

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

USDA's Economic Research Service has provided this report for historical research purposes.

Current reports are available in *AgEcon Search*

(http://ageconsearch.umn.edu) and on https://www.ers.usda.gov.



United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service https://www.ers.usda.gov



Federal Funds in 1979

WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION

DEPT. OF AGRIC. AND APPLIED ECONOMICS.

Geographic Distribution and **Recent Trends**

J. Norman Reid Charles I. Hendler **Eleanor Whitehead**

> WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION DEPT. OF AG. AND APPLIED ECONOMICS 1994 BUFORD AVE. - 232 COB UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA ST. PAUL, MN 55108 U.S.A.

93.44 AGES 820105

A

ates artment of culture

Economic Research Service

Economic Development Division

April 1982

636 R452

Federal Funds In 1979: Geographic Distribution and Recent Trends, by J. Norman Reid, Charles I. Hendler, and Eleanor Whitehead, Economic Development Division, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Washington, D.C. April 1982. ERS Staff Report No. AGES820105.

ABSTRACT

This report summarizes the distribution of Federal dollars in 1979 and assesses recent trends. Federal per capita funding remains unevenly divided among the regions; however, the advantage of the West and South may be moderating somewhat. The spread of some Federal programs into more rural counties may have helped reduce the nonmetro area funding deficit. Major differences remain in the way specific categories of programs are allocated. Nonmetro areas are behind urban areas in defense funding, but lead in community resources and agricultural programs. Poorer nonmetro counties are especially reliant on income security programs.

Keywords: Federal outlays, Federal spending, Federal aid, Federal programs, expenditure analysis, public policy, program analysis, rural development, urban policy.

i

CONTENTS

SUMMARY •••••••••••••iii INTRODUCTION 1 2 Growth in Federal Spending Previous Studies 2 Data on Federal Funds 6 Data Used in this Report 7 9 Interpretation of Federal Funds Data FEDERAL AGENCY ROLES 10 TYPES OF FEDERAL FUNDS 10 REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS 18 Recent Changes 19 Differences by Function 20 Differences in Program Types 22

FEDERAL FUNDS TO LOW-INCOME AREAS Distribution of Low-income Counties Differences Among Income Levels	24
METRO-NONMETRO DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS Trends in Metro-Nonmetro Funding Distribution Among Program Types Distribution Among Functions	32
CONCLUSION	40
LITERATURE CITED	74

Page

SUMMARY

This report analyzes the distribution of Federal dollars among county areas during fiscal 1979 and assesses major trends that have occurred in recent years. The report is organized around several major themes: the changing roles of individual Federal agencies; the types of programs providing Federal assistance; the allocation of dollars among regions of the country; the division of funds according to the income level of nonmetro counties; and the distribution of funds among metro and nonmetro areas.

During the last two decades, the Federal budget has grown rapidly, both in total dollars and as a percentage of the Nation's total economic output. Despite its importance, however, the full implications of the budget, and especially its geographic distribution, are not well understood. This results partly from the inadequacy of data on Federal funding. The Federal funds data reported by the Community Services Administration (CSA) are the most complete base of data on the distribution of Federal dollars. However, even these data are not always accurately reported at the county level. As a result, only 87 percent of the Federal funds reported by CSA could be used in this report.

It is easy to draw misleading conclusions from figures on the distribution of Federal funds. Many programs have benefits that accrue to areas broader than those represented in the data. Some programs buy useful services or facilities for a community, while others may only contribute to local payrolls or purchases. Some funds may escape the receiving community without having either sort of benefit.

In 1979 over half of all Federal funds were concentrated in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (later reorganized into two departments) and the Defense Department. Most other departments made up under five percent of the total. HEW grew the fastest during the seventies; Defense grew slower than any other cabinet department, due mainly to the ending of the Vietnam War.

Transfer payments make up a third of Federal funds and have increased in importance in recent years. Only loan programs have grown faster. Grants-in-aid and administrative costs have lagged behind as a source of growth in Federal funding.

Regional distribution of Federal funds remains uneven, with the West and South leading the Nation in per capita receipts. These differences among regions are narrowing, but only slightly.

The Nation's nonmetro areas include a disproportionately high number of low income counties, most of which are found in the South. Except for a few high income counties with very high funding, nonmetro counties received nearly identical average per capita dollar amounts regardless of their income level. The functional makeup of funding varies among income levels, however. The poorest counties rely more on income security and community development programs. Wealthier counties rely more on defense and energy funds.

While nonmetro counties lag behind metro areas in per capita funding, the gap has narrowed slightly in the last few years, especially in the most rural areas. They now exceed the national average in per capita funding.

Several important programs have spread into a wider number of counties, so that some nonmetro counties now have greater access to federally-funded services than before. However, central counties of large metro areas remain the best funded areas.

Nonmetro counties rely more heavily on loans and transfer payments; metro areas obtain more of their funds from contracts (especially defense) and from salaries and administrative expenses. Defense funds are much less important to nonmetro counties than metro areas. Income security programs are somewhat more important in nonmetro areas. Not surprisingly, agricultural and natural resources programs are most significant in the most rural counties, where they greatly outrank defense dollars as a source of funds.

FEDERAL FUNDS IN 1979: GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION AND RECENT TRENDS

J. Norman Reid, Charles I. Hendler, and Eleanor Whitehead */

INTRODUCTION

One of the most widely discussed issues in recent years is the size, distribution, and impact of the Federal budget. The discussion has spawned a number of studies reporting on the geographic distribution of Federal funds.1/ However, most of these have focused only on funding to broad regions and have omitted consideration of smaller areas, such as cities, counties, depressed areas, or urban-rural groupings. Yet it is at the level of such local area groupings that analysis of funding is the most meaningful. To what extent is Federal funding directed toward low income areas, rather than higher income places? In what ways is the package of funds in rural areas different from that going into the Nation's urban counties? And how have these funding patterns shifted in recent years? These are among the questions that this report seeks to address.

The report is organized in six parts. The remainder of the introduction reviews trends in Federal spending and discusses the data used in this report. The second part considers recent changes in the funding levels of the major Federal departments and the third discusses the types of programs that make up the total. In the fourth section we examine the regional distribution of Federal funds and assess shifts that have occurred in recent years. The fifth section analyzes the distribution of funds among nonmetropolitan areas in relation to their income levels. In the final section, we compare the

*/ Reid and Whitehead are with the State and Local Government Program Area; Economic Development Division; Economic Research Service. Hendler was also with the State and Local Government Program Area at the time the study was initiated.

1/ A variety of terms are used to describe the Federal budget and its distribution. Some common ones, such as expenditures and outlays, have precise meanings that are more limited than the subject of this report. Unlike many analyses, this one includes both expenditures and non-expenditure fiscal activity such as loan guarantees. Also, in general the figures represent obligations (commitments) rather than actual budget outlays. To avoid confusion, a general term--Federal funds--is used in this report except where a more specific term is appropriate. division of Federal funds among metropolitan and nonmetropolitan county groupings.

Growth in Federal Spending The most salient feature of the Federal budget is its continued growth (figure 1). Since 1960, Federal spending for all functions has risen by 452 percent, from under \$100 billion to more than \$530 billion in fiscal 1979. Much of this increase, of course, can be attributed to the inflation in the economy that has pushed the costs of providing even a constant level of services higher and higher. This can be seen in figure 1, where the curve showing spending in constant dollars rises much more slowly than the curve showing spending in current dollars. Even so, spending in these real terms more than doubled during this period of expansion in the Federal budget.

In relation to the Nation's total economic activity, or Gross National Product (GNP), Federal budget increases have not been radical (figure 2). Nor have they always occurred at a constant pace. Still by 1979, Federal spending made up 22.7 percent of GNP, 3.3 points higher than the level in 1960. This represents a 17 percent increase during the period.


One of the important functions of the Federal government over the years has been to provide support for many services carried out by State and local levels of government. Since 1960 the percentage of State and local budgets financed with Federal grants has risen steadily from less than 12 percent to more than 18 percent (figure 3). The most rapid increases came during the late sixties, when many "Great Society" programs were being implemented, and in the early seventies. The greatest rise was in 1973, the first year of the general revenue sharing program. Except during the height of the Vietnam War in the late sixties, the increase was steady until 1979, when Federal aid declined slightly as a percent of all State and local revenues.

Previous Studies

Despite the continued importance of Federal spending, many aspects of it--in particular, its geographic distribution and local impacts--are not thoroughly understood. There have been relatively few comprehensive reports on the geographic distribution of Federal funds and even fewer that have attempted to measure their effect.2/ There are several reasons for this. Not only is the Federal budget large but it is very complex, comprised of thousands of programs that encompass a wide range of specific activities. To comprehend all of these Federal

 $\frac{2}{10}$. The most comprehensive recent reports are $\frac{3}{5}$, $\frac{5}{9}$, and $\frac{10}{10}$. For an extensive bibliography, see $\frac{3}{5}$, p. 187.


Fig. 1--Federal Spending, 1960-1979



Billion Dollars

Fiscal Year


Fig. 2--Federal Spending as a Percent of GNP, 1960-1979



Percent of Gross National Product

4

Fig. 3--Federal Aid as a Percent of State and Local Government Revenues, 1960-1979



Fiscal Year

5

programs is a major task, and to measure the many ways they affect the American economy and public well-being is many times more difficult. The task is made more difficult by the lack of adequate information that identifies and describes Federal programs (7).3/

Basic information on the objectives and operations of individual programs has long been an important need $(\underline{7})$ and it continues to be in short supply. Data on the levels of funding for individual programs is more easily obtained, though, as we consider in the next section, what is available has many shortcomings.

Of the several major sources of Federal funding data, only one provides annual reporting on the geographic distribution of Federal funds that is both comprehensive and highly detailed (for a review of these data sources, see 3, pp. 12-20 and 127-30). That data source--the U.S. Community Services Administration's <u>Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds</u> 4/--is the basis for this report.

The CSA Federal funds data series has several important advantages. First, it is more comprehensive than any other base of data on Federal funding, extending to most executive branch programs and including loans, loans guarantees, and insurance, in addition to the grants-in-aid, transfer payments, contracts, salaries, and other administrative expenditures--programs that are more commonly included in reports on Federal fiscal activity. Second, it is highly detailed, with separate entries for nearly 1,900 program categories in 1979. Third, it is the only source that presents figures on total Federal funding to each of the Nation's counties and its cities over 25,000 population. Finally, it is widely available, being published annually in both printed form and on computer tape.5/

Somewhat offsetting their advantages, the Federal funds data have several important drawbacks. First, much of the data reported for individual counties is based on estimates rather than fiscal accounting; sometimes the estimates use methods that are too inaccurate to permit use of the data.

3/ Underscored numbers refer to items in the Literature Cited section at the end of this report.

4/ These reports were formerly known as the Federal Outlays reports.

5/ The annual reports may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service. The computer tapes may be purchased from the Machine Readable Tapes Division of the National Archives and Records Service.

Data on Federal Funds Second, some funds--though credited to the proper "recipient" locality--have little or no impact on the place to which they are reported, thus overstating the Federal Government's effect on that area. And in still other cases--mainly formula grants to States--the flow of funds has not been traced beyond each State's capital city to the cities and counties that are the ultimate beneficiaries.

Data Used in this Report Because of these shortcomings, analyses must be limited to those programs judged to be reliably reported. Thus, except where noted, this report is based on the 87 percent of Federal funds contained in the Community Services Administration's reports that are judged to be reliable for county-level analysis.

The programs judged reliable are categorized in table 1 by the function they support. In general, the most usable figures are for community and economic development programs; 99 percent of total funds for that function are included in this report. For most other functions, over 80 percent of total dollars could be used in this report. Only for two categories of programs--"education and social services" and "other" functions--were less than 75 percent of funds reported.

Programs are omitted from the report for several reasons. Some 4.2 percent of the funds involving formula grants to States are reported to the State capital city and are not traced to their ultimate beneficiaries. Another 5.7 percent are estimated using methods judged not reliable enough for analysis. The remainder are funds mainly spent overseas, though reported to a U.S. city (1.9 percent), or that otherwise improperly report the location of fund recipients (1.5 percent). Farm export financing programs are included in the latter group.

The report includes Defense Department contracts, though their reporting basis has been much criticized (3, p. 20). As reported to the Community Services Administration, they are traced only to the location of the prime contractor. However, defense contracts are often complex, and any single contract may involve a number of subcontractors, each of which supplies some portion of the finished product. As a result, by the time the funds are actually spent for salaries and materials, they may be allocated quite differently from the way prime contracts are distributed. Despite this, defense contracts are included in this report because they are such an important part of the total budget. The reader should keep this limitation in mind.

:		mber of	• Feder	al funds	
Function	pr	ograms	•	 1	
	Total	: Acceptably : reported	Total	Acceptab reporte	
		Number-	-Billion	dollars-	
		<u>Humber</u>	DITION	dollars	rercent
Total acceptably reported funds	1898	1704	556.7	482.8	87
Agriculture and natural resources	260	237	25.2	22.3	89
Agricultural assistance	31	28	16.8	14.7	88
Agricultural research and services :	42	42	1.3	1.3	100
Forest and land management :	80	65	3.0	2.3	77
Water and recreational resources :	107	102	4.1	4.0	98
Community resources :	346	332	95.6	94.3	99
Business assistance :	45	45	6.2	6.2	100
Community facilities :	14	13	12.6	12.6	100
Community and regional development :	51	46	9.4	9.3	99
Housing	50	49	25.4	25.3	100
Housingveterans :	6	6	17.1	17.1	100
Native Americans :	41	41	1.4	1.4	100
Revenue sharing	2	2	4.5	4.5	100
Transportation :	137	130	19.0	17.8	94
Human resources	218	185	25.7	18.6	72
Education and social services	111	93	11.6	5.8	50
Health services	74	65	3.1	2.5	81
Training and employment	33	27	11.0	10.3	94
Income security	72	53	189.1	171.2	91
Medical and hospital benefits :	6	. 5	41.7	40 . 9	98
Public assistance and unemployment	48	32	26.1	21.7	83
Retirement and disability	18	16	121.3	108.6	90
National resources	1002	897	221.1	176.4	80
Defense contracts	7	6	62.3	56.3	9 0
Defense payrolls and administration	13	9	43.9	43.9	100
Energy and space :	214	207	16.4	16.3	99
Higher education and research	329	316	11.1	10.6	96
Veterans benefits	29	28	20.7	20.7	100
Other functions	410	331	66.7	28.6	43

Table 1--Federal funds acceptably reported at the county level, fiscal 1979

8

Interpretation of Federal Funds Data

Some caution should be observed in interpreting data on the geographic distribution of Federal funds. Though one is drawn to the conclusion that areas with the highest total funds receive the most benefits, there are several reasons why this may not always be true.

Areas Served

The benefits from Federal programs are not always limited to the communities where the funds are spent (and where they are reported). Flood control projects constructed in upstream counties have obvious benefits for downstream counties as well, even though no funds may be spent in them. Defense purchases made in manufacturing centers benefit the entire Nation.

In other cases, benefits are more localized. The construction of housing or health clinics, for instance, mainly benefits the community where the funds are spent or loans made.

The Federal funds data themselves indicate only the area in which the dollars are spent or loaned. How general or how localized program benefits may be is impossible to determine without careful examination of individual programs.

Income and Employment Not only do Federal funds buy a service or public good (such as Effects energy research, a highway, or physical therapy) but they may also contribute to the level of economic activity in the area that receives the funds. Federal funds are used to pay salaries, purchase equipment, and construct facilities, often raising local incomes and leading to higher employment levels. These funds may be respent within the same community, thus having a multiplier effect within the area.

> Like program benefits, however, these secondary economic benefits are not always localized. While some programs may pay local salaries or increase local incomes by purchasing locallyproduced goods, others are used to hire workers from outside the community (typical on many construction jobs) or to buy products made elsewhere, even in another State. To the extent that Federal funds are spent outside the community, the income and employment benefits from the funds will spill over local boundaries into other areas. Since this is more likely to happen for some programs (purchases of manufactured goods, construction) than for others (salaries or retirement benefits), one cannot assume that each area's economic benefits are proportional to its share of funds, especially since the mix of Federal programs varies significantly from place to place (<u>8</u>).

Loans and Grants

Federal funds are transferred to local areas by several methods. A major distinction can be made between spending and loans. Spending includes transfers such as contracts, grants-in-aid, salaries of Federal employees, retirement and welfare payments, and various purchases. Expenditures usually need not be returned to the Federal Treasury. Loans, on the other hand, must be repaid (federally-guaranteed loans are repaid to private lenders). Because of this, the value of a loan to a community may be much less than that of an equivalent expenditure for the same purpose (8).

Loans also vary in value, since they differ in both repayment period and amount of interest subsidy. Thus, areas that receive the same gross amount of loans may derive different levels of benefits. A forty-year loan is worth more to a community than a fifteen-year loan. Likewise, a 5-percent interest rate carries a higher subsidy than a 10-percent loan.


These distinctions are important since there is considerable variation from place to place in the ratio of spending to loans. Nonmetropolitan areas generally receive a larger percentage of their funding from loans (including both direct loans and loan guarantees), thus overstating somewhat the level of benefits these areas receive from the Federal funding process (figure 4). There are also some important differences among the Nation's regions (figure 5). Since the data reported here give only the face value of loans and not their true value to the receiving community, comparisons of the benefits among individual areas are difficult.


FEDERAL AGENCY ROLES The total of Federal funds distributed by individual Federal departments are one indication of their relative importance in the public economy. Such a comparison is made in table 2, which reports funding by major department or agency for fiscal years 1970, 1975, and 1979. Because the figures include property transfers, loans, and loan guarantees, they give a more complete picture of each department's fiscal activity than is provided by looking at expenditures alone.

In fiscal 1979, as indeed throughout the seventies, Federal funding was concentrated in two departments--Health, Education and Welfare (HEW) 6/ and Defense--which together accounted for over half of the total. HEW accounted for the largest share--\$182 billion, or nearly a third of all Federal funds. Defense was the only other department with more than \$100 billion in funds and made up just under 20 percent of the total.

6/ The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare has since been divided into the Department of Education and the Department of Health and Human Services.

Fig. 4--Types of Federal Funds to Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas, Fiscal 1979






Metro

*Includes both direct loans and loan guarantees.

Fig. 5--Types of Federal Funds, by Region, Fiscal 1979



Federal department	:	Fiscal 1	970	: Fiscal 1975 : Fiscal 1979		: Change, 1970-79			
	Bi	llion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent
Agriculture	:	14.1	6.8	21.5	6.1	47.7	8.4	33.6	239.0
Commerce	:	1.2	•6	2.3	•7	5.0	•9	3.8	330.9
Defense	:	57.7	28.0	77.9	22.2	108.8	19.2	51.1	88.7
Energy	:			⁻		11.81/	2.1	11.8	
Health, Education,	:								
and Welfare $\frac{2}{}$:	52.5	25.5	112.1	32.0	181.6	32.2	129.1	245.8
Housing and Urban	:						1		
Development <u>3</u> /	:	11.9	5.8	8.0	2.3	28.1	5.0	16.2	135.7
Interior	:	2.4	1.2	3.5	1.0	5.8	1.0	3.4	143.1
Justice	:	•6	•2	1.5	•4	1.7	•3	1.1	195.9
Labor	:	2.4	1.2	6.8	1.9	15.2	2.7	12.8	530.5
State	:	• 4	•2	• 6	•2	1.1	• 2	.7	172.5
Transportation	:	7.2	3.5	13.0	3.7	16.6	2.9	9.5	131.9
Treasury	:	16.0	7.7	30.9	8.8	39.3	7.0	23.3	146.1
Veterans Adminis-	:								
tration	:	13.4	6.5.	25.4	7.2	37.7	6.7	24.3	181.1
All other agencies	:	26.3	12.8	47.4	13.5	64.3	11.4	38.0	144.6
Total	:	206.0	100.0	350.9	100.0	564.8	100.0	358.8	174.2

Table 2--Federal funds by department, 1970-79

Note: Columns may not total to 100 percent due to rounding. Table based on 100 percent of Federal funds reported by the Community Services Administration.

-- Not applicable or none.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Some Energy Department functions were transferred from other departments. $\frac{2}{2}$ The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare was subsequently divided into the Department of Education and the Department of Health and Human Services.

3/ Figures for the Department of Housing and Urban Development may be understated somewhat due to the omission of some of its programs from the Federal funds reports for some years.

Source: U.S. Community Services Administration, Federal Outlays reports for fiscal 1970, 1975; Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds report for fiscal 1979.

Ц

Only four other agencies accounted for as much as 5 percent of total Federal funds. Of these, the Agriculture Department had the highest total (8.4 percent), followed by the Treasury Department (7.0 percent), Veterans Administration (6.7 percent), and the Department of Housing and Urban Development (5.0 percent). The remaining agencies accounted for 21.5 percent of the total, or only slightly more than the Defense Department's funding.

The concentration of federally-funded activities in a few major departments continues the pattern that was evident throughout the seventies. In both 1970 and 1975, HEW and Defense distributed over half of all Federal funds, with most of the remaining funds in the hands of very few agencies.


However, there were some important shifts in the relative size of agency activities during the seventies. The major change was the emergence of HEW as the largest funder and the slowed growth of Defense Department funding as the Vietnam War drew to a close. HEW funding grew almost two and a half times during the period, while the Defense Department's budget increased 89 percent, less than any other cabinet department.

Among the cabinet departments, the Labor Department grew the fastest (531 percent), followed by Commerce (331 percent), HEW (246 percent), and Agriculture (239 percent). These changes made Agriculture the third largest funding agency. Labor and Commerce, however, started with small funding totals and even these rapid increases did not place them among the larger agencies.

The five largest agencies had the largest dollar increases and accounted for nearly three-fourths of the growth in funding. HEW's funding rose \$129 billion during the seventies, or more than the <u>total</u> funding for any other department in 1979. Funding for the Defense Department increased \$51 billion, followed by Agriculture (\$34 billion), the Veterans Administration (\$24 billion), and the Treasury Department (\$23 billion).

The increases in funding also brought new roles for many Federal agencies. While these changes are too numerous to describe in detail here, the altered mission of the Department of Agriculture illustrates the point. Traditionally, USDA's programs have concentrated on farmer assistance and related support programs, and in 1970 nearly three-fourths of USDA's budget went for these programs (figure 6). During the seventies, however, USDA assumed new responsibilities in the areas of community and economic development and food and nutrition. Total funding for these programs increased almost seven and a half times between 1970 and 1979; by 1979 they accounted for 53

Fig. 6--USDA Funding by Function, 1970 and 1979



percent of USDA's funding. Though farm-oriented programs grew by 83 percent during this period, they declined in relation to other USDA programs and accounted for only 38 percent of the Department's budget in 1979.

TYPES OF FEDERAL FUNDS Federal funds include several major program types. The usual image of Federal funding, of course, is spending to cover the salaries of public officials and the costs of administering Federal Government responsibilities. In fact, however, these salaries and administrative expenses made up only 18 percent of all Federal funds in fiscal 1979 (table 3). A much larger share of funds (33 percent) went for transfer payments to the retired or poor and to farmers and businesses. Contracts, mainly for Defense Department purchases, and grants to States, local governments, universities, and private groups each accounted for about a sixth of total funds.

Not all Federal funds are actually expenditures. Loans made directly by the Federal Government and Federal guarantees of loans by private lenders comprise a slightly smaller share of funds than grants-in-aid (14 percent). The remaining Federal funds, made up largely of insurance payments and the value of donated property, amount to less than one percent of the total.

In broad outline, the division of Federal funds among types of programs changed relatively little between 1978 and 1979. As a percentage of all Federal funds, no program type changed in order of importance and none gained or lost more than 1.6 percentage points.

However, this apparent stability masks some rather fundamental recent shifts in Federal fiscal activity. New issues of direct and guaranteed loans have undergone drastic increases in recent years. Between 1978 and 1979, they grew by more than 25 percent and though they still make up under 15 percent of all Federal funds, they are presently the fastest growing program type. The popularity of these measures, due in part to their being handled outside the normal budgetary process, has grown throughout the seventies. Between 1975 and 1978, guaranteed loans increased 98 percent, while direct loans grew by over 478 percent (3, p. 239).

Transfer payments accounted for the largest increase (\$17.9 billion) and the second highest rate of growth (12.5 percent) between 1978 and 1979. These programs too are somewhat exempt from normal budgetary controls, and this may account for some of their growth. Most transfer payments are made under entitlement programs such as social security or medicare; they are considered to be largely uncontrollable parts of the

Program type	: Fiscal 197	'8 :	Fiscal 1	Change, 1978-1979	
	: Billion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent	Percent
Transfer payments	: 143.3	33.0	161.2	33.4	12.5
Salaries and expenses	83.7	19.3	88.5	18.3	5.8
Contracts	: : 76.5	17.6	84.0	17.4	9.7
Grants	: 74.3	17.1	78.6	16.3	5.8
Direct, guaranteed loans	: : : 55.1	12.7	69.3	14.3	25.8
All other	: 1.3	.3	1.3	•3	1
Total	: 434.2	100.0	482.8	100.0	11.2

Table 3--Federal funds by program type, fiscal 1978 and 1979

Source: U.S. Community Services Administration, national analysis summaries for 1978 and 1979, unpublished.

budget, since total expenditures are determined by the number of qualified applicants who make claims during any year and not by budget decisions at the start of that year. Between 1975 and 1978 total transfer payments rose by over 68 percent ($\underline{3}$, p. 237).

The rate of increase in other program categories has been much slower. Both grants and Federal salaries and expenses increased less than six percent between 1978 and 1979, less than enough to keep pace with inflation. For grants this is a marked change from earlier trends; grants-in-aid were a major growth item during most of the seventies, rising by 38 percent between 1975 and 1978 (3, p. 237). Contracts, mainly for defense purchases, grew by 9.7 percent between 1978 and 1979, or slightly less than the overall rate of increase for Federal funds.

REGIONAL DISTRIBU-TION OF FUNDS Federal funds are distributed rather unevenly among the Nation's regions (table 4). By far the largest amount of 1979 funds (\$172 billion) went to the South. The West (\$107 billion) and the North Central region (\$106 billion) received nearly identical amounts. The Northeast received the least (\$99 billion).

Region	:	Fede	1978		
	:	Total	:	Per capita	population
	:	Bil. Dol.		Dollars	Millions
Northeast	:	98.8		2,012	49.1
North Central	:	105.6		1,811	58.3
South	:	171.8		2,434	70.6
West	:	106.6		2,658	40.1
	:				

Table 4--Federal funds by Census region, fiscal 1979

Clearly some of these differences among regions result from the intended purposes of the Federal programs. For one thing, the populations of the regions differ considerably. While not all Federal funds ought to be allocated evenly according to population, comparisons of the per-capita distribution of funds is one method, commonly used, of assessing equity in the distribution of dollars. The West receives the largest share of dollars per capita (\$2,658) since its funds are distributed among fewer people than the other regions. The South, with both the most dollars and the most residents, is next (\$2,434). The Northeast has the fewest dollars but also a relatively small population; it has the third highest per-capita total (\$2,012). The North Central States have 19 percent more population than the North-east, but only 7 percent more funds, leaving them last (\$1,811).

Recent Changes

These regional differences have persisted over time. The positions of the regions are illustrated in figure 7, which shows the percentage by which each region's per-capita total differs from the national average. Between 1976 and 1979 there was some slight moderation in the differences, and all regions except the South moved closer to the national per-capita average.7/ However, except in the West these movements were slight and it is not clear that they signal any fundamental shift in the allocation of Federal funds.

Differences by Function The division of total Federal funds among functions is by no means uniform across the regions (table 5). The largest function is national resources, comprised mainly of defense and space programs. These programs provide more funds in the West and South than any other category and account for around forty percent of the total in both regions. In the Northeastern and North Central regions, however, income security programs are the largest source of funds, mainly due to the large amounts of support payments in the Northeast and the low levels of defense, space, and energy funding in the North Central region.


In all regions, community resources programs--including business development, community development, housing, and public infrastructure programs--ranked as the third most important source of Federal funding; they accounted for about a fifth of all funds nationally. Housing programs make up the largest portion of this category and are the main reason why per-capita community resources funding is so high in the West. The South also ranks high in community resources funds due to high funding levels for business and community development and transportation.

Agricultural and natural resources programs total less than five percent of all funds nationally. They are especially important in the North Central region, where--due to large

7/ This finding parallels similar trends reported by ACIR for the 1969-1976 period. See 1, p. 60.

Fig. 7--Regional Differences in Per Capita Funding

Percent Difference from U.S. Average



Function	: United	Northoget	North	South	West
,	: States		Central	:	
	•	- <u>Dc</u>	ollars-		
Agriculture and natural resources	• • 102	20	127	117	143
Agricultural assistance	: 68	9	108	79	61
Agricultural research and services	: 6	2	5	11	4
Forest and land management	: 11	2	5		34
Water and recreational resources	: 18	7	10	19	43
Community resources	: : 432	294	337	523	580
Business assistance	: 28	19	23	40	26
Community facilities	: 58	31	70	73	45
Community and regional development	: 43	43	26	69	21
Housing	: 116	66	87	116	219
Housingveterans	: 78	23	48	105	143
Native Americans	: 6	0.3	4	4	22
Revenue sharing	: 21	24	19	19	22
Transportation	: 82	87	60	96	81
	: 02	07	00	20	01
luman resources	: 85	91	68	90	95
Education and social services	: 27	24	20	32	31
Health services	: 11	12	8	15	9
Training and employment	: 47	55	39	44	55
Income security	: : 785	912	762	753	719
Medical and hospital benefits	: 188	245	183	167	161
Public assistance and unemployment	: 99	115	78	107	101
Retirement and disability	• 498	553	501	482	455
Recifement and disability	: 450		101	402	455
lational resources	: 809	695	518	951	1121
Defense contracts	: 258	314	175	213	392
Defense payrolls and administration	: 201	95	93	303	311
Energy and space	: 75	28	28	103	150
Higher education and research	: 48	55	33	60	43
Veterans benefits	: 95	85	78 .	115	96
Other functions	: 131	119	111	158	129
11 functions	: : 2214	2012	1811	2434	2658
	:	—M	illion-		
	:				
opulation	:218.1	49.1	58.3	70.6	40.1

Table 5-Per capita Federal funds, by region, fiscal 1979

Note: Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

9 6 amounts of payments to farmers--they make up 7 percent of the total. However, larger outlays for land management and natural resources leave per-capita levels of funding highest in the West. This function makes up only a very small part of the funds going to the Northeastern region, which has neither extensive Federal land holdings as the West nor a major agricultural economy as in the North Central or Southern regions.

What we call human resource programs--education, health, and employment programs--account for the smallest portion of Federal dollars. More of these funds per capita go to the West than to any other region; the least go to the North Central States.

Differences in Program Types The regions differ greatly in the kinds of programs that are important to them (figure 5). While transfer payments are the most widely used program type nationally, they are much more important to the Northeast and North Central regions--where they make up over 40 percent of all funds--than in the South and West, where they account for 30 and 26 percent respectively. Federal employee salaries, on the other hand, are more significant to Southern and Western States, and they make up almost twice as large a percentage as in the "frostbelt" States. Contracts--mainly for defense purchases--are a very important part of Federal funding in the West; they are much less critical, though still significant, to the other regions.

Taken together, grants and loans make up what may be called Federal "assistance." Grants are mainly made to State and local governments, while loans and loan guarantees include--in addition to governments--credit for farmers, home purchasers, and businesses. This assistance made up a third of Federal funds in the North Central region, more than in any other region. The West was second (31.5 percent), followed by the South (30 percent). The Northeast relied on these Federal assistance programs the least (27.9).

The distinction between grants and loans is an important one, since loans must be repaid by the recipient, while grants are not. The Northeast derives only a small part of its funds (6.6 percent) from loan programs, while the other regions rely on loans for around 16 or 17 percent. By contrast, grants are much more important in the Northeast, making up 21 percent of Federal funds. The North Central States obtain 17 percent of their Federal funds from grants, while the South and West each get about 14 percent from this source.

Perhaps surprisingly, the Northeast ranks highest in percapita grants (\$428), followed by the West (\$375) and the South (\$345); the North Central States obtain the smallest per-capita amount from grants (\$312). 8/ This finding contradicts the widely held belief that the Northeast has been overlooked in distributing Federal grants, but it is consistent with other recent studies (1, pp. 88-89; 8, p. 99).

It can be argued that the economic stimulus value of expenditure programs (such as grants, contracts, and salary expenditures) is higher than for a corresponding level of loans or loan guarantees, if only because the community that receives the loan must eventually pay it back (8). If this is true, then overall figures on Federal funding probably understate the relative amount of stimulus the Northeast receives from the Federal budget since that region derives such a small percentage of its funds from loan programs.

FEDERAL FUNDS TO LOW-INCOME AREAS There are a number of reasons to expect Federal funding to treat low-income areas differently from higher-income areas. For a number of years, Federal policy has included a range of grant and loan programs to induce economic and community development in the Nation's most depressed counties. These programs have supported a variety of activities, including business assistance, development planning, and job creation through public works projects.

At the same time, one expects to find higher concentrations of social welfare expenditures in depressed counties, since they tend to experience higher concentrations of poor and dependent residents. Funding for such programs as social security, public assistance, job training, and health care is expected to be higher in low-income areas than in places with higher average resident incomes. Overall, higher spending totals might be likely in the poorer areas as well.

What, in fact, is the record of Federal funding to the Nation's poorer areas? In this section we review the record of funding to nonmetropolitan counties to see how funding varies among areas with differing levels of income.

The analysis in this section concentrates on the Nation's 2,510 counties (or equivalent areas) that lie outside the boundaries of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's). Income differences are measured by average per capita income; in order to avoid errors caused by annual fluctuations in farm

^{8/} These include grants to State and local governments, universities, and non-profit groups. It must be remembered that these figures omit 55 programs, mainly formula grants to State governments, totalling \$23.6 billion that have been excluded from the study for reasons discussed earlier.

prices, an average for 1977 and 1978 was used $\underline{9}$ / The income categories are shown in table 6.

				· · · · ·	
Per capita	:	•	Census reg	ion	
income, 1977-78	: U.S.	Northeast	North Centra	al South	West
(mideo	:	· · ·	Percent		•
\$0-4499 \$4500-5999 \$6000-7499 \$7500-8999 \$9000 & above	: 12.2 : 42.7 : 35.8 : 7.8 : 1.6	0.0 45.3 51.3 3.4 .0	4.6 35.2 48.5 11.2 .6	21.2 51.9 22.0 4.2 .6	6.3 31.6 42.9 12.1 7.1
Total	: :100.0	100.0	100.0 Number	100.0	100.0
Number of counties	: : 2510	117	877	1136	380
Note: Figu	res may	not add to	100 percent	due to rou	inding.

Table 6—Distribution of nonmetropolitan counties by region and income level

These income categories reflect both a county's current income position and, to an important degree, its historical circumstances as well. The categories are closely related with the "persistent low income" designations reported by Davis (4). Davis identified 255 nonmetro counties whose per capita incomes consistently placed them in the bottom 20 percent of nonmetro counties during the 1950-1975 period. Each of these counties had a 1977-78 income level under \$6,000 per-capita, and over two-thirds averaged less than \$4,500. At the same time, nearly 60 percent of counties with less than \$4,500 per-capita income were designated "persistent low income," meaning that their position has changed little over the preceding quarter century. By contrast, less than 8 percent of the counties with incomes of from \$4,500 to \$6,000 experienced persistently low average incomes.

Distribution of Low-Income Counties Most low-income counties are located in the South, which has 79 percent of the poorest counties and 55 percent of those in the \$4,500-\$6,000 category (table 6). In part this is because the South has more counties than any other region. But the South also has the greatest concentration of low income

9/ Residence-adjusted personal income, as reported by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce.

	:	:	100 - 100 - 1 00				Metropoli	ltan sta	tus of c	ounty 1/				
Per capita incom	e ,: All no	nmetro- :		Urbani	zed		:	Less u	rbanized		: Te	stally i	ural	
1977-78	:politan	counties:	Ad jace	nt to	: Not ad	jacent	: Adjac	cent to	: Not	ad jacent	: Adjace	nt to	: Not ad	iacent
	:	:	SMS			SMSA		MSA		to SMSA	: SM:	SA	to S	SMSA
	:Counties	: Pop. :	Counties	: Pop.	: Counties	: Pop.	: Counties	: Pop.	: Count	ies : Pop.	: Counties	: Pop.	: Counties	: Pop.
	:						• .	Perc						
\$0-4499	: 12.2	6.8	• 5	•5	2.1	1.5	6.7	5.2	9.	3 7.9	23.6	25.8	21.8	26.4
\$4500-5999	: 42.7	38.3	23.8	21.5	28.4	28.1	45.5	46.5	45.			50.9	42.8	46.6
\$6000-7499	: 35.8	45.6	60.6	63.5	57.4	61.6	39.1	41.0	35.		20.7	19.1	26.7	21.3
\$7500-8999	: 7.8	8.7	14.5	14.2	10.6	7.5	8.2	7.1	8.	2 7.7	3.7	3.1	5.9	4.2
\$9000 and above	: 1.6	.7	• 5	•3	1.4	1.4	•5	•2	1.	. 8	1.6	1.0	2.9	1.5
Total	: 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 7—Distribution of nonmetropolitan counties and 1978 population by metro status and per capita income

Note: Figures may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.

 $\underline{1}$ The county classification system is explained in <u>6</u>.

residents and areas. Most of these are found east of the Mississippi River. The largest number of higher income counties is found in the North Central region, which also has the second largest number of very poor counties. The concentration of extremely high income counties in the West--mainly the Pacific States--is due in part to the high cost of living and inflated incomes common in Alaska.

The distribution of counties and population by metropolitan status is shown in table 7. Being adjacent to an SMSA does not seem to relate to county income, but degree of urbanization does. The more urbanized nonmetro counties (with 20,000 or more "urban" residents in 1970) are far more likely to average \$6,000 or more per capita in income than the less urbanized nonmetro counties (with 2,500 to 20,000 urban residents). These in turn have higher average incomes than the totally rural counties, over 60 percent of which fall into the lowest two income groups.

Differences Among Income Levels With the exception of the highest income category, per capita levels of Federal funding are remarkably even across the income categories (table 8). Those counties with average incomes over

Per capita	:		
income,	:	Total Federal funds	
1977-78	:		
· · · · · ·	:	Dollars	
\$0-4499	:	1,962	
\$4500-5999	:	1,981	
\$6000-7499	•	1,967	
\$7500-8999	•	1,999	
9000 & above	:	4,873	
	:	the second s	
Total	:	1,994	
	:		

Table 8-Per capita Federal funds by income level, nonmetro areas, fiscal 1979

\$9,000 received very high levels of funding for energy, space, defense, community resources, and agricultural assistance. They averaged more than twice the per capita level of funding of any other group of counties. These high income counties, few in number, are clearly unusual cases.


Even more interesting is the fact that the other nonmetro county groups received nearly equal per capita funding. In no case did the overall totals for these groups differ by more than \$40, or 2 percent of the total. Despite this equivalence in overall totals, the composition of Federal funding differs rather significantly across the county groups (figure 8, table 9). The chief distinction is between the very poorest and the very richest counties, though the great majority of the nonmetro counties that lie between these extremes are also distinct in some respects. Perhaps not surprisingly, counties with 1977-78 incomes less than \$4,500 per capita get almost 43 percent of their Federal dollars from income security programs. More than half of these dollars come from retirement and disability programs that are not particularly associated with serving low income populations. What makes these poor counties unique, however, is a much heavier than average reliance on public assistance and unemployment programs and, to a lesser degree, medical and hospitalization benefits. The higher income counties, on the other hand, rely less on income security programs, and especially public aid and unemployment programs.

The national resources programs, which include mainly defense and space programs (but also programs for veterans, energy research, higher education and research, and general government administration), are most important for the highest income nonmetro counties, where they account for 41 percent of Federal funds. They are correspondingly less important for the poorest counties. Wealthy counties rely to an exceptional degree on energy and space funding and, to a lesser extent, on defense contracts. The lowest income counties get little funding from any of the sources except veterans programs. Counties in the \$4,500-\$7,500 range are near the nonmetro average for these programs.

Community resources programs are more significant components of the funding picture for both the lowest and highest income counties than for those in the middle categories. However, the mix of programs differs between them. High income counties rely more on transportation, housing, and business assistance programs, while low income counties rely on more developmental programs and those designed to build public facilities. This is not surprising since many of the latter programs were targeted to poorer areas. Both rich and poor counties rely much more than average on programs for American Indians.

Agricultural and natural resource progams make up a relatively small share of funding for all of the income groups. As a percent of all funds they are most significant to the lowest income nonmetro counties and least important to the majority of counties in the middle categories. Agricultural assistance and water and recreational programs account for most of this. Among the wealthiest counties, forest and land management programs also rank high.

Fig. 8--Functional Makeup of Federal Funding, by Income Level, Nonmetro Areas, Fiscal 1979



Percent of Federal Funds

1977-78 P.C. Income

28

۱. J. .

Function Agriculture and natural resources Agricultural assistance Agricultural research and services Forest and land management: Water and recreational	All nonmet counties 259 198 6	2ro : \$0−4499 : \$0−4499 - - 330 264	: \$4500-5999 Dollars	\$6000-7499	\$7500-8999	: \$9000 an : above
resources Agricultural assistance Agricultural research and services Forest and land management:	259 198			3		
resources Agricultural assistance Agricultural research and services Forest and land management:	: 198 :					
resources Agricultural assistance Agricultural research and services Forest and land management:	: 198 :		007			
Agricultural assistance Agricultural research and services Forest and land management	: 198 :		007			
Agricultural research and services Forest and land management:	:	264	237	253	297	696
services Forest and land management:			187	184	248	399
Forest and land management:	: 6					
0	1. Contract (1. Co	3	6	6	2	5
Water and recreational	: 25	22	19	28	19	192
	:					
resources	: 31	41	25	34	28	100
	:				107	
Community resources	: 425	512	435	388	427	1424
Business assistance	: 47	49	44	49	44	177
Community facilities	: 128	204	146	102	118	293
Community and regional	:	10	00	0/	0/	70
development	: 31	40	38	24	24	72
Housing	: 91	89	90	91	84	213
HousingVeterans	: 38	14	35	40	55	138
Native Americans	: 15	56	17	8	6	132
Revenue sharing	: 20	23 37	20	20 54	19	27
Transportation	: 54	37	46	54	78	372
	53	76	46	52	59	191
luman resources	. 55	70	40	52		191
Education and social	27	53	30	21	19	95
services Health services	: 6	6	4	7	8	10
Training and employment	20	17	12	24	32	85
iraining and emproyment	20	17	12	24	52	60
Income security	806	841	802	809	794	567
Medical and hospital	• 000	041	002	007	7,54	507
benefits	. 194	231	198	188	178	128
Public assistance and	• •	231	190	100	1,0	120
unemployment	. 88	176	100	70	59	93
Retirement and disability :		435	504		. 556	346
Retifement and disability	• 524	-35	504	551	550	510
National resources	452	202	461	465	421	1996
Defense contracts	81	25	75	90	95	253
Defense payrolls and		20				
administration	153	34	174	150	145	330
Energy and space	: 51	2	54	47	16	1090
Higher education and		-				
research	22	14	23	24	17	29
Veteran benefits	77	69	73	82	72	101
Other functions	68	58	62	72	75	193
	:				. –	
All functions	: 1994	1962	1981	1967	1999	4873

Table 9--Per capita Federal funds to nonmetro areas, by income level and function, fiscal 1979

Note: Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Human resource programs (other than income security programs categorized separately) make up the smallest part of Federal funding for all income groups but are more significant for the richest and poorest groups. Training and employment programs are a larger part of the total for the three higher income categories, groups, and poorer counties rely on these programs much less than average. Education and social services programs are most important in the low income counties, followed by those with the highest incomes.

On the average, metropolitan counties receive more Federal funds per capita than do nonmetropolitan counties (table 10). Metropolitan counties received an average of \$2,300 per capita, while nonmetro counties averaged \$1,994, ten percent less than the U.S. average.

	: 19	976)	:	19	78	: 1	.97	9
Metropolitan	:Dollars	s:P	ercent	t:Dollars	s:]	Percent	t:Dollars	s:P	ercent
status <u>1</u> /	: per	:	of	: per	:	of	: per	:	of
	:capita	:	U.S.	:capita	:	U.S.	:capita	:	U.S.
	:								
Metropolitan	: 1555		105.4	2069		103.1	2300		103.9
Greater	: 1600		108.4	2148		107.0	2376		107.3
Core	: 1778		120.5	2401		119.6	2646		119.5
Fringe	: 1202		81.4	1619		80.7	1818		82.1
Medium	: 1474		99.9	1951		97.2	2203		99.5
Lesser	: 1558		105.6	2019		100.6	2215		100.1
	:								
Nonmetropolitan	: 1271		86.1	1845		91.9	1994		90.1
Urbanized	: 1421		93.6	191 0		95.2	2020		91.2
Adjacent to	:								
SMSA	: 1361		92.2	1756		87.5	1903		86.0
Not Adjacent	: 1532		103.8	2191		109.2	2212		99.9
Less Urbanized	: 1163		78.8	1716		85.5	1885		85.1
Adjacent to	:								
SMSA	: 1098		74.4	1613		80.4	1768		79.9
Not adjacent	: 1228		83.2	1818		90.6	2000		90.3
Totally rural	: 1306		88.5	[.] 2145		106.9	2341		105.7
Adjacent to	:								
SMSA	: 1177		79.7	1912		95.3	2103		95.0
Not adjacent	: 1374		93.1	2272		113.2	2472		111.7
-	:								
United States	: 1476		100.0	2007		100.0	2214		100.0
	:								

Table 10--Federal funds per capita, by metropolitan status, fiscal years 1976, 1978, 1979

1/ The county classification system is explained in 6.

METRO-NONMETRO DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS There are significant variations within the broad metrometro-nonmetro categories, however. Only two groups of counties exceed the national average by more than a few dollars: the central counties of the largest SMSA's (nearly 20 percent above the U.S. average) and totally rural counties not adjacent to a metropolitan area (12 percent above the U.S. average). All other groups of counties are at or below the national average.

On the whole, metro counties receive more uniformly high levels of Federal funding than nonmetro counties. Only fringe counties of the largest SMSA's, which received 82 percent of the U.S. average, fall significantly below that average. By contrast, only one category of nonmetro counties (totally rural, not adjacent to an SMSA) exceeded the U.S. per capita average, while another (urbanized, not adjacent) was at the average; two of the six groups of nonmetro counties were 14 percent or more below the national per capita level.

Trends in Metro-Nonmetro Funding There is some evidence that the funding advantage has shifted slightly away from metro areas during recent years (table 10). Between 1976 and 1979, metro areas declined two percentage points relative to the U.S. per capita average, while nonmetro counties gained four points overall. Totally rural counties appear to be the biggest gainers, though less urbanized counties also showed gains. The chief losers were the largest nonmetro counties and the smallest SMSA's.

It would be wrong to overemphasize this observation in light of the short time period studied and the evidence of a more modest shift favoring metro areas between 1978 and 1979. Furthermore, differences in the range of programs included for 1976 as compared with 1978 and 1979 may also partly explain the apparent trend. Nonetheless, the shift is large enough to merit continued watching.

Information from another source supports the conclusion that greater shares of Federal funds are being directed to rural areas. Anton and his associates studied Federal programs in 1975 and 1978 and found that several Federal departments greatly increased the number of counties they aided during the period (3, p. 61).

The major growth was made by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), which increased the number of counties it funded from 2,768 in 1975 to 3,025 in 1978, and by the Small Business Administration (SBA), which increased its range of operations from 2,473 to 2,799 counties. Among individual programs experiencing major expansion in territory served are the HUD Section 8 housing assistance program (269 to 2,070 counties), USDA emergency disaster loans (1,132 to 2,111), SBA physical disaster loans (778 to 1,662), and school aid to federally affected areas (942 to 1,537). Anton concludes that rural areas have been the major beneficiaries of this "spreading effect" (3, pp. 70-71).

There are two primary implications of these trends. First, the increased spread of Federal funding activity into smaller rural counties seems likely to yield more equitable treatment than these counties have previously enjoyed. In fact, these figures may understate the advantage to rural counties since they largely exclude Federal dollars paid to State agencies, which are subsequently "passed-through" to local recipients. Rural areas tend to rely more heavily than urban areas on these pass-through dollars.

Second, the spread of activity into a larger number of counties reflects the development of broader clientele by some Federal agencies. Certain agencies--among them the Departments of Agriculture, Health, Education, and Welfare 10/, Labor, Transportation, and the Veterans Administration--serve nearly all U.S. counties to some extent. Others have traditionally focused their operations on a more select set of areas. The expansion of HUD and SBA into more areas suggests that the political and governmental processes associated with serving these areas may now include a larger number of institutions, a shift that may have far-reaching implications for the range of services available to residents of these areas.

Distribution Among Program Types There are some important differences in the mixture of program types used to fund metro and nonmetro counties (table 11). Transfer payments, the leading type of program, account for nearly 40 percent of nonmetro funds but only about 31 percent in metro areas. In percentage terms, loans and loan guarantees are nearly twice as important in nonmetro as in metro areas. In metro areas, contracts (especially for defense projects) are more than twice as frequent; salaries and expenses also comprise a larger share of metro funding totals. Grants are only slightly more important to metro areas.

There is much variation among the detailed metro and nonmetro categories as well. In particular, loans are much more common in the more rural county areas, where they comprise one-fourth to one-third of all Federal funds. In none of the metro categories, by contrast, do loans amount to as much as 15

¹⁰/ Subsequently divided into the Department of Education and the Department of Health and Human Services.

Table 11--Federal funding by program type and metropolitan status, fiscal 1979

**

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4 - N				
	:			Program	type		
Metropolitan status	: : : Total :	Transfer payments	: Loans :	Grants :	Salaries and	Contracts	Other
	::	рауменев	:	and the second se	expenses		
	:			Percent			
Metropolitan	: 100.0	31.3	11.8	16.6	19.8	20.3	0.2
Greater	: 100.0	30.3	10.3	16.9	19.0	23.3	0.2
Core	: 100.0	29.9	8.9	18.9	18.6	23.4	0.2
Fringe	: 100.0	31.6	14.4	11.0	19.9	22.9	0.3
Medium	: 100.0	32.6	13.7	16.4	20.0	17.1	0.2
Lesser	: 100.0	32.1	14.3	15.4	23.5	14.4	0.2
Nonmetropolitan	: 100.0	39.8	21.9	15.4	13.9	8.7	0.3
Urbanized Adjacent to	: 100.0	36.9	14.4	16.1	20.6	11.8	0.3
•	: 100.0	39.7	13.7	16.8	18.2	11.3	0.3
Not adjacent	: 100.0	32.3	15.5	15.0	24.5	12.6	0.2
Less urbanized Adjacent to	100.0	43.1	25.1	15.2	9.8	6.4	0.3
	: 100.0	44.6	24.6	14.6	9.3	6.8	0.3
Not adjacent		41.9	25.7	15.8	10.2	6.0	0.4
Totally rural Adjacent to	100.0	37.0	32.2	14.6	8.6	7.3	0.3
SMSA	: 100.0	37.3	28.1	14.9	7.1	12.3	0.2
Not adjacent		36.9	34.3	14.3	9.2	4.9	0.4
United States	100.0	33.4	14.3	16.3	18.3	17.4	0.3

Note: Figures may not total 100 percent due to rounding.

percent. The difference is significant. Since loans and loan guarantees--unlike the other methods of funding--must be repaid, including them in overall funding totals overstates the amount of permanent transfers of Federal dollars to an area. As these figures reveal, this overstatement is greatest for the most rural counties and is least for the most urban ones.

Transfer payments comprise a fairly even percentage of funds to metro counties; there is greater fluctuation among nonmetro county groups, but the variations do not seem to adhere to any regular pattern. Grant payments are the most significant to core counties of large SMSA's. Except for the fringes of these same areas, grants make up a fairly even percent of funding across the other county groups, declining slightly among the more rural counties. Salaries tend to be more significant to the smaller SMSA's and the more urbanized nonmetro counties. They are considerably less important to the more rural counties, where Federal administrative offices are less likely to be located. Contracts too tend to decline in importance in the more rural nonmetro counties; they are most important in the largest SMSA's.

A few minor shifts have occurred since 1978 in the types of programs used to aid metro and nonmetro areas (the 1978 figures are reported in 5, p. 3). In both metro and nonmetro areas, transfer payments and loans grew slightly as a percent of total, while salaries and contracts declined slightly in importance. Only grants, which grew in importance in nonmetro areas and declined in metro areas, moved in opposite directions. Whether this shift in grants signals a redirection of assistance efforts toward rural areas, and if so, whether it will be maintained, is impossible to assess from these data.

Distribution Among Functions There are significant metro-nonmetro differences in the functions served by the funding. Per capita funding levels are shown for five broad functions and 24 smaller functional categories in table 12. Table 13 at the end of this report shows the metro-nonmetro distribution of funds for individual programs making up each category.

Agriculture and Natural Resources Federal funding for agricultural and natural resource programs totalled \$102 per capita in fiscal 1979, making it the fourth largest function. About two-thirds of the funding for this function consists of agricultural assistance payments; the remainder is largely comprised of resource management and recreational programs, with a smaller share devoted to research.

As might be expected, per capita funding levels are generally much higher in nonmetro counties than in metro areas. The

	:	:		Metro	opolitan			:			Nonmetrop			
Function	: U.S.	Tota	1:	Great	er :	Medium	Lesser	'Total'		anized		Urbanized		lly Rural
	: total		:Tota	l:Core:	Fringe:		:	: :	Adjacent:	Nonadjacent	:Adjacent:	Nonad jacent	:Adjacent	Nonad jacen
	:				5 . J.		Dol	<u>lars</u> pe	r capita					
	:													
griculture and natural	:					10	0.1		100	167	249	348	351	617
resources	: 102	42		27	34	49	81	259	100	167		269	257	514
Agricultural assistance	: 68	17	6	3	14	25	45	198	66	96	204	269	257	514
ingriculturar repearen and	:					-			10	0	2	-	3	4
services	: 6	6		6	6	5	9	6	10	8	3	5		52
rorest and rand management	: 11	5	4	5	3	4	11	25	11	27	15	37	29	52
Water and recreational	:									27	07	20	60	46
resources .	: 18	14	12	13	11	15	16	31	14	37	27	38	62	40
	:							105	201	/ 7 1	205	1.55	EO/	675
ommunity resources	: 432	435		466	348	457	414	425	331	411	385	455	584	675
Business assistance	: 28	21	18	19	17	20	34	47	29	54	44	59	61 229	326
Community facilities	: 58	30	23	21	27	34	55	128	74	- 73	120	139	229	320
Community and regional								~ 1		00	34	32	81	24
development	: 43	47	43	56	15	63	29	31	22	28	÷ ·		86	91
Housing	: 116	126	120	126	107	144	108	91	79	98	87	102		
Housingveterans	: 78	94	89	82	106	100	98	38	52	67	30	25	31 8	16 61
Native Americans	: 6	3	3	4	1	3	3	15	8	11	9		19	22
Revenue sharing	: 21	21	22	26	15	20	19	20	20	21	19	21		22 71
Transportation	: 82	92	109	133	59	74	67	54	48	58	41	61	70	/1
	: 85	98	96	120	46	101	99	53	72	66	33	47	33	-58
uman resources	: 05	27	26	33	13	26	29	27	24	31	23	29	24	36
Education and social services	: 11	14	15	19	6	12	12	6	8	11	3	4	3	3
Health services	: 47	58	55	68	26	63	58	20	39	25	7	14	6	19
Training and employment	• 4/	20	J	00	20	05	0.	20		25			Ŭ	
ncome security	: 785	777	797	900	585	757	739	806	786	737	811	843	800	843
Medical and hospital benefits		185	201	238	124	167	162	194	178	161	204	208	204	215
Public assistance and	. 100	105	201	200	T C A	±.,	101				;			
unemployment	. 99	104	116	147	52	91	85	88	79	89	85	95	95	96
Retirement and disability	: 498	488		515	410	499	492	524	528	487	523	540	501	532
Retifement and disability	:	.00		010										
ational resources	: 809	948	1,027	1.134	805	838	881	452	613	830	289	308	335	277
Defense contracts	: 258	327		449	269	260	222	81	144	133	38	43	42	57
Defense payrolls and	:													
administration	· 201	220	156	143	182	284	345	153	235	387	75	64	49	49
Energy and space	: 75	84		100	102	56	74	51	51	104	38	35	109	14
Higher education and research	: 48	59		89	43	39	42	22	36	37	11	17	5	12
Veterans benefits	: 95	102	103	119	71	100	102	77	87	84	70	77	59	59
Other functions	: 131	156		235	133	100	96	68	61	85	56	72	72	88
VENET TUNCTIONS	:	150	201	200		200			~-					
11 functions	:2,214	2,300	2,376	2,646	1,818	2,203	2,215	1,994	1,903	2,212	1,768	2,000	2,103	2,472
	:	.,	-,			.,		•	•	•	•	-		•

Table 12--Per capita Federal outlays in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties, fiscal 1979 $\frac{1}{2}$

1/ The county classification system is explained in Fred K. Hines, David L. Brown, and John Zimmer, Social and Economic Characteristics of the Population in Metro and Nonmetro Counties, 1970. AER-272. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., Mar. 1975.

Note: Detail may not agree with totals due to rounding.

ω σ

4

exception is research, which is divided evenly on a per capita basis between metro and nonmetro areas. Funding levels for the remaining categories are especially heavy in the more rural counties and in those counties not adjacent to a metro area.

In relation to other program categories, the agricultural and natural resource programs are especially important to nonmetro counties, where they comprise 13 percent of all Federal funds (figure 9). By contrast, these programs make up under two percent of metro area funding. Among metro counties, these programs are most important in the smaller SMSA's. In nonmetro areas, agricultural programs are most important in the more rural counties and in those not contiguous to an SMSA. Totally rural counties not adjacent to SMSA's derive fully one-fourth of their funds from these programs.

Community Resources


Programs supporting community, business, and regional development make up the third largest function, with just under 20 percent of all Federal funds. Comparing per capita totals, community resources programs are nearly equal between metro and nonmetro areas. More important differences exist between individual metropolitan status groupings, however. Among nonmetro counties, community resources programs are most important in the totally rural counties where they greatly exceed the U.S. per capita average and make up more than a quarter of all Federal funds these counties receive. These programs decline in importance among more urbanized nonmetro counties and those located close to an SMSA. Among metro counties per capita funding is more nearly equal; it is highest for the core counties of the largest SMSA's and for medium-sized metro areas. Fringe counties of large SMSA's receive the lowest level of any group metro area county group.

Housing programs, mainly operated by the Departments of Agriculture, Housing and Urban Development, and the Veterans Administration, are the largest component of this function nationally. Housing programs are more important in metro areas, where they make up just under 10 percent of all Federal funds; housing funds account for 6.4 percent of the nonmetro total and on a per capita basis they make up less than threefifths as much as in SMSA's.

These figures may somewhat understate the level of housing aid going to nonmetro residents. Data on housing loans can be traced to the county of the financial institution handling the loan. Many times, however, the lender is located in a different county than the borrower. For example, in less urbanized areas, home buyers may seek loans in nearby urban counties. Where this occurs, loans that benefit rural residents will be credited to urban counties. The size of this bias is not known.

Fig. 9--Relative Importance of Federal Funds by Function and Metro Status, Fiscal 1979

Percent



37

Programs supporting community facilities are also significant in nonmetro areas, where they equal funding for housing. These programs are most significant in totally rural counties. Business assistance programs also operate at higher levels in nonmetro areas. They are largely offset, however, by transportation programs, which provide almost twice as much funding per resident in metro areas.

Human Resources

As defined for this study, human resource programs include Federal support for education, social services, health, job training, and employment. The category excludes income security programs such as public aid, social security, disability, unemployment compensation, and medical assistance; these are classified separately. It also excludes a number of formula grants to States, including the Title XX Social Services Program, the funds for which could not be traced to individual county areas (see table 1, above, and the accompanying text for more information).

Human resource programs make up the smallest of the five functions, totalling \$85 per capita or just under four percent of all Federal funds. They are considerably more important in metro counties, where they average \$98 per capita, compared with \$53 in nonmetro areas. Human resource programs tend to be more important in the more urban of the nonmetro counties and in the central counties of major metro areas.

Training and employment programs make up over half the national total for this function. These funds are highly concentrated in metro areas, and in nonmetro counties they are less significant than education and social service programs. Health services programs are also more highly concentrated in metro counties.

Income Security

Programs providing various forms of income security are the second largest function nationally, totalling \$785 per capita or more than a third of all Federal funds. However, these programs are even more significant for nonmetro areas, where they average more than \$800 per capita and account for four of every ten Federal dollars. On a per capita basis, the most income security dollars go to central counties of large SMSA's. The next largest shares go to the less urbanized and totally rural counties, especially those not adjacent to a metropolitan area.

Retirement and disability programs make up nearly two-thirds of funds for this function. These programs are somewhat more concentrated in nonmetro areas where they make up a quarter of all Federal dollars. Fringe counties of large metro areas receive the least from these programs on a per capita basis. Medical and hospital benefits are also slightly weighted to nonmetro areas. While the largest per capita amounts go to core counties of large metro areas, all other metro categories receive far less than the national average of \$188. The most urbanized nonmetro counties are also below the national average. The most rural nonmetro categories are all funded at a little over \$200 per capita.

Public assistance programs make up the smallest part of this function, averaging \$99 per capita and comprising under five percent of all Federal funds. Central counties of large SMSA's receive by far the most per capita from this function (\$147). Nonmetro areas overall average less than metro areas, though funding in the more rural counties averages more than in the smaller SMSA's. The suburban fringes receive the fewest public assistance dollars.

National Resources The national resources category is comprised of various programs--principally defense, energy, space, and administrative programs--that tend to have national, rather than localized, program benefits. This is the largest function, totalling slightly more than funding for income security. It is far more significant in metro areas, however, and in nonmetro counties it ranks behind income security programs, which are nearly twice as important to these counties. National resources programs make up only about 23 percent of all nonmetro funds, compared with 40 percent for income security programs.

National resources funding varies widely across individual metro status categories. The less urbanized and totally rural nonmetro counties average well under half of the U.S. average and far below the most urbanized nonmetro counties, which are more nearly like metro counties with respect to funding. The low per capita levels in the more rural nonmetro counties result mainly from the low levels of defense outlays in these areas, but all national resources programs contribute.

On the other hand, the highest per capita levels are found in the largest SMSA's--mainly in their core counties--where funding is 25 percent above the national average. Defense contracts account for most of this advantage, though these counties are above the average in most other programs as well. The exception is defense administration, which appears to be more concentrated in smaller SMSA's and in the more urbanized nonmetro counties.

These differences have significant implications for the makeup of Federal funding to each area. At one extreme, the largest SMSA's derive over 43 percent of their Federal dollars from national resources programs; smaller SMSA's are not far behind. Among the detailed functions, defense contracts make up 14 of all metro area funds and are the second largest source of funds in these areas. Retirement and disability programs account for 21 percent of metro area funds.

The picture in nonmetro counties--and especially the most rural ones--is quite different. Not only do income security programs greatly exceed national resources programs, but the latter are barely more important than community resources programs. Defense contracts make up 4.1 percent of the nonmetro total; many other functions are far more important to nonmetro counties.

At the extreme, totally rural counties not adjacent to an SMSA rely very slightly on these national resources programs, which make up only 11 percent of their funds. More than twice as much of their funding comes from agricultural programs, even though these make up but a small part of the national funding picture. In totally rural counties, per capita agricultural assistance payments are more than 9 times as high as defense contracts.

These figures on the distribution of defense contracts may overstate the metro area advantage. The Defense Department reports its contracts to the location of the prime contractor for each contract. Most of these are subcontracted to smaller suppliers who may be located in different counties. The eventual distribution of subcontracts is not known, but it is likely that small firms in nonmetro areas receive a larger share than is reflected by the figures on prime contracts.

CONCLUSION

This report has surveyed the pattern of Federal funding to local areas during fiscal 1979. In doing so it has touched on a number of facets of the Federal funding process, the growth in Federal funding levels, changes in the financial mechanisms that have been used, the allocation of funds among regions of the country, and variations in funding patterns to counties with differing income levels and metropolitan status. The principal story of the report is that large variations lie beneath overall national funding totals and that these have potentially great importance for individual local areas.

Little attempt has been made here to explain these differences-why they occur as they do--nor to spell out their full meaning for localities. Even if adequate space and time were available, knowledge about the effects of Federal funding is not sufficiently precise to produce the needed answers to many questions. These will have to await future studies. It is hoped that this report will serve as a baseline and that it has raised questions in a way that will generate interest in their resolution. The report should be useful as a benchmark in another way. Recent budget actions signal the probability of major, long-term shifts in the shape of Federal funding. Future analyses will trace the path of these shifts. This report will serve as a base against which to measure these new directions.

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979

BROAD FUNCTION.	CFDA	•			. ME	TROPOL	ITAN CO	OUNTIE	s		• NO		DPOLITA LES	AN COUN	ITIES TOTA	
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL	(REATER		MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBAN					
AND PROGRAM	1/	2/	STATES			CORE	FRINGE	:	:		ADJA-: CENT		ADJA-		ADJA-	
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PE	RCENTAGE							
POPULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7•1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES	:		22346•6	29.3	11.3	6.9	4.3	10.9	7•1	70.7	7•0	6.3	16.4	23.3	4.2	13
AGRICULTURAL ASSISTANCE	:		14738.4	18.1	3.7	1.1	2•6	8•5	5.9	81.9	7.0	5.5	20.3	27.3	4.7	17
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		14728.6	18.1	3.7	1.0	2.6	8.5	5.9	81.9	7.0	5.5	20.4	27.3	4.7	17
*ADMINISTRATIVE & OPERATING EXPENSES. FCIC	:	8	22.9	67.5	39.3	38.7	0.6	13.8	14.4	32.5	2.8	6.5	6.6	د 9 . 2	1.4	5
COMMODITY LOANS, CCC	:10.051	5	3859.8	24.0	5.8	1.2	4.5		8.8	76.0	6.02	4.2		24.7	4.3	15
COTION PRODUCTION STABILIZATION, CCC	:10.052	4	212.6	19.1	0.4	0.0	0.4	10.7	7.9	80.9	8.8	7.1	18.2	33.2	5.0	5
*CROP INSURANCE INDEMNITY PAYMENTS, FCIC	:10.450	6	52.4	28.8	1.2	0.0	1.2		10.6	71.2		7.4	11.9	22.3	4.3	16
DAIRY & BEEKEEPER INDEMNITY PAYMENTS, ASCS	:10.060	4	3.2	36.7	7.6	5.6	2.0		11.7	63.3		15.4	10.0	18.7	1.7	1
ECONOMIC EMERGENCY LOANS, FMHA	:10.428	Ó	3073.7	14.1	2.9	0.5	2.4	6.8	4.4	85.9		5.9	22.0	27.5	4.6	1
EMERGENCY CONSERVATION MEASURES, ASCS	:10.054	2	23.4	46.8	11.6	11.0	0.5		5.4	53.2		5.9	11.9	16.7		
EMERGENCY DISASTER LOANS, FMHA	:10.404	ō	2871.6	21.2	2.0	0.8		13.8	5.5	78.8		7.1		27.3	5.9	
	:10.066	4	69.9		- 1.0		1.1				8.2		21.7		4.6	
EMERGENCY FEED PROGRAM. CCC		0	19.0	15.6		0.0	1.0	7.6	6.9	84.4	4.9	4.0	26.9	29.8	7.9	1
EMERGENCY LIVESTOCK LOANS, FMHA	:10.425	0		21.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	14.1	6.7	78.8	7.3	8.3	18.4	23.2	1.4	2
FARM OPERATING LOANS, FMHA	:10.406	-	889.9	13.3	2.5	0.4	2.1	6.1	4 • 7	86.7	8.0	5.9	21.6	28.4	5.3	1
FARM OWNERSHIP LOANS, FMHA	:10.407	0	748.0	13.0	2.2	0.3	2.0	6.1	4.7	87.0	7.1	5•8	20.7	28.0	5.8	1
FARM OWNERSHP NONFARM ENTERPRISE LNS. FMHA	:10.407	Û	5.3	17.0	3 • 1	0 • 0	3.1	9.8	4.1	83.0	5.3	4.4	21.1	26.8	10.4	1
FEED GRAIN PRODUCTION STABILIZATION, CCC	:10.055	4	814.9	12.1	2.4	0.2	2.2	4.8	5.0	87.9	5.3	4.2	19.7	30.6	4.9	23
GRAIN RESERVE PROGRAM, CCC	:10.067	4	252.9	7.1	1.5	0.1	1.5	2.1	3.5	92.9	3.5	3.9	12.5	36.4	4.7	3
GRAZING ASSOCIATION LOANS, FMHA	:10.408	0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.0	29.1	2
IRRIG/DRAIN & OTHER S & W CONS LOANS, FMHA	:10.409	Ú	0.6	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	52.6	47.4	0.0	
NATIONAL WOOL ACT PAYMENTS, CCC	:10.059	4	33.4	14.4	2.4	0.9	1.5	6.6	5.4	85.6	6.9	9.7	8.0	30.4	7.2	2
RECREATION FACILITY LOANS, FMHA	:10.413	0	2.1	62.1	36.5	35.1	1.4	8.8	16.8	37.9	8.9	5.7	7.8	0.0	0.0	1
RESOURCE CONS. AND DEVELOPMENT LOANS, FMHA	:10.414	۵	1.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	29.3	1.8	6
RICE PRODUCTION STABILIZATION, CCC	:10.065	4	58.7	18.5	7.9	1.5	6.4	6.6	4.0	81.5		7.2	42.4	14.3	3.9	
S & E, ASCS	:	8	251.9	44.3	23.1	17.7	5.4	12.8	8.4	55.7	5.1	4.8	13.0	17.7	4.0	1
SOIL AND WATER LOANS TO INDIVIDUALS, FMHA	:10.416	õ	51.1	8.9	2.0	Ú•2	1.8	3.0	3.9	91.1	7.5	5.0	16.2	31.6	4.7	26
STORAGE FACILITY AND EQUIPMENT LOANS, CCC	:10.056	5	678.9	13.2	3.1	0.1	3.0	5.6	4.5					31.6	4.9	
	:10.419	0	4.8	13•2	0.0					86.8	6.6	4.1	21.2			18
WATERSHED PROTECTION LOANS, FMHA						0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	3.1	6.6	7.2	0.0	83
WHEAT PRODUCTION STABILIZATION, CCC 1977 CROP SUGAR PRICE-SUPPORT PAYMENT, CCC	:10.058	4	722•8 0•6	6•3 34•3	1•1 19•3	0•1 19•3	1.0	1.9 5.8	3.4 9.1	93•7 65•7	4.3 3.9	5.5	10•6 61•8	30.0	4.3 0.0	39
OTHER AGENCIES	:		9.7	96.3		72.0	8.2		2.0	3.7	0.5	1.1	0.5	1.1	0.4	C
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND SERVICES	· ·		1327.0	73.8		29.8	12.5		13.8	26.2		4.8	2.9	5.1	0.6	ः 1
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	•		1327.0	73.8	d.	29.8	12.5		13.8				2.9			-
	•									26.2		4.8		5.1	0.6	1
AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL ECONOMIC RES., ESCS	:10.250	8	30.5	94.4	85.9	84.2	1.8	4.5	4.0	5.6	3.7	1.6	0.1	0.3	0.0	
AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT GRADING, AMS	:10.150	8	21.9	90.3	31.8	31.3	0.5	31.0	27.5	9.7	1.9	6.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	9
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH-BASIC & APPLIED, SEA	:10.875	2	1.9	34.4	32.5	30.4	2.1	1.9	0.0	65.6	65.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	• •
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH, SEA	:	8	338.2	69.6	41.3	14.3	27.0		14.6	30.4	11.7	7.6	1.9	6.8	0.5	1
AGRICULTURAL STATISTICAL REPORTS, ESCS	:10.252	8	49.8	85.0	56.8	54.9	1.9	16.2	12.0	15.0	8.5	3.9	0.0	2.5	0.0	. 9
ANIMAL HEALTH AND DISEASE RESEARCH, SEA	:	1	4 • 8	52.9	12.2	10.8	1.4	15.1	25.6	47.1	30.9	8.7	0.6	6.9	0.0	1
*ANIMAL QUARANTINE STATION, APHIS	•	8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0
BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES, SEA	:	7	3.6	86.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.0	0.0	14.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
COOPERATIVE FORESTRY RESEARCH. SEA	:10.877	1	9.5	43.9	9.2	7•4	1.8	20.7	14.0	56.1	29.2	18.8	0.0	8.1	0.0	. (
COOPERATIVE RESEARCH. SEA	:	8	6.6	100.0			0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION, USDA	:	8	14.2	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
*EXTENSION ACTIVITIES, SEA	:10.881	1	269.0		31.3	27.8	3.5	14.8	11.4	42.5	11.3	5.6	8.1	10.6	2.4	
*FED-STATE MARKETING IMPROVEMENT PROG, AMS	:10.156	2	0.7	81.4	39.5	24.6	14.9	37.8	4.1	18.6	1.9	1.1	10.0	5.6	0.0	0
FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE	:	8	26.4	100.0-	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
GRANTS FOR SPECIAL AGRICULTURAL RES., SEA	:10.876	2	15.7	52+6	14.5	13.9	0.6	20.6	17.4	47.4	36.6	8.3	0.0	2.5	0.0	Ċ
GRTS FOR COMPETITIVE AGRICULTURAL RES, SEA	:10.884	2	14.5	75.6	24.3	22.3	1.9	29.1	22.3	24.4	19.2	4.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	Ċ
	:	8	0.3													Č
INSPECTION & GRADING OF FARM PRODUCTS, AMS				100.0	76.3	76.3	0.0	22.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

42

13.3

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES

Sec.

£3

	:			•	ME	TROPOLI	· •		•	:		:	DUITAN	; ;	TOTA	
BROAD FUNCTION,	: CFDA NUMBER	CODE	UNITED	TOTAL	G	REATER					URBANI	ZED :	URBANI	ZED :	RUR	NON
FUNCTION . AGENCY . AND PROGRAM	: 1/	2/		÷	:	:	:	:			AD JA - A	ION- :	10.14-14	DJA-:A		
AND PROGRAM	:	:	: :	· · · · ·	TOTAL:	CORE	RINGE				CENT C	ENT :	ENT C	ENT :C	ENT :	CEN
				i	· · · · · · · · · ·											
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U.	.S. TOT	L				
	•		-			a (B	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	20.07	13.1	2001								
	•	8	25.1	77.6	54.5	44.5		16.6	6.5	22.4	4.6	1.3	16.3	0.2	0.0	
INSPECTION AND WEIGHING SERVICES, FGIS	10.159	8	7.4	100.0	74.8	53.9	20.9	20.3	4.8	0.0	0.0 2.1	0.0	0.0 1.2	1.4	0.0	
LIVESTOK & POULTRY MARKET SUPERVISION. AMS	:10.153	8	13.5	93.4	70.3	66.5	3.8	15.0	8•1 6•7	6.6 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MARKET NEWS, AMS Market Supervision, AMS	:10.154	8	6.8	100.0	76.4	63.2	13.2	17.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MARKET SUPERVISION AND AND MARKET SUPERVISION AND MARKET SUPERVISION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	:	8	0.1	100.0			0.0 12.6	26.2	10.8	26.3		13.0	0.4	1.6	0.0	
MISCELLANEOUS CONTRIBUTED FUNDS. SEA	:	8	1.2	73.7	36.7	24.1	4.7	1.6	1.3	7.0		9.0	1.8	5.2	0.0	
*MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS, APHIS	:	8	1.0	93.0	90.0 66.1	85•4 31•2	34.9	19.5	10.6	3.9		3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL, USDA	:	8	8.6	96.1 190.0	87.4	41.1	46.3	0.0	12.6	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, USUA	:	8	27.2	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, USDA	:	8	101.3	53.3	7.5	6.0	1.5	25.7	20.2	46.7		10.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	
DAVMENTS TO AGRIC EXPER STA (HAICH) SLA	:10.878	1 2	15.9	51.6	8.8	0.0	8.8	27.3	15.5	48.4		0.0	22.8	3.5	0.0	
PAYMNTS-1890 COLLEGES & IUSKEGEE INST. SCA	:10.880	28	1.9	100.0	91.8	91.4	0.3	8•2	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0 2.0	0.0	
PERISHABLE AG. COMMODITIES ACT FUND, AMS	:10.025	8	180.9	85.1	27.4	14.8	12.5		22.3	14.9		2.0	0.5 3.9	0.1	0.0	
PLANT & ANIMAL DISEASE & PEST CONT APHIS	:	8	21.7	93.9	75.4	72.5	2.8		4.2	6.1		1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, FEDERAL GRAIN INSPECTION SERVICE		8	9.8	100.0		85•7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, OFFICE OF INTERNATL CO-OP AND DEV		8	1.2	100.0			44.8		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION, USDA TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO COOPERATIVES, ESCS	:10.251	8	3.5	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TECHNICAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS, SEA	:10.883	8	6.7	100.0			90.0		18.9		-	0.1	0.4	2.7	0.0	
VOLUN COMM INSP & GRDG PGS-TRUST FDS, FSQS	:10.478	8	46.5		46.8	41.3	5.5 11.9		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
UORKING CAPITAL FUND. USDA	:	8	34.0	88.6		76.8 100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WORLD FOOD & AG. OUTLOOK & SITUATION BOARD	:	8	1.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.00						9.9	24.0	3.5	
FOREST AND LAND MANAGEMENT	:		2289.6			11.6	4•4			65.8		9•7 10•1		25.2	3.6	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		2152.5	32.1	15.2	10.8	4•5	7•7		67.9			34.5	28.0	0.5	
	· ·	8	2.6	18.8			1.5		4.5			10.4	22.4	12.8	1.2	
ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND		8	3.8	52.0			4.7					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND-FY 1978 *ACO. OF LANDS FOR NAT*L FORESTS, SPEC ACTS		7	0.2	98•6			0.0					6.2		23.1	5.5	
AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM, ASCS	:10.063	2	231.7	21.5			2.9					15.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	
AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION FROM THE IMPROVEMENT	:	8	0.8	81.6			6.0					18.5	0.0	1.7	0.0	
*ASSISTANCE TO STATES FOR TREE IMPROVEMENTS, FS	:10.664	2	0.6	62.9			3.3					12.0	8.2	32.8	4.6	
+BRUSH DISPOSAL, FS	:	8	34.1	23.3								10.8	6.4	13.2	2.4	÷
CONSERVATION OPERATIONS, SCS	:	8	2.1	54.3			5.6 2.3					12.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	
+CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUNUS FS	:,	8	1.2	67•9			14.2					5.5	8.4	22.3	2.8	
*CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION, FS	:	7	45.0	40.6			. 2.6				4 9.5	12.9	8.8	25.9	5.9	
+COODERATIVE WORK + ES	: ··· ·	8	88.4 808.2	37.5			4.9		8.0	62.		11.7	7.6	23.4	2.9	
+FOREST PROTECTION AND UTILIZATION, FS		8	260.6	26.4			3.6	4.5	8.8	8 73.0		10.2		29.8	3.1	
*FOREST ROADS AND TRAILS. FS		8	216.9	21.9			6.6	1.6				9.6	8.4	38.0	2.9	
FOREST ROADS ES	10.664	2	0.4	61 • 4			7.4					12.1	0.0	4•2 21•6	9.1	
*FORESTRY COOPERATIVE RESEARCH. FS	:10.064	2	16.1	18.7	2.2	. 0.9	1.3					6.9	24.4	9.0	0.0	-
FORESTRY INCENTIVES PROGRAM, ASCS	:10.652	2	1.2	62.1			0.0					15.1	1.5	0.4	0.0	
+FORESTRY RESEARCH + FS	:10.664	2	6.5	86•8			0.0					11.0			3.9	
*GENERAL FORESTRY ASSISTANCE, FS GREAT PLAINS CONSERVATION PROGRAM, SCS	:	8	6.9	16.2			0.2					6.4	10.5		4.6	ć
GREAT PLAINS CONSERVATION. SCS	:10.900	4	11.8	7.7						-	T . T	10.8			3.1	L
LAND INVENTORY AND MONITORING. SCS	:10.908		8.8	39•1								0.0			0.0	J
+ITCENSEE PROGRAM. ES	:	8	0.2		100.() 0.(-		100.		0.0			0.0	
MISC CONTR FUNDS (CONSERV & LAND MGT), SCS	:	8	0.5	22.1								25.2			0.0	
ORFGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS	:	8	4•3 2•5								5 12.7				6.8	
PLANT MATERIALS FOR CONSERVATION, SCS	:10.905		4.6							7 91.					4.6	
*PANGELAND IMPROVEMENTS FS		8	1.5												2.5	
PESCURCE APPRATSAL AND PROGRAM DEV. SCS	:10.909		13.4	18.5					5 10.4			2.7			2.5	
PERMIRCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT, SUS	10.901	. 2	10.8				3.								3.7	
RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT, SCS Rural Abandoned Mine Program, SCS	:10.910	-	3.6				4.	7 2.5	5 8•1	2 84.	6 27.2	9.5	11.2	32.5		
AND AND AND AND AND ATME PROGRAMA SUS		. 7														

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

BROAD FUNCTION.	: CFDA	: : :TYPE :			MI :	ETROPOL	ITAN CO	DUNTIE	s :		• NO		OPOLIT/	AN COUN	ITIES Toti	<u>.</u>
FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	:NUMBER			TOTAL	. (GREATER	1	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL		VIZED	: URBAI	VIZED :	RU	RAL
	: 1/	2/	STATES		TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE				: AD JA -	ADJA-	:ADJA-	NON-	ADJA-	
					·	••		•	·		ICENT :	CENT	CENT	CENT :	LENI	ILEN
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PEI	RCENTAGE	OF U	•S• TO1	TAL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6•7	6•8	. 1.2	2
SNOW SURVEY & WATER SUPP. FORECASTING, SCS	:10.907	8	3.2	48.8	19.9	18.3	1.6		16.2	51.2	6.5	9.9	2.1	17.5	3.5	11
SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION, SCS Soil Survey, SCS	:10.902	8	193.9	37.1	13.9	9.6	4.3	13.3	9.9	62.9	7.6	8.5		19.9	3.7	
*TIMBER PURCHASER ROADS CONSTRUCTED BY FS	10.903	8	41.4 25.8	44.9 68.1	11.7	5.8	5.8 0.3	17.0	16.3	55.1	6.9	8.5		20.5	2.2	
*TIMBER SALVAGE SALES, FS	:	8	8.9	13.4	6.2	63•1 5•3	0.9	3.1 3.0	1.5 4.1	31.9	1.0	5.1 5.1	11.1 28.1	7.4 28.1	1•4 5•7	
WATER BANK PROGRAM, ASCS	:10.062	2	8.0	8.2	3.1	2.8	0.3	2.0	3.1	91.8	4.5	3.6	5.6	29.3	2.8	
+WORKING CAPITAL FUND, FS	:	8	61.1	34.2	12.4	9.3	3.1	6.5	15.2	65.8	5.8	16.0	7.9	20.0	8.3	
*YOUTH CONSERVATION CORPS, FS	:10.661	8	20.5	49.1	41.3	40.3	0.9	4.5	3.4	50.9	3.7	9.1	8.1	15.9	4.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.1	74.2	9.7	7.5	2.2	41.9	22.6	25.8	6.6	7.1	2.3	9.7	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	:		40.6	76.6	18.5	16.6	1.9	41.7	16.3	23•4	16.7	0.2	0.0	6.6	0.0	c
*COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT	:	8	14.9	92.7	14.3	13.4	0.8	64.9	13.6	7.3	0.6	0.4	0.0	6.3	0.0	
*COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM ADM., NOAA	:11.419	8	16.9	64.2	23.5	23.5	0.0	21.6	19.1	35.8	32.5	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	
*COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DEV., NOAA	:11.418	8	8 • 8	73.0	16.4	8.9	7.5	41.2	15.4	27.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		93.1	62.6	29.9	26.7	3.2	27.1	5.6	37.4	21.3	5.8	2.4	5.3	0.6	
ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND-FY 1978	:	7	0.5	79.8	71.9	36.4	35.5	7.9	0.0	20.2	9.2	3.8	0.0	16.3	0.0	
ABANDONED MINF RECLAMATION FUND-FY 1978	:	8	6.2	100.0	82.3	82.3	0.0	17.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION, SMRE	:15.252	7	11.0	71.1	6.1	6.1	6.3	53.6	11.4	28.9	25.3	1.1	1.0	1.6	0.0	
ASSISTANCE TO STATES FOR TREE IMPROVEMENT	:	8	0.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	
*FOREST PROTECTION AND UTILIZATION, FS	:	8	1.6	69.7	34.1	9.4	24.7	23.3	12.4	30.3	8.2	5.0	3.8	5.6	0.3	
MINERAL INSTITUTE PROGRAM DIRECTION, SMRE	:15.251	1	5.1	61•6	30.4	25.8	4.6	22.1	9.1	38•4	0.0	24.0	0.0	14.4	0.0	
MINERAL INSTITUTE PROGRAM DIRECTION, SMRE	:15.251	2	0.3	50.0	Ŭ • C	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
MINERAL INSTITUTE PROGRAM DIRECTION, SMRE REG SURFACE MIN & SRF EFF UNDRGRD MN, SMRE	:15.251 :15.250	7	0.3 30.5	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY, SMRE	.13.250	- 7	2.5	44.5 98.1	11.2	8.9	2.3	28.4	4.9	55.5	50.0	.5.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	
REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY, SMRE	:	8	15.8	100.C	85.8	71.0	14.8	12.3	0.0	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*YOUTH CONSERVATION CORPS, FS		7	18.9	38.1	63.6 19.1	63.6 13.3	0.0 5.8	36.4 10.4	0.0 8.6	0.0	0.0 8.7	0.0 13.1	0.0 10.2	0.0 18.8	0.0 2.8	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.1	100.0	93.3	93.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	2.0	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		2.6	100.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION, FS	:	7	2.6	100.0	6.0	G . 0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER AGENCIES	:		0.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WATER AND RECREATIONAL RESOURCES	:		3991.6	53•1	26 • 4	18.4	8.0	18.7	8.0	46.9	5.3	7.8	10.0	14.1	4•2	
	· :		350.0	46.1	23.0	18.6	4.4	18.3	4.8	53.9	9.9	8.0	12.0	15.3	3.0	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	•										5.5	12.5	19.2	20.0	3.0	1
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE +CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS	:	8	3.4	30.9	18.3	16.5	1.8	8.6	4.0	67.1					1.2	
*CONST. % OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS	:10.904	2	80.7		18.3 31.2	16.5 28.3	1.8 2.9	8.6 28.4	4.0 1.6	69•1 38•8	6.4	3.4	8.6	14.9		
*CONST. % OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR	:10.904	27	80.7 70.5										8•6 5•6	14.9 20.7	1.4	
*CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS	10.904 	2 7 8	80.7 70.5 16.6	61.2 31.1 78.2	31.2 28.2 28.6	28.3 26.6 21.4	2.9	28.4 1.9 30.1	1.6	38.8	6.4	3.4				
*CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPER., SCS	10.904	2 7 8 8	80•7 70•5 16•6 64•9	61.2 31.1 78.2 54.2	31.2 28.2 28.6 18.6	28.3 26.6 21.4 13.0	2.9 1.6 7.2 5.6	28.4 1.9 30.1 24.3	1.6 1.0 19.5 11.2	38.8 68.9 21.8 45.8	6.4 16.2 6.2 7.5	3.4 19.0 7.0 7.1	5.6 1.4 10.1	20.7 4.9 16.1	1•4 1•5 1•5	
*CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPER., SCS WATERSHED PLANNING, SCS	10.904 	2 7 8 8 8	80.7 70.5 16.6 64.9 11.9	61•2 31•1 78•2 54•2 71•8	31.2 28.2 28.6 18.6 27.2	28.3 26.6 21.4 13.0 19.5	2.9 1.6 7.2 5.6 7.7	28.4 1.9 30.1 24.3 27.6	1.6 1.0 19.5 11.2 17.0	38.8 68.9 21.8 45.8 28.2	6.4 16.2 6.2 7.5 5.6	3.4 19.0 7.0 7.1 11.7	5.6 1.4 10.1 3.4	20.7 4.9 16.1 4.4	1•4 1•5 1•5 1•1	
*CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPER., SCS WATERSHED PLANNING, SCS WATERSHED WORKS OF IMPROVEMENT, SCS	10.904 10.904	2 7 8 8 8 2	80.7 70.5 16.6 64.9 11.9 101.9	61.2 31.1 78.2 54.2 71.8 31.7	31.2 28.2 28.6 18.6 27.2 14.4	28.3 26.6 21.4 13.0 19.5 8.4	2.9 1.6 7.2 5.6 7.7 6.1	28.4 1.9 30.1 24.3 27.6 15.1	1.6 1.0 19.5 11.2 17.0 2.2	38.8 68.9 21.8 45.8 28.2 68.3	6.4 16.2 6.2 7.5 5.6 11.1	3.4 19.0 7.0 7.1 11.7 4.3	5.6 1.4 10.1 3.4 23.0	20.7 4.9 16.1 4.4 14.2	1.4 1.5 1.5 1.1 5.9	
*CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPER., SCS WATERSHED PLANNING, SCS	10.904 	2 7 8 8 8	80.7 70.5 16.6 64.9 11.9	61•2 31•1 78•2 54•2 71•8	31.2 28.2 28.6 18.6 27.2	28.3 26.6 21.4 13.0 19.5	2.9 1.6 7.2 5.6 7.7	28.4 1.9 30.1 24.3 27.6	1.6 1.0 19.5 11.2 17.0 2.2 8.7	38.8 68.9 21.8 45.8 28.2 68.3 2.1	6.4 16.2 6.2 7.5 5.6 11.1 0.2	3.4 19.0 7.0 7.1 11.7 4.3 1.7	5.6 1.4 10.1 3.4 23.0 0.0	20.7 4.9 16.1 4.4 14.2 0.1	1.4 1.5 1.5 1.1 5.9 0.0	
•CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS •LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPER., SCS WATERSHED PLANNING, SCS WATERSHED WORKS OF IMPROVEMENT, SCS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	:10.904 :10.904	2 7 8 8 8 2	80.7 70.5 16.6 64.9 11.9 101.9 13.4	61.2 31.1 78.2 54.2 71.8 31.7 97.9 97.9	31.2 28.2 28.6 18.6 27.2 14.4 63.7	28.3 26.6 21.4 13.0 19.5 8.4 25.4 25.4	2.9 1.6 7.2 5.6 7.7 6.1 38.2 38.2	28.4 1.9 30.1 24.3 27.6 15.1 25.5	1.6 1.0 19.5 11.2 17.0 2.2	38.8 68.9 21.8 45.8 28.2 68.3	6.4 16.2 6.2 7.5 5.6 11.1	3.4 19.0 7.0 7.1 11.7 4.3	5.6 1.4 10.1 3.4 23.0 0.0 0.0	20.7 4.9 16.1 4.4 14.2	1.4 1.5 1.5 1.1 5.9	
*CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPER., SCS WATERSHED PLANNING, SCS WATERSHED PLANNING, SCS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE *RIVER AND FLOOD FORECASTS, NWS	10.904 10.904 	2 7 8 8 2 8	80.7 70.5 16.6 64.9 11.9 101.9 13.4 13.4	61.2 31.1 78.2 54.2 71.8 31.7 97.9 97.9	31.2 28.2 28.6 18.6 27.2 14.4 63.7 63.7 21.0	28.3 26.6 21.4 13.0 19.5 8.4 25.4 25.4	2.9 1.6 7.2 5.6 7.7 6.1 38.2 38.2 7.8	28.4 1.9 30.1 24.3 27.6 15.1 25.5 25.5	1.6 1.0 19.5 11.2 17.0 2.2 8.7 8.7	38.8 68.9 21.8 45.8 28.2 68.3 2.1 2.1	6.4 16.2 7.5 5.6 11.1 0.2 J.2	3.4 19.0 7.0 7.1 11.7 4.3 1.7 1.7	5.6 1.4 10.1 3.4 23.0 0.0 0.0 11.5	20.7 4.9 16.1 4.4 14.2 0.1 0.1	1.4 1.5 1.5 1.1 5.9 0.0 0.0	. 1
*CONST. & OPERATION OF RECREATION FAC., FS FLOOD PREVENTION, SCS *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR RIVER BASIN SURVEYS & INVESTIGATIONS, SCS WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPER., SCS WATERSHED PLANNING, SCS WATERSHED WORKS OF IMPROVEMENT, SCS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE *RIVER AND FLOOD FORECASTS,NWS DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	:10.904 	2 7 8 8 8 2 8	$80 \cdot 7$ $70 \cdot 5$ $16 \cdot 6$ $64 \cdot 9$ $101 \cdot 9$ $13 \cdot 4$ $13 \cdot 4$ $1468 \cdot 5$	61.2 31.1 78.2 54.2 71.8 31.7 97.9 97.9	31.2 28.2 28.6 18.6 27.2 14.4 63.7 63.7 21.0	28.3 26.6 21.4 13.0 19.5 8.4 25.4 25.4 13.2 13.2	2.9 1.6 7.2 5.6 7.7 6.1 38.2 38.2 7.8 7.8	28.4 1.9 30.1 24.3 27.6 15.1 25.5 25.5 16.2	1.6 1.0 19.5 11.2 17.0 2.2 8.7 8.7 11.4 11.4	38.8 68.9 21.8 45.8 28.2 68.3 2.1 2.1 51.4	6.4 16.2 6.2 7.5 5.6 11.1 0.2 0.2 4.4	3.4 19.0 7.0 7.1 11.7 4.3 1.7 1.7 7.4	5.6 1.4 10.1 3.4 23.0 0.0 0.0 11.5 11.5	20.7 4.9 16.1 4.4 14.2 0.1 0.1 11.8	1.4 1.5 1.5 1.1 5.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.1	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

44

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS. FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

. .

45

BROAD FUNCTION.					:		ITAN CO	:	:			:	LES		TOTA	
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL		REATER	M	EDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBAN	IZED : NON- :	URBAN	IZED : NON- :	RUR	NOP
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES			:					AD JA -:					
	:	:	:		TUTAL	CURE	FRINGE				CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CEI
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PEF	RCENTAGE	OF U.	S. TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72•C	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7•1	3.9	6.7	6•8	1.2	
*ANADROMOUS FISH CONSERVATION, FWS	:15.600	8	0.1	100.0	99.6	90.8	8.9	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ANIMAL DAMAGE CONTROL • FWS	:15.601	8	8.7	73.6	18.7	12.2	6.5	42.7	12.2	26.4	5.9	11.4	0.2	8.6	0.1	
ARCHEOLOGICAL INVESTIGAT. & SALVAGE, HCRS	:15.412	8	1.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT	:	7	126 • 2	40.0	Ŭ•Ŭ	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT	:	8	6.8	8 7•C	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.9	0.0	13.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJ	:	7	34 • 7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	97.8	0.0	1•7 8•3	0.0	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJ	:	8	3.1	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	93.5	0.0	83.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	
*COMMEMORATIVE ACTIVITIES FUND • NPS	:	8	0.7 3.0	93.6	90.9	90.8	0.1	2.7	0.0	6.4 8.6	0.1 1.6	0.0 4.4	0.4	2.0	0.1	
*CONSERVATION LAW ENFORCEMENT TRG ASST. FWS	:15.602	8	11.2	91•4	65.2	58.7	6.5	19.3	6•8	36.5	17.4	3.9	1.5	10.1	2.2	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, FWS	:	7	33.7	63.5	36.1	29.7	6.4	15•9 41•6	11•6 2•6	34.5	3.5	10.5	0.0	19.4	0.0	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, LBR		8	6.9	65.5	21.3	21.0	0.3	38.1	3.7	58.2	2.4	6.5	1.4	44.1	0.6	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, LBