in comparing the number of watermelon farms with the number of all farms over time if farms raising watermelons were more likely to be marginal with respect to the prevailing farm definition than the average U.S. farm. However, there is no evidence to support either accepting or rejecting this premise.

## Figure 3 U.S. watermelon harvested acreage



Source: NASS, USDA through 1981. Florida and Texas Agricultural Statistics Offices, California County Commissioners, and ERS (for all others) for 1982-87.

Texas has historically accounted for the largest proportion of acreage harvested, with Florida second and Georgia third (fig. 4). Texas acreage has been sliced in half since 1960, declining about 3 percent per year from 83,000 in 1960 to 40,000 in 1987. Florida's harvested acreage has also declined dramatically since 1960, with a 2-percent average annual drop from 73,000 to 46,100 acres in 1987. However, better yielding varieties and improved production methods allowed output to increase despite the reduction in area.

Of the nine States reporting harvested area from 1960 to 1987, acreage fell in five of the States (table 2). In addition to Florida and Texas, acreage fell in California, South Carolina, and North Carolina but increased in Arizona, Delaware, Hawaii, and Maryland.

#### Irrigation

Irrigation plays an important role in watermelon production, especially during the early growth stages, with about 15 inches of water needed each season. Because of this, all major producing States irrigate at least 25 percent of harvested acreage.



Source: Bureau of the Census, U.S. Dept. of Commerce

According to the 1987 Census of Agriculture, the Western States irrigate all of their acreage (table 3). California, which irrigates 100 percent of its watermelon crop, accounted for about 10 percent of U.S. production in 1981.

In Florida, the largest producer of watermelons, 82 percent of watermelon acreage is under irrigation — up from 70 percent in 1982. Texas, the second largest producer, irrigated 34 percent of its acreage.

Irrigation water subsidies, primarily in California and Arizona, are probably the most visible type of government assistance watermelon producers receive (others include FmHA subsidized credit, Federal crop insurance, extension assistance, horticultural research, etc.). Western water subsidies could be threatened in the future as the struggle over water rights and the cost of this water continues. At issue is the competition from urban use and legislation addressing water quality and ownership rights.

#### Yield

Like yields of most other U.S. agricultural commodities, watermelon yields have been trending upward (fig. 5). Because of extensive development in breeding new seed varieties and technological advancement in irrigation, harvesting, and handling, U.S. yields rose 29 percent from an average of 95 cwt per acre during the 1960-62 period to 129 cwt per acre during 1979-81.

7

Table 2--Acreage, yield, and production: nine reporting States

Stata	Acres h	arvested	Yield p	er acre	Production		
State	1960	1987	1960	1987	1960	1987	
	A	cres	C	wt	1,00	0 cwt	
Florida	73,000	46,100	115	157	8,395	7,238	
Texas	83,000	40,000	55	115	4,565	4,600	
Arizona	4,600	5,200	155	295	713	1.534	
South Carolina	28,000	12,000	77	182	2,156	2,188	
Maryland	3,800	4,900	155	240	589	1,176	
North Carolina	11,800	8,800	70	74	826	651	
Delaware	1,200	1,230	155	248	186	305	
Hawaii	340	740	79	186	27	138	
California 1/	21,400	14,873	168	293	3,596	4,359	
9-State total	227,140	133,843	93	166	21,053	22,189	

1/ Data for 1987 from the County Agricultural Commissioners' Report which may not be directly comparable with USDA, NASS data.

Sources: USDA, NASS and State Statistical Offices.

States that irrigate a high proportion of their acreage, such as California and Arizona, have historically experienced among the highest average yields. Data from the California County Agricultural Commissioners indicate that the yield trend for California watermelon has flattened in the 1980's, with 1983 to 1985 being particularly poor years (appendix table 5). Arizona yields increased 2.2 percent per year and peaked in 1987 at 290 cwt. States such as Georgia, with a smaller percentage of irrigated land have historically had lower yields (appendix table 8).

#### Production

According to official USDA statistics, U.S. watermelon production fell from 29.3 million cwt in 1960 to 26.1 in 1981 (fig. 6). Since 1981, however, a shift seems to have occurred. State-level statistics from nine States representing about 70 percent of watermelon production suggest a 10-percent increase in output since 1981. This increase is likely due to several factors aside from better yields. First, since the early-1980's, watermelon producers have been more responsive to consumer preferences. Their willingness to shift to smaller "icebox" and seedless melons has apparently strengthened their position in the produce department.<sup>3</sup> Second, some traditional row-crop farmers shifted to commodities such as watermelons to diversify or increase their cash-flow. A third factor is that grocery stores have offered more presliced melons, increasing the convenience of watermelons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Icebox melons can be described as watermelons weighing 5 to 10 pounds bred specifically to fit easily inside a standard refrigerator.

	Harvested	acreage	Percent i	irrigated
State	1987	1982	1987	1982
	Acr	es	Perc	cent
Texas	49,061	43,951	33.5	26.5
Florida	35,943	36,504	82.1	70.2
California	19,601	17,954	100.0	100.0
Georgia	14,389	16,970	51.5	25.8
South Carolina	8,464	9,800	22.5	13.0
North Carolina	7,369	7,487	17.7	2.4
Missouri	6,765	4,382	18.4	12.3
Oklahoma	6,613	5,473	23.7	24.3
Arizona	6,016	4,727	100.0	100.0
Alabama	5,716	6,327	11.8	9.5
Indiana	5,343	3,153	17.8	7.3
Arkansas	3,811	3,426	6.6	17.7
Mississippi	3,471	5,234	5.6	0.6
Louisiana	2,726	2,899	7.6	1.1
Virginia	2,674	2,512	14.9	3.2
Maryland	2,654	2,317	56.3	46.3
Delaware	1,589	1,311	78.4	70.4
Illinois	1,355	925	29.2	25.4
Oregon	1,242	1,364	87.8	88.6
Colorado	1,173	893	100.0	100.0
Kansas	841	638	41.1	32.1
Hawaii	692	173	98.6	98.8
Tennessee	627	794	16.6	0.8
New Jersey	608	516	52.6	64.0
Washington	584	339	100.0	100.0
Iowa	517	345	60.3	51.6
New Mexico	443	698	96.6	100.0
Utah	396	324	100.0	100.0
Kentucky	380	166	8.4	6.0
Pennsylvania	376	205	36.4	21.0
Ohio	328	172	46.0	12.2
South Dakota	322	566	9.6	7.6
Michigan	281	125	33.5	22.4
Nebraska	274	609	31.0	12.8
Wisconsin	197	256	23.9	28.5
Minnesota	93	266	30.1	19.9
New York	72	53	. 45.8	30.2
Idaho	67	107	98.5	100.0
North Dakota	11	8	36.4	100.0
Vermont	8	1	(d)	(d)
Massachusetts	8	41	50.0	19.5
Connecticut	7	2	(d)	(d)
West Virginia	6	12	0	(d)
New Hampshire	2	(d)	(d)	(d)
Other States	17	(d)	76.5	(d)
Total U.S.	193,127	184,043	50.2	41.5

#### Table 3--Harvested and irrigated watermelon acreage, by State, 1987 and percent irrigated for 1982 and 1987

(d) = not shown to avoid disclosure.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

## Figure 5 U.S. watermelon yield per acre



Source: NASS, USDA for 1960-81 data. Data for 1982-88 estimated by ERS.

## Figure 6 U.S. watermelon production



Sources: NASS, USDA through 1981 and ERS, USDA estimates 1982-88.

Florida, Texas, and California have been the top three producers of watermelon for many years (table 4). Florida, the largest watermelon producer, has roughly a 30-percent share of the domestic market. Florida production had been on a slight downward trend since 1960. However, 1988 output rebounded sharply to 9.2 million cwt as both acreage and yield increased (appendix table 7). Texas is the second leading producer with a 15- to 17-percent market share. Texas production in 1987 (the last year data was reported) was 4.6 million cwt, consistent with the relatively flat trend since 1960 (appendix table 22). According to County Agricultural Commissioner data, California's acreage and production have trended upward during the 1980's with 1988 output up more than a third from 1980 (appendix table 5). Although Texas is the second-leading producer, California tends to ship more of its output to other States. This makes California the second leading shipper of watermelons (table 5).

#### World Production

During the 1983-87 period, the United States accounted for about 4 percent of global watermelon production (appendix table 1). However, relative to other countries, the United States ranks fifth in world watermelon production. Turkey, a major fruit and vegetable producing and consuming nation, had been the perpetual

State	1950	1960	1970	1980	1981	1986	1987	1988
				1,000 d	cwt			
Florida Texas 1/ Georgia California South Carolina Alabama Mississippi Indiana Oklahoma Arizona North Carolina Missouri Maryland Delaware Arkansas Hawaii Louisiana Illinois	5,100 4,410 4,235 2,370 2,160 1,224 570 688 675 775 458 315 275 148 619  360 224	8,395 4,565 2,890 3,596 2,156 1,500 495 1,022 638 713 826 990 589 186 684 27 225 180	6,888 6,000 2,805 2,528 1,633 1,218 665 1,037 720 688 465 738 756 400 544 288 288	7,863 3,405 2,590 3,018 1,068 747 735 770 210 572 600 288 450 320 80 14 	8,085 4,629 2,526 1,265 1,055 1,055 1,020 944 840 679 554 481 479 347 320 16 	8,749 5,320  3,726 1,417  1,350 456  990 357  143 	7,238 4,600  2,188  1,534 651  1,176 305  138	9,213 6,000  3,663 1,402   1,500 714  927 372  189 
New Jersey Iowa Oregon Virginia Washington	44 90 77 112 66	80 214 1,008 133	   	  	   		  	
Total U.S. 2/ 9-State total Percent of U.S.	24,995 15,696 63	31,112 21,053 68	27,373 19,386 71	22,716 17,310 76	26,128 18,580 71	22,508	22,189 	23,980

Table 4--U.S. watermelon production, by States

-- = Estimates not available.

1/ 1988 production is estimated based on the percent change in annual Agricultural Marketing Service shipment data. 2/ U.S. total was discontinued after 1981. Hawaii was excluded from the U.S. total from 1950-81. States in U.S. total vary over time. 3/ California data after 1981 is from the California County Agricultural Commissioners.

Sources: National Agricultural Statistics Service, State Statistical Offices, and the California County Agricultural Commissioners' report.

State	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
					1,	,000 cwt					
labama	321	350	200								-
rizona	491	674	613	664	1,101	810	1,040	915	1,177	1,194	1,28
alifornia	2,118	1,528	1,710	2,558	3,381	3,452	3,058	2,755	3,407	3,339	4,06
lorida	7,212	5,519	6,824	7,055	6,837	6,950	8,925	8,397	7,604	5,362	7,36
eorgia	2,617	2,565	2,484	2,754	2,969	2,329	1,480	2,516	1,600	1,634	1,84
ississippi	712	·	616	1,062	865	549	639	504	506	462	28
issouri	409	427	263	529	714	367	970	978	855	1,159	62
orth Carolina	341	338	550	878	656	415	443	508	431	627	70
outh Carolina	864	1.031	693	918	1,038	825	874	1,343	1,021	954	1,27
exas	2.224	2,635	1,343	1,909	2,123	2,188	2,478	2,974	2,956	2,430	3,22
irginia	328	164	260	417	348	285	376	331	289	172	32
Total 1/	17,637	15,231	15,556	18,744	20,032	18,170	20,283	21,221	19,846	17,333	20,99

Source: USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service.

leader in watermelons, accounting for 18 percent of world production in 1987. However, since 1986, China (PRC) has taken the lead with large gains in output throughout the 1980's. China now produces 19 percent of the world's watermelons compared with 16 percent in 1980. The USSR rounds out the big three with 16 percent. Egypt is a distant fourth with 5 percent.

#### Genetics and Breeding

The successful introduction of several hybrid varieties has changed watermelon production. Growers using hybrids have experienced increased yields, improved disease resistance, increased uniformity, and early maturity. The results of higher quality and yields have translated into higher consumer satisfaction and stronger demand. Also, improved disease resistance has led to higher quality and yields, plus reduced crop rotation time. Several new varieties have good tolerance to fusarium wilt, a disease that can eliminate fields from future watermelon production (<u>15</u>). Some watermelon seeds are also developed for anthracnose resistance (<u>10</u>).

Color, texture, size, shape, and flavor are variables that can be altered and are readily evident to the consumer  $(\underline{16})$ . There are over 50 varieties of watermelons available and over 14 seedless varieties now being developed. Currently, a great deal of research is being directed toward developing smaller and seedless varieties of watermelon that would be more convenient for retail consumers  $(\underline{18})$ .

#### Varieties

Of the more than 50 different varieties of watermelons available, some of the more common are Charleston Gray, Crimson Sweet, Cal Sweet, Peacock, Jubilee, Klondike, and Sugar Baby. Most varieties are grown regionally, with only a few produced on a national scale. Trends in the 1988 Florida crop indicated shifts toward the Jubilation variety, striped melons, and a turn away from Charleston Grays. The shift to striped melons has been attributed to eye appeal and good flavor. The Jubilation is a new hybrid melon characterized by an oval, slightly oblong shape, a hard rind, rich red interior, and sweet flavor. Other popular melons in Florida are the Royal Jubilee, Prince Charles, Crimson, and Charleston Gray. Seedless watermelons are slowly catching on with growers in Florida. The icebox melons are slowly catching on with growers and shippers. Some reluctance in moving to these new varieties can be explained by the fact that icebox varieties do not ship as well as other varieties. The rind is rather thin and is easily damaged by the rigors of current transportation methods (16). Because Florida accounts for a large proportion of Southeast watermelon production, their varieties are indicative of what is generally grown in that region.

Popular Texas melons are Royal Sweets, All-Sweets, Crimson Sweets, and Charleston Grays. The major California variety is Cal-Sweet. Other prominent California varieties include Klondike, Peacock Improved, Picnic, and Royal Sweet. As in Florida, there are currently few seedless varieties raised in Texas or California (<u>16</u>).

#### Seedless Varieties

Growers have been slow in shifting to production of seedless melons. Expensive seed, germination problems, and lower yields have contributed to this. Also, early varieties of seedless melons lacked the color and sweetness of established varieties  $(\underline{14})$ . However, the combination of advances in plant and growing technology, consumer interest in convenient foods, and backing by large produce marketers have earmarked seedless varieties as a future growth segment in the industry.

Japanese scientists were the first to actively breed seedless melons, which have been produced for almost 40 years. In the United States, experimental production trials were reported in the early 1950's. A seedless melon is developed by crossing a diploid (a normal melon with 22 chromosomes) with a tetraploid (44 chromosomes). The resulting triploid (33 chromosomes), is a true hybrid that is sterile and cannot reproduce (<u>10</u>). Since they are sterile, for pollination to occur, the seedless hybrids must be planted near a seeded variety (preferably an unstriped variety). Although some seedless watermelons contain an occasional hard black seed, most seeds are small, thin, white structures that are edible (<u>20</u>).

Seed availability is currently a critical limiting factor in the growth of seedless varieties. However, the network of suppliers offering this special seed is slowly expanding. If consumer interest in seedless grows, more seed companies will expand work on development of seedless varieties.

The future importance of seedless varieties is still uncertain. While seedless melons are more convenient, the higher price and small edible seeds are not popular with some consumers  $(\underline{14})$ . Seedless is currently more expensive to raise and these higher costs are passed on to the consumer  $(\underline{16})$ .

Growing and merchandising seedless melons have also limited availability. It takes more technical knowledge to grow seedless melons. In harvesting, the melons must be picked at full maturity. Determining full maturity is a bit more difficult with seedless varieties, which makes raising them more challenging for a first-time grower. In merchandising, the key is to sell the melons cut and wrapped, which advertises the convenience and novelty. Fortunately, this does fit in with current marketing trends in the produce industry which is moving toward more pre-cut and prepared commodities as convenience-conscious consumers demand it (20).

#### Trade

International trade has not been a major factor in the U.S. watermelon industry. The bulkiness and perishability of watermelons tend to make widespread trade difficult. Since 1972, trade statistics suggest that the United States has been a consistent net importer of fresh watermelons (table 6). Prior to 1969, the United States traditionally exported more watermelons

Table	6U.S. fresh wa	termelon trade	1/
Year	Exports	Imports	Balance 2/
		1,000 pound	s
1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1965 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976	64,084 64,709 83,640 82,731 64,304 84,234 67,659 83,474 106,250 102,464 86,085 91,171 114,704 102,979 86,341 92,910 114,680 85,298 84,651 79,902	43,521 57,967 71,994 45,141 49,635 63,020 57,484 71,467 61,721 67,942 65,027 99,615 119,116 113,186 159,090 168,498 166,518 145,578 191,454 175,337 199,620	20,563 6,742 11,647 37,590 14,668 21,215 10,174 12,006 41,773 38,308 37,437 -13,531 -27,945 1,518 -56,111 -82,157 -73,608 -30,898 -106,156 -90,686 -119,718
1979 1980 1981	61,948 51,924 58,825	219,138 205,724 125,660	-157,190 -153,800 -66,835
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1986 1987 1988	58,825 73,924 69,488 65,263 44,508 58,227 48,051 58,972	237,436 237,436 186,234 283,445 220,021 197,416 307,605 262,423	-06,855 -163,512 -116,746 -218,182 -175,513 -139,189 -259,554 -203,451

1/ Excludes seed trade. 2/ Exports less imports.

Source: USDC, Bureau of the Census.

than it imported. As with most other vegetables, the most important exporter to the United States is Mexico. Canada is by far the leading market for U.S. watermelons, accounting for over 95 percent of U.S. export volume (fig. 7). The proximity of these two countries to the United States and the bulky nature of watermelons likely led to the narrowness of the U.S. watermelon import and export markets.

Since Canada is not a significant producer of watermelon and already relies on the United States for much of its supply, the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement probably will not make a significant difference in U.S. exports. However, one result of the trade agreement will likely be improved accounting of U.S. exports to Canada. These data have reportedly been severely understated in the past and improvements in the quality of this data could well reverse the negative watermelon trade position now indicated.

#### Fresh Imports

The United States is the world's leading importer of fresh watermelon. Although there have been notable downturns, watermelon imports have been trending upward by about 7 million pounds annually since 1958 (table 7). Imports reached a recordhigh 305 million pounds in 1987, but still accounted for less than a tenth of domestic supply. In the 1980-88 period, watermelon imports averaged 203 million pounds. This was up 22 percent from 1970 to 1979 and 211 percent higher than the 1960-69 period.





\* Caribbean Basin Initiative countries.

Table 7--Monthly U.S. fresh watermelon imports

Item/month	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984 •	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989
						1,000	pounds					
Volume:												
January	7,051.4	7,486.6	11,086.0	3,493.9	7,839.1	2,533.9	6,266.0	11,012.9	8,684.5	7,942.5	15,436.1	31,355.1
February	15,549.4	17,521.4	18,693.5	8,217.1	14,658.4	7,662.5	12,034.4	17,272.0	12,276.0	28,708.0	20,818.1	33,445.7
March	29,120.9	28,298.2	21,300.8	21,916.4	33,023.7	25,844.8	50,819.8	41,576.0	35,494.3	54,075.1	47,864.5	73,573.0
April	73,586.4	54,569.5	51,631.4	30,063.9	57,517.4	24,406.6	61,710.7	50,258.7	52,040.0	37,552.3	60,636.9	99,509.1
Mav	57,329.7	56,075.2	83,707.1	46,112.3	76,434.0	66,491.4	91,180.6	49,067.1	62,443.2	77,300.0	88,508.1	90,184.9
June	9,236.9	42,706.7	16,515.9	11,094.1	41,929.3	38,494.5	48,846.4	39,259.5	13,747.8	86,825.5	16,975.2	19,064.4
July	5,112.2	8,052.7	2,261.3	3,848.7	2,151.0	17,288.1	5,020.6	2,552.9	5,018.4	4,031.7	3,312.8	5,390.2
August	624.6	88.2	396.9	294.1	201.1	2,488.7	1,662.7	399.4	615.6	462.6	509.6	88.2
September	225.0	771.0	95.3	0.0	534.2	42.2	442.0	72.6	0	176.4	33.6	313.6
October	521.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.2	1.2	77.3	0.9	264.6	503.8	642.8
November	149.3	150.7	0.0	0.0	356.3	30.9	1,074.1	1,886.8	1,130.3	2,759.4	1,817.9	915.3
December	1,110.5	3,382.5	36.6	619.7	2,782.4	949.9	4,386.8	6,585.2	5,964.7	7,506.4	6,006.1	
Annual	199,617.3	219,134.2	205,724.7	125,660.1	237,435.7	186,233.7	283,445.4	220,020.6	197,415.6	307,604.5	262,422.8	
						1,000 dol	lars					
Value:							_		<b>.</b>			a (aa
lanuary	365	352	382	233	817	70	382	513	570	405	1,146	2,689

value.		750	700	077	017	70	700	<b>F17</b>	570	605	1 1/6	2 680
January	365	352	382	233	817	70	202	212	570	405	1,140	2,007
February	465	526	679	647	934	210	743	812	518	1,234	1,850	2,218
March	842	1,180	1,319	1,712	1,464	1,485	2,495	2,905	2,048	3,019	4,239	4,952
April	2,945	2,807	2,708	2,823	3,005	2,048	2,910	4,058	4,092	3,126	5,869	6,297
May	2,649	3,938	4,854	3,524	3,220	5,129	4,061	3,144	3,315	7,859	6,265	4,627
June	578	1,577	977	466	2,044	3,086	1,689	2,413	438	4,952	1,111	825
July	215	241	93	212	58	322	74	74	120	137	225	209
August	30	3	19	13	4	61	20	5	14	14	20	3
September	11	14	2	0	23	2	9	11	2	6	2	29
October	26	2	0	0	5	*	1	3	0	3	24	66
November	8	8	0	0	13	3	51	55	40	160	140	45
December	66	236	2	53	107	43	229	292	234	548	625	
Annual	8,200	10,883	11,036	9,683	11,693	12,459	12,664	14,286	11,392	21,462	21,515	

\* = Less than \$1,000. -- = Not available.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

On a per capita basis, watermelon imports have swelled 245 percent from the 1960-62 period to 1986-88. During the 1960-62 period, imports accounted for just 0.3 pound (2 percent) of total per capita utilization. However, by the 1986-88 period, per capita imports had risen 245 percent to 1.0 pound per person (8 percent of total use). This robust increase in imports suggests such explanations as changing consumer preferences toward fresh produce, increased "off-season" imports, declining U.S. domestic production, the general explosion in U.S. agricultural trade in the 1970's, and the emergence of Mexico as a low-cost horticultural exporter.

Perhaps the most important of these explanations is increased off-season imports. U.S. consumers are breaking down the wall of seasonality in produce consumption by demanding that traditional summer fare, like watermelon, be available year-round. Countries, like Mexico, are responding to these signals by increasing shipments during the winter (table 8).

In 1988, the United States imported watermelons from 13 different countries, up from 5 countries in 1978. However, Mexico continues to account for more than 90 percent of the watermelons imported into the United States. Most Mexican watermelons bound for the U.S. market are shipped from the states of Sonora, Sinaloa, Jalisco, and Veracruz. Largely because of the

### Figure 8 U.S. monthly watermelon imports 1958 - 1965 and 1980 - 1987



Source: Bureau of the Census, USDC.

Table 8--U.S. fresh watermelon imports, by country

Trading partner	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
,						1,000 pour	nds				
Australia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Belize 1/	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	277.1	476.7
Brazil 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada	71.1	87.5	54.0	60.9	0.0	0.0	28.3	119.9	0.0	0.0	134.9 >
Chile 2/	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.5	52.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Colombia 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.0	0.0
Costa Rica 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.5	43.0	238.8	0.0	0.0	1,346.8
Dominica 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.7	0.0	0.0
Dominican Republic 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.0	15.5	65.9	595.0	2,673.3	1,214.9	1,724.3
Ecuador 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
El Salvador 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,013.7	4,050.9	3,297.9	185.8
France	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Guatemala 1/	7.5	0.0	0.0	28.2	0.0	0.0	131.2	1,548.6	4,349.9	5,677.1	2,070.6
Honduras 1/	0.0	0.0	73.7	27.9	67.0	17.5	381.2	373.2	1,757.6	405.3	775.3
Hong Kong	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.4	0.9	0.0	0.0
Jamaica 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	233.8	395.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Japan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Malaysia 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.5
Mexico 2/	199,518.3	218,866.4	205,446.9	125,257.4	237,233.2	185,861.1	281,976.8	213,306.9	177,153.6	295,010.2	254,679.1
Monaco	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.1
Morocco 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.0	0.0
Mozambique 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.7
Netherlands	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	47.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nicaragua 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	172.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Panama 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.9	271.3	2,162.7	7,162.3	1,639.0	860.3
South Korea	0.0	180.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.</b> 0
Spain	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
St Vincent & Grenadines 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	251.4	0.0	0.0
Sweden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Thailand 2/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	1.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Venezuela 2/	0.0	0.0	150.1	113.3	0.0	0.0	58.0	472.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
World	199,617.3	219,134.2	205,724.7	125,660.1	237,435.7	186,233.7	283,445.4	220,020.6	197,415.6	307,604.5	262,422.8

.

1/ Eligible for duty-free status under the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act.
2/ Eligible for duty-free status for the Dec. 1 - Mar. 31 period under the Generalized System of Preferences.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

18

incentives offered by the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery (CBER) Act, the remainder of non-Mexican imports now arrive sporadically from Caribbean countries like Guatemala, El Salvador, Panama, and the Dominican Republic.<sup>4</sup>

While U.S. watermelon utilization remains largely seasonal in nature, imports have stretched the season of availability from the April-September window surrounding the traditional fourth of July apex. Imports, which tended to peak in May during the late 1950's and early 1960's, now peak in April during the earliest and most lucrative portion of the domestic season. Imports also tend to begin earlier now than 20 years ago, beginning in November instead of January (fig. 8).

<sup>4</sup> Before enactment of the CBER Act, watermelons from many Caribbean nations were already enjoying tariff-free entry into the United States during the winter months. Monetary and technological U.S. development aid have also been factors in developing Caribbean Basin Initiative.

Item/country	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
				1	,000 poun	ds			
/olume:									
Hong Kong	7.7	26.3	28.5	77.1	85.8	140.4	250.2	332.4	430.6
China	6.8	45.1	136.9	188.4	290.5	166.4	195.1	337.6	213.8
Taiwan	6.8	46.4	81.9	35.0	10.1	22.4	, 20.8	14.7	45.6
Thailand	25.9	64.2	88.3	27.8	51.3	24.7	25.1	3.4	8.6
Australia	*	*	*	14	*	*	*	*	120.0
Israel	*	*	2.2	*	*	*	5.3	*	7.4
Philippines	*	*	*	0.9	*	*	5.2	12.6	11.1
United Arab Emirates	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	2.2
Nigeria	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.7	6.
All others	13.3	89.6	47.7	29.5	10.3	31.6	12.0	9.0	0.0
World	60.4	271.6	385.5	358.6	448.0	385.5	513.8	710.3	846.0
				1	,000 doll	ars			
/alue									
Hong Kong	8	31	33	72	85	125	222	290	
China	8	50	95	139	215	130	125	231	18
Taiwan	10	76	126	76	15	27	31	33	1
Thailand	14	38	49	16	27	11	19	18	
Australia	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4
Israel	*	2	1	*	*	*	7	*	3
Philippines	*	*	*	1	*	*	7	15	1
United Arab Emirates	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	
Nigeria	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	2	
All others	7	69	25	40	6	34	19	9	
World	47	266	330	344	347	327	430	598	68

Table 9--U.S. edible watermelon seed imports by country

\* = Data, if any, included in all other.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Although most of this import trend can be explained by the increased off-season demand for fresh watermelons by U.S. consumers, shrewd marketing management on the part of Mexican producers in satisfying an off-season market niche could also play a small role. Accordingly, it is not unusual today to find watermelon both in supermarkets and in restaurant salad bars during the winter months.

#### Seed Imports

The United States imports small quantities of watermelon seed. Most of this seed is sold for human consumption in prepared (roasted) form. In 1988, the United States imported 846,000 pounds valued at \$684,000. With U.S. consumers interested in new foods, imports for food use trended sharply upward from just 60,000 pounds in 1980. Hong Kong, China (PRC), and Australia accounted for 90 percent of watermelon seed imports in 1988 (table 9). Australia is a newcomer to U.S. watermelon seed trade, while China and Hong Kong have been the leaders since 1983. Hong Kong's share of the U.S. watermelon seed market has grown steadily since 1980.

#### Ports of Entry

With most U.S. watermelon imports originating in Mexico, they enter the United States in the border towns of Nogales, AZ, and Hidalgo, TX (appendix table 28). These two ports account for about 87 percent of the watermelon border crossings, with each location sharing about half of this volume. San Luis and Progresio, TX, also serve as gateways for Mexican melons. In the eastern United States, most Caribbean watermelons enter at ports in West Palm Beach and Port Everglades, FL.

#### Fresh Exports

Reflecting the trend in domestic production, watermelon exports have trended downward since peaking at 114.7 million pounds in 1975. Trade data indicate that watermelon exports have been trending downward by 800,000 pounds annually since 1971. Since reported exports have not been expanding, U.S. watermelon production still largely serves the domestic market, with exports accounting for an estimated 2 percent of total utilization.<sup>5</sup>

The \$7 million in watermelon exports in 1988 were, as usual, largely centered around the peak production period of June/July (table 10). The major destination for about 98 percent of the watermelons sold outside the country has remained Canada. Through 1980, utilization trends in Canada had been very similar to the negative per capita watermelon trend experienced in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>U.S. exports to Canada during the 1980's may be understated due to statistical reporting problems which have developed at the U.S.-Canada border.

#### Table 10--Monthly U.S. fresh watermelon exports

.

Item/month	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989
						1,00	0 pounds					
Volume:												
January	443.7	1,057.0	420.4	110.9	479.3	991.3	245.6	78.9	191.2	61.8	119.4	81.9
February	681.8	486.0	574.2	390.6	1,074.7	1,211.9	547.1	149.2	209.9	262.8	528.9	697.1
March	985.7	225.7	601.4	1,286.4	992.5	1,275.4	421.1	1,520.1	820.7	587.7	1,270.1	1,707.8
April	1,303.6	378.8	672.4	1,345.7	963.7	1,185.3	1,866.7	981.5	1,589.3	2,154.7	2,360.6	6,051.3
Мау	8,614.3	5,987.6	6,622.8	5,643.6	9,136.2	7,428.2	8,825.0	5,392.5	9,877.9	8,484.5	10,599.4	11,904.3
June	29,974.1	16,544.6	15,592.2	16,960.4	22,140.6	21,033.3	19,365.9	17,001.5	21,494.1	19,909.1	21,436.3	25,805.0
July	22,195.2	24,735.3	16,863.2	19,249.2	23,787.8	17,654.5	19,623.5	13,189.9	13,204.7	10,176.9	13,916.1	18,986.5
August	13,363.6	12,098.3	7,646.0	11,280.6	13,039.9	14,194.3	11,595.7	5,436.8	6,920.4	4,704.9	6,066.9	16,736.2
September	1,530.8	63.3	1,560.2	1,757.4	1,430.4	1,694.7	925.8	310.1	2,828.3	1,124.1	860.8	2,241.3
October	545.8	102.5	939.7	715.4	602.5	715.3	761.4	179.2	429.9	140.5	244.0	520.5
November	41.6	250.0	312.3	65.1	187.6	1,575.8	654.0	124.0	395.5	110.4	965.4	184.8
December	222.2	18.6	118.6	19.5	89.1	527.8	431.6	144.3	264.6	333.5	604.5	
Annual	79,902.3	61,947.6	51,923.6	58,824.9	73,924.3	69,487.7	65,263.3	44,508.0	58,226.5	48,051.0	58,972.3	
						1,000 doll	ars					
Value:												
January	36	59	52	8	45	180	31	9	20	8	10	7
February	77	71	71	30	98	121	41	12	18	18	83	63
March	72	34	33	118	128	122	28	120	101	58	171	113
April	70	38	86	106	99	147	142	136	137	160	224	429
May	496	297	551	430	797	729	702	507	748	642	895	812
June	1,367	757	1,253	1,302	1,724	1,777	1,502	1,181	1,433	1,362	1,656	1,551
July	968	1,027	1,208	1,438	1,530	1,204	1,353	839	881	724	1,088	1,174
August	491	534	558	765	702	928	775	315	458	273	537	1,021
September	55	6	105	127	90	129	76	14	174	75	64	184
October	15	7	70	43	58	46	61	16	28	9	22	62
November	5	8	38	6	30	237	69	11	24	14	75	35
December	8	4	27	5	16	42	35	17	27	67	72	
Annual	3,659	2,841	4,051	4,378	5,314	5,662	4,816	3,177	4,048	3,411	4,896	

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

-- = Not available.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

21

1,000 pounds         Australia       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       26.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Bahamas       21.6       9.9       0.0       1.9       2.8       0.0 <th< th=""><th>Trading partner</th><th>1978</th><th>1979</th><th>1980</th><th>1981</th><th>1982</th><th>1983</th><th>1984</th><th>1985</th><th>1986</th><th>1987</th><th>1988</th><th>·</th></th<>	Trading partner	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	·
Australia0.00.00.00.026.60.00.00.00.00.0Bahamas21.69.90.01.92.80.00.00.00.00.06.6Bernuda231.7249.3144.614.5129.2181.7323.771.1156.9198.46Canada78,506.660,649.750,633.558,18.572,077.266,774.764,874.044,136.557,613.446,920.957,10Cayman Islands0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0France0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Guadeloupe0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Guadeloupe0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Italy0.0 <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td colspan="11">1,000 pounds</td> <td></td>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,000 pounds											
Bahamas         21.6         9.9         0.0         1.9         2.8         0.0         0.	Australia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Bermuda         231.7         249.3         144.6         14.5         129.2         181.7         323.7         71.1         156.9         198.4         6           Canada         78,506.6         60,649.7         50,633.5         58,138.5         72,077.2         66,774.7         64,874.0         44,136.5         57,613.4         46,920.9         57,10           Cayman Islands         0.0	Bahamas	21.6	9.9	0.0	1.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.1	
Canada78,506.660,649.750,633.558,138.572,077.266,774.764,874.044,136.557,613.446,920.957,10Cayman Islands0.00.00.00.083.18.30.00.00.00.0Finland0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0France0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Guadeloupe0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Guadeloupe0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Hong Kong74.241.60.00.03.6464.338.50.00.00.0Iceland0.00.00.00.030.30.00.00.00.00.0Japan0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Martinique0.00.02.70.04.62.40.00.00.00.0Mexico60.05.828.3138.9272.10.00.00.00.00.00.0Neth Antilles0.00.02.70.04.62.40.00.00.00.0Norway0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Spain0.00.00.0	Bermuda	231.7	249.3	144.6	14.5	129.2	181.7	323.7	71.1	156.9	198.4	61.1	
Cayman Islands0.00.013.510.80.083.18.30.00.00.00.0Finland0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0France0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Guadeloupe0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Hong Kong74.241.60.00.03.6464.338.50.00.00.0Iceland0.00.00.00.030.30.00.00.00.00.0Italy0.00.00.00.030.30.00.00.00.00.0Japan0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Mexico60.05.828.3138.9272.10.00.00.00.00.0Mexico60.05.828.3138.9272.10.00.00.00.00.0Netherlands267.0151.2138.6174.824.60.00.00.00.00.0Norway0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Spain0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0United Kingd	Canada	78,506.6	60,649.7	50,633.5	58,138.5	72,077.2	66,774.7	64,874.0	44,136.5	57,613.4	46,920.9	57,100.7	
Finland       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       36.3       180.9       137.3       51         France       0.0	Cayman Islands	0.0	0.0	13.5	10.8	0.0	83.1	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
France0.00.00.00.00.044.10.00.00.00.00.0West Germany33.92.52.76.40.0	Finland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.3	180.9	137.3	517.7	
West Germany         33.9         2.5         2.7         6.4         0.0         <	France	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Guadeloupe         0.0	West Germany	33.9	2.5	2.7	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Hong Kong74.241.60.00.03.6464.338.50.00.00.0Iceland0.00.00.00.030.30.00.00.00.00.0Italy0.00.00.00.088.20.00.00.00.030.3Japan0.00.00.00.053.223.615.30.00.0127.035Leeward & Windward Isles0.01.22.180.20.00.00.00.00.00.0Martinique0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Mexico60.05.828.3138.9272.10.00.0140.468.3247.243Netherlands267.0151.2138.6174.8246.21,415.60.00.00.070.03Norway0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.034.00.00.00.032.0274.112Spain0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Spain0.0 </td <td>Guadeloupe</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>97.0</td> <td></td>	Guadeloupe	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.0	
Iceland       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       30.3       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Italy       0.0	Hong Kong	74.2	41.6	0.0	0.0	3.6	464.3	38.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Italy0.00.00.00.00.088.20.00.00.00.0Japan0.00.00.00.053.223.615.30.00.0127.035Leeward & Windward Isles0.01.22.180.20.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Martinique0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Mexico60.05.828.3138.9272.10.00.00.00.00.00.0Neth Antilles0.00.02.70.04.62.40.00.00.00.0Netherlands267.0151.2138.6174.8246.21,415.60.00.00.00.0Norway0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Spain0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Sweden644.4769.4734.5182.8719.0143.90.00.00.00.00.0United Kingdom62.967.10.076.0178.536.00.00.00.00.00.0Wenezuela0.00.0205.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0World79,902.361,947.651,923.658,824.9	Iceland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Japan       0.0       0.0       0.0       53.2       23.6       15.3       0.0       0.0       127.0       35         Leeward & Windward Isles       0.0       1.2       2.1       80.2       0.0	Italy	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Leeward & Windward Isles0.01.22.180.20.00.00.00.00.00.0Martinique0.0	Japan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.2	23.6	15.3	0.0	0.0	127.0	352.0	
Martinique0.0 <td>Leeward &amp; Windward Isles</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>80.2</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td>	Leeward & Windward Isles	0.0	1.2	2.1	80.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Mexico       60.0       5.8       28.3       138.9       272.1       0.0       0.0       140.4       68.3       247.2       43         Neth Antilles       0.0       0.0       2.7       0.0       4.6       2.4       0.0       <	Martinique	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.0	
Neth Antilles       0.0       0.0       2.7       0.0       4.6       2.4       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Netherlands       267.0       151.2       138.6       174.8       246.2       1,415.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       70.0       2         Norway       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       70.0       2         Panama       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       70.0       2         Spain       0.0       0.	Mexico	60.0	5.8	28.3	138.9	272.1	0.0	0.0	140.4	68.3	247.2	436.7	
Netherlands       267.0       151.2       138.6       174.8       246.2       1,415.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       70.0       3         Norway       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       37.1       0.0         Panama       0.0       0.0       18.2       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       119.9       0.0         Spain       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       34.0       0.0 </td <td>Neth Antilles</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td>	Neth Antilles	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	4.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Norway         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         37.1         0.0           Panama         0.0         0.0         18.2         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         119.9         0.0           Spain         0.0 <th< td=""><td>Netherlands</td><td>267.0</td><td>151.2</td><td>138.6</td><td>174.8</td><td>246.2</td><td>1,415.6</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>70.0</td><td>34.2</td><td></td></th<>	Netherlands	267.0	151.2	138.6	174.8	246.2	1,415.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	34.2	
Panama       0.0       0.0       18.2       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       119.9       0.0         Spain       0.0	Norway	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.1	0.0	0.0	
Spain       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       34.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Sweden       644.4       769.4       734.5       182.8       719.0       143.9       0.0       78.3       32.0       274.1       12         Trinidad & Tobago       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       178.5       36.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         United Kingdom       62.9       67.1       0.0       76.0       173.4       203.5       3.5       45.4       18.0       76.2       12         Venezuela       0.0       0.0       205.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         World       79,902.3       61,947.6       51,923.6       58,824.9       73,924.3       69,487.7       65,263.3       44,508.0       58,226.5       48,051.0       58,97	Panama	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	119.9	0.0	0.0	
Sweden       644.4       769.4       734.5       182.8       719.0       143.9       0.0       78.3       32.0       274.1       12         Trinidad & Tobago       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       178.5       36.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         United Kingdom       62.9       67.1       0.0       76.0       173.4       203.5       3.5       45.4       18.0       76.2       12         Venezuela       0.0       0.0       205.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         World       79,902.3       61,947.6       51,923.6       58,824.9       73,924.3       69,487.7       65,263.3       44,508.0       58,226.5       48,051.0       58,97	Spain	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Trinidad & Tobago       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       178.5       36.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         United Kingdom       62.9       67.1       0.0       76.0       173.4       203.5       3.5       45.4       18.0       76.2       12         Venezuela       0.0       0.0       205.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         World       79,902.3       61,947.6       51,923.6       58,824.9       73,924.3       69,487.7       65,263.3       44,508.0       58,226.5       48,051.0       58,97	Sweden	644.4	769.4	734.5	182.8	719.0	143.9	0.0	78.3	32.0	274.1	128.0	
United Kingdom         62.9         67.1         0.0         76.0         173.4         203.5         3.5         45.4         18.0         76.2         12           Venezuela         0.0         0.0         205.0         0.	Trinidad & Tobago	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	178.5	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Venezuela         0.0         0.0         205.0         0.0 <th< td=""><td>United Kingdom</td><td>62.9</td><td>67.1</td><td>0.0</td><td>76.0</td><td>173.4</td><td>203.5</td><td>3.5</td><td>45.4</td><td>18.0</td><td>76.2</td><td>123.8</td><td></td></th<>	United Kingdom	62.9	67.1	0.0	76.0	173.4	203.5	3.5	45.4	18.0	76.2	123.8	
World 79,902.3 61,947.6 51,923.6 58,824.9 73,924.3 69,487.7 65,263.3 44,508.0 58,226.5 48,051.0 58,97	Venezuela	0.0	0.0	205.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	World	79,902.3	61,947.6	51,923.6	58,824.9	73,924.3	69,487.7	65,263.3	44,508.0	58,226.5	48,051.0	58,972.3	

#### Table 11--U.S. fresh watermelon exports, by country

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

.

United States. Since Canada is the major destination for U.S. watermelon exports, the negative utilization trend may help explain some of the loss in U.S. export sales since the early 1970's. Other destinations for fresh U.S. watermelons in 1988 include Finland, Japan, and Mexico (table 11).

There are some problems inherent in shipping watermelons to distant countries. One obvious problem is a matter of bulk and weight. Watermelons consist largely of water and, depending on variety, can weigh 30 pounds or more. This presents a problem of freight costs per unit, especially if they must be shipped by air. A retail premium must be demanded for these melons if transportation costs are to be covered. The problem of transportation and handling costs for such a bulky commodity offers one explanation to the historically low volume of exports. Other possible answers include perishability and few marketing campaigns promoting U.S. watermelons in other countries.

With proper handling, distance to market can largely be considered a negligible factor. However, in the past when cooling facilities were not as accessible, this could have been a limiting factor in building new markets. However, the United States tends to ship to population centers within reasonable distance (like Canada and Mexico), thus minimizing transportation costs and assuring the delivery of a high-quality product.

Item/country	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
					1,000	pounds				
Volume:										
Iraq	276.2	336.3	*	325.0	702.4	491.4	448.8	440.9	*	683.7
Italy	74.0	100.4	23.4	26.5	122.9	117.4	86.6	48.8	189.6	259.4
Israel	*	*	0.1	*	0.0	132.5	71.9	124.2	51.6	58.9
Mexico	59.1	144.9	109.9	223.8	253.3	179.5	231.4	124.3	104.6	175.6
United Kingdom	152.5	49.6	38.1	95.8	*	20.2	25.2	82.1	115.1	134.2
Brazil	29.3	37.4	40.2	20.0	27.3	3.0	5.0	30.0	36.2	87.9
Netherlands	45.2	61.0	151.7	148.8	282.2	143.7	227.3	565.3	133.9	78.5
Algeria	50.7	*	121.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	11.2
Philippines	13.0	14.7	18.0	28.4	7.0	*	2.3	1.7	20.3	54.7
Saudi Arabia	34.1	45.7	39.5	42.6	40.2	25.4	27.9	40.4	57.5	61.6
All others	612.1	783.1	1,102.2	638.1	1,385.5	813.4	770.1	704.0	607.0	572.3
World	1,346.1	1,573.1	1,644.2	1,548.9	2,820.8	1,926.5	1,896.3	2,161.7	1,315.9	2,244.1
					1,00	0 dollars				
Value:										
Iraq	873	1,273	*	287	2,373	1,906	1,488	1,487	*	2,276
Italy	150	339	138	99	3/6	404	424	241	678	1,730
Israel	*	*	3	*	2	336	252	366	163	873
Mexico	165	348	326	666	837	642	772	401	350	591
United Kingdom	317	127	97	238	*	49	56	201	276	377
Brazil	89	98	152	86	120	17	22	144	205	359
Netherlands	138	141	407	405	688	357	633	1,398	501	300
Algeria	183	*	376	*	*	*	*_	*_	*	298
Philippines	44	50	67	131	30	*	(		93	221
Saudi Arabia	120	179	194	172	166	111	105	167	312	211
All others	1,975	2,588	4,099	2,265	4,156	2,920	2,061	2,528	1,775	1,886
World	4,055	5,143	5,859	4,349	8,748	6,742	5,821	6,942	4,352	9,122

Table 12--U.S. watermelon seed exports by country

\* = Data, if any, included under all other.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

#### Seed Exports

The United States exported \$9.1 million worth of watermelon seed in 1988 (table 12). With the exception of 1982, the value of seed exports has surpassed that of fresh exports annually since 1979. Seeds are used for both planting and roasting (food use). Iraq is a big importer of U.S. watermelon seeds (largely for food use) accounting for 30 percent of the 2.2 million pounds exported in 1988. Italy was the second most important market in 1988 at 12 percent of total volume. About 44 percent of seed exports occur during the November to January period.

#### World Trade

Probably for the same reasons limiting U.S. watermelon trade, very little of the world's watermelon output finds its way into international trade. In 1987, just \$217.8 million (747,000 metric tons) of watermelon was exported around the globe (table 13). About a fourth of recorded world trade in watermelons occurs between the United States and Mexico. The proximity of these two countries explains why Mexico has consistently been the world's leading exporter since 1984. Spain, Italy, Greece, and Hungary are also leading watermelon exporters. The United States, Germany (FDR), France, Italy, and Saudi Arabia are leading importers of watermelon.

Country	1961-65	1966-70	1971-75	1976-80	1981-85	1986	1987
				Metric tons			
Imports:							
United States	26,018	35,342	68,299	117,505	95,509	89.544	139.526
Germany, FDR	5,849	16,931	47,407	69,932	70,128	79,879	77.069
France	0	0	0	15,443	50,353	53,106	59.658
Italy	1,190	12,446	7,888	17,644	25,274	47,558	50,907
Saudi Arabia	0	3,574	693	4,520	13,173	21,458	21,458
Singapore	0	0	0	5,083	11,458	14,531	17.886
United Kingdom	0	0	0	2,734	14,607	15,200	17,716
Gaza Strip	0	1,220	10,100	13,100	14,180	11,000	11.000
Netherlands	0	0	0	1,298	8,496	8,254	10,383
Belgium-Luxembourg	0	0	0	1,284	7,330	8,167	8,752
Others	54,943	31,520	48,275	12,083	58,573	23,147	25,964
World	88,000	101,033	182,661	260,627	369,081	371,844	440,319
Exports.							
Mexico	23 029	35 760	65 486	208 00	110 55/	2/3 818	205 541
Spain	136	225	7 660	25 302	88 179	125 / 50	127 105
Italy	12 024	31 783	57 015	72 781	60 3/1	62 6/0	45 207
Greece	268	3 557	18 298	33 299	36 89/	75 201	50 927
Hungary	22 899	23 211	24 389	40 789	44 506	// 218	20,023
Gaza Strip	0	280	1 780	1.878	23 306	30 500	30 500
Saudi Arabia	Ő	9.464	8,408	4,442	34 689	30,000	30,000
United States	34.775	44.739	48.599	36.105	31 489	31 071	25 225
Egypt	0	0	0	13.375	16 425	21,827	20,000
Malaysia	0	0 0	0	10,901	13 485	18 040	18 0/0
Others	79,782	56,388	49,929	42,741	64,762	56,998	47,850
World	172,914	205,415	282,465	372,509	533,719	739,862	746,846

Table 13--World watermelon trade volume, 1961-87

Source: Data tape from the Food and Agriculture Organization, United Nations.

24

#### Domestic Utilization

In the United States, virtually all watermelons are eaten fresh  $(\underline{1})$  They are eaten as a dessert or snack, as well as in fruit salads. In some areas of the United States, people make pickles, relish, jam, and preserves with the rind. A popular summer treat, watermelons have different uses in other countries. In southern Russia, watermelon juice is used to make beer, or the juice is boiled down to a molasses-like syrup for its sugar. In the Middle East and Africa, the flesh of watermelon is used as a staple food and animal feed as well as a source of water in some dry areas (<u>10</u>). In Asia, the seeds are roasted and sold in bags like popcorn (<u>9</u>). In the Orient, watermelon is often preserved through salting or brining.

#### Per Capita Utilization Trends

From 1960 to 1980, per capita utilization of watermelon declined about 2 percent per year to 10.6 pounds (table 14). With the termination of the USDA's watermelon estimates program in 1982, it has been impossible to continue estimating a consistent per capita utilization series since U.S. production data are no longer available.

			Total		Available	Popu-	Per capita			
Year	Production	Imports	supply	Exports	utilization	lation 2/	use			
			Million po	ounds		Millions	Pounds			
			•							
1960	3,111.2	72.0	3,183.2	83.6	3,099.6	180.7	17.2			
1961	2,908.3	45.1	2,953.4	82.7	2,870.7	183.7	15.6			
1962	2,896.1	49.6	2,945.7	64.3	2,881.4	186.5	15.5			
1963	3,110.2	63.0	3,173.2	84.2	3,089.0	189.2	16.3			
1964	2,757.5	57.5	2,815.0	67.7	2,747.3	191.9	14.3			
1965	2,960.3	71.5	3,031.8	83.5	2,948.3	194.3	15.2			
1966	2,843.6	61.7	2,905.3	103.5	2,801.8	196.6	14.3			
1967	2,779.0	67.9	2,846.9	106.2	2,740.7	198.7	13.8			
1968	2,761.6	65.0	2,826.6	102.5	2,724.2	200.7	13.6			
1969	2,595.0	99.6	2,694.6	86.1	2,608.5	202.7	12.9			
1970	2,737.3	119.1	2,856.4	91.2	2,765.2	205.1	13.5			
1971	2,709.4	113.2	2,822.6	114.7	2,707.9	207.7	13.0			
1972	2,528.0	159.1	2,687.1	103.0	2,584.1	209.9	12.3			
1973	2,617.0	168.5	2,785.5	86.3	2,699.2	211.9	12.7			
1974	2,346.6	166.5	2,513.1	92.9	2,420.2	213.9	11.3			
1975	2,439.5	145.6	2,585.1	114.7	2,470.4	216.0	11.4			
1976	2,645.9	191.5	2,837.4	84.3	2,753.1	218.0	12.6			
1977	2,688.5	175.3	2,863.8	84.7	2,779.2	220.2	12.6			
1978	2,527.0	199.6	2,726.6	79.9	2,646.7	222.6	11.9			
1979	2,407.6	219.1	2,626.7	61.9	2,564.8	225.1	11.4			
1980	2,271.6	205.7	2,477.3	51.9	2,425.4	227.8	10.6			
1981	2,612.8	125.7	2,738.5	58.8	2,679.6	230.1	11.6			
1982	2,733.9	237.4	2,971.4	73.9	2,897.4	232.5	12.5			
1983	2,534.0	186.2	2,720.3	69.5	2,650.8	234.8	11.3			
1984	3,190.5	283.4	3,474.0	65.3	3,408.7	237.0	14.4			
1985	3,043.8	220.0	3,263.8	44.5	3,219.3	239.3	13.5			
1986	2,929.6	197.4	3,127.0	58.2	3,068.8	241.6	12.7			
1987	2,893.1	307.6	3,200.7	48.1	3,152.7	243.9	12.9			
1988 3/	3,152.4	262.4	3,414.8	59.0	3,355.9	246.1	13.6			
=======	=======================================		===========	============	========================		================			

Table 14--Estimated watermelon supply and utilization, 1960-88 1/

1/ Includes any processing uses. Estimated production beginning with 1982 is based on nine-State data adjusted to the national level. 2/ Total U.S. population including armed forces overseas. 3/ Preliminary.

Source: Economic Research Service, USDA.

However, statistics from States that have continued making production estimates indicate that U.S. production has increased since 1980. Utilizing data from these States, a few simple equations were estimated using ordinary least squares to derive U.S. production, allowing per capita utilization to be estimated for the 1982-88 period (appendix 2). Following this procedure, with the nine reporting States as movers, per capita utilization is estimated to have increased from 11.6 pounds in 1981 to 13.6 pounds in 1988, a 17-percent increase (fig. 9).

Increasing watermelon utilization does seem probable because of increases in fresh produce utilization, the year-round availability of a wider variety of produce due to imports, increased availability in grocery stores and restaurants, and consumers' interest in the newly introduced icebox and seedless watermelons. Since 1970, fresh vegetable utilization has increased significantly from 70.6 pounds to 100.3 pounds in 1988.

However, statistics derived from "Fresh Trends 1988"--a household mail survey conducted for <u>The Packer</u>, a produce industry publication--do explain some of the basic reasons why watermelon consumption has traditionally lagged use of other produce.

Although 12 percent of the respondents listed watermelon as one of their three favorite fruits for snacking, 27 percent of those surveyed placed watermelon in the list of the top five items that

## Figure 9 U.S. watermelon use from domestic and imported sources



Source: Economic Research Service.

26
are least consistent in overall quality and value. Only peaches, strawberries, and cantaloupes were considered more inconsistent than watermelons (<u>17</u>).

Because of the inconvenient size of watermelons and the lack of consistent quality, more than half of those surveyed said they purchased watermelon once a month or less often when it is available. Of the 60 percent who said they purchased it that often, 23 percent said they purchased it monthly and 36 percent said they purchased it less than once a month. Twenty-two percent said they purchased it once every two to three weeks; 15 percent said they purchased it weekly; and 4 percent said they purchased it twice a week or more often (<u>17</u>). These are some of the areas that the industry can improve upon with their proposed national research and promotion program (see Marketing section).

While consumers have been more interested in convenience, the shifts to production of smaller melons has been slow. Satisfactory yields have been difficult to achieve with smaller sized melons, causing growers to continue producing the better yielding, larger sized varieties. Most of the smaller, icebox melons are imported. However, there is evidence of some shifting of domestic production to smaller sized melons. The University of Florida recently developed two new varieties weighing between 5 and 10 pounds, Minilee and Mickilee, designed to fit neatly inside a refrigerator. Private companies are also developing icebox varieties.

Despite apparent consumer preference for icebox varieties, the growth in the food service industry has continued to encourage the production of larger sized watermelons. Thus, at this level in the marketing chain, the shift to icebox varieties does not necessarily compete with the full-sized watermelons. Instead, the icebox varieties fill a market niche for consumers who want smaller melons. The traditional 30-pound melon may be going out of style at the retail level because smaller families cannot readily use that quantity (<u>16</u>). However, the food service sector will likely continue to demand larger watermelons. Retailers are adding value to the larger watermelons by cutting and wrapping them into smaller, more marketable sizes.

## Utilization Demographics

Demographic data are available for watermelon purchases (table 15). According to the "Fresh Trends 1988" survey, the majority of respondents who had purchased watermelon in the last 12 months were between 30 and 39 years of age, were college graduates, had a household size of four or more, had incomes of \$30,000 a year or more, and lived in the Southern United States. Demographics for those consuming seedless melons were slightly different. The majority of respondents who purchased seedless watermelons in the last 12 months were age 40 to 49, had a household size of two to four, were college graduates, had incomes of \$30,000 a year or more, and resided in the Western United States (<u>17</u>).

Chanastanistia	Variety						
Characteristic	All typ	bes	Seedle	ess			
	Number of respondents	Group percent	Number of respondents	Group percent			
Age:				·			
18-29	155	17.0	9	10.0			
30-39	247	27.0	22	24.4			
40-49	165	18.1	25	27.8			
50-59	148	16.2	17	18.9			
60 plus	199	21.8	17	18.9			
Total	914	100.0	90	100.0			
Household size:							
1 member	179	18.5	20	20.2			
2 members	298	30.8	31	31.3			
3 members	182	18.8	17	17.2			
4 + members	309	31.9	31	31.3			
Total	968	100.0	99	100.0			
Education: High school							
grad. or less	452	48.1	39	40.6			
College plus	487	51.9	57	59.4			
Total	939	100.0	96	100.0			
Income:							
Under \$10,000	151	15.6	14	14.3			
10,000-14,999	118	12.2	11	11.2			
15,000-19,999	108	11.1	7	7.1			
20,000-29,999	202	20.9	11	11.2			
30,000 plus	389	40.2	55	56.1			
Total	968	100.0	98	100.0			
Region:							
Northeast	205	21.2	25	25.5			
Northcentral	251	26.0	20	20.4			
South	328	33.9	26	26.5			
West	183	18.9	27	27.6			
Total	967	100.0	98	100.0			

Table 15--Demographic characteristics of watermelon consumers, 1987

Source: A survey of households conducted for "The Packer" by Vance Research Services and published in "Fresh Trends 1988."

## Nutritional Benefits

A primary reason for individuals to increase produce utilization is for the nutritional benefits which subsequently lead to better health. However, the "Fresh Trends 1988" survey indicated that watermelon was not one of the fruits consumers purchased for health reasons. This indicates that consumers are either unaware of the nutritional aspects of watermelon or they purchase watermelon for such reasons as taste.

Yet, in a recent study, "The Complete Eater's Guide and Nutrition Scoreboard," watermelons rank as the most nutritious fruit available, ahead of cantaloupe, papaya, oranges, grapefruit, bananas, and apples (kiwifruit was not among those ranked) (5). Apparently, the sweet flavor discourages some dieters who associate sweetness with high-caloric content. A typical

watermelon contains 88 to 92 percent water and 8 to 12 percent natural sugars. However, the nutritional content of watermelon is impressive. According to nutritional data supplied by USDA, one serving consisting of a 1-inch by 10-inch slice weighing 482 grams supplies:

- o 77 percent of the recommended daily allowance (RDA) for vitamin C,
- o 35 percent of the RDA for vitamin B6,
- 26 percent of the RDA for B1 (thiamin), ο
- ο 18 percent of the RDA for vitamin A,
- o 4 to 13 percent of the RDA for many other vitamins and minerals,
- o 560 mg of potassium,

no cholesterol, little fat, and just 10mg of sodium, and Ο

152 calories. 0

Table 16Pesticide use acre-treatmen	on watermeld ts, and quant	ons: Acres treated, tities applied, 197	9
Active ingredients	Acres treated	Acre- treatments	Pounds applied
		1,000 acres	
Single application			
Trifluralin	9.3	11.0	5.9
Bensulide	3.6	5.0	12.3
DCPA	0.8	4.8	2.4
Naptalam	0.8	0.8	1.5
Other	0.8	7.5	5.4
Total		30.0	28.9
Insecticides:	5 /	27 5	10 3
Parathion	7.0	19.8	9.9
Carbaryl	6.1	12.8	11.8
Dimethoate	3.1	- 11.9	4.6
Bacillus	2.3	8.9	1/
Other		14 4	16 3
Total		91.3	61.9
• • • • • • • •			
Haneb	17 3	70 5	106 7
Chlorothalonil	22.7	61.9	63.6
Benomyl	7.3	15.9	12.7
Captafol	5.2	11.6	16.1
Mancozeb	2.6	10.1	16.8
Total		197.1	22.0
10111			250.0
Nematocides: Ethylene dibromide	0.5	0.5	6.8
Tank mixtures		17.0	30.5
Total pesticides	156.0	335.9	366.9

-- = data not available. 1/ Quantity data not reported because Bacillus thuringiensis is expressed in terms of number of spores per gram rather than in pounds active ingredient.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service.

## Pesticides and Residues

Pesticide residues on produce have become an important issue with consumers. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has routinely sampled the food supply for pesticide residues since the 1960's. In 1988, the FDA found no pesticide residues on 92 percent of the watermelons sampled. Further, no samples were found to exceed the established tolerance levels. These results were almost identical to those reported in 1987. Domestic watermelons were found to have a slightly lower incidence of residues than imported melons with 87 percent of the sampled imports containing no trace of residues and no samples exceeded tolerances  $(\underline{7})$ .

According to the 1979 Vegetable Pesticide survey conducted by U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service (ERS), watermelon producers tend to use pesticides less intensively relative to other crops (2). In this survey, watermelon planted area represented 9 percent of the total vegetable acreage covered by the sample. However, watermelons were found to account for just 2 percent of the total quantity of chemicals applied to the 12 vegetables included in the sample. Due to the susceptibility of watermelons to a host of plant diseases, it is not surprising that more than 65 percent of the chemicals applied were found to be fungicides (table 16). Chlorothalonil (Bravo), a fungicide, accounted for the largest share of all chemicals applied. This is a chemical whose residue can be reduced by washing the melon a fact which may be important to those who use watermelon rind in cooking.

## Prices

Watermelon is a perishable commodity sold largely in the domestic cash market. The price that growers receive for their product is determined by the basic forces of supply and demand in a competitive marketplace. Since there are no Government programs specifically for watermelons, there are few price-distorting external influences in the watermelon market.<sup>6</sup> Although there are many varieties of watermelons, each with differing characteristics and weights, the prices discussed here reflect an average of these varieties on a hundredweight (cwt) basis (that is, per hundred pounds of melons).

The growing popularity of seedless varieties and their short supply relative to demand has allowed growers of these varieties to command a premium above most of the seeded varieties. Higher production costs (due partly to seed costs) and lack of experience with seedless varieties, which lead to lower than optimal yields, necessitates a higher price at the farm gate. It

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The existence of subsidized Federal credit, Federal irrigation projects, extension and research assistance, and favorable tax laws are each aimed at general agricultural and are not targeted toward any one commodity as are wheat deficiency payments, for example.

Table 17--Watermelon value per unit for eight selected States and weighted average

Year	Florida	Arizona	Delaware	Hawaii	Maryland	South Carolina	Texas	California	8-State average 1/
					Dollars per	cwt			
1980	5.92	6.35	7.44	22.36	7.44	3.98	9.04	8.58	6.67
1981	6.52	7.98	3.84	25.88	3.84	3.29	8.00	8.85	6.64
1982	6.90	4.00	4.00	24.91	4.00	3.00	7.76	4.15	6.37
1983	7.20	5.85	4.00	19.69	4.00	2.98	10.40	5.20	7.21
1984	6.20	5.42	5.40	18.48	5.40	5.42	5.18	4.90	5.77
1985	5.95	5.27	5.15	17.00	5.15	3.28	5.20	4.10	5.44
1986	6.23	6.36	5.70	12.60	5.70	5.74	6.75	5.85	6.36
1987	9.64	5.13	6.30	11.90	6.30	3.96	9.35	5.10	8.14
1988	6.79	7.13	6.15	11.70	7.05	5.02		6.71	6.71

-- = not available.

1/ Average of the eight States weighted by production. North Carolina is excluded since they no longer report value data. Texas dropped its estimates program in 1988.

Source: NASS (1980-81), California County Agricultural Commissioners (1982-88), State Statistical Services for all others.

is not currently clear how much of the retail market will eventually be commanded by seedless watermelon varieties. However, despite the higher retail price, it is apparent that many consumers look favorably on seedless melons and growers are increasing seedless acreage. The situation in the watermelon market is not unlike that of the grape sector where the introduction of seedless varieties such as Thompson and Red Flame gradually made inroads into the table grape market and now dominate sales.

## Trends

Watermelon season average prices have generally trended upward since 1960. After adjusting for inflation, deflated prices still exhibited a surprisingly strong 9-cent annual upward trend from 1960-80. However, since 1980, the trend for deflated season average prices has flattened.

This upward trend and leveling off is consistent with the trend observed in the fresh vegetable grower price index during this time period (fig. 10). It is believed that the influx of fresh vegetable imports during the 1980's may have contributed to the decline in domestic vegetable grower prices. However, since watermelon imports largely occur during the off-season, it is difficult to support this supposition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Since USDA stopped reporting watermelon prices after 1981, a series based on the eight States still collecting both watermelon production and values was constructed. These eight States accounted for the majority of U.S. production in 1981. Although not directly comparable with the U.S. season average published by USDA prior to 1982, the series is useful in describing the trend in grower prices.

# Figure 10 U.S. grower prices for watermelons and fresh vegetables



Source: USDA, NASS.

Based on data from States still reporting watermelon statistics, it appears that U.S. production increased during the 1980's. In the absence of a coordinated advertising campaign or an expanding export market, the domestic market likely became saturated, causing prices to level off. Average grower (f.o.b.) prices for seven reporting States fell in 1988, averaging under \$7 per cwt as production rose markedly in many areas (table 17).

## Variability

Largely because of the prevalence of irrigation over the past few decades, watermelon production and grower prices have largely avoided the wild year-to-year variations experienced by other commodities. This is illustrated by a coefficient of variation consistently under 20 cents per cwt since the 1950's (table 18).

This level of variability compares favorably with such program commodities as corn, soybeans, and wheat, whose price variations have been greater. It is tempting to conclude that this relatively low variation may be indicative of lower price risk and more stable markets as compared with other crops. However, this may not be the case since watermelons must frequently be abandoned (plowed under) in the field for economic reasons (that is, harvest costs would have exceeded market returns). Since harvest costs are a substantial proportion of total costs, market returns rarely fall below average harvest costs. This gives the appearance of relative price stability from year to year.

Period	Mean	Variance	Standard deviation	Coefficient of variation 1/
		Dollar	s per cwt	
1940-49 1950-59 1960-69 1970-79	1.13 1.43 1.70 3.33	0.22 0.05 0.07 0.54	0.47 0.23 0.26 0.73	0.41 0.16 0.15 0.22
1900-00 2/	0.10	0.49	0.70	0.11

Table 18--U.S. watermelon grower price analysis

-----

1/ Standard deviation divided by mean.

2/ Based on data from eight reporting States.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service.

## Seasonality

The marketing season for U.S. watermelons generally runs from April to October. The strongest prices received by growers usually come during the first 2 months of the season (late April to early June) when supplies are low, the weather is warming, and consumers are looking forward to summer fruits and vegetables. Because of their climatic advantage, Florida, California, and Texas are able to take advantage of this early market by shipping melons by the end of April or early May (fig. 11). These early watermelons compete with imported melons from Mexico into mid-June when the Mexican season is completed (fig. 12). At this time, Arizona and Georgia begin shipping watermelons and prices typically settle into their seasonal lows as domestic shipments approach their seasonal peaks.

Although watermelon prices at all levels in the marketing chain are usually lowest around July 4 when supplies peak, low prices are not uncommon near the end of the marketing season. This may reflect that consumers are looking toward other fruits such as new-crop peaches, pears, and nectarines in place of watermelon. It could also reflect the approaching cool fall weather since watermelon consumption has been linked to warm weather. California is generally the last to ship watermelons, usually closing the domestic season during the fall.

### Price Spreads

San Francisco was the only market that compiled data so price spreads could be calculated for watermelons. In 1988, the retail value (retail prices adjusted for marketing loss) averaged 9 percent above that of 1987 as drought losses in nonirrigated fields (such as in parts of Texas) forced prices higher. Growers received 45 percent of the retail value of watermelons sold in the San Francisco market in 1988. The wholesaling function accounted for roughly 33 percent of the value added to watermelons sold at retail, while retail costs accounted for the remaining 22 percent (table 19).

# Figure 11 U.S. watermelon shipments, major States, 1988





Source: USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service.

# Figure 12 U.S. watermelon shipments, domestic and import, 1988



Source: USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service.

#### Table 19--Watermelons, San Francisco: Retail value and shipping point prices, and grower-packer share of retail value by month (1st full week) and season, 1987-89 1/

14	1	11	4.00	Son	Season
Item	Jun	Jul	Aug	sep	average
	Cont	te nor no	und (16-	20 00000	le)
	Cen	ts per pe		Lo pourie	
Retail value: 2/					
1987	17.0	12.4	8.7	10.1	13.4
1988	17.5	17.5	12.0	11.5	12.9
1989	10.6	12.4	15.6	11.5	12.6
Wholesale price: 3/					
1987	12.0	8.0	8.5	13.0	10.0
1988 4/	14.0	12.5	8.8	10.0	10.0
1989	11.5	13.5	10.0	9.0	11.5
Shipping point price (f.o.b.):					
California	• •	7 0	7 0	( )	10
1987 5/	8.0	3.0	5.0	6.0	4.9
1988	8.0	7.0	5.0	0.U E 0	5.0
1989	5.0	7.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
			Dorcont		
Crawer reaken share of			Fercent		
Grower-packer share of					
1097	47 0	24 2	34.3	59.3	37.0
1088	45.8	40.0	41.8	52.2	44.8
1080	47.3	56.4	37.1	43.5	47.2
1,0,					
	Cer	nts per p	ound (16	-20 poun	ds)
Wholesale-retail spread:					
1987	5.0	4.4	0.2	-2.9	2.4
1988	3.5	5.0	3.2	1.5	2.9
1989	-0.9	-1.1	5.6	2.5	1.1
Shipping point-wholesale					
spread:		<b>F</b> 0		7 0	F 0
1987	4.0	5.0	5.5	7.0	5.0
1988	6.0	5.5	3.8	4.0	4.2
1989	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.0	5.0
			Porcont		
Ubelessle noteil chare			Fercent		
wholesale-relationship					
1097	29.5	35 6	27	-28 5	20.2
1088	10 0	28 5	26.4	13 0	22.4
1080	-8.7	-8.7	36.1	21.7	8.7
()0)	0.11	011	5011		••••
Shipping point-wholesale					
share of retail value:					
1987	23.5	40.3	62.9	69.2	37.3
1988	34.3	31.5	31.8	34.8	32.6
1989	61.3	52.4	26.9	34.8	44.4

1/ Royal Sweet and Peacock varieties.

2/ Adjusted to allow for 8-percent loss incurred during marketing.

3/ Origin same as shipping point.

1

4/ June 1988 price quoted June 29.

5/ August 1987 price quoted July 31.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service.

## Transportation and Packaging

Transportation and packaging issues for watermelon are and have been major stumbling blocks to expansion of existing markets and development of new markets. Most watermelons are shipped by over-the-road truck with minor quantities arriving by rail or piggyback (table 20). Difficulty in securing adequate transportation at times during the season for the bulky fruit Table 20--U.S. watermelon shipments 1/

1,000 cwt         Rail & piggyback:         January         January       -       -       -       -       2       1       -       -         February       -	8
Rail & piggyback: January         January       -       -       -       -       2       1       -       -         February       -       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       -       -       -       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1	
January       -       -       -       -       -       2       1       -       -         February       -	
February       -<	-
March       - <td>-</td>	-
April       -       -       -       -       -       4       1       5       -         May       73       21       1       18       -       8       283       361       355       151       377         June       222       104       1       43       19       200       501       339       442       390       66         July       28       18       1       9       2       26       32       33       55       133       21.         August       3       -       2       -       -       -       -       1       1       1         September       -       -       1       -       -       -       1<	-
May       73       21       1       18       -       8       283       361       355       151       371         June       222       104       1       43       19       200       501       339       442       390       66         July       28       18       1       9       2       26       32       33       55       133       21         August       3       -       2       -       -       -       -       1       1         September       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       1         October       -       -       -       -       -       -       1       1       1         November       -       -       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       -	1
June       222       104       1       43       19       200       501       339       442       390       66         July       28       18       1       9       2       26       32       33       55       133       21         August       3       -       2       -       -       -       -       1       1         September       -       -       1       -       -       -       -       1       1         October       -       -       -       -       -       -       1       1       -       -         November       -       -       -       -       1       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       1       -	0
July       20       10       1       9       2       20       32       33       55       133       21         August       3       -       2       -       -       -       -       1       11         September       -       -       1       -       -       -       -       1       11         November       -       -       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       1       -       -       1       1       1       -       -       1       1       1       -       -       1       1       -       -       -       1       1       1       -       -       -       1       1       1       -       -       -       -       1       1       - </td <td>1</td>	1
August     5     2     -     -     -     -     -     -     1       September     -     -     1     -     -     -     -     -     -       October     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     1       November     -     -     -     1     -     1     -       December     -     -     -     3     1     1     -       Annual     326     143     6     70     21     238     823     737     857     676     1,26	5
September       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       1       November       -       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       1       -	2
October       - </td <td>-</td>	-
November     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       December     -     -     -     -     -     3     1     1     -       Annual     326     143     6     70     21     238     823     737     857     676     1,26       Truck:     -     -     1     -     2     15     1     2     1	-
Annual     326     143     6     70     21     238     823     737     857     676     1,26       Truck:     Iapuapy     1     -     1     2     2     15     1     2     1	I
Annual 326 143 6 70 21 238 823 737 857 676 1,26 Truck:	-
	1
lonuory 1 - 1 7 7 15 1 7 1 -	
	-
	-
	-
April - 22 10 0 002 1 90 126 128 79 1 Nov 1 200 2 000 1 040 7 700 7 020 1 170 0 007 / 141 / 451 1 040 0 07	5
May 1,202 2,000 1,007 3,000 1,120 2,003 4,101 4,021 1,000 2,73	( 0
Julie 1,071 0,703 0,072 1,744 7,304 0,400 0,347 7,171 7,237 0,672 0,171	2
August 1.686 1.3(7 1.535 1.620 1.576 2.705 1.746 3.746 3.223 3.322 6.446 3.7	5
August 1,000 1,347 1,000 1,010 1,010 2,460 2,140 1,001 1,220 1,109 2,000 September 1/0 1/5 155 175 170 208 173 117 233 11/ 53	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 Q
November $22 - 22 17 38 60 30 53 82 05 0$	2 7
December 12 1 19 13 25 13 27 31 36 55 2	7
Annual 17,311 15,086 15,549 18,674 20,011 17,932 19,460 20,484 18,989 16,657 19,75	8
Imports: 2/	
$A_{10}$ $A$	2
February 156 175 195 97 206 115 230 185 202 206 20	ñ
March 291 283 290 261 614 251 578 442 470 560 52	3
April 736 546 676 383 781 474 684 631 865 651 75	õ
May 573 561 824 429 581 813 999 805 572 968 99	Ó
June 92 427 54 59 57 250 159 218 147 543 12	4
July 50 81 9 1 4 16 24 25 23 20 3	o.
August 6 1 1 2 3 1 - 3	2
September 2 7 2	-
October 5 2 1 6 1	0
November 2 2 13 2 18 71 68 58 1	7
December 11 34 4 30 23 44 73 76 95 110 94	6
Annual 1,995 2,190 2,168 1,327 2,354 2,046 2,886 2,589 2,563 3,387 3,04	3
Total: 3/	
January 72 73 116 69 77 94 121 136 121 170 20	2
February 156 175 195 97 206 120 230 185 202 296 29	0
March 291 283 290 261 614 251 578 442 470 560 52	3
April 736 568 691 391 1,433 480 779 759 998 730 770	6
May 1,928 2,662 2,694 3,755 3,606 1,964 4,166 5,327 5,578 2,979 4,29	7
June 8,205 7,238 6,747 7,846 7,652 6,910 9,007 9,750 7,848 7,805 8,90	3
July 6,310 4,860 5,171 5,755 6,907 7,458 5,802 5,283 5,430 6,599 5,78	9
August 1,695 1,352 1,539 1,621 1,576 2,427 2,143 1,562 1,228 1,113 2,39	9
September 142 152 156 175 171 298 173 113 233 116 53	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8
November 24 2 22 17 51 63 57 125 150 153 11	1
December 23 35 23 43 48 60 101 108 131 165 12	3
Annual 19,632 17,425 17,724 20,072 22,404 20,226 23,171 23,813 22,409 20,720 24,06	2
Percent import 10.2 12.6 12.2 6.6 10.5 10.1 12.5 10.9 11.4 16.3 12.0	

- = not available.

.

1/ Includes quantities shipped for export. 2/ Just as shipments do not cover total volume produced, import shipments do not encompass total imports. 3/ Includes domestic and import shipments by rail, piggyback, truck, boat, and air.

Source: USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service.

remains a problem. Packaging of watermelons is related to the transportation issue with the question of bulk versus container shipments. Bulk shipments are still the most common form of transportation due to tradition and possibly economics, but bin and carton shipments provide handling and marketing advantages and lower shipping losses.

## Transportation

For watermelon marketing to be successful, adequate facilities for transporting the crop to market are imperative. Yet, adequate transportation for watermelons is frequently a problem. When watermelons are harvested, shippers face competition for trucks from citrus and many vegetable crops maturing during the same period (<u>4</u>). Because of the difficulty in transporting watermelons relative to other commodities, independent truckers often haul watermelons only if there are no alternatives. Truck availability is tougher in California and Florida, since most trucks leave the State with agricultural commodities, but few tend to bring in commodities. Demand for trucks is frequently greater than the supply of trucks.

Routinely faced with a long unloading process at terminal markets, extended unloading times, high unloading charges relative to other produce, and the prospect of being stuck with culled melons refused by the receiver, truckers are increasingly moving away from accepting bulk watermelon loads. Compounding the problem is that independent truckers are giving way to smalland medium-sized fleets, which generally are not interested in hauling watermelons (<u>16</u>).

The National Watermelon Association (NWA) has wrestled with this problem for years, once trying to get shippers to cover the cost of unloading for truckers. But, most NWA efforts have been unsuccessful. NWA sent out letters to major watermelon receivers requesting changes in their receiving policies. The request encouraged receivers to unload more quickly and stop culling melons. Melons that are refused are left on the truck, and the trucker often has to pay to dump them to have a clear trailer for an outbound load (16).

Únloading charges are one of the main complaints among truckers. Bulk-melon unloading charges can reach up to \$200 per load in some areas of the United States. Truckers try to cover these charges in the melon costs, but frequently cannot. The end result is that fewer trucks may be available to haul watermelons. The number of available truckers is already decreasing because of stiffer Federal regulations on the trucking industry (16).

Receivers are not the whole problem, however, as shippers give truckers rolling loads - loads that leave the shipper for a certain destination, but are then diverted to other receivers in other areas en route. This results in added miles and costs for truckers, lower quality product due to the extra time en route, and more claims and problems in going into areas where the trucker is not licensed to operate (<u>16</u>).

## Storage Conditions

Watermelons are more perishable than other types of produce, apples and storage onions, for example, and generally cannot be held for long periods of time. The marketing process is usually kept as continuous as possible from field to consumer to assure a high-quality product. At temperatures between 32- and 50-degrees F, watermelons are subject to chill injury. After 1 week at 32 degrees F they will develop an off-flavor and become pitted. At 50 degrees F or lower they lose color, and at higher temperatures Holding watermelons at room temperature for they tend to decay. short periods of time (about 7 days) can improve flavor and coloring. However, after 6 weeks, melons held at room temperatures have very poor flavor (10). If necessary, watermelons can be held for 2 to 3 weeks at 40- to 50-degrees F (<u>18</u>). Optimum shipping conditions for watermelons include transit temperatures of 55- to 70-degrees F, 80- to 85-percent humidity, and good ventilation (10).

## Packaging

Watermelon handling can be broken down into six segments: harvesting, field truck unloading, loading of over-the-road trailer, transportation from growing area to the receiving warehouse, unloading at the warehouse, and movement from warehouse to the retail store (fig. 13).

# Figure 13 U.S. watermelon distribution chain



Retail store

Watermelons are harvested by hand and then are either transported by field truck to a central location where they are loaded on over-the-road trailers for transport to destination or loaded directly from the field onto over-the-road trailers ( $\underline{6}$ ). Watermelons are transported in three ways: bulk, bin, and carton. In the past, watermelons have not been shipped in containers but have been directly loaded onto railcars or trucks ( $\underline{10}$ ).

Although bulk has been used the most, bin and carton packaging are becoming more popular. Receivers have expressed more interest in receiving melons loaded in bins and cartons. Bins can be unloaded faster than bulk loads, thus, truck dock space is not tied up as long. Also, bin and carton loads require less handling, hence, loss due to handling damage is usually lower (10). There has been some resistance to using bins or cartons by some growers since bulk has been used over the years, and there is still a larger demand for bulk melons due to f.o.b. pricing Also, a drawback to the bins from the receiver standpoint (4). is that each melon cannot be inspected for quality or damage as can be done with bulk shipments where each watermelon is handled. Receivers are concerned that watermelons in the bottom of the bins might be of lower quality than those in view on the top (<u>16</u>).

Carton shipments now are coming primarily from foreign suppliers, such as Mexico and the Caribbean. As with bin shipments, most of these shipments arrive during the winter season, when domestic supplies are not available and prices are comparatively high.

In bulk loads, watermelons are stacked five to seven layers high with a cushioning of packing material (usually straw) on the floor and against the front and rear walls of the trailers to prevent melon damage ( $\underline{7}$ ). With bins or cartons, melons are pregraded and sorted by weight prior to being loaded for the packinghouse. Once at the packinghouse, melons are regraded and passed along a weight belt which further narrows the weight range to create a more uniform, quality pack. Watermelons are then packed into cartons or bins and are stacked on pallets and loaded on a transport trailer ( $\underline{4}$ ).

Most bins and cartons are fiberboard. Wirebound bins were used in the past but are virtually never used now since fiberboard is now much stronger than in the past, easier to handle and reusable. When melons reach the retailer they are unloaded with a forklift or pallet jack. Often watermelons are put on display in retail stores in the same bulk bins they were shipped in, greatly reducing labor time in unloading and setting up retail displays. Cartons are often unloaded onto pallets, usually seven cartons high, although sometimes they are shipped floor loaded into cars or trucks (10).

Bulk bins, made of wood or fiberboard, are frequently collapsible and reusable. They generally hold from 800 to 2,000 pounds of watermelons. The basic fiberboard carton holds 3 to 5 watermelons, with the net weight of each carton ranging from 55 to 80 pounds ( $\underline{10}$ ). Cost of cartons (70 to 80 pounds each) is a few cents more per pound than both bulk delivery and for watermelons delivered in bins. However, packed melons are generally spared the splits and bruises that bulk loads tend to accumulate. Packed melons are also more uniform in weight. In a study conducted by the USDA and the Florida Department of Agriculture, losses due to rough handling of watermelons shipped noncontainerized in bulk from the field to the retail store were 11.3 percent, while losses of watermelons packed in cartons and shipped palletized were 0.2 percent. In addition, researchers found that the unloading time for palletized loads was cut greatly. Reduction of losses and unloading time generally offset the cost of cartons (<u>10</u>). This would seem to suggest that many shippers, receivers, and retailers would welcome containerized watermelons.

## Marketing

Watermelons can be marketed through a variety of outlets including roadside stands, farmers' markets, local markets, or wholesale shippers. In most States, the majority of the crop is sold out of State. Also, because July 4 is when most supplies are on the market, it is usually feasible for some States to get melons on the market early in May or in late-August to obtain better prices. After Labor Day, interest in watermelons rapidly decreases. Although earliness usually results in higher prices, high quality through maturity and uniformity of size are key variables in promoting strong sales (10).

More and more retailers are supplementing bulk watermelon sales by adding value through sliced and wrapped watermelons which are tailored more to today's convenience-oriented consumer. Watermelon is materializing in more supermarket salad bars nationwide, and in some cases they are becoming the focal point for watermelon sales. Turned off by the sheer size and awkwardness of the traditional 25-pound to 30-pound watermelon, consumers are finding favor with sliced or quartered watermelon for convenience and savings. In addition to being a more convenient way to purchase watermelons, offering cut watermelon in the produce department gives consumers a chance to sample the many new melon varieties coming on the market.

Cut and wrapped watermelons likely account for a significant percentage of watermelon sales (10). Some grocery chains are adding melon bars, free samples, custom orders for melon boats, and also chilled watermelons for an additional charge. Retailers are also offering prewrapped variety packs that contain slices of watermelon, cantaloupe, and honeydew, along with a fork and salt for quick and easy eating (16). Because watermelons are generally too large for creative displays, point-of-purchase (POP) advertising materials such as nutritional display posters are especially important (18).

Although in grocery chains convenience is key, in the food service area bigger is better. Since all watermelon used in food service is sliced or otherwise portioned, yield is a top concern for users. Consequently, food service customers tend to favor large watermelons that are shaped to produce the greatest number of useable portions. Buying watermelon in the winter months from the Caribbean, Central America, and Mexico has been a recent trend in the food service industry. Seedless melons are a natural for the food service industry, but the higher cost likely will encourage continued demand for larger watermelons.

Grades for watermelons are U.S. Fancy, U.S. No. 1, and U.S. No. 2. These grades conform to the USDA policy of establishing uniform grade names for fresh fruits and vegetables (table 21) (13).

## Present Promotion Activities

Presently, most of the larger watermelon-producing States conduct watermelon promotions on their own. Although the NWA provides POP material, it does not promote watermelons from any particular State. The NWA planned \$95,000 for watermelon promotion in 1988, using mostly POP material and the watermelon queen activities (<u>16</u>). NWA also sponsors an annual Watermelon Day on Capitol Hill in Washington, DC as a public relations activity.

Table 21--Grades and characteristics of U.S. watermelons

U.S. Fancy

Mature Similar varietal characteristics Fairly well formed Not overripe Free from anthracnose, decay, sunscald, and whiteheart Free from damage by any means (other diseases, sunburn, hail, scars, insects, hollow heart, whiteheart, mechanical, or other means)

#### U.S. No. 1

Mature Similar varietal characteristics Fairly well formed Not overripe Free from anthracnose, decay, and sunscald Free from damage by any means

#### U.S. No. 2

Mature Similar varietal characteristics Not badly misshapen Not overripe Free from anthracnose, decay, and sunscald Free from serious damage by any means

.....

Source: USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service, "U.S. Standards for Grades of Watermelons."

Because a number of States produce watermelons, many have strong promotion programs. Florida continues to have one of the strongest promotion and advertising programs, sponsoring radio advertisements in Florida and major eastern markets. In 1988, the Florida Agriculture Department distributed approximately 20,000 pieces of POP material.

South Carolina's promotion campaign is carried out primarily through the State's own marketing order, with the funds used to distribute POP material at roadside stands and welcome centers as well as through the State's watermelon queen, recipe books, brochures, T-shirts, and tennis visors. In the past, South Carolina has also supported generic promotion by providing the National Watermelon Association with POP material.

Missouri receives matching funds from the State's Agriculture Department to pay for brochures and bumper stickers. Texas-Oklahoma producers promote their local product with a brochure for retailers and handlers emphasizing their State's quality and availability. On the flip side, Delaware's State Agriculture Department feels a generic approach works better for their region (Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia) so they place more emphasis on the Delmarva region rather than solely on Delaware (<u>16</u>).

### Research and Promotion Program

The outlook for watermelon use will largely depend on efforts to adapt to a changing market environment and to effectively promote the product. Because of concern over the sluggish per capita use trend, the watermelon industry has focused on reviving interest in watermelon by initiating and approving a national promotion and research program. Broad initial goals will likely include assessing consumer attitudes toward watermelon and discovering methods to effectively increase per capita use.

Title XVI of the 1985 Food Security Act authorized the Secretary of Agriculture to establish an orderly process for developing and underwriting a program of research and promotion to strengthen and expand the market for watermelons. Title XVI provided for the issuance of a research and promotion plan (after notice and hearings) if approved by producers and handlers voting in a referendum. In a referendum held February 6-21, 1989, watermelon producers and handlers voted to approve a national promotion and research program, NWA officials were hoping producers and handlers would pass the referendum because no central marketing or promotion plan was designed to pull all facets of the industry together in sharing the costs and benefits of a national promotion plan.

The program provides for an assessment of not more than 2 cents per 100 pounds of watermelons sold for human consumption, paid by first handlers and producers who grow 5 or more acres of watermelons. Anyone who serves as both a producer and a handler will pay assessments for each function. The plan is expected to raise about \$1 million annually. Because the assessment can be refunded to growers who do not want to participate, the amount may not reach this goal (11, 20).

The promotion and research program, submitted by the National Watermelon Association, Inc. represents an attempt to improve the perception of watermelons in the marketplace through coordinated research and promotion activities. Administration of the research and promotion program will be through a 29-member board composed of watermelon producers, handlers, and a representative of the general public (<u>8</u>). The first members of this board were appointed by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Agriculture in November 1989.

The general goals of the program and the board are to carry out effective, continuous, and coordinated research, development, advertising, and promotion activities aimed at strengthening watermelon's competitive position in the marketplace, maintaining and expanding existing domestic and foreign markets, and developing new or improved markets. The program contains no provisions for quality standards, production controls, or any other controls that limit the right of individual watermelon producers to raise watermelons ( $\underline{8}$ ).

South Carolina watermelon producers passed a State marketing order in 1982. Their goal has been to use advertising and sales promotion to promote the sale of watermelons in domestic and foreign markets for maintaining existing markets or creating new or larger markets for watermelons grown or sold in the South Carolina. The South Carolina Marketing Order is financed by an assessment on watermelon producers of 1 cent per cwt on watermelons produced or sold in South Carolina. Although any producer may be refunded the full amount of the assessment, very few refunds have been requested (<u>11</u>).

### Cash Receipts and Costs of Production

Among vegetables, watermelons are the 17th leading item in terms of cash receipts.<sup>8</sup> Their receipts top important vegetables such as cabbage, fresh and processing green peas, and honeydew melons (table 22). Although accounting for just over 1 percent of total vegetable cash receipts, watermelons are an important component of gross vegetable receipts for many States.

In many States, watermelons are important contributors to the vegetable sectors. In South Carolina and Missouri, watermelons account for roughly a sixth of all vegetable cash receipts. Florida, the second most important vegetable-producing State, is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Cash receipts and value of production are equal for fresh watermelon, since they are not storable and the crop year falls entirely within the calendar year. Watermelons and other melons are grouped with vegetables by USDA.

# Figure 14 U.S. watermelon cash receipts



Source: Economic Research Service. Data for 1982-88 are adjusted using data for States continuing to report receipts.

the leader in U.S. watermelon production with watermelon typically accounting for 5 percent of all vegetable receipts. Texas, also known as an important vegetable-producing State, counts on watermelon for a tenth of its vegetable receipts.

Watermelon receipts (unadjusted for inflation) were trending upward at a \$5 million-a-year pace during the 1960-81 period (fig. 14). When USDA's Statistical Reporting Service (now the National Agricultural Statistics Service) cut the national watermelon estimates program in 1982, the decline in States reporting watermelon cash receipts caused a plunge in the national estimates. Thus, with fewer States now estimating receipts, the published national series is not continuous or comparable with the pre-1982 series. However, substituting the U.S. crop value series estimated using data for the nine reporting States (see appendix 2 for methodology) provides a smoother and more realistic approximation of the underlying actual cash receipts series for 1982-88.

Data from watermelon-producing States still estimating receipts (including top States such as Florida and South Carolina) suggest that industry gross receipts peaked in 1984 before falling back slightly the next 2 years. In 1987, the limited receipts data suggest the industry had a good year financially with receipts for reporting States rising 21 percent to \$120 million. This was the strongest year-over-year increase and the highest gross

Year	Potatoes	Tomatoes	Lettuce	Onions	Dry beans	Sweet corn	Broccoli	All peppers	Carrots	Celery	Cucum- bers
					1,	,000 dollars					
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1985 1986	666, 154 558, 758 618, 151 1,080,461 1,509,793 1,133,136 1,267,957 1,195,524 1,149,064 1,061,800 1,386,309 1,504,029 1,688,551 1,920,908 1,603,986 1,375,783 1,688,116 1,649,638	399,828 466,047 520,902 584,690 833,442 957,051 792,603 907,600 808,813 1,020,320 903,874 941,068 1,124,848 1,124,848 1,137,016 1,239,586 1,198,246 1,284,741 1,284,741 1,407,880	226,366 312,909 293,701 384,125 363,425 371,504 462,262 416,001 596,908 564,090 565,148 681,612 740,682 711,898 706,271 675,237 757,970 1,002,379 1,045,161	$\begin{array}{c} 113,986\\ 107,348\\ 161,162\\ 221,757\\ 168,437\\ 260,441\\ 183,952\\ 257,864\\ 269,551\\ 354,293\\ 322,762\\ 532,169\\ 384,232\\ 362,618\\ 522,572\\ 371,798\\ 414,544\\ 537,007\\ 476,549 \end{array}$	147,988 177,766 165,688 345,967 419,423 405,227 327,384 297,299 390,630 608,157 813,451 418,577 378,994 344,900 439,058 436,621 442,857 419,720	119,571 134,933 151,222 170,629 236,915 259,156 226,973 234,154 245,685 265,170 269,031 315,904 341,883 318,516 367,155 367,155 367,155 367,155 367,155 367,155 367,155 367,155	31,389 36,563 37,341 43,739 57,052 61,664 68,204 68,748 151,169 120,913 144,613 175,132 211,085 210,105 237,384 239,345 239,739 238,846 292,264	53,133 58,076 67,758 75,177 87,649 99,056 88,284 88,284 88,047 100,899 115,696 126,706 142,360 90,610 125,596 154,404 149,399 163,132 229,489 151,534	70,246 101,768 108,977 114,661 113,069 138,944 138,695 124,044 149,218 226,887 215,431 245,431 245,431 245,431 245,431 245,431 246,429 334,617 351,162 344,466	85,413 89,043 104,255 104,249 96,268 120,044 133,336 140,978 238,798 161,042 169,896 200,622 194,280 250,239 2257,2457 211,065	87,577 89,035 94,951 103,519 134,726 145,733 129,711 136,114 182,229 176,728 188,127 56,870 62,062 160,137 181,476 170,072 187,594 195,804
Year	Cauli- flower	Canta- loupe	Snap beans	Sweet potatoes	Asparagus	Water- melons 2,	Cabbage /	Green peas	Honey- dews	All others 1/	All vegetables
					1,	000 dollars					
1970 1971 1973 1973 1974 1976 1976 1977 1978 1980 1981 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1985 1985 1988	24,443 27,514 33,470 36,536 43,006 50,499 51,714 64,434 87,905 81,762 125,811 133,705 81,762 125,811 139,989 174,931 169,133 191,863 187,555 202,378	89,046 89,035 100,455 99,304 104,463 109,508 111,040 115,377 128,387 139,847 166,800 188,070 36,795 163,467 189,306 189,745 232,100 187,026 136,112	$\begin{array}{c} 100,316\\ 104,635\\ 112,447\\ 136,486\\ 178,770\\ 171,212\\ 145,231\\ 160,622\\ 180,586\\ 197,365\\ 193,038\\ 216,854\\ 158,407\\ 158,297\\ 165,943\\ 166,723\\ 150,410\\ 166,442\\ \end{array}$	50,371 55,589 66,309 77,417 87,136 89,910 87,943 97,179 116,575 107,630 114,234 139,393 118,902 161,525 166,354 142,656 141,638 135,435 157,029	56,816 69,548 68,088 76,157 62,286 72,122 81,396 124,573 96,612 88,246 99,797 52,191 85,034 116,462 137,476 136,969 135,704 146,435	64,452 77,061 67,907 83,335 96,345 101,678 84,421 91,440 100,816 109,541 152,800 159,097 88,482 93,919 100,034 89,794 99,002 120,325 112,227	83,504 80,268 78,272 124,801 87,422 109,194 95,800 178,196 181,840 181,227 150,157 122,888 92,831 172,665 120,767 105,736 104,132 70,147	$\begin{array}{c} 51,888\\ 55,924\\ 57,500\\ 59,604\\ 122,166\\ 124,606\\ 101,533\\ 94,859\\ 100,533\\ 94,859\\ 129,096\\ 101,533\\ 94,859\\ 129,059\\ 112,541\\ 927,265\\ 137,857\\ 93,781\\ 98,614\\ 73,204 \end{array}$	10,984 12,738 14,350 18,056 22,358 24,561 36,761 32,499 37,864 52,581 551,894 553,556 555,69 555,69 69,293 75,341	280,050 314,312 361,017 417,767 497,018 550,192 709,716 783,353 922,703 1,038,970 1,381,218 1,496,792 1,892,432 1,963,833 1,748,666 1,723,516 1,876,792 1,981,142 2,077,452	$\begin{array}{c} 2,813,521\\ 3,010,833\\ 3,285,796\\ 5,335,513\\ 5,346,116\\ 5,2309,314\\ 6,127,461\\ 6,480,016\\ 7,406\\ 7,406\\ 6,127,461\\ 6,480,561\\ 8,771,913\\ 8,058\\ 6,51\\ 9,137,714\\ 8,557,752\\ 8,855,521\\ 9,217,705\\ 9,819,393\end{array}$

1/ Includes eggplant, escarole, dry peas, casaba melons, crenshaw melons, spinach, squash, radishes, taro, beets, brussels sprouts, and other miscellaneous vegetables. 2/ This is the series as published by ERS. Original data for 1988 are adjusted to account for Texas, which dropped its watermelon estimates program following the 1987 season. The published value for 1988 U.S. watermelon receipts is \$72.780 million.

Source: Economic Research Service, USDA.

Table 22--Vegetables: U.S. cash receipts

Year

45

receipts for the reporting States since 1981. In 1988, the hot, dry weather caused production losses in some areas of the country. This fueled price increases in many areas, suggesting that 1988 may have been another overall financial success for the industry.

## Costs of Production

Like all commodities, the cost of production for watermelons varies among States, within States, and among farms. Dissimilarity in variables such as soils, climates, varieties, cultural techniques, and management abilities leads to the inevitable variations in farm enterprise cost structures. Although some States generate cost of production budgets, varying methodologies and data collection methods make absolute cost comparisons across States almost impossible. However, a few elemental generalizations based on several State budgets can be made. Cost differences among States are generally based on such items as:

- o Irrigated production versus dryland,
- o Use of plastic mulch versus no mulching,
- o Direct seeding versus transplanting, and
- o The level of post-harvest services performed on farm such as packing or hauling.

In States, where irrigation is used, like California, both capital and labor costs were higher. However, as is often the case in irrigated production, average yields tended to be higher compared with dryland yields with the added revenue more than making up for the added costs. The use of black plastic mulch is common in budgets for both traditional watermelon States such as Florida and minor producing States such as Kansas. Plastic mulch and hot caps are being used in conjunction with transplants by some producers in an attempt to establish an early market and take advantage of stronger early season prices. However, because of the additional costs associated with plastic, growers have more to lose if they do not receive higher early season prices. Another added cost which is expended to bring watermelon to market earlier involves the use of transplants. These can more than double seed costs with the advantage again primarily focused on coming to market a couple of weeks earlier than with direct seeded melons.

Harvest costs seem to run from 30 to 45 percent of total costs, depending on marketing techniques and services rendered onfarm. The average for important producing States like Texas and California seems to be about 40 percent. Florida, the major producer, averages just under 40 percent of total costs, with three in-State producing regions under 40 percent and two regions over 40 percent. The major considerations in harvest costs center on whether the farm 1) contracts all harvest activities, 2) harvests and sells directly to truckers, 3) harvests, hauls, and packs, or 4) harvests (utilizing a belt harvesting machine to sort and pack into bins) and markets through brokers. Many other permutations with various costs are possible regarding harvest activities. Whatever marketing technique is used, one constant is that the actual harvest activity tends to be the largest cost in raising watermelon.

The operating capital requirements for raising watermelons appear to be lower, on average, than for many other high-value produce items. In Florida, for example, on average only sweet corn and potatoes had lower per acre total costs than watermelons out of 11 commodities budgeted. In fact, when presented by an individual region of the State, the total costs of producing watermelons in the Alachua and Levy and North Central areas were the lowest of all commodities budgeted (<u>12</u>).

### Florida Cost of Production Budgets

Florida has the most complete cost of production data available for watermelons. Florida's cost of production budgets allow for a fairly complete understanding of the various cost of production items and how important each component is relative to total enterprise costs. The five Florida producing areas for which watermelon cost of production was estimated for the 1987/88 season show two distinct groupings. The first group, using transplants and selling through brokers, produces primarily for the early season market when prices are highest beginning in late April. Meanwhile, the second group, utilizing direct seeding and largely direct selling to truckers, produced for harvest beginning in late May and early June, still well before the early July U.S. price slump.

The early group, consisting of the southwest Florida (table 23) and Manatee and Ruskin areas, had total costs of \$6.25 and \$6.09 per cwt, respectively, in the 1987/88 season. This contrasts with the second group which had total costs of production between \$3.68 and \$4.03 per cwt. The first group incurred high costs due to the nature of their marketing goals (to capture the early market when prices are strongest). Thus, the use of transplants and plastic mulch to speed crop development was essential to these goals but added to the total cost. Since early markets are immature, selling through brokers, which added \$0.75 to \$1.00 per cwt to costs, likely helps to match the early supply with early demand and assure the best possible returns.

### Labor

Like many produce items, watermelon is a fairly labor-intensive commodity. According to Florida watermelon cost of production budgets, pre-harvest hired labor accounts for about 9 percent of total production costs. However, labor also accounts for a substantial portion of harvest and packing costs which account for 30 to 40 percent of total production costs (<u>12</u>). Table 23--Cost of producing watermelons, north and southwest Florida

Itom	N	orth Flor	ida	Sou	Southwest Florida		
1.600	1986/87	1987/88	1988/89	1986/87	1987/88	1988/89	
			Dolla	rs per acre			
Operating costs:							
Transplants	-	-	-	39.00	39.00	111.00	
Seed	15.63	6.69	65.06	-	-	-	
Fertilizer and lime	125.13	134.09	128.50	185.80	213.70	189.00	
Fungicide	51.25	75.57	85.98	82.73	72.40	74.83	
Insecticide	8.20	13.97	-	66.84	67.08	75.18	
Nematicide	4.95	4.23	6.96	-	-	-	
Labor	85.78	87.00	84.98	138.11	161.15	153.41	
Machinery	56.42	55.83	86.90	88.65	85.25	116.61	
Interest	18.08	53.75	67.21	28.26	34.58	39.73	
Miscellaneous 1/	100.95	170.51	190.90	221.10	221.10	276.00	
Total operating costs	466.39	601.64	716.49	850.49	894.26	1,035.76	
Fixed costs:							
Land rent	55.00	55.00	50.00	250.00	250.00	250.00	
Machinery	77.38	79.80	101.06	92.05	89.92	113.52	
Overhead	75.23	34.13	80.03	58.21	119.96	135.92	
Total fixed cost	207.61	168.93	231.09	400.26	459.88	499.44	
Total preharvest cost	674.00	770.57	947.58	1,250.75	1,354.14	1,535.20	
Harvest and marketing costs 2/	399.00	612.50	659.75	771.80	771.80	771.80	
Total costs:							
Per acre	1,073.00	1,383.07	1,607.33	2,022.55	2,125.94	2,307.00	
Per 100 pounds (cwt) 3/	3.07	3.95	4.95	5.95	6.25	6.79	

------

- = not applicable.

Includes such items as irrigation, bees, plastic mulch, ditch cleaning, frost protection, and others.
 Includes any harvesting, packing, hauling, and selling costs.
 Based on average yields of 350 cwt/acre in the North in 1986/87 and 1987/88 and 325 cwt in 1988/89. An average of 340 cwt/acre was used in the Southwest for all 3 years.

Source: (<u>12</u>).

There are many operations, both cultural and marketing, which require labor hours in the production of watermelon. Some of these include turning and training of vines, hoeing, irrigating (especially with mobile units), thinning, setting plastic mulch, operating machinery (plows, harrows, spreaders, and sprayers) harvesting, sorting, and packing.

Harvesting and handling the heavy, bulky fruit must be undertaken using hand labor since, aside from a belt harvester which is essentially a mobile sorting and packing machine, there is currently no practical fully mechanized harvesting equipment available. Harvesting requires considerable amounts of stoop labor which has become increasingly unattractive to local area labor forces which have alternative employment available. This requires many growers to bring in or contract for seasonal agricultural workers. Hiring migrant workers thrusts growers into the realm of strict regulatory requirements for seasonal labor. The Immigration Reform and Control Act (IRCA) of 1986 forces growers to shoulder a larger clerical and legal responsibility regarding those who labor on their operations. It seems likely that over time, growers who have not already done so, will turn increasingly to farm labor contractors and custom harvest services to secure labor needed for harvest.

An informal ERS survey of State watermelon associations found that a majority of responding areas rely on migrant labor. However, the associations also indicated that some of the minor producing areas have adequate local labor available to produce and harvest watermelon in their region. If labor costs rise due to reform or some other reason, it could imply that 1) some growers will refrain from raising labor-intensive commodities like watermelons, 2) technological changes in harvesting and handling melons will result, 3) production will move to regions with competitive advantages in watermelon production, or 4) the cost of production and the farm-level price of watermelon will eventually increase.

## Conclusions

After many years of steady decline, the U.S. watermelon industry appears ready for an economic revival. Based on trends indicated by the nine States still reporting watermelon data, acreage, yields, production, and per capita use have likely trended upward since 1980. Florida and Texas, the top two producing States, accounted for nearly half of U.S. production in 1981, the last year USDA collected national statistics. Although the trade picture still favors imports, most watermelons enter the country from Mexico during the off-season when U.S. production is low or nonexistent. Imports offer consumers a broader window of watermelon availability. Inflation-adjusted grower prices, which had been trending upward through 1980, flattened during the 1980's, likely due to minimal national marketing efforts and a poor quality image among consumers. National marketing efforts have been minimal in the past due partly to poor industry cohesiveness and sense of purpose. However, growers voted for a national watermelon research, advertising, and sales promotion program in February 1989. This program, which was the first of its kind for the industry, will attempt to bring the industry closer together and improve the image and sales of U.S. watermelons.

### References

(1) Crispo, Dorothy. <u>The Story of Our Fruits and Vegetables</u>. Devin-Adair Publishers, Inc. Greenwich, CT. 1968.

(2) Ferguson, Walter. <u>1979 Pesticide Use on Vegetables in Five</u> <u>Regions</u>, Staff Report No. AGES830920. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., January 1984.

(3) Food and Drug Administration. "Residues in Foods - 1988." Food and Drug Administration Pesticide Program. Washington, D.C. 1989.

(4) Hull, John. "Packaging Watermelons: Linchpin for Handling and Quality," <u>Citrus and Vegetable Magazine</u>. April 1984.

(5) Jacobson, Michael F. <u>The Complete Eater's Digest and</u> <u>Nutrition Scoreboard</u>. Anchor Press, New York. 1985.

(6) Kindya, W.G., E. Close, L.A. Risse, and R.C. Mongelli. "Transporting Watermelons in Bulk and Bins by Truck," Report No. OT-4, U.S. Dept. Agr., Office of Transportation, October 1982.

(7) Mongelli, Robert C., Joseph P. Anthony, William G. Kindya, and A. Perry. "Costs of Watermelon Handling from Grower to Retailer." Market Research Report No. 1141, U.S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Marketing Serv., June 1984.

(8) National Archives. "Proposed Watermelon Research and Promotion Plan," <u>Federal Register</u>, 7 CFR Part 1210, Notice of Hearing, February 4, 1987.

(9) Rupp, Rebecca. <u>Blue Corn and Square Tomatoes</u>, Storey Communications, Inc. Pownal, VT. 1987.

(10) Sackett, Clarice. "Fruit and Vegetable Facts and Pointers," United Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Association. May 1975.

(11) South Carolina State Legislature. "Marketing Order No. 7 for South Carolina Watermelons," April 1, 1982.

(12) Taylor, Tim G., and Scott A. Smith. "An Economic Overview of Watermelon Production and Marketing in Florida," EIR No. 243, University of Florida, Gainesville. March 1988.

(13) United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Quality Service. "United States Standards for Grades of Watermelons," January 15, 1978.

(14) Vance Publishing Corporation. <u>Pronet</u> monthly newsletter, "Seedless Watermelons," April 1988.

50

÷

(15) Vance Publishing Corporation. <u>The Packer</u>, February 13, 1988. p. 3B.

(16) Vance Publishing Corporation. "The National Watermelon Merchandiser," <u>The Packer</u>, May 7, 1988.

(17) Vance Publishing Corporation. "Fresh Trends 1988," Vance Research Services. 1988.

(18) Vance Publishing Corporation. "1987 Produce Availability and Merchandising Guide," <u>The Packer</u>. 1987.

(19) Yamaguchi, Mas. <u>World Vegetables</u>. Van Nostrand Reinhold, Co., Inc. New York. 1983.

(20) Zim-Mer Trade Publications, Inc. <u>The Produce News</u>, June 4, 1988.

### Further Reading

- Adams, Jane T. "Watermelon...from Land Acquisition to Market," <u>Florida Grower and Rancher</u>, August 1986.
- Brooke, D.L., E.G. Close, and W.B. Riggan. <u>Some Organizational</u> <u>and Functional Aspects of the Florida Watermelon Industry</u>, University of Florida, May 1963.
- Brown, J.D., and J.C. Elrod. <u>Competitive Position of the Georgia</u> <u>Watermelon Producing Industry</u>, University of Georgia, April 1968.
- Cox, Edwin D. "Marketing Florida Watermelons," <u>Citrus and</u> <u>Vegetable Magazine</u>, January 1977.
- Crall, J.M., and G.W. Elstrom. "Florida Icebox Cultivars as a Factor in Watermelon Production in Florida and Other Producing States," Proceedings of the 1986 Soil and Crop Science Society of Florida, 1986.
- Crandall, Philip G., and James W. Kesterson. "Components of Processed Watermelon Fruit," <u>Journal of American Society of</u> <u>Horticultural Sciences</u>, Vol. 106, No. 4, 1981. pp. 493-495.
- Dupree, W.E., J.G. Woodroof, and Sara Siewert. <u>Watermelon Rinds</u> <u>in Food Products</u>, University of Georgia, July 1953.
- Epperson, James E., and Her-Lih Tyan. "An Examination of Market Potential in Regional Fresh-Produce Markets: The Georgia Case," Research Bulletin 314, University of Georgia, August 1984.
- Epperson, James E., and Stanley M. Fletcher. "Tandem Forecasting of Price and Probability-The Case of Watermelon," <u>Canadian</u> <u>Journal of Agricultural Economics</u>, November 1985.
- Ford, K.E. <u>The Distribution of Watermelons on the Fresh Market</u> (<u>The Southeast and Georgia Compared to the United States</u>), Research Report 126, University of Georgia, May 1972.
- Ford, K.E., and J.C. Elrod. <u>The Supply, Price, Value and</u> <u>Disposition of Georgia Watermelons with Comparisons to Other</u> <u>States</u>, University of Georgia, April 1960.
- Hughes, George H. "Watermelons," North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, North Carolina State University, Leaflet No. 30, September 1982.
- Johnson, Hunter, Jr., Keith Mayberry, John Guerard, and Les Ede. "Watermelon Production," California Cooperative Extension Service Leaflet No. 2672. 1984.

- Rose, G. Norman. <u>Florida Vegetables, Melons, Irish Potatoes and</u> <u>Strawberries-A Historic Data Series</u>, University of Florida, May 1977.
- Seale, A.D., Jr., and M.B. Allen. <u>Reactive Programming of Supply</u> <u>and Demand for Watermelons Produced in Mississippi and</u> <u>Competing Areas</u>, Mississippi State University, June 1959.
- Stamps, Warren, and Albert J. Allen. "An Analysis of Truck Transportation of Watermelons to Major U.S. and Canadian Distribution Centers," Mississippi State University, Agricultural Economics Research Report No. 169, November 1986.
- Suits, D. "An Econometric Analysis of the Watermelon Market," Journal of Farm Economics, 1955.
- Van Sickle, John J., Richard Beilock, and Timothy Taylor. "A Production and Market Forecasting Model for Florida Watermelons by Region," <u>Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural</u> <u>Society</u>, Vol. 94, 1981.
- Wall, G. Bryan. "Production and Shipping of Florida Watermelons," <u>Proceedings Florida State Horticultural Society</u>, Vol. 91, 1978.
- Wall, G. Bryan, Daniel S. Tilley, and John J. Van Sickle. <u>Production, Planting, and Marketing Characteristics of the</u> <u>Florida Watermelon Industry</u>, Bulletin No. 825, University of Florida, Gainesville. November 1982.

Appendix table 1--World watermelon production, 1980-1987

Country	1090	1001	4000					
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
					Metric tons			
CHINA (PRC)	3,900,000	4,000,000	4,100,000	4,300,000	4,500,000	5,000,000	5,250,000	5,400,000
TURKEY	4,450,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,610,000	4,800,000	5,500,000	5,000,000	5,350,000
USSK FCYDT	3,788,000	3,917,000	4,051,000	4,292,000	4,003,000	3,800,000	3,807,000	4,751,000
UNITED STATES	1,030,375	1 182 781	1 184 000	1 186 000	1,185,000	1,318,000	1,324,000	1,385,000
IRAN	930,000	950,000	950,000	950,000	950,000	960,000	960,000	960,000
JAPAN	975,700	963,000	932,600	865,900	876,100	820,400	840,400	851,800
ITALY	712,100	705,610	694,720	798,090	796,600	782,980	740,430	714,490
SPAIN	527,400	556,600	552,800	572,400	558,325	568,572	539,600	607,000
IRAQ	473 300	491 100	578 000	583 000	571 300	618,500	572,155	591,477
SYRIA	906,083	968,934	868,586	678,200	285,500	684,100	631 000	517 500
THAILAND	512,500	512,500	512,500	525,000	525,000	500,000	500,000	503,700
YUGOSLAVIA	594,000	630,000	607,000	493,549	452,957	451,314	465,447	465,000
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	334 598	200,623	430,948	301,557	493,478	421,753	450,000	460,000
SAUDI ARABIA	332,063	193,352	456,512	446.742	332,620	366 104	403,097	419,000
BRAZIL	294,810	284,478	339,630	330,504	354,462	334,911	360,000	360,000
ALGERIA	172,450	179,222	193,222	206,941	242,976	252,000	350,000	360,000
BULGARIA TAIWAN	290,298	315,133	361,626	219,909	273,857	259,858	315,251	315,300
TUNISIA	195.000	210.000	200.000	240.000	225 000	204,521	323,206 250,000	278,000
YEMEN, ARAB REPUBL	0	111,908	117,279	125,098	133,054	142,274	166,014	174,733
CHILE	167,000	168,000	168,000	170,000	172,000	172,000	174,000	174,000
HUNGART	141,783	181,784	188,361	162,860	119,639	140,013	149,352	149,400
MOROCCO	134,000	136,000	137 000	138 000	125,120	140,000	142,000	145,000
VIET NAM	95,000	97,000	100,000	110,000	115,000	125,000	135,000	142,000
ARGENTINA	172,000	112,500	138,000	121,500	110,600	130,000	130,000	130,000
SUDAN	104,832	108,466	119,336	120,000	112,000	125,000	130,000	126,500
ISRAEL	75 100	87 000	250,456	75,652 78,200	55,650	93,490	113,480	115,000
AUSTRALIA	37,036	42,640	68,340	65,904	76,078	80,110	90,057	95 700
ECUADOR	31,678	33,554	14,708	14,094	26,669	35,266	33,921	92,369
KOREA (DPR)	55,000	60,000	62,000	65,000	70,000	75,000	82,000	87,000
PARAGUAY	72 000	39,744 73 000	39,744 73,000	02,370 73 500	78,826	83,720	87,547	86,100
UNITED ARAB EMIR	25,502	27,793	62,378	71,070	51,887	70,000	72,000	72,000
VENEZUELA	53,476	51,837	53,688	57,511	64,263	61,904	64,798	64,000
YEMEN (DEMOCR)	55,600	55,800	56,000	56,200	56,400	56,600	55,000	56,000
PERU	38,000	21,199 40,000	21,081 44 230	57,742 40,000	38,645 40,000	38,305	50,000	50,000
AFGHANISTAN	30,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	34,000	34,000	34,000	34 600
MALAYSIA	121,000	93,000	89,500	56,000	54,400	49,300	40,900	33,900
LEBANON	30,000	32,000	31,450	32,000	30,000	30,000	30,500	31,000
	10,200	20,520	21,330	18,600	25,800	32,000	30,000	30,000
COLOMBIA	7,420	7,450	7.500	7,600	7,700	19 500	20,000	20,000
URUGUAY	16,361	16,500	17,000	17,000	18,000	18,000	18,600	19,100
OMAN	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	9,750	10,000	10,500	10,500
FRANCE	2 500	15,450	17,780	5,093	6,100	6,300	6,600	6,600
MAURITANIA	4,500	4,500	4,600	4,200	5,100	5 100	7,000	6,000 5,300
PANAMA	1,470	1,662	1,878	2,156	2,564	3,672	4,721	4,800
PAKISTAN	1,000	1,500	3,000	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,600	4,800
DODTICAL	3,002	4,866	2,905	3,491	5,518	2,476	2,567	3,860
FRENCH POLYNESIA	1,140	1,450	1 553	1,360	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
QATAR	990	1,533	1,766	598	879	912	1.515	1,600
NEW ZEALAND	2,500	4,386	3,916	3,300	2,600	2,000	1,600	1,600
	950 720	1,000	1,100	1,170	1,200	1,250	1,300	1,400
BAHRAIN	420	200 00A	CCC 00A	740 600	735 700	1,084	910	1,100
SOLOMON ISLANDS	450	460	470	480	500	510	520	531
KUWAIT	184	288	300	285	930	529	476	300
GAZA SIRIP	3,800	1,950	925	1,470	500	300	200	200
INDONESIA	20 0	<u>۵</u>	4U 0	دد ۱	60 50	60	65	69
GUAM	405	952	847	1,684	1.263	00	0 UC	0C 0
		·		,	.,205	0	5	0
WORLD	24,997,584 2	25,425,408 2	25,995,552 2	25,761,760	25,829,760 2	27,755,008 2	27,668,240	29,138,512

Source: Food and Agriculture Organization, United Nations.

.

.

.

Appendix table 2Alabama	watermelon	acreage,	yield,
production, and value			

.

Appendix table 3--Arizona watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

	Acre	age	•••		Va	lue
Year	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Acı	`es	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1963 1964 1965 1966 1965 1966 1967 1968 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980	13,600 14,100 16,500 18,500 23,000 17,000 17,000 20,000 16,000 15,000 14,000 13,500 13,000 13,000 13,000 13,000 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,000 12,000 12,000	13,600 14,000 16,500 18,500 22,500 19,000 17,000 20,000 15,000 14,000 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,000 13,500 14,0	90 99 93 79 55 55 55 19 99 99 99 90 55 10 95 77 77 66 84 30 99 99 99 90 95 75 85 87 77 76 68 43 85 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	$\begin{array}{c} 1,224\\ 1,386\\ 1,584\\ 1,720\\ 1,755\\ 1,805\\ 1,445\\ 2,300\\ 1,520\\ 1,520\\ 1,520\\ 1,520\\ 1,282\\ 1,215\\ 1,302\\ 1,282\\ 1,215\\ 1,305\\ 1,148\\ 1,218\\ 1,148\\ 1,148\\ 1,148\\ 1,148\\ 1,148\\ 1,066\\ 1,037\\ 1,016\\ 1,016\\ 1,016\\ 1,016\\ 1,077\\ 747\\ 7475$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.10\\ 1.370\\ 1.40\\ 1.15\\ 1.205\\ 1.10\\ 1.10\\ 1.10\\ 1.30\\ 1.10\\ 1.30\\ 1.30\\ 1.40\\ 1.30\\ 1.40\\ 1.30\\ 1.40\\ 1.30\\ 3.55\\ 3.55\\ 3.55\\ 3.55\\ 4.42\\ 4.4$	1,346 1,871 2,408 2,018 2,166 1,517 2,530 1,425 1,530 1,667 1,943 1,667 1,943 1,667 1,943 1,667 1,943 2,530 1,667 1,943 2,5360 1,229 2,753 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,539 2,5300 2,530 2,53000 2,53000 2,53000 2,53000000000000000000000000000000000000
1981 1982	14,000	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1981.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

		Acreage		Develop		Value
Year	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	Ac 5,000 5,200 4,100 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,800 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,500 4,600 4,500 3,600 3,400 4,500 4,500 3,400 4,500 3,600 3,400 4,500 4,500 3,600 3,400 4,500 4,500 3,600 3,400 4,500 5,200 5	res 5,000 5,200 4,100 5,000 6,000 4,900 5,000 5,800 7,400 6,200 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,500 3,600 3,400 4,500 3,600 3,400 4,500 4,500 4,500 3,200 3,800	Cwt 1555 1355 160 1255 1655 1555 1555 1555 1555 1500 1400 1755 1555 1500 1655 1555 1500 1655 1555 15	1,000 cwt 775 806 554 800 900 612 825 1,015 666 992 713 713 698 726 660 630 630 630 630 630 630 63	\$/cwt 2.20 1.2.370 2.105 2.105 2.122 2.122 2.221 2.222 2.225 2.221 2.222 2.225 2.221 2.225 2.221 2.225 2.221 2.225 2.2555 2.255 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.555 2.55	\$ 1,000 1,705 1,451 1,300 1,350 1,377 1,739 1,9315 1,835 1,8319 1,8319 1,851 1,617 1,617 1,544 2,072 2,695 2,346 3,568 3,568 5,569 6,341 6,716
1987 1988	5,200 5,000	5,200 5,000	295 300	1,534 1,500	5.13 7.13	7,869 10,695

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the Arizona Agricultural Statistics Service.

# Appendix table 4--Arkansas watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Appendix table 5--California watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Voor	Acr	eage		Droduo	Va	alue
	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cw	it Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950	7,200	7,200	86	619	1.15	712
1951	9,400	9,400	88	827	1.25	1,034
1952	10,600	10,600	80	848	1.70	1,442
1955	12,000	12,000	02	984	1.10	1,082
1955	12,000	12,000	/0	027	1.10	910
1955	10,200	10,200	00	019	1.15	1,235
1957	7 700	7 500	75	562	1.10	800
1958	7,600	7 500	85	638	0.80	466
1959	7,000	7,000	90	630	1 15	724
1960	7,900	7.600	90	684	1.10	752
1961	6,400	6.300	80	504	1.20	605
1962	6,300	6,200	85	527	1.05	553
1963	5,800	5,800	110	638	1.20	766
1964	6,100	6,100	85	518	1.35	699
1965	6,400	6,400	85	544	1.25	680
1966	6,000	6,000	80	480	1.65	792
1967	5,700	5,700	85	484	2.15	1,041
1968	5,800	5,800	85	493	1.50	740
1969	6,400	6,200	80	496	2.04	1,012
1970	6,800	6,800	80	544	1.82	990
1971	7,000	6,500	- 77	501	2.01	1,007
1972	6,000	6,000	85	510	2.15	1,097
1975	5,000	4,800	90	432	1.58	683
1974	4,500	4,300	()	323	5.75	1,211
1975	4,700	4,500	60 45	284	4.20	1,030
1077	3 700	3,400	0) 71	200	3.80	0/7
1078	3,700	3,000	100	200	3.70	947 1 260
1979	3,000	3,300	05	314	3.30	1,200
1980	3,400	2,000	40	80	4 52	362
1981	3,500	3,200	100	320	4.44	1 421
1982	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1981.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

<b>V</b>	Acr	eage			Va	alue
rear	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1956 1960 1961 1963 1964 1965 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966 1967 1970 1977 1977 1977 1977 1978 1977 1978 1977 1978 1980 1981 1982 1985 1985 1985 1985	19,500 19,800 19,100 20,200 18,200 18,700 21,600 21,400 16,000 13,200 13,400 13,200 13,400 13,700 13,500 10,100 10,7000 10,7000 10,7000 10,7000 10,7000 10,70000000000	19,500 19,800 16,300 18,200 18,200 19,900 18,700 21,600 21,600 21,400 17,500 16,800 13,200 13,200 13,400 13,200 13,400 13,500 14,100 12,500 13,500 14,873	122 129 148 131 133 148 146 141 156 168 177 155 157 193 200 186 249 255 236 258 272 236 258 272 236 258 257 239 258 257 239 203	2,370 2,553 2,465 2,687 2,688 2,792 2,646 3,596 3,150 2,420 2,412 2,538 2,490 2,420 2,412 2,5528 2,519 2,519 2,550 2,519 2,550 2,550 2,550 2,550 2,550 2,550 2,550 2,550 2,550 2,646 2,550	$\begin{array}{c} 1.95\\ 2.12\\ 2.60\\ 1.76\\ 2.34\\ 1.76\\ 2.52\\ 2.83\\ 1.82\\ 2.52\\ 2.83\\ 2.92\\ 2.92\\ 2.92\\ 2.92\\ 2.96\\ 3.72\\ 2.96\\ 3.72\\ 2.96\\ 5.21\\ 3.85\\ 5.21\\ 3.85\\ 5.21\\ 3.85\\ 5.21\\ 3.85\\ 5.21\\ 3.85\\ 5.21\\ 3.85\\ 5.21\\ 3.85\\ 5.20\\ 0.5\\ 5.8\\ 8.85\\ 5.20\\ 0.5\\ 5.8\\ 5.20\\ 0.5\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.$	4,629 5,418 6,276 5,776 4,731 5,749 5,919 7,319 6,667 9,543 6,582 7,228 4,905 5,215 5,894 6,623 5,561 7,474 8,228 6,523 5,561 7,474 8,228 6,523 5,561 10,436 12,131 12,492 20,729 17,841 25,909 22,363 16,380 27,785 20,227
1985 1986 1987 1988	× * *	16,727 15,279 14,873 13,618	239 309 293 292	3,994 3,726 4,359 3,971	4.10 5.85 5.10 6.71	16,380 27,785 22,302 26,665

\* = Unavailable.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service (1960-81) and the California County Agricultural Commissioners (1982-88).

Appendix	table	6Delaware	watermelon	acreage,	yield,
producti	ion, ar	nd value			

Appendix table 7--Florida watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Voor	Acreage		V Decduce encode	alue
Tear	Planted Harvested	Yield	tion Per cwt	Total
			4 000	
	Acres	CWT	1,000 CWt \$/CWt	\$ 1,000
1950	1,400 1,400	106	148 1.25	185
1951	1,100 1,100	125	138 1.90	262
1952	1,200 1,200	158	166 1.50	249
1955	1 800 1 800	125	225 1.05	236
1955	1,500 1,500	130	195 0.85	166
1956	1,400 1,400	140	196 1.25	245
1957	1,300 1,300	135	176 1.50	264
1958	1,100 1,100	140	154 0.85	131
1959	1,100 1,100	160	176 2.25	396 205
1961	1 400 1 400	165	231 1.60	370
1962	1,500 1,500	155	232 1.40	325
1963	1,500 1,400	165	231 1.20	277
1964	1,500 1,500	155	232 1.20	278
1965	1,600 1,600	165	264 1.10	290
1900	1,500 1,500	165	165 1.75	230
1968	1,100 1,100	200	220 2.50	550
1969	1,900 1,900	170	323 1.97	636
1970	2,000 2,000	200	400 1.84	736
1971	2,200 2,200	175	385 1.49	574
1972	2,100 2,100	100	2/3 2.72	745 838
1974	1,500 1,400	180	252 4.52	1,139
1975	1,600 1,600	175	280 3.55	994
1976	1,900 1,900	160	304 3.48	1,058
1977	1,900 1,900	150	285 3.59	1,023
1978	1,900 1,900	165	314 3.88 266 5.10	1,218
1979	2,000 2,000	140	320 7.44	2,381
1981	2,100 2,100	165	347 3.84	1,332
1982	2,200 2,100	170	357 4.00	1,428
1983	980 920	239	220 4.00	880
1984	1,1/0 1,100	284	512 5.40 204 5.15	1,685
1985	1,200 1,070	300	357 5.70	2.035
1987	1,240 1,230	248	305 6.30	1,922
1988	1,240 1,230	300	372 6.15	2,288

	Acr	 eage			Va	   11e
Year				Produc-		
	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1956 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1961 1963 1963 1964	$\begin{array}{c} 70,000\\ 60,000\\ 75,000\\ 100,000\\ 105,000\\ 96,000\\ 105,000\\ 105,000\\ 100,000\\ 77,000\\ 67,000\\ 67,000\\ 64,000\\ 61,000\\ 59,000\\ 77,000\\ 61,000\\ 59,000\\ 77,000\\ 61,000\\ 59,000\\ 77,000\\ 61,000\\ 59,000\\ 70,000\\ 77,000\\ 70,000$	68,000 57,000 72,000 93,000 98,000 88,000 91,000 95,000 72,000 72,000 73,000 65,000 61,000 58,000 56,000	75 79 76 74 84 92 95 68 100 68 115 130 140 180	5,100 4,503 5,472 6,882 8,232 8,645 6,460 9,500 4,896 8,450 8,450 8,450 8,540 10,440 8,400	1.55 1.80 2.15 1.85 1.30 2.00 1.75 2.05 1.00 2.50 1.65 1.95 1.40 2.10	5,763 8,105 11,765 12,732 9,738 14,428 14,332 13,243 7,744 12,240 11,262 13,942 12,457 12,576 17,640
1965 1966 1967 1968 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1976 1977 1978 1977 1980 1981 1981 1981 1983 1984 1985 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989	63,000 62,000 60,000 51,000 52,200 61,200 52,200 61,200 50,000 50,000 47,000 65,000 59,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 55,000 54,000 53,550 54,900 57,500 58,000	60,000 59,000 57,000 53,500 47,500 50,100 56,100 56,100 48,700 44,500 43,600 55,000 51,000 51,000 51,000 51,000 52,500 43,000 42,500 43,000 42,500 49,000 49,000 54,000 55,000 50,0	155 170 145 135 145 150 160 150 185 160 150 185 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 16	9,300 10,030 8,265 7,560 6,955 6,888 7,515 6,732 7,792 6,675 8,066 9,900 8,925 8,000 6,450 7,863 8,085 10,020 8,964 8,749 7,238 9,213 8,500	2.05 1.90 2.10 2.49 2.55 2.72 2.42 3.07 4.36 2.97 3.35 5.00 5.92 6.20 5.953 6.79 5.30	19,065 19,057 17,356 15,876 17,318 17,564 20,441 16,291 21,894 35,168 25,839 26,507 26,800 32,250 46,549 52,714 54,648 58,212 62,124 53,336 54,506 69,774 62,556 45,050

\* 1989 planting intentions were 1,220 acres.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the Delaware Agricultural Statistics Service.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the Florida Agricultural Statistics Service.

# Appendix table 8--Georgia watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Appendix table 9--Hawaii watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

.

Planted Harvested Yield tion         Per cwt Total           Acres Cwt 1,000 cwt \$/cwt \$ 1,000           1950         55,000         55,000         77         4,235         0.89         3,769           1951         45,000         45,000         83         3,735         1.20         4,482           1952         45,000         45,000         77         3,465         1.80         6,237           1953         49,000         76         3,724         1.65         6,145           1954         60,000         60,000         74         4,440         0.86         3,578           1955         59,000         59,000         80         4,720         0.90         3,888           1956         48,000         49,000         70         3,430         1.30         4,459           1958         49,000         49,000         75         3,600         1.10         3,630           1957         49,000         34,000         85         2,890         0.90         2,601           1963         30,000         34,000         85         2,890         0.90         2,601           1964         34,000         35,000         90         2	Year	Acreage			Value	• •
AcresCwt $1,000 \text{ cwt}$ $\$/cwt$ $\$$ $1,000$ 1950 $55,000$ $55,000$ $77$ $4,235$ $0.89$ $3,769$ 1951 $45,000$ $45,000$ $83$ $3,735$ $1.20$ $4,482$ 1952 $45,000$ $45,000$ $77$ $3,465$ $1.80$ $6,237$ 1953 $49,000$ $49,000$ $76$ $3,724$ $1.65$ $6,145$ 1954 $60,000$ $60,000$ $74$ $4,440$ $0.86$ $3,578$ 1955 $59,000$ $59,000$ $80$ $4,720$ $0.90$ $3,888$ 1956 $48,000$ $49,000$ $75$ $3,600$ $1.10$ $3,630$ 1957 $49,000$ $49,000$ $75$ $2,625$ $1.15$ $3,019$ 1958 $49,000$ $49,000$ $85$ $4,165$ $0.55$ $1,796$ 1959 $37,000$ $35,000$ $75$ $2,625$ $1.15$ $3,019$ 1960 $34,000$ $34,000$ $85$ $2,890$ $0.90$ $2,601$ 1961 $30,000$ $30,000$ $90$ $2,970$ $1.45$ $4,306$ 1962 $33,000$ $33,000$ $90$ $2,970$ $1.45$ $4,306$ 1964 $34,000$ $33,500$ $80$ $2,601$ $1.90$ $5,092$ 1964 $34,000$ $37,500$ $80$ $3,000$ $1.51$ $4,530$ 1966 $35,000$ $35,000$ $90$ $2,555$ $1.60$ $5,688$ 1969 $40,000$ $37,500$ $80$ $3,000$		Planted Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt Total	
1950 $55,000$ $55,000$ $77$ $4,235$ $0.89$ $3,769$ $1951$ $45,000$ $45,000$ $83$ $3,735$ $1.20$ $4,482$ $1952$ $45,000$ $45,000$ $77$ $3,465$ $1.80$ $6,237$ $1953$ $49,000$ $49,000$ $76$ $3,724$ $1.65$ $6,145$ $1954$ $60,000$ $60,000$ $74$ $4,440$ $0.86$ $3,578$ $1955$ $59,000$ $59,000$ $80$ $4,720$ $0.90$ $3,888$ $1956$ $48,000$ $48,000$ $75$ $3,600$ $1.10$ $3,630$ $1957$ $49,000$ $49,000$ $70$ $3,430$ $1.30$ $4,459$ $1958$ $49,000$ $49,000$ $75$ $2,625$ $1.15$ $3,019$ $1959$ $37,000$ $35,000$ $75$ $2,625$ $1.15$ $3,019$ $1960$ $34,000$ $34,000$ $85$ $2,890$ $0.90$ $2,601$ $1961$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $90$ $2,700$ $1.40$ $3,780$ $1962$ $33,000$ $32,000$ $90$ $2,970$ $1.45$ $4,306$ $1964$ $34,000$ $33,000$ $90$ $2,770$ $1.45$ $4,306$ $1965$ $35,000$ $34,000$ $80$ $2,680$ $1.90$ $5,092$ $1964$ $34,000$ $39,500$ $90$ $3,555$ $1.60$ $5,688$ $1969$ $40,000$ $37,500$ $80$ $3,000$ $1.51$ $4,530$ $1977$ $36,000$		Acres	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt \$ 1,000	• •
1982 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/	1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1967 1971 1972 1973 1974 1977 1978 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77 83 77 76 74 80 75 70 85 75 85 90 90 90 80 80 80 80 85 80 70 85 80 70 85 87 79 85 87 79 85 87 79 85 910 1/	4,235 3,735 3,746 4,440 4,720 3,430 4,165 2,890 2,780 2,880 2,970 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,720 2,408 2,724 2,213 2,200 2,251 4,20 2,210 2,200 2	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.89 & 3,769 \\ 1.20 & 4,482 \\ 1.80 & 6,237 \\ 1.65 & 6,145 \\ 0.86 & 3,578 \\ 0.90 & 3,888 \\ 1.10 & 3,630 \\ 1.30 & 4,459 \\ 0.55 & 1,796 \\ 1.15 & 3,019 \\ 0.90 & 2,601 \\ 1.40 & 3,780 \\ 0.85 & 1,972 \\ 1.15 & 2,956 \\ 1.45 & 4,306 \\ 1.40 & 3,808 \\ 1.90 & 5,092 \\ 1.65 & 4,306 \\ 1.40 & 3,808 \\ 1.90 & 5,092 \\ 1.95 & 6,669 \\ 1.60 & 5,688 \\ 1.51 & 4,530 \\ 1.90 & 5,330 \\ 1.87 & 4,937 \\ 1.60 & 3,696 \\ 2.54 & 6,110 \\ 2.89 & 7,879 \\ 2.85 & 7,269 \\ 2.66 & 6,417 \\ 2.61 & 5,938 \\ 2.84 & 7,667 \\ 2.86 & 7,710 \\ 6.04 & 15,640 \\ 3.68 & 10,686 \\ 1/ & 1/ \end{array}$	

1/ Series discontinued after 1981.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

Voon	Acreage			Duradura	Value	
	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	A	cres	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950	-	-	-	-	-	-
1951	-	-	-	-	-	-
1952	-	-	-	-	-	- '
1953	-	-	-	-	-	~ -
1954	-	-	-	-	-	-
1956	-	-	-	-	-	
1957	-	-	-	-	-	-
1958	-	-	-	-	-	-
1959	290	290	107	31	7.90	246
1960	340	340	79	27	9.30	250
1961	280	280	89	25	9.90	247
1962	280	280	107	30	8.40	252
1963	320	260	92	24	7.30	175
1904	180	150	107	16	8.60	138
1966	230 450	300	07	20	8.00	160
1967	240	160	81	13	12 70	290
1968	220	180	100	18	11.70	210
1969	*	170	122	21	10.67	224
1970	*	190	149	28	10.00	280
1971	*	200	130	26	11.15	290
1972	*	175	126	22	11.68	257
1973	*	240	177	42	10.19	428
1974	÷	175	93	16	18.81	301
1976	*	202	119	24	17.88	429
1977	*	210	00	21	10.07	203
1978	*	190	98	19	18.89	359
1979	*	125	90	11	24.36	268
1980	*	130	104	14	22.36	313
1981	*	150	107	16	25.88	414
1982	*	165	133	22	24.91	548
1985	*	310	125	39	19.69	768
1984	*	400	152	61	18.48	1,127
1986	*	400	105	88 1/3	17.00	1,496
1987	*	740	186	145	12.00	1,802
1988	*	790	239	189	11.70	2,211

\* = Not available. - = Not applicable.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the Hawaii Agricultural Statistics Service.

.

# Appendix table 10--Indiana watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Appendix table 11--Illinois watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Year	Acr	eage		Broduce	Va	lue
	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968	2,800 1,900 2,000 2,400 2,200 2,200 2,100 1,800 1,900 1,800 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700	2,800 1,900 2,000 2,200 2,200 2,200 2,100 1,800 1,900 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,700	80 75 88 75 90 85 90 85 75 100 100 100 100 105 100 110 105 100	224 142 158 150 216 187 198 178 135 190 180 170 144 187 168 187 168 187 178	0.86 1.25 1.65 1.20 1.15 1.20 0.95 1.45 1.95 1.45 1.95 1.45 1.95 1.95 1.95 1.95 2.40 2.55	193 178 261 180 248 224 188 240 196 370 261 255 288 309 328 315 365 427 434
1969	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1968.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

1/ Series discontinued after 1981.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

.

Appendix	table	12Iowa	watermelon	acreage,	yield,
producti	ion, ar	nd value			

Appendix table 13--Louisiana watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Year	Acreage	2		Produc-	Va	lue
	Planted Ha	rvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Acres	3	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950	1,200	1,200	75	90	1.50	135
1951	. 900	900	75	68	2.00	136
1952	1,000	1,000	94	94	2.00	188
1953	1,000	1,000	88	88	1.40	123
1954	1,000	1.000	94	94	1.50	141
1955	950	950	90	86	1.55	133
1956	900	900	80	72	1.10	79
1957	900	900	85	76	1.80	137
1958	950	950	85	81	1.40	113
1959	1,000	1,000	100	100	2.05	205
1960	1,000	1,000	80	80	1.80	144
1961	1,000	1,000	90	90	1.65	148
1962	1,000	1,000	90	90	1.75	158
1963	1,000	1,000	85	85	1.70	144
1964	1,000	1,000	85	85	2.20	187
1965	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1964.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

Year         Planted Harvested         Yield         Yield         Year         Total           Acres Cwt 1,000 cwt         \$/cwt         \$ 1,000           1950         4,800         4,800         75         360         1.60         576           1951         4,700         4,700         78         367         1.60         587           1952         4,800         4,800         75         360         1.40         504           1953         5,200         4,500         75         338         1.60         541           1954         5,100         4,000         60         240         1.40         336           1955         4,700         3,400         85         289         1.40         405           1957         3,500         3,400         80         272         1.50         408           1958         3,200         3,100         80         248         1.30         322           1959         2,700         2,600         80         208         1.20         250           1960         2,600         2,500         90         225         1.25         281           1961 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Ac</th><th>reage</th><th></th><th></th><th>Va</th><th>lue</th></t<>		Ac	reage			Va	lue
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	tear	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		A	cres	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1973         3,200         3,000         90         270         2.35         635           1974         2,800         2,600         85         221         3.84         849           1975         2,900         2,600         82         213         4.73         1,007	1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975	4,800 4,700 5,200 5,100 4,700 3,400 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 3,300 3,300 3,300 3,300 3,300 3,500 3,700 4,100 3,800 3,200 2,800 2,900	4,800 4,700 4,800 4,000 4,100 3,400 3,400 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 3,100 3,600 3,100 3,600 3,100 3,600 3,300 3,300 3,300 3,300 2,600 2,600	758 775 80 80 90 90 80 90 80 90 80 90 80 90 80 90 80 90 80 90 80 90 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	360 367 360 338 240 348 289 272 248 208 225 200 225 238 248 297 238 248 297 238 288 218 254 270 221 213	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60\\ 1.60\\ 1.40\\ 1.40\\ 1.40\\ 1.50\\ 1.30\\ 1.25\\ 1.75\\ 1.00\\ 1.30\\ 1.25\\ 1.75\\ 1.00\\ 1.80\\ 1.89\\ 1.50\\ 2.32\\ 2.35\\ 3.84\\ 4.73\end{array}$	576 587 504 541 336 405 408 322 250 281 350 225 360 302 378 397 535 450 432 506 572 535 450 432 506 572 535 439 1,007

.

1/ Series discontinued after 1975.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

\*

Appendix table 14Maryland w production, and value	watermelon	acreage,	yield,

Appendix table 15Mississippi production, and value	watermelon	acreage,	yield,
********************			

Year	Ac	reage			v	alue
	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	A	cres	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1966 1967 1968 1967 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	3,400 2,800 3,200 3,500 2,900 3,200 3,200 3,200 3,800 4,100 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 3,800 3,800 3,800 3,800 3,800 3,800 2,600 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,600 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,600 2,900 3,400 3,400 2,500 2,600 2,900 3,400 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,600 2,500 2,600 2,900 3,400 3,500 2,500 2,500 2,600 2,500 2,600 2,500 3,500 3	3,400 2,800 3,200 3,500 3,500 3,200 3,200 3,200 3,200 3,200 3,800 3,800 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 4,600 3,300 3,600 3,700 3,900 4,500 4,900 4,730	81 100 112 125 130 140 135 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 170 170 175 165 170 175 165 165 170 175 165 165 170 175 165 165 170 175 165 165 170 175 165 170 175 165 170 175 165	275 280 358 462 438 455 406 432 448 608 589 676 713 759 645 540 660 612 756 645 640 612 756 645 540 660 612 756 648 442 570 396 438 442 413 396 378 450 479 544 650 780 936 990 1,176 927	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20\\ 1.90\\ 1.50\\ 1.35\\ 1.10\\ 1.25\\ 1.55\\ 2.10\\ 1.20\\$	330 532 537 624 482 455 508 670 381 1,277 618 1,014 998 890 856 835 1,129 702 1,650 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,206 1,555 1,538 1,538 1,536 1,962 3,348 1,839 2,176 2,600 4,212 4,820 5,643 7,409 6,535

Year	Acr	eage			Vá	alue
	Planted	Harvested	Yield	Produc- tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982	7,400 8,000 9,600 11,300 13,500 12,000 14,000 8,500 9,500 8,000 7,000 6,900 6,900 6,900 7,700 7,000 8,500 9,000 10,500 11,000 11,500 10,500 11,000 11,500 10,400 14,000 14,000 13,300 11,500 15,000	7,400 8,000 9,600 11,300 13,000 13,000 13,500 13,500 3,500 7,500 7,500 6,700 6,500 7,500 6,800 8,300 8,500 10,000 10,500 9,000 9,500 11,400 12,000	77 72 64 60 61 86 65 75 65 65 75 85 75 85 75 85 75 80 75 80 75 80 75 80 75 60 57 80 55 60 57 80 57 80 75 80 70 80 80 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 70 80 80 70 80 80 80 70 80 80 80 70 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	570 576 614 678 793 1,419 845 862 878 520 495 450 525 502 552 562 408 664 663 665 600 630 495 564 665 600 630 495 564 542 912 804 1,140 1,035 735 1,020 1/	0.94 0.98 1.30 1.40 1.05 0.73 1.15 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.23 1.44 1.49 2.30 2.12 3.21 3.92 4.14 3.30 1/	536 564 798 949 833 691 777 1,164 640 650 619 585 656 602 745 702 612 1,195 928 1,013 958 1,013 958 1,013 958 1,013 958 1,122 1,197 1,139 1,551 1,420 2,280 1,704 3,659 4,057 3,043 3,366 1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1981.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

\* 1989 planting intentions were 4,400 acres.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the Maryland Agricultural Statistics Service.

Appendix tab	le 16Missouri	watermelon	acreage,	yield,
production,	and value			

Appendix table 17New	Jersey	watermelon	acreage,	yield
production and value				

producer						
	Acı	reage		Droduce	Va	lue
Year	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1967 1970 1971 1973 1974 1975 1977 1978 1977 1978	4,200 3,400 3,200 3,800 5,000 6,000 5,800 9,000 9,000 9,200 9	4,200 3,400 3,200 3,200 5,000 6,000 5,800 8,200 7,800 9,000 9,500 9,500 9,500 9,500 9,200 9,100 9,500 9,200 9,100 9,100 7,100 7,100 7,100 7,100 8,000 8,000 6,000 4,200 4,200 4,200 4,200 4,200 4,200	75 40 60 45 80 90 100 100 110 100 110 105 80 105 95 100 105 115 95 100 105 115 115 125 110 140 120 110	315 136 192 171 400 540 660 522 820 858 990 990 1,045 966 728 1,035 680 568 710 746 738 770 800 600 403 495 348 525 484 574 288 481	0.60 1.00 2.75 1.50 2.45 2.45 2.40 1.00 0.90 1.00 0.75 1.10 1.25 2.33 2.33 4.47 3.200 3.250 3.40 3.250 3.40 3.40 3.250 3.40 3.40 3.250 3.40 3.40 3.250 3.40 3.40 3.250 3.40	189 136 528 256 328 1,161 1,617 1,253 902 772 990 891 784 1,063 801 1,294 2,006 1,761 1,704 1,540 1,540 1,540 1,864 1,575 1,452 1,866 979 1,635 1,452
1702						

1/ Series discontinued after 1981.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

Acreage				Value		
Year	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Tota
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953	500 400 400 1/	500 400 400 1/	88 112 150 1/	44 45 60 1/	0.86 0.80 0.79 1/	38 36 47 1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1952.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.
	Acr	eage		Value		
Year	Planted	Harvested	Yield	Produc- tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1965 1966 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987	10,900 9,200 10,600 11,800 12,000 11,200 11,200 11,200 12,000 11,200 12,000 12,000 12,000 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 6,500 7,500 7,400 6,500 7,500 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,700 8,200	10,900 9,200 10,600 11,800 12,000 11,200 12,000 11,200 12,000 11,200 12,000 11,800 12,000 2,500 6,500 6,500 6,500 7,600 7,500 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 8,000 7,500 7,600 7,600 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 7,600 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 8,000 7,500 7	42 50 55 55 55 66 60 81 66 63 57 65 52 28 77 00 65 55 76 56 56 80 13 07 *	458 460 572 602 780 662 897 720 826 504 580 528 504 580 528 498 351 455 390 535 540 476 570 4980 448 385 422 414 605 488 345 551 476	1.15 1.50 1.70 1.50 1.00 1.35 0.65 1.25 1.31 1.80 1.65 1.31 1.80 1.65 1.31 1.80 1.65 1.70 2.20 3.85 3.34 7.400 4.35 ***	527 529 828 972 903 630 907 660 812 507 630 667 598 637 573 632 751 722 837 1,914 1,656 1,288 1,456 1,338 1,656 1,856 1,856 1,856 1,856 1,856

Appendix table 18--North Carolina watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Appendix table 19--Oklahoma watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Veen	Ac	reage		Deadua	Va	lue
rear	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950	24,500	13,500	50	675	0.94	634
1951	19,500	16,200	80	1,296	1.25	1,620
1952	21,000	16,500	60	990	1.10	1,089
1953	21,500	17,500	65	1,138	0.82	933
1954	18,000	12,500	45	562	1.15	646
1955	18,000	13,000	70	910	0.89	810
1956	17,000	11,500	6U 75	690	1.30	897
1957	13,500	11,000	()	020	1.00	020
1950	10,500	8 500	80	680	1 00	680
1959	10,000	8,500	75	638	1 00	513
1961	9,500	7,500	70	525	1.25	656
1962	8,600	7,400	70	518	1.30	673
1963	8,700	7,900	70	553	1.45	802
1964	10,500	9,000	60	540	1.30	702
1965	10,600	9,500	70	665	1.10	732
1966	10,000	8,600	70	602	1.67	1,005
1967	10,500	9,000	75	675	1.72	1,161
1968	10,500	8,700	70	609	1.30	792
1969	9,500	8,000	90	720	2.11	1,519
1970	10,500	9,000	80	720	1.93	1,390
1971	10,000	8,500	70	595	1.97	1,172
1972	11,000	9,000	90	745	1.94	1 377
1975	7 000	6,500	185	544	3 71	2 018
1975	0,000	7 500	65	488	2.30	1 122
1976	9,000	8,200	100	820	2.64	2,165
1977	8,000	7,000	110	770	2.60	2,002
1978	8,000	7,000	65	455	4.68	2,129
1979	8,000	7,500	60	450	3.80	1,710
1980	8,000	7,000	30	210	6.53	1,371
1981	8,000	7,000	120	840	5.12	4,301
1982	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
1983	*	*	*	*	× · · · ·	7 / 00
1984	8,500	7,500	110	825	4.12	3,400
1985	9,000	8,000 7,500	1/0	1,040	3.40 / 05	2,000
1087	a,500 *	*	*	*	*.05	*,250
1707	÷	-	·	. <u>1</u>	4	

\* = Unavailable.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the North Carolina Agricultural Statistics Service.

\* = Unavailable.

1/ USDA series discontinued after 1981.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service (1960-81) and the Oklahoma Watermelon Association (1984-86).

# Appendix table 20--Oregon watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

### Appendix table 21--South Carolina watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Voon	Acreage	Produc-	V	alue	
rear	Planted Harvestee	d Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Acres	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 600 & 600 \\ 800 & 800 \\ 800 & 800 \\ 1,000 & 900 \\ 1,100 & 1,000 \\ 1,000 & 900 \\ 1,100 & 1,000 \\ 1,100 & 1,000 \\ 1,200 & 1,100 \\ 1,600 & 1,500 \\ 1,500 & 1,300 \\ 1,500 & 1,400 \\ 1,200 & 1,100 \\ 1,000 & 900 \end{array}$	128 115 105 160 115 180 160 150 180 130 165 190 175 200	77 92 84 144 115 162 160 150 198 195 214 266 192 180	1.55 1.60 1.90 1.95 1.35 1.90 1.65 1.80 1.25 1.55 1.70 1.90 1.85	119 147 160 281 155 308 264 270 248 302 364 505 365 365 333
1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969	1,000         900           950         850           1,000         900           850         800           1,300         1,200           1,200         1,100           1/         1/	135 180 180 170 105 1/	115 162 144 204 115 1/	2.10 2.25 2.40 2.35 2.60 1/	242 364 346 479 299 1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1968.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

	Acr	eage		Dredue-	Va	lue
fear	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1985	48,000 36,000 36,000 38,000 42,000 40,000 40,000 28,000 29,000 26,000 26,000 24,000 25,000 24,000 25,500 24,000 25,500 24,000 25,500 24,000 25,500 24,000 25,500 24,000 25,500 24,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 23,000 21,800 21,000 21,800 21,000 20,000 11,000	48,000 36,000 36,000 38,000 42,000 47,000 40,000 39,000 27,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 23,500 24,000 23,500 24,500 24,500 23,500 23,500 23,000 23,500 23,000 23,500 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 21,300 18,500 11,500 11,500 11,500 11,500 11,500 12,739 11,742 10,500 9,200 12,000	45 60 58 62 55 55 47 05 57 77 80 55 67 92 58 81 97 68 792 75 88 793 68 89 994 55 81 910 1106 85 11111111111111111111111111111111111	2,160 2,160 2,088 2,356 2,184 3,525 2,200 1,833 2,525 2,156 1,920 1,875 1,560 1,968 1,763 2,300 1,875 1,560 1,968 1,763 2,300 1,838 1,619 1,517 1,922 1,549 1,517 1,922 1,549 1,517 1,922 1,549 1,517 1,922 1,528 1,540 1,5417	0.94 1.20 1.60 1.60 1.20 0.87 1.10 1.30 0.75 1.40 1.50 1.00 1.50 1.60 1.50 1.60 1.50 1.60 1.50 1.60 1.50 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.55 3.29 3.29 3.29 5.74 3.96	1,598 2,460 3,341 3,770 2,420 2,383 1,612 2,383 1,612 2,383 1,612 2,264 2,420 2,383 1,612 2,264 2,490 2,415 2,440 2,415 2,440 2,415 2,440 3,519 2,665 2,972 3,519 2,665 2,972 3,519 2,665 2,972 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,128 3,126 2,977 3,126
1988	13,700	12,200	115	1,402	5.02	7,038

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the South Carolina Agricultural Statistics Service.

#### Appendix table 22--Texas watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

Appendix table 23--Virginia watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

------

1969

Acreage

·····	Ac			Va	lue	
fear	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Ac	res	Cwt 1	,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1956 1957 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1966 1967 1966 1967 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	98,000 106,000 110,000 136,000 146,000 146,000 126,000 126,000 108,000 105,000 101,000 94,000 94,000 94,000 79,000 82,000 76,000 82,000 76,000 80,000 75,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 62,000 60,000 62,000 62,000 62,000 60,000 62,000 60,000 62,000 60,000 62,000 60,000 62,000 62,000 62,000 62,000 62,000 62,000 62,000 62,000 60,000	98,000 106,000 97,000 124,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 82,000 83,000 83,000 85,000 85,000 76,000 76,000 75,000 74,000 75,000 74,000 75,000 75,000 74,000 75,000 75,000 74,000 75,000 75,000 60,000 75,000 51,0000 51,0000 51,0000 51,0000 51,0000 51,0000 51,000000 51,000000	45 50 51 45 46 44 45 60 55 55 60 36 55 60 65 55 60 65 55 60 65 70 87 33 46 90 119 00 55 101 105 101 105 101 100 105 101 100 105 101 100 105 101 100 105 101 100 105 100 100	4,410 5,300 4,947 5,208 5,220 4,968 4,400 4,500 5,580 4,920 4,565 4,800 4,565 4,875 4,800 4,788 4,875 4,810 4,320 4,320 4,320 4,869 6,000 5,110 5,146 4,800 5,220 5,110 5,146 4,500 5,222 4,430 4,500 5,220 4,869 6,000 5,110 5,146 4,500 5,222 4,430 4,500 5,220 4,869 6,000 5,120 4,500 5,220 4,869 6,000 5,220 4,430 4,500 5,220 4,869 6,000 5,110 5,146 4,500 5,110 5,146 4,500 5,110 5,146 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,110 5,146 4,500 5,110 5,146 4,500 5,122 4,600 5,120 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,146 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 4,500 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 4,500 5,120 5,146 5,220 5,146 5,220 5,146 5,220 5,120 5,720 5,	0.96 0.88 1.05 0.78 0.61 1.20 1.30 1.50 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.979 3.884 4.37 4.370 1.979 3.884 4.370 1.979 3.884 4.370 1.45 1.979 3.884 4.370 1.503 1.945 1.979 3.884 4.370 1.503 1.945 1.979 3.885 4.370 1.940 1.979 1.979 1.988 1.979 1.979 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.976 1.979 1.979 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.979 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.976 1.977 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.977 1.984 1.977 1.977 1.984 1.977	4,234 4,664 5,194 4,072 3,030 5,280 4,500 4,500 4,500 6,224 6,224 6,224 5,532 5,832 8,610 11,820 11,820 11,820 11,820 11,820 11,820 11,820 11,820 11,820 11,8564 9,100 11,820 123,735 23,769 23,794 23,709 23,709 24,754 20,974 23,709 24,754 20,974 20,974 20,754 20
1988	1/	1/	1/	6,000	1/	1/

Year				Produc				
	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total		
	Acres		Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000		
1950	1,300	1,300	86	112	0.94	105		
1951	1,300	1,300	82	107	1.35	144		
1952	1,700	1,700	94	160	1.50	240		
1953	2,600	2,600	101	263	1.15	302		
1954	3,200	3,200	108	346	1.35	467		
1955	4,200	4,200	73	307	0.80	246		
1956	3,400	3,400	85	289	0.90	260		
1957	3,100	3,100	110	341	1.25	426		
1958	4,800	4,800	130	624	0.75	468		
1959	4,800	4,800	150	720	1.40	1,008		
1960	7,700	7,200	140	1,008	0.70	605		
1961	6,300	6,300	80	504	0.65	328		
1962	5,300	5,300	110	583	0.95	554		
1963	4,100	4,100	120	492	1.00	492		
1964	4,700	4,700	115	540	0.90	486		
1965	4,500	4,000	105	420	1.00	420		
1966	3,600	3,600	110	396	1.50	594		
1967	3,600	3,200	105	336	1.10	370		
1968	3,100	3,000	105	315	1.50	472		

1/

-----

1/

1/

Value

1/ . . . . . . .

1/ Series discontinued after 1968.

1/

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1987. 1988 production estimated by ERS using USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service shipments.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service and the Texas Agricultural Statistics Service.

## Appendix table 24--Washington watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

,

Appendix table 25--United States watermelon acreage, yield, production, and value

 V	Acreage	Broduc-	Va	lue	
fear	Planted Harveste	d Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total
	Acres	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 600 & 600 \\ 600 & 600 \\ 700 & 700 \\ 750 & 450 \\ 550 & 550 \\ 450 & 450 \\ 500 & 500 \\ 800 & 800 \\ 1,200 & 1,200 \\ 1,200 & 1,200 \\ 950 & 950 \\ 1,000 & 1,000 \\ 900 & 900 \\ \end{array}$	110 120 100 115 90 120 125 135 115 140 170 135	66 72 70 45 63 40 60 100 162 138 133 170 122	1.40 1.30 2.00 1.10 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.40 1.35 1.25 0.90 1.30 1.30	92 94 140 50 66 60 90 140 219 172 120 221 159
1963 1964 1965 1966 1967	850         850           800         800           900         850           700         650           800         800	185 145 180 165 190	157 116 153 107 152	1.40 2.55 2.90 2.85 2.90	220 296 444 305 441
1968 1969	1,000 900 1/ 1/	120 1/	108 1/	2.85 1/	308 1/

1/ Series discontinued after 1968.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

	Acreage				Value		
Year	Planted	Harvested	Yield	tion	Per cwt	Total	
	Acr	es	Cwt	1,000 cwt	\$/cwt	\$ 1,000	
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982e 1983e	Acr 385,400 355,900 378,100 449,850 449,850 488,650 481,100 443,400 433,500 443,400 433,500 360,990 360,490 300,380 318,780 306,070 291,630 289,700 287,540 299,420 289,700 287,540 299,420 289,700 287,540 299,420 289,700 287,540 289,700 287,540 299,420 287,540 289,700 287,540 299,420 287,540 289,700 287,540 299,420 287,540 299,420 287,540 289,700 287,540 289,700 287,540 299,420 280,700 287,540 299,420 280,700 287,540 299,420 280,700 287,540 299,420 280,700 287,540 299,420 280,700 287,540 287,540 289,700 287,540 299,420 280,700 287,540 287,540 299,420 280,700 287,540 287,540 289,700 287,540 287,540 289,700 287,540 287,540 289,700 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,540 287,500 287,500 287,500 287,500 27	es 372,400 349,200 357,600 424,850 443,950 433,400 397,300 393,100 398,550 327,990 322,290 307,580 298,280 286,310 286,310 286,320 275,640 273,260 283,880 272,400 267,600 252,400 267,600 252,400 267,600 212,700 212,700 212,700 212,700 212,700 212,400 205,300 184,500 205,300 184,500 207,115 191,971	Cwt 67 74 73 69 77 70 86 82 97 109 98 103 102 97 109 98 103 102 97 103 107 95 103 107 94 109 110 116 113 129 112 132	1,000 cwt 24,995 25,769 25,967 29,289 31,567 34,156 30,495 27,487 34,195 26,995 31,112 29,083 28,961 31,102 27,575 29,603 28,436 27,790 27,616 25,950 26,170 25,280 26,170 25,280 26,170 25,280 26,170 25,280 26,170 25,280 26,170 27,615 26,955 26,170 27,616 25,280 26,170 23,466 26,170 25,270 26,170 25,270 26,170 25,270 26,170 25,270 26,170	\$/cwt 1.22 1.38 1.73 1.55 1.15 1.29 1.44 1.69 1.07 1.74 1.29 1.44 1.60 1.87 2.50 2.95 3.83 4.00 2.59 3.84 4.55 6.59 6.63 6.47	\$ 1,000 28,309 35,350 44,937 45,517 35,087 41,384 42,632 46,418 32,261 42,638 38,590 41,885 46,798 53,175 58,279 51,838 53,268 60,404 67,892 63,173 77,186 89,942 97,479 86,651 92,363 100,816 109,541 149,757 159,269 153,920 163,951	
1984e 1985e 1986e 1987e	* * *	241,707 218,979 199,291 205,187	132 139 147 141	31,905 30,438 29,296 28,931 31,532	5.34 4.94 6.24 7.13	170,374 150,364 182,806 206,281	
1988e	*	213,000	148	51,524	/.UU 	220,000	

\* = Data not available. e = estimated by ERS.

Sources: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service (1950-81) and ERS for 1982-88.

Appendix table	26F.O.B.	prices for	fresh waterme	elons, 1988									
	Kern DCA	Imper-Coach	SA-WintG-TX	SE Missouri	Virginia	N.Carolina	S. Texas	C-W Arizona	Mississippi	Georgia	S Carolina	SW Florida	W. Mexico
Week	16-20 # Royal Swt & Peacock	16-20 # Royal Swt & Peacock	17-24 # various varieties	18-24 # Long Gray	18-24 # Long Gray	18-24 # Long Gray	Royal Sweet & Long Gray	16-20 # various varieties	18-24 # Long Gray	18-24 # Long Gray	18-24 # Long Gray	18-24 # Long Gray	Carton 3s 18-24 # Long Gray
								_	-	_	_	-	0,19
April 29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	0.11	0.12
May 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	0.11	0.14
May 13	-	-	-	-	-	-	0 12	-	_	-	-	0.10	0.12
May 20	-	0.14	-	-	-	-	0.12	-	-	-	-	0.10	0.13
May 27	•	0.12	-	-	-	-	0.12	_	-	-	-	0.10	0.09
June 3	-	0.08	-	-	-	-	0.10	_	-	-	-	0.10	0.09
June 10	-	0.08	-	-	-	-	0.08	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.09
June 17	-	0.09	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.07	-	0.06	-	0.06	-
June 24	-	0.10	0.08	-	-	-	0.08	0.07	-	0.07	-	0.06	-
July 1	-	0.07	•	-	-	-	0.03	0.07	-	0.06	-	0.06	-
July 8	-	-	-		-	-	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.07	-	-
July 15	-	-	-	0.07	-	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.07	-	-
July 22	0.08	-	-	0.07	-	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.07	-	-	-	-
July 29	0.10	-	-	0.07	0.06	0.00	0.07	0.10	-	-	-	-	-
August 5	0.06	-	-	0.07	0.07	0.07	_		-	-	-	-	-
August 12	0.05	-	-	0.05	0.06	0.06	-		-	-	-	-	-
August 19	0.04	-	-	0.04	0.05	-	-		_	-	-	-	-
August 26	0.05	-	-	0.04	-	-	-		_	-	-	-	-
September 2	0.06	-	-	0.04	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
September 9	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
September 16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
September 23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Simple average	e 0.06	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.12

.

- = not available.

Source: USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service.

				Shipping point		
Year	Destination	Florida	Calif-Kern	Georgia	S. Carolina	N. Carolina
			Dollars	per truckload		
1986	New York Atlanta Chicago Dallas Denver	1,779 - 1,838 821 - 866 1,676 - 1,746  	3,514 - 4,086 2,557 - 3,200 2,386 - 3,071 1,743 - 2,286 1,443 - 1,800	1,642 - 1,687 - 1,575 - 1,620  	1,507 - 1,552 - 1,485 - 1,530  	1,237 - 1,282  1,485 - 1,530 
1987	New York Atlanta Chicago Dallas Denver	1,842 - 1,893 893 - 958 1,764 - 1,808  	3,667 - 4,144 2,589 - 3,211 2,500 - 3,044 1,722 - 2,222 1,356 - 1,811	1,665 - 1,710 - 1,575 - 1,620 	1,552 - 1,575 - 1,485 - 1,530  	1,271 - 1,300   
1988	New York Atlanta Chicago Dallas Denver	1,893 - 1,969 1,000 - 1,125 1,825 - 1,896  	3,811 - 4,244 2,711 - 3,256 2,533 - 3,044 1,822 - 2,278 1,256 - 1,500	1,739 - 1,812 - 1,648 - 1,716  	1,564 - 1,625 - 1,581 - 1,643  	1,260 - 1,312 - 1,530 - 1,575  

Appendix table 27--Average freight costs per truckload (42-45 ft) for hauling watermelons, 1986-88 1/ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

-- = not available.

1/ This data represents rates that shippers or receivers pay, depending on basis of sale, per load, including truck brokers fees, for shipments in truckload volume to a single destination. Extra charges for delivery to terminal markets, multipickup and multidrop shipments are not included. Rates are based on the most usual loads in 42-45 foot trailers from the shipping area to the destination city. In areas where rates are based on package rates, per load rates were calculated.

Source: Weekly issues of the "Fruit and Vegetable Truck Rate Report," USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service.

Port of entry	FY 1985		FY 198	36	FY 1987	
	Pounds	Percent of total	Pounds	Percent of total	Pounds	Percent of total
Miami. FL			87,368	0.03	· · · · · ·	
New York, NY	1.697.410	0.66	982,398	0.39	36,163	0.02
Port Everglades, FL	191.073	0.07	3,000,957	1.19	3,408,284	2.13
West Palm Beach, FL	2,405,428	0.94	9,969,472	3.96	507,991	0.32
San Juan, PR	51,784	0.02			· · · · ·	
Tampa, FL	·	'''	1,281,023	0.51	285,119	0.18
Los Angeles & San Diego, C	A 150,819	0.06	808,597	0.32	52,551	0.03
New Orleans, LA	116.839	0.05	204,622	0.08		
Philadelphia, PA			82,889	0.03	440	0.00
Brownsville, TX	199.719	0.08	558,932	0.22	6,855,923	4.28
Calexico. CA	876.053	0.34	1.603.811	0.64	549.679	0.34
Fagle Pass, TX	16.885	0.01	48,501	0.02	762	0.00
El Paso, TX	611,552	0.24	788,901	0.31	681,209	0.43
Hidalgo, TX	125.805.043	49.19	103,495,798	41.09	61,646,159	38.50
Laredo, TX	949.098	0.37	689,508	0.27	51,742	0.03
Nogales A7	112.753.257	44.09	114.374.622	45.41	77.262.241	48.25
Presidio TX	309	0.00	7.732	0.00	211	0.00
Progreso, TX	3.342.932	1.31	744,105	0.30	31,555	0.02
Roma, TX	719,846	0.28	202,162	0.08	3,045,654	1.90
Port Arthur TX					10965	0.01
Douglas and San Luis, AZ	5,530,438	2.16	12.552.241	4.98	5.692.485	3.56
San Francisco CA	313 053	0.12	237.378	0.09		
Savannah, GA			164,093	0.07		
Total	255,731,536	100.00	251,885,109	100.00	160,119,133	100.00

#### Appendix table 28--Ports of entry for U.S. watermelon imports, FY 1985-87

-- = not applicable.

١

Sources: Derived from data presented in Pearrow, Joan, "U.S. Imports of Fruits and Vegetables Under Plant Quarantine Regulations, FY 1986." ERS Staff Report No. AGES881107, Nov. 1988 and Wright, Mary "U.S. Imports of Fruits and Vegetables Under Plant Quarantine Regulations, Fiscal Year 1985." ERS Staff No. AGES860304. Data in this table is from USDA, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service and should not be confused with official import statistics collected by the U.S. Dept of Commerce. This data is presented to illustrate the important entry ports for U.S. watermelon imports.

#### Appendix table 29--Number of farms reporting watermelon acreage, by States

State	1982	1987	Percent change
	Nur	nber	Percent
Texas North Carolina Florida Georgia Alabama South Carolina Mississippi Oklahoma Virginia Missouri	1,244 1,021 888 1,134 1,096 751 642 289 389 255	1,314 886 751 594 547 346 337 335 330	5.6 -13.2 -15.4 -34.7 -45.8 -27.2 -46.1 16.6 -13.9 29.4
California Indiana Pennsylvania Arkansas Maryland Tennessee Louisiana Kentucky New Jersey Kansas	360 266 233 361 263 403 366 187 192 161	304 300 296 283 263 242 219 212 179	-15.6 12.8 27.0 -19.7 7.6 -34.7 -33.9 17.1 10.4 11.2
Ohio Michigan Illinois Delaware Iowa Arizona Colorado Wisconsin Nebraska Minnesota	138 101 113 119 64 112 85 78 49 60	164 138 134 103 93 89 87 87 84 67 67	18.8 36.6 18.6 -13.4 45.3 -20.5 2.4 7.7 36.7 11.7
New York Utah Washington Oregon New Mexico Hawaii South Dakota Idaho West Virginia Massachusetts	48 67 41 38 77 52 48 20 20 20 23	66 62 53 51 48 40 31 20 15 13	37.5 -7.5 29.3 34.2 -37.7 -23.1 -35.4 0.0 -25.0 -43.5
North Dakota Vermont New Hampshire Connecticut Nevada Montana Others Total U.S.	9 3 (d) 11 5 3 3 11,888	11 8 7 (d) (d) 7 10,234	22.2 166.7 (d) -36.4 (d) (d) 133.3 -13.9

(d) = Data not shown to avoid disclosure.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau.

#### Appendix 2-Sources of Statistics

Watermelon data originate in a variety of Federal and State agencies. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) reports information through its Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) and until 1981, the USDA's Statistical Reporting Service also published statistics. Some State Statistical Offices (SSO), which are affiliated with both NASS and State Departments of Agriculture, still collect watermelon data. Other sources of watermelon data include the U.S. Department of Commerce (USDC), the California County Agricultural Commissioners, various universities (in cooperation with USDA's Extension Service), and the United Nations' Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO).

#### Acreage and Production

There are two basic sources of information concerning area and production, the USDC's Bureau of the Census and USDA's NASS. Census statistics are available in approximately 5-year intervals from 1909 to present. These statistics include State and county number of farms, acreage harvested, and acreage irrigated. USDA-SRS (now the National Agricultural Statistics Service) statistics, the primary source of information for this study, are available from 1939 to 1981. These statistics include acreage planted and harvested, yield, production, price, and value. During the 1939-81 period, seven States (Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, New Jersey, Oregon, Virginia, and Washington) were dropped from the SRS estimates program. By the time Federal budget cuts and difficulties in data collection forced SRS to discontinue the watermelon estimates program in 1981, 16 States remained in the program.

From 1981 to 1987, eight SRS State offices and the California County Agricultural Commissioner (CAC) offices continued to collect and publish their State's watermelon estimates using local funds.<sup>1</sup> In addition to California, these States include Arizona, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.<sup>2</sup> These nine States have accounted for the majority of U.S. production since 1960. For example, according to 1981 SRS data, the nine States accounted for about 70 percent of domestic watermelon production. These same States also accounted for 68 percent of harvested area reported by the 1987 Census of Agriculture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>California data from the CAC may not be totally comparable with NASS or SSO data series due to possible double counting of farms which lie in more than one country. Aside from graphical presentations, whenever CAC data is used in conjunction with NASS data, it is footnoted in this report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>State budget limitations forced Texas to eliminate their watermelon estimates program following the 1987 season.

#### Other Statistics

Other important sources of watermelon data are as follows:

- o USDC's Bureau of the Census provides U.S. trade data.
- o USDA's AMS provides shipping point (f.o.b.) prices, volume of shipments, and volume of arrivals at major cities.
- o USDA's NASS and the State Statistical Offices provide grower-level values per unit and total crop values.
- Various universities and State Extension Service offices throughout the United States estimate watermelon cost of production.
- Cash receipt statistics come from USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS).
- o The United Nations' FAO provides world trade, acreage, and production data.

#### Methodology Used In Estimating 1982-87 Data

Because national watermelon data collection was abandoned due to funding cut-backs following the 1981 estimates, a method of estimating post-1981 data was required to illustrate current trends in the industry. Estimates for acreage harvested, yield per acre, and value per cwt were made to support the discussion of per capita utilization and price and production trends. Although based largely on State-level survey-based estimates, the U.S. data generated should in no way be construed as actual observed information. Rather, the extended information is presented to provide an idea of current trends in the industry. Watermelon data continued to be collected after 1981 by the State Statistical Offices of eight States and the County Agricultural Commissioners in California. Although budget limitations forced Texas to drop their watermelon estimates program following the 1987 season, this major watermelon-producing State collected data through 1987. In fact, these nine States accounted for about 70 percent of the watermelon produced during the 1979-81 period. Therefore, with the exception of Georgia and Oklahoma, only minor producing areas were absent from the collective 1982-87 State data set.

To represent these States in an estimate of U.S. statistics, simple equations were estimated utilizing ordinary least squares. These equations were estimated using official NASS data from 1950 to 1980 and included the U.S. statistic as the dependant variable and the nine State aggregation as the independent variable. The estimated equations are as follows (values in parentheses are t-statistics):

USHACRES = 17890.7 + 1.40251\*(9SHARV) (1A) (5.0) (81.3) Obs = 1950-80 R\*R = .99 DW = .69 Mean Y = 297181 where: USHACRES = U.S. watermelon harvested acreage 9SHARV = 9-State aggregate watermelon harvested area USYIELD = 26.368 + 0.6925\*(9SYIELD)(2A) (15.2) (41.7) Obs = 1950-80 R\*R = .98 DW = 1.42 Mean Y = 96.9 where: USYIELD = U.S. watermelon yield per acre 9SYIELD = 9-State average yield weighted by acresharvested USPRICE = 0.0246 + 0.96\*(9SPRICE)(3A) (1.08) (113.3)Obs = 1950-80 R\*R = R\*R = .99 DW = 2.20 Mean Y = 2.29 where: USPRICE = U.S. watermelon value per hundredweight 9SPRICE = 9-State value per hundredweight weighted by production USPRODN = USHACRES \* USYIELD (4A) where: USPRODN = U.S. watermelon production USVALUE = USPRODN \* USPRICE (5A) where: USVALUE = U.S. watermelon value of production

# **OUTLOOK '90 CHARTS**



Order a special book of the charts presented at USDA's 66th Agricultural Outlook Conference held in Washington, D.C., November 1989.

This publication carries the approximately 180 charts and tables used by Conference speakers.<sup>1</sup> Each chart, measuring 6 x 4 inches, is printed in black and white for easy reproduction or use in overhead transparencies.

## Order the <u>All New</u> OUTLOOK '90 CHARTS \$8.00 (\$10.00 foreign, includes Canada) for each copy

Yes! Send me copies of <i>Outlook '90 Charts</i> (AGES 9001)			
Mail to:	ERS-NASS P.O. Box 1608 Rockville, MD 20849-1608	Name Organization	
<ul> <li>Use purchase orders, checks drawn on U.S. banks, cashier's checks, or international money orders.</li> </ul>		Address	
Make payable to ERS-NASS.		Daytime telephone number	
🔲 Bill mə.	Enclosed is \$	Credit card:	
Credit card number: Expiration date: Month/Year			
For fastest service, call toll free, 1-800-999-6779 (8:30-5:00 ET, in U.S. and Canada; all other areas, please call 301-725-7937)			

⇒U.S. Government Printing Office : 1990 - 261-455/20234

## Farm Policy—In Plain English

**Basic Mechanisms of U.S. Farm Policy,** by USDA's Economic Research Service, demystifies and clarifies farm legislation and the programs spawned by it. It describes in plain English the key concepts of how U.S. farm policy works and takes you step-by-step through the major programs.

The report guides you from the ARP through the 0-92 program and all the major programs in between. Need to know how to calculate a deficiency payment? Look on page 11. How about turning a commodity certificate into cash money? It's here, too, page 56. Farmer considerations in deciding whether to redeem their grain from the FOR? Right on page 68.

#### For Novices and Experts Both, A Quick Way To Understand Farm Policy

Anybody with a need for a quick way to understand farm policy will benefit by this report. Farmers, food processors, exporters, importers, Congress and congressional staffs, lobbyists, trade association employees, and students from high school to graduate school are just some of the groups who can benefit. Even farm policy experts will want to order multiple copies of this informative report to help show their clients what it's all about.

**Basic Mechanisms of U.S. Farm Policy** uses easy-to-understand language and diagrams to describe farm policy mechanisms. Be sure to order enough for both your staff and your clients! The complete array of farm policy mechanisms can appear overwhelming to anyone unfamiliar with the history of U.S. agricultural legislation. But each mechanism originated in Congress, reflecting public concerns about food, agriculture, and the needs of farmers.

#### SOME BASIC MECHANISMS OF U.S. FARM POLICY

Target Price Loan (Nonrecourse Ioan) Rate Deficiency Payment Original Deficiency Reduced (Findley) Loan Rate Emergency Compensation Acreage Reduction Program (ARP) Paid Diversion Base Acres Program Yield Program Production Basic Commodities Acreage Conservation Reserve Conservation Use Payment Limitation

Projected Deficiency Advance Deficiency Base Acres & Program Yield 0-92 & 50-92 Commodity Certificate Posted County Price (PCP) PIK and Roll Export Enhancement Farmer-Owned Reserve (FOR) Corn (& Wheat) Catalog Reserve Rollover Conservation Reserve Program Disaster Payment Marketing Loan

Part one of this report concentrates on the left side of this list, and Part two covers the seven mechanisms at the top right. Part three covers the remaining seven mechanisms on this list.

A sampling of what's in "Basic Mechanisms of U.S. Farm Policy."

<ul> <li>Basic Mechanisms of U.S. Farm Policy (MP-1479)</li> <li>Yes! Send me copies of Basic Mechanisms of U.S. Farm Policy for \$</li> <li>Order up to 50 copies and pay \$6.50 per copy.</li> <li>Save 25%! Order 50 or more and pay just \$4.90 per copy.</li> </ul>	For fastest service, order toll free, 1-800-999-6779 (8:30-5:00 ET, in the United States and Canada; other areas, please call 301-725-7937)	
Payment method	<ul> <li>Use purchase orders, checks drawn on U.S. banks, cashier's checks or international money orders.</li> <li>Make payable to ERS-NASS.</li> </ul>	
Bill me Enclosed is \$		
Visa MasterCard Total charges \$	<ul> <li>Add 25% for postage to foreign addresses (includes Canada).</li> </ul>	
Credit card number:	Expiration date: Month Year	
Name		
Address	Mail to: ERS-NASS P.O. Box 1608	
City, State, Zip	20849-1608	
Daytime phone ()		

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE 1301 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20005-4788