

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

## This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

#### Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

# USDA's Economic Research Service has provided this report for historical research purposes.

### Current reports are available in *AgEcon Search*

(http://ageconsearch.umn.edu)

and on https://www.ers.usda.gov.

United States Department of Agriculture

Economic Research Service

Natural Resource Economics Division

# Information Contained in Real Property Transfer Records

T. Alexander Majchrowicz

WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION

WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION

APPLIED ECONOMICS

DEPT. OF AGRIC. AND APPLIED ECONOMICS

WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION DEPT. OF AG. AND APPLIED ECONOMICS 1994 BUFORD AVE. - 232 COB UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA ST. PAUL, MN 55108 U.S.A. Control of the second of the s

WAR MISSARIAN STATE OF CONTROL OF STATE OF CONTROL OF STATE OF CONTROL OF STATE OF CONTROL OF CONTR

INFORMATION CONTAINED IN REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER RECORDS. By T. Alexander Majchrowicz, Natural Resource Economics Division, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Washington, D.C. August 1984. ERS Staff Report No. AGES840711.

ABSTRACT

Based on data from the Bureau of the Census' 1982 Survey of Real Property Transfer Records, there were an estimated 10.6 million deeds recorded in 1979. Real property transfer records are a potential source of data on land ownership, value, and size. These data are important to professional groups concerned with the development, distribution, and use of land resources. The present limitations of transfer data for studies of land values and ownership could be reduced by standardizing forms and improving coordination among data users.

Keywords: Real property transfer records, landownership, land value, Census of Governments.

#### CONTENTS

		4 · ·														Ť					÷ .		(			I	Page
																						1					F 15
INTRODUCTION . Transfer Reco Data Source	rds	and	The	ir	Us	es		•	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	- 1
SURVEY RESULTS Volume of Tra Information R Data Storage Availability	ns fe ecor and	er Ii ded Inde	on on exin	ume Rea g	ent al	s . Pro	pe	rty •	, T	ra •	ns	fe:	· r	Re	coi	rds	• ;•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 7 10
RESEARCH APPLIC																											
APPENDIX			• , •	·, •	•	•		· ·	•	•	•	•	• "	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	16

#### INTRODUCTION

A multitude of records documenting land ownership, value, and use are maintained and used by many professional groups and government agencies. These records include: (1) title records, including all instruments pertaining to the legal ownership of land parcels; (2) fiscal records serving the real property assessment and taxation functions; (3) public land management records, such as zoning ordinances; (4) infrastructure records, including those for highways, sewer lines, and utility easements; and (5) resource and environmental records describing the natural state of the land  $(3) \cdot 1$ . Users of these records include assessors, surveyors, and government officials involved in the fiscal and legal administration of real property; planners and public administrators concerned with community development and resource management; title abstractors and insurers, lawyers, real estate brokers, and others in the real estate industry; and citizens involved with the purchase or sale of real estate.

Most land records are maintained at the local level, that is, in county or municipal offices. The fixed location of land and principal use of real property data for local government functions necessitates a fairly complete land record system at this level.

A previous study of information contained in local assessment records indicated that a large quantity of land ownership, value, and use data are maintained by local government offices responsible for property tax assessment (7). The report focused on data contained in local assessment rolls, one of several records used in the real property assessment system.

This study examines data contained in another public land record, the real property transfer record, as an information source for analyzing land ownership and values. Real property transfer records and other land title documents (for example, security interests, land contracts, and easements) are maintained at the local level by the register or recorder of deeds. While this analysis is limited to real property transfer records, recorders also maintain files of other public documents such as birth and death records, liens, and court judgments. The recorder is an elected county official in most States. However, in New England each city or town has a recorder.

#### Transfer Records and Their Uses

Transfer records are public documents which provide information about landownership changes, identify the property transferred, and often list the price and terms of sale. Assessors are principal users of these data. Assessors require knowledge of ownership changes to mail assessment notices to property owners and tax bills to lending institutions, and to determine

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Underscored numbers in parentheses refer to references at the end of this report.

<sup>2/</sup> Depending on the local government's organization, the person responsible for maintaining property records may be the county clerk, clerk of the court, or some other official.,

3/ Only 22 States require documentation of color arises for

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{1}$  Only 22 States require documentation of sales prices for property transfers (11).

changes in the tax status of property.  $\frac{4}{}$  Identification of the property, or legal description, reveals subdivisions or consolidations of parcels which affect property assessments. Sales price data are used to accurately determine the assessed value of property and to develop a reliable assessment-ratio program  $(\underline{6}).5/$ 

Real property transfer records can provide beneficial data to professionals other than the assessor. Analysts (such as economists) and policymakers (such as planners) concerned with the development, distribution, and use of land resources can use transfer data to study the structure of landownership, changes in this structure over time, and land values. For example, documented changes in the ownership structure and value of rural real estate allow analysts and policymakers to anticipate trends in the availability of rural land for agricultural, forestry, or recreational purposes. Healy and Short (5) state that expectations for development of rural land are frequently reflected by changes in land values and ownership composition years prior to actual conversion to more intensive land uses. That is, land values in developing areas are partially determined by expected higher economic returns to land through more intensive uses such as housing and industrial plants. Changes in the structure of ownership, such as a mining company purchasing many small agricultural tracts or large forestry tracts being divided into small recreational parcels, are indicative of land use conversions before the land is visibly changed.

#### Data Source

This analysis uses data collected by the Bureau of the Census in 1979 for the 1982 Survey of Real Property Transfer Records (form GP-2) (see app.). The survey is part of the Bureau's quinquennial Census of Governments.6/ The census covers governmental organization and finance, public employment, and taxable property values. One phase of the census involves developing ratios of the property's assessed value to its market value. Market value is measured by the sales prices of properties that were sold during the survey period. The Bureau uses the GP-2 questionnaire to determine the content of transfer records maintained by the register or recorder of deeds. The Bureau then enumerates, in the Property Transfer Record Survey (form GP-30), a sample of sales from the transfer records maintained by local government offices. A follow-up survey of buyers and sellers of the selected property sales, the Real Property Sales Phase (form GP-31), obtains data on sales price and parcel size.

#### SURVEY RESULTS

The Bureau of the Census provided data from 1,074 registers or recorders who responded to the 1982 Survey of Real Property Transfer Records (table 1).7/ Although efforts were made to obtain accurate information, the GP-2 data are not

<sup>4/</sup> Changes in the tax status of property occur, for example, when a change in ownership disallows an existing property tax-exemption.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{5}{\text{An assessment-ratio}}$  is the relationship of assessed value to the market value of property.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{6}{7}$  As authorized by law under title 13, United States Code, section 169. There are approximately 3,800 recorders in the United States; the Bureau sampled 1,451 in the GP-2 survey.

used for any statistical purpose and, therefore, the survey does not necessarily adhere to strict sampling criteria. Findings presented in this report should be read for general relationships and not interpreted as precise estimates.

Data were obtained from all States except Delaware, Alaska, and Hawaii. Geographic divisions and regions used in this study are New England and Middle Atlantic in the Northeast;  $^{8/}$  East and West in the North-Central;  $^{9/}$  South Atlantic, East South-Central, and West South-Central in the South;  $^{10/}$  and  $^{10/}$  and  $^{10/}$  and Pacific in the West.  $^{11/}$ 

Table 1--Jurisdictions reporting, by region and geographic division, 1979

Area	: Jurisdictions							
	Number	Percent 1/						
Northeast: :	240	22.4						
New England :	156	14.5						
Middle Atlantic :	84	7.8						
North-Central: :	347	32.3						
East	208	19.4						
West :	139	12.9						
South: :	354	33.0						
South Atlantic :	181	16.9						
East South-Central:	82	7.6						
West South-Central:	91	8.5						
West:	133	12.9						
Mountain :	79	7.4						
Pacific :	54	5.0						
Total	1,074	100.0						

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Detail does not add to total because of rounding.

<sup>8/</sup> In the Northeast, the New England division includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. The Middle Atlantic contains New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.

<sup>9/</sup> In the North-Central, the East division includes Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin. The West contains Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota.

<sup>10/</sup> In the South, the South Atlantic division includes Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia. The East South-Central contains Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, and Tennessee. The West South-Central includes Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.

<sup>11/</sup> In the West, the Mountain division includes Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming. The Pacific contains California, Oregon, and Washington.

#### Volume of Transfer Instruments

The number of transfer instruments recorded or registered during 1979 was requested on the GP-2.12/ Transfer instruments were categorized as deeds (including warranty or equivalent), quitclaim, and other; 13/ land contracts, contracts for sale, or equivalent; mortgages or deeds of trust; and title registration certificates (Torrens registration). Approximately 9.3 million transfer instruments were recorded by 844 of the 1,074 survey respondents (table 2). Respondents in the North-Central, South, and West each recorded between 2.5 and 2.7 million transfer instruments. Respondents in the Northeast recorded 1.4 million transfer instruments.

Title registration certificates were reported in several States where the Torrens system of registering the title to the land is used (table 2). This system differs from the document recording system, which records only evidence of title, used in most States. Jurisdictions in Massachusetts, Minnesota, and Ohio accounted for most of the 51,204 title registration certificates reported.

The number of transfer instruments reported and the number of real property transfers should not be confused. Some transfers involve more than one transfer instrument. Because the 1982 GP-2 survey did not contain a separate question requesting the annual number of property transfers, the best approximation of real property transfers that can be made from the data is based upon the number of deeds reported. Approximately 4.8 million deeds were recorded by the 844 survey respondents providing an estimate of recorded transfer instruments. The North-Central, South, and West reported an equal number of deeds, approximately 1.35 million in each region. Expanding the 4.8 million deeds, based on the ratio of population in the jurisdictions reporting these deeds to national population, yields a national estimate of 10.6 million deeds recorded during 1979. This estimate includes deeds filed for both market and nonmarket transactions.

Survey data indicate a national average of 90.8 transfer instruments recorded per 1,000 population (table 3). Regionally, reported instruments ranged from 144 per 1,000 in the West to slightly over 54 per 1,000 in the Northeast. The greatest number of instruments per 1,000 population, over 166, was reported in the Mountain States. The lowest, less than 52 per 1,000, was in the Middle Atlantic States.

The distribution of transfer instruments by population followed an expected progression. Jurisdictions with a low population recorded a small number of transfer instruments while more populated areas recorded a larger number of instruments (table 4). More than 21 percent of the survey respondents did not provide the number of recorded instruments. Jurisdictions with high populations tended to exceed the national average for nonrespondents. There were over 32 percent nonrespondents in the 100,000 to 249,999 population category. Typical reasons for nonresponse included "data not available," "each instrument is numbered in order (of recording) and not categorized," and "no time or personnel to break down records."

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{12}{12}$  Some respondents indicated that data were for years other than 1979. No separation of data by year was made in this study.

<sup>13/</sup> Some replies listed in the "other" classification were State deeds, executor's deeds, and sheriff's deeds.

Table 2--Annual volume of transfer instruments recorded, by region and State, 1979

	Jurisd	ictions		Type o	f transfer in	struments	
			:	<del></del>	<del></del>		
Region and : State :		: Reporting		Land	•	Title	
state .	Sampled		Deeds :	contracts	: Mortgages :	registration	Total
•	Campied	: shown			: :	certificates	
		:			<u>:</u>		
				Number		aa Ail 🔭 🕍	in to the plant of the plant o
Northeast: :	240	212	738,698	13,910 415	653,259 72,703	3,062 22	1,408,929
Connecticut :	79	78	57,111	2,199	21,795	0	52,179
Maine	14	8	28,185 30,040	37	25,577	3,025	58,679
Massachusetts	9 8	3	25,583	် 0	16,502	0	42,085
New Hampshire :	16	15	118,668	Ö	129,729	0	248,397
New Jersey	32	30	247,458	305	170,748	15	418,526
New York :	36	34	221,916	10,734	207,235	0	439,885
Pennsylvania : Rhode Island :	17	10	5,437	145	6,015	Ŏ	11,597
Vermont :	29	28	4,300	75	2,955	0	7,330
ACTION .		1 1 2 2	.,500	al and the		raginal all are	
North-Central: :	347	301	1,333,591	63,437	1,190,787	48,021	2,635,836
Illinois :	31	23	205,874	1,381	224,482	0	431,737
Indiana :	39	37	152,717	6,733	141,569	0	301,019
Iowa :	27	26	63,906	12,757	56,614	0	133,277
Kansas :	19	18	66,376	376	53,707	0	120,459
Michigan :	51	45	253,146	11,982	169,933	0	435,061
Minnesota :	22	20	90,178	9,624	76,615	30,214	206,631
Missouri :	22	19	87,275	48	78,000	0	165,323
Nebraska :	24	21	44,240	1,290	38,440		83,970
North Dakota :	13	12	10,273	955	6,769	17 807	17,997
Ohio :	41	36	245,952	4,140	235,160	17,807 0	503,059 16,371
South Dakota : Wisconsin :	12 46	10 34	8,705 104,949	789 13,362	6,877 102,621	0	220,932
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1 157 175	77	2,539,144
South: :	354	237	1,359,419	22,473	1,157,175	77 0	135,304
Alabama :	22	18	78,723	602	55,979 35,502		88,736
Arkansas :	18	17	52,246	955		13	812,802
Florida :	30	16	421,665	3,410	387,714	7	229,02
Georgia	37	24	121,169	2,081	105,764 57,936	0	112,72
Kentucky	19	19	54,345	441	20,225	0	78,33
Louisiana	12	4	58,112	0	22,783		44,46
Maryland	11	7.7 7 7 <b>3</b> 1 9 7 1	21,679	298		0	41,24
Mississippi	12	11	20,729		20,217	24	259,48
North Carolina :		26	132,892	11,922	114,649	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	43,68
Oklahoma :	19	10	26,062	625	16,997 50 312	0	114,47
South Carolina		13	64,001	159 300	50,312 126,495	0 7	231,68
Tennessee	29	25	104,885			0	217,89
Texas	42	16	135,218	257 235	82,415 34,592	0	72,14
Virginia : West Virginia :	34 20	18 17	37,316 30,377	1,188	25,595	Ŏ	57,16
	•			in Masterna			
West:	133	94	1,364,814	45,714	1,293,017	44	2,703,58
Arizona	: 5	4	179,591	6,956	119,428	0	305,97
California :	: 32	30	894,456	0	925,108	0	1,819,56
Colorado	: 18	9	76,342	881	89,714	27	166,96
Idaho	: 15	10	28,927	2,812	18,793	0	50,53
Montana	: 17	12	33,244	2,163	18,757	0	54,16
Nevada	: 6	3	17,169		14,215	0	32,92
New Mexico	: 4	2	2,020		868		2,98
Oregon	: 9	· . · . · . · . · . · . · . · . · . · .	49,414	19,919	39,330	0	108,66
Utah	: 8	5	29,845	2,599	25,224	0	57,66
Washington	: 13	7	47,493		36,568 5,012	17 0	92,63
Wyoming	: 6 :	5	6,313	188	5,012		11,51 9,287,49

Table 3--Transfer instruments recorded, by region and geographic division, 1979

Area		isdictions r ansfer instr		• • •	Transi instrum record	ents :	Transfer instruments per 1,000 population
production for the	Popula	tion :	Respo	ndents		:	population
	<u>Number</u>	Percent 1/	Number	Percent 1/	Number	Percent 1/	Number
							and the second of the second
Northeast: New England Middle	25,883,488 4,524,923	25.3 4.4	212 133	25.1 15.8	1,408,929 302,121	15.2 3.3	54.4 66.8
Atlantic	: 21,358,928	20.9	79	9.4	1,106,808	11.9	51.8
North_Central: East West	: 34,480,720 : 25,890,336 : 8,591,103	33.7 25.3 8.4	301 175 126	35.7 20.7 14.9	2,635,836 1,891,808 744,028	28.4 20.4 8.0	76.4 73.1 86.6
South:	23,140,672	22.6	237	28.1	2,539,144	27.3	109.7
Atlantic East South	13,670,054	13.4	117	13.9	1,589,547	17.1	116.3
Central West South	5,818,023	5.7	73	8.7	520,950	5.6	89.5
Central	: 3,653,285	3.6	47	5.6	428,647	4.6	117.3
West: Mountain Pacific	: 18,770,160 : 4,104,294 : 14,666,036	18.4 4.0 14.3	94 50 44	11.1 5.9 5.2	2,703,589 682,724 2,020,865	29.1 7.4 21.8	144.0 166.4 137.8
Total	: 102,275,040	100.0	844	100.0	9,287,498	100.0	90.8

<sup>1/</sup> Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 4--Jurisdictions by annual volume of transfer instruments and by population, 1979

			•								
			Jurisdic	tions rep	porting	specifie	d number	of transfe	er instru	ments	
Population	Under 500	: to	: to	: to	to to	to	: to	25,000 to 49,999	and		: : Total
	ў.		•			Number	<u> </u>				iv Na
Under 5,000	59	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	8	80
5,000 to 9,999	7	32	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	71
10,000 to 24,999	2	25	107	30	0	0	1	0	0	35	200
25,000 to 49,999	0	1	40	92	20	7	5	0	0	48	213
50,000 to 99,999	0	0	7	49	44	28	18	0	' i	35	181
100,000 to 249,999	0	0	0	5	23	25	74	13	1	67	208
250,000 to 499,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	23	6	15	67
500,000 and over	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	30	10	54
Total	68	68	174	179	87	60	126	45	37	230	1,074

#### Information Recorded on Real Property Transfer Records

Data in this section are determined from responses to the GP-2 question regarding the type of information contained in real property transfer records. The questionnaire listed 20 items of information and inquired whether all or some real property transfer records contained the information.

#### Location Information

Ninety percent of all jurisdictions reported that the document number of the transfer instrument was recorded on all or some of their records (table 5). The property's legal description was recorded on at least some of the records in almost 94 percent of the jurisdictions. Lower percentages of jurisdictions reported recording the parcel number of the property, parcel address, and name of the jurisdiction in which the parcel was located.

Table 5Jurisdictions	recording	location	information	for	real	property	transfers,	by	region,
			1979					5	."

: : Region :	Document of inst		: of 1	el number property	: add	ccel : iress :	Lega	ption	<u>.                                      </u>	iction
:	A11 :	Some	: All	: Some	: All	: Some :	A11 :	Some	: All	: Some
:				#	Perce	ent	•	( )		
Northeast :	82.1	2.5	18.3	21.3	45.0	23.3	76.7	9.2	90.8	2.1
North- : Central :	94.8	1.7	17.	3 22.5	11.8	34.9	98.9	0.3	59.1	17.6
South :	83.1	1.4	22.	3 15.5	11.0	25.4	89.3	2.8	52.3	14.1
West :	97.0	0	20.	3 41.4	12.0	60.2	95.5	4.5	56.4	24.8
Total :	88.4	1.6	19.	5 22.3	19.0	32.3	90.3	3.6	63.6	13.9

#### Ownership Information

Almost all jurisdictions reported that the names of the participating parties in the real property transfer were recorded on the transfer record (table 6). In addition, 26 percent of all jurisdictions reported that the seller's address was listed on all records and another 29 percent indicated that this information was recorded on some documents. A greater number of jurisdictions reported the buyer's address. Almost 48 percent indicated all records, while approximately 22 percent stated some records, contained this information.

#### Value Information

The total sales price of the transferred property was recorded on at least some records in approximately 56 percent of all jurisdictions (table 7). Lower percentages of jurisdictions reported recording the sales prices of land only and improvements only, approximately 26 percent and 16 percent, respectively. The transferred property's assessed value was reported on at least some of the records in over 23 percent of all jurisdictions.

Table 6--Jurisdictions recording ownership information for real property transfers, by region, 1979

							/	
Region	: Name: sel	e of :		ess of ller	Name buy	of :	Addre buy	ess of ver
	: A11 :	Some :	A11	: Some	: A11 :	Some:	A11 :	Some
	•			Per	cent			
Northeast	98.8	0.4	42.1	14.6	98.3	0.8	65.4	7.9
North- Central	: 99.4	0.6	27 • 4	35.7	99.4	0.6	44.4	29.1
South	: 98.3	0.3	16.1	21.2	98.6	0	41.0	14.4
West	98.5	1.5	19.6	59.4	99.3	0.8	41.4	47.4
Total	98.8	0.6	26.0	29.1	98.9	0.5	47.6	21.8

Table 7-Jurisdictions recording value information for real property transfers, by region, 1979

Region	Asses valu			price land ly	:	Sales p improve on	ements	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Total s pric	
	A11 :	Some :	A11 :	Some	:	A11 :	Some	:	A11 :	Some
	_			<u>Pe</u>	rcer	<u>ıt</u>	N. C.			
Northeast	15.8	9.2	10.8	8.3		3.3	6.3		42.5	17.5
North- Central	4.9	15.3	10.1	17.3		3.2	13.3		25.9	31.4
South	9.0	14.1	11.9	11.9		3.7	11.9		33.3	17.2
West	3.8	23.3	9.8	30.1		4.5	27.1		18.8	36.8
Total	8.6	14.5	10.8	15.1		3.5	12.9		31.2	24.3

#### Size, Use, and Zoning Information

Approximately 59 percent of all jurisdictions reported at least some transfer records contained the size of the parcel (table 8). The West had the highest percentage of jurisdictions reporting size data, over 80 percent reported that this information was listed on at least some records.

Property use was reported on at least some records in over 24 percent of the jurisdictions. Only 15 percent of the jurisdictions reported that their transfer records indicated the parcel's zoning classification.

Table 8--Jurisdictions recording size, use, and zoning information for real property transfers, by region, 1979

Region	Size parce		C	*	se operty		Zoni classif	ng ication
. <u> </u>	A11 :	Some	: A1	1:	Some	:	A11 :	Some
				Perc	ent		•	
Northeast	24.6	29.2	10	8.0	14.6		2.9	10.8
North- Central	18.2	45.2	10	) <b>.</b> 1	18.4		2.3	13.3
South	25.1	26.0	. 1	7	14.7		0.9	11.6
West	15.8	64.7	.3	8.8	27.1	j.	1.5	21.1
Total	21.6	37.7	. 6	5.7 <sup>1</sup>	17.4		1.9	13.1

#### Descriptive Information

Almost all jurisdictions (98.7 percent) reported that their records contained the date that the transfer was recorded (table 9). Additionally, the date that the transfer occurred was contained on all or some transfer documents in almost 96 percent of all jurisdictions. Approximately 93 percent of all jurisdictions indicated that the type of deed was listed on at least some records. Other requested information regarded the amount of transfer tax paid and the address of the person to whom a copy of the transfer document was mailed. Tax information was recorded on all or some records in 70 percent of all jurisdictions. Over 75 percent of the jurisdictions reported that the address of the person receiving a copy of the transfer record was contained on at least some transfer documents.

#### Data Storage and Indexing

The methods recorders use to maintain and retrieve real property transfer data vary. Several indexing and storage systems and methods exist with no single index or system used by all recorders  $(\underline{10})$ . Some indexes are required by law. Numerous other files are maintained by recorders to facilitate their data management responsibilities.

Storage methods were classified on the GP-2 survey as photocopy, microfilm, microfiche, aperture card, acetate jacket, and other. Some jurisdictions reported the use of more than one method. Approximately 61 percent of the respondents reported storage and availability of documents was in the form of photocopies (table 10). Another 18 percent reported the use of aperture cards, and a combined 73 percent of the jurisdictions used microfilm, microfiche, and acetate jacket storage methods. Approximately 10 percent used other methods, principally books or handwritten volumes.

Table 9-Jurisdictions recording descriptive information for real property transfers, by region, 1979

Region		e of nsfer		transfer orded	: : Type : dee		Amount transfe			person to of document
	A11	: Some	: A11	: Some	: All :	Some	A11	: Some	: A11 :	Some
	:		•		Perc	ent			ø	
Northeast	93.3	4.2	98.8	0.4	86.3	4.2	81.7	5.0	70.0	4.6
North- Central	94.2	3.2	97.1	1.2	94.2	1.2	53.9	12.7	66.0	14.1
South	87.0	6.2	97.7	0.6	88.1	2.5	58.5	7.6	53.1	13.8
West :	79.0	15.0	99.3	0	92.5	4.5	42.1	16.5	72.2	15.0
Total	89.8	5.9	98.0	0.7	90.2	2.7	60.2	9.8	63.4	12.0

Table 10-Jurisdictions reporting storage methods, by population, 1979

Population :	Respondents			Stor	age method		
		Photocopy	Microfilm	Microfiche	: : Aperture card :	: Acetate jacket	: Other
				Number	-		
Under 5,000	80	47	15	2	11	3	17
5,000 to 9,999	71	46	20	3	/12	4	7
10,000 to 24,999	200	147	68	14	26	15	14
25,000 to 49,999	213	136	96	18	36	19	20
50,000 to 99,999	181	103	103	16	43	16	14
100,000 to 249,999	208	114	136	38	45	32	19
250,000 to 499,999	67	37	54	21	13		8
500,000 and over	54	21	46	20	11	11	9
Total	1,074	651	538	132	197	111	108

Almost all jurisdictions reported using a grantor-grantee index for recording real property transfers (table 11). This index lists transfers by the names of the transacting parties. Another index, the tract index, is based on the property's location. Only 25 percent of the jurisdictions reported using this index in their recording system. Respondents in the North-Central reported the greatest use of the tract index, over 52 percent; and respondents in the North-east indicated the least use, only 3 percent. Slightly more than 8 percent reported using other indexes, some of which may be similar to, or part of, grantor-grantee and tract indexes. Responses in this category included mortgagor-mortgagee index, plat or survey record book, numerical index book, and many other indexing systems.

Table 11--Jurisdictions reporting type of index for recording real property transfers, by region, 1979

Region	Respondents : Gran	ntor-grant index	ee :	Tract index	:	Other
		Number				
Northeast	240	238		8		13
North-Central	347	338		181		41
South	354	350		51		22
West	133	133		31		12
Total	1,074	1,059		271		88

#### Availability of Transfer Information

The availability of real property transfer data on magnetic tape is an indicator of accessibility to this information. Over 53 percent of the sampled California jurisdictions indicated automated data processing (ADP) capability, but, nationally, only 10 percent of the jurisdictions reported ADP functions. More populated jurisdictions reported a higher incidence of ADP capability; almost 40 percent of the jurisdictions with a population of 500,000 and over compared with less than 8 percent in the 50,000 to 99,999 population category (table 12). The expanded use of ADP in populous jurisdictions is expected because of the volume of documents processed and these local government's ability to financially support an ADP system. In jurisdictions reporting ADP, the recorder's office staff was listed most often as maintaining and utilizing the ADP file.

Information availability is also measured by the timeliness in which transfer information becomes a public record. Of the jurisdictions reporting the interval between recording and reporting information to State and local officials, almost 92 percent indicated that the information was made available in 30 days or less (table 13).

Table 12--Jurisdictions reporting availability of real property transfer information on magnetic tape, by population, 1979

	Jurisdictions reporting	: Maintenance of magnetic tape file					
Population :	transfer information available on magnetic tape	: Members of staff	: Central data : processing office :	Commercial tabulation service	Other		
			Number		, <b>.</b>		
Under 5,000 :	0	0	0	0	0		
5,000 to 9,999 :	<b>3</b>	2	0	1	0		
10,000 to 24,999 :	<b>4</b>	3	0	1	0		
25,000 to 49,999 :		4	0	2	2		
50,000 to 99,999 :	14	8	2	5	2		
100,000 to 249,999	41	26	20	7	7		
250,000 to 499,999 :	16	6	9	·	2		
500,000 and over	22	.15	<b>11</b>	2	5		
Total	108	64	42	20	18		

Table 13--Jurisdictions reporting interval between recording transfers and reporting information to State and local officials, by region, 1979

				<u> </u>	
Region :	Respondents	: : : 1-14	Day:	31-90	: 91-365
			Number		
Northeast :	183	36	128	14	5
North-Central:	201	87	97	14	3
South :	207	107	87	10	3
West :	87	55	25	3.	4
Total :	678	285	337	41	15

#### RESEARCH APPLICATIONS

This study revealed that only 56 percent of all jurisdictions reported that the property's sales price was listed on the transfer document. The limited amount of sales price data is partially the result of only 22 States requiring a declaration of the property's sales price at the time of deed recordation (11). However, 8 States which do not require a declaration of the sales price impose a property transfer tax based on the total sales price. The sales price in these States can be computed from the transfer tax. Full sales price cannot be determined in States where the property transfer tax is based on sales price exclusive of mortgages or other liens assumed. The International Association of Assessing Officers recommends that legislation be enacted to require necessary sales price disclosure in these States (6).

The buyers and sellers of the property are a potential source of value data when sales price declarations are not required or cannot be determined from taxes levied. Contacting buyers and sellers is the procedure that the Bureau of the Census employs to verify or obtain sales prices of property transfers. Sales data collected by the Bureau in the the Property Values Survey, Real Property Sales Phase (GP-31), is the focus of another analysis by the Economic Research Service in the continuing examination of the Bureau's real property value data. 14/

There is little question that real property transfer records contain useful data on land values, ownership, and changes in ownership. There is a question, however, as to whether these data can be transformed into useful information. Access to the data is difficult, usually fragmented at the local level in offices portrayed as musty courthouse basements of land records. Inconsistencies in recordkeeping exist among local offices. Data retrieval can be time consuming and expensive. The latter problem is evidenced by the GP-2 survey data. Over 21 percent of the jurisdictions responding to the GP-2 questionnaire did not estimate the number of transfer instruments by document category, many stating that this determination would be too time consuming or costly.

If these data were transformed into useful information, how beneficial would the information be? An analysis of land title transfer records assessed the usefulness of transfer data to agricultural economists concerned with land ownership, value, and use (8). The report concluded that as a source of ownership information, presumably ownership type, at least individual or corporate, could be determined from the owners' names contained in transfer records. Changes in the structure of ownership, whether becoming concentrated among a few or distributed among many owners, also could be determined from the owners' names. The names of owners may not provide definitive information, however, in cases where beneficial ownership is concealed. The use of corporation or nominee names to mask the identity of individual owners has been studied with regard to foreign ownership of agricultural land (4).

As a land value source, sales prices contained in transfer records provide a measure of the transferred land's market value. However, value estimates per unit area may be limited by the lack of parcel size data. Only 59 percent of

<sup>14/</sup> Another important data item in the Property Values Survey is parcel size, which is necessary to estimate value per unit area.

all jurisdictions reported that at least some transfer records contained size data. Other analyses have questioned the usefulness of real property transfer data for estimating land values. One controversy is whether sales prices provide a more accurate measure of value than that determined by the income capitalization method (1, 5, 9). The appropriateness of using real property sales data as a measure of value for large areas or entire classes of land is also questionable (2).

The limitations of transfer data may hinder their use for research purposes. However, standardizing forms and definitions and improving coordination among data collectors and users could increase the usefulness of real property transfer information. Multipurpose land data systems can facilitate the usage of land information by many professional groups.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) Barlowe, Raleigh. Land Resource Economics. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1958, pp. 201-04.
- (2) Barnard, Charles, and Gene Wunderlich. "Comparing Farmland Sales with the Actual USDA Land Values Index." Unpublished paper. December 1983.
- (3) Committee on the Improvement and Modernization of Land Records. "Land Information Systems for the Twenty-First Century," Real Property, Probate and Trust Journal, 15(4):890-900.
- (4) DeBraal, J. Peter, and T. Alexander Majchrowicz. "Foreign Ownership of U.S. Agricultural Land Through December 31, 1981," ERS Staff Report No. AGES820409. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., April 1982, pp. 59-62.
- (5) Healy, Robert G., and James L. Short. The Market for Rural Land: Trends, Issues, Policies. Washington, D.C., The Conservation Foundation, 1981, pp. 1-2, 109-18.
- (6) International Association of Assessing Officers. Improving Real Property Assessment, A Reference Manual. Chicago, III., 1978, pp. 89, 384.
- (7) Majchrowicz, T. Alexander. "Information Contained in Local Assessment Records, 1972 to 1982," ERS Staff Report No. AGES831216. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., January 1984.
- (8) Majchrowicz, T. Alexander. "The Agricultural Economist's Use of Land Title Transfer Records: An Assessment of Value." Paper prepared for the Urban and Regional Information Systems Association Conference, Seattle, Washington, August 12-15, 1984.
- (9) Renne, Roland R. Land Economics. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1958, pp. 522-23.
- (10) U.S. Dept. of Agr. and U.S. Dept. of Commerce. "Land Title Recording in the United States: A Statistical Summary," State and Local Government Special Studies No. 67, March 1974.
- (11) U.S. Dept. of Commerce, U.S. Bureau of the Census. "State and Local Ratio Studies, Property Tax Assessment, and Transfer Taxes," Special Studies No. 99, October 1980, pp. 41-42.

Form Approved: O.M.B. No. 041-S80028

Information supplied by Name			SURVEY OF REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER RECORDS  1982 Census of Governments						
								Title	
	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y								
Agency									
i en ge									
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)									
	Telephone		(Please	correct any error in name	and address including Z	IP code)			
Area code	Number	Extension	RETURN TO		he Census vernments Division , D.C. 20233				
	placed on real property we use sales price as We select the samp country and then comation collected is In order that we may the content and for obtain that inform 3 weeks. For your	perties to the and select a sarple of sales from the buy held strictly an ay plan our date or mat of transfation. We hop convenience, we	"market value" of to imple of properties the orn the transfer recover or seller of the poly id permanently conficts a collection procedure fer records maintained to that you will be a	nt of data on the relatives properties. As a state were sold within the research for data regardential and used for state es efficiently, we need to fill it out and religiong label and a preader appreciated.	measure of "market e period covered by the orders' offices through ling the sale. All of the tistical purposes only. certain information resign s questionnaire is inte	e study.  e study.  nout the  ne infor-  egarding  nded to			
	VINCENT P. BARA Director Bureau of the Censi Enclosures	N							
	office keep recor			Name of other agency		-			
a. TYes		,	<b>1</b>						
b. No - If some other office maintain records for your area, please			s such	Address (Number and	street)				
	that office and r	eturn the ques	stionnaire /	City		Ý			
enclosed preaddressed envelo				State	ZIP	code			

	Section A - CONTENT OF RECORDS AND MANNER OF PROCESSING							
1.	<ol> <li>With respect to the items specified below, if the real property transfer records in your office contain this information for ALL real property transfers, mark (X) "All transfers." If your records contain the information only for SOME transfers, mark (X) "Some transfers."</li> </ol>							
	Items of Information		nsfers		tems of Informa	!	Tran	sfers
	The state of the s	All	Some				All,	Some
	a. Document number of instrument b. Parcel number of property c. Name of seller or grantor d. Address of seller or grantor e. Name of buyer or grantee f. Address of buyer or grantee g. Street or other local address			(1) L (2) I (3) T o. Actual use of residential,	_and mprovements  \[ \text{Otal } \]  of property (s \)  commercial,	ingle-family agriculture,		
	of property			p. Zoning clas q. Amount of tr (via stamps r. Name of juri	sification ransfer or doc or other) isdiction (mur	cumentary tax		
	j. Date transfer was recorded			township, et s. Address of p transfer doc	tc.) person to who ument (e.g., v nailed	om copy of		> _
2.	Specify the types of indexes for recording translated Mark (X) where applicable	ansfer	s of rea			jurisdiction.		
	a. Grantor-Grantee index books b. Tract index – An index based on location of the land parcel. Thus all documents pertaining to parcels in a specific location are indexed consecutively (usually a separate page for each platted subdivision and, in rural areas, for each section in each township).							
	Indicate means used for storage and available  a. Photocopy  b. Microfilm, reel  c. Microfiche  Is above information concerning real property			d.  Aperd e.  Aceta f.  Other	ture card ate jacket r — Specify <u> </u>		e appli	icable
	a.  Yes b. No - Plea	se skij	p to sed	ction B, question				
5.	5. Is this file maintained and utilized by — Mark (X) where applicable  a.   Members of your staff  b.   The staff of your government's central data  processing office or agency  c.   A commercial tabulation service  (i.e., service bureau)							
6.	Working with members of your staff and/or th it be possible for the Bureau of the Census t Mark (X) where applicable	to achi	ieve one	r government's of or more of the	data processi following obj	ng department, w ectives?	ould	
	o. Obtain a copy, listing, or magnetic tape of all transfers of ownership of real property parcels during a specified 6 months or one year period.  (1) Yes  (2) No  NOTE - The Bureau of the Census will supply blank tapes as needed.							
	b. Using specifications provided by the E Census, select a sample of parcels fro transferred real property parcels for a smonths or one year period.  (1) Yes (2) No	om the	files of					
7.	If your answer to either part of question 6 ab the person whom we may contact for answers	ove is	''Yes,' chnical	" please give us questions about	s the name, ti	tle, and address	of	
	Name				Title			
Address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)  Telephone								
	The second secon				Area code	Number	Exten	sion

NOTE — As to examples of record layouts, see s	ection D, item 2	2d. ∢		
Section B - VOLUME AND REPORTING OF REAL PR	ROPERTY TRA	NSFERS		
	Total nu calendar or year			
<ol> <li>How many transfer instruments were recorded (or registered) during the period(s) indicated?</li> </ol>				
a. Deeds (other than "deeds of trust," see item Ic below)			A distribution	
(1) Warranty or equivalent				
(2) Quitclaim	***			
(3) Other – Specify			/	
b. Land contracts, contracts for sale, or equivalent				
c. Mortgages or deeds of trust				
d. Title registration certificates (Torrens-type registration)				
2. Reporting transfer information a. To whom do you report or regularly provide data on real property tran	sfers?	e de la companya de l		
		Form and content, as to each tra (Mark (X) as applicable)		
AGENCY OR ORGANIZATION	Copy of document	Summary abstract		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
State agency (Name and address)				
Assessing official(s) (Name and address)				
Tax billing official(s) (Name and address)				
<ul> <li>Ordinarily what is the longest time interval between RECORDING of transfer and REPORTING information about it to State and local office</li> </ul>	a real estate cials?	Number of	days	
Section C - PROPERTY TRANSFER TAXES AND RECO	RDATION REQ	UIREMENT	S	
1. Is a State transfer tax (or "documentary" or "recordation" tax) impose your jurisdiction?  a. Yes  b. No	d on transfers o	f real prope	erty in	
			<del> </del>	
2. What is the State tax based on?  a.   Total sales price  b.   Total sales price less exclusions — Indicate amount of exclusions here				
c. Net sales price (i.e., exclusive of encumbrances) d. Other — Specify	<u> </u>			
3. What is the rate of the State tax?				
<b>a.</b> Amount		\$		
b. Percent.			%	
c. Other – Specify				
		notation on	transfer document	
b. Declaration d. Other	er - Specify _			

FORM GP-2 (7-23-80)

Section C - PROPERTY TRANSFER TAXES AN		MENTS - Continued
5. Are specific types of transfers exempt from the State to a.   Yes b.  No	0x?	
6. Who collects the State tax? a. County treasurer b. County recording official	c. Municipal treasure d. Other — Specify_	
7. If any local transfer (or "documentary" or "recordation specify levying unit. If none is imposed, skip to section. County  b. Municipality	n'') tax is imposed on transfer n D. c.  Other — Specify	s of real property,
8. What is the local tax based on?  a Total sales price b Total sales price less exclusions — Indicate amo c Net sales price (i.e., exclusive of encumbrances d Other — Specify		\$
9. What is the rate of the local tax? a. Amount		
<b>c.</b> Other — Specify		%
10. How is the sales price documented for the local tax?  a. Affidavit  b. Declaration  c. Stamps, imprint, or notation on transfer document	d. Other - Specify	
11. Are specific types of transfers exempt from local tax?  a.   Yes  b.   No  No  12. Which office collects the local tax? Specify		
Section D - REVISIONS	AND SAMPLE MATERIALS	
1. Are you revising or do you expect to revise your propert change your answers to any of the above questions?  a. Yes - Explain and give approximate time periods when changes will occur.	y transfer recording system in	a way which would
<ol> <li>It will be most helpful if you can send us sample materi label is being supplied to facilitate transmittal. Mark ( under separate cover.</li> </ol>	als which illustrate your system X) below to indicate materials	em. A preaddressed you are forwarding
<ul> <li>a.  A sample sheet, card, or facsimile of the records section A, question I</li> <li>b.  A sample copy of the reporting form(s) for sending agencies, or others, referred to in section B, question</li> </ul>	g transfer information to local	
<ul> <li>A copy of your latest periodic report</li> <li>A description of the record layout used in recording indicating the items of information which are recordinated actions of the special codes utilized, the physical characteristic other information needed to interpret the file</li> <li>A schedule of recording fees</li> </ul>	rded for each parcel, the numb various items in the record, the	per of digits or the meanings of any
f. Coding instructions or specifications pertinent to	any item	
NOTE - Attach additional sheets if you wish to sup questions, or to provide significant additional facts	plement your answers to any o about your recording system a	f the foregoing nd office organization.

FORM GP-2 (7-23-80)

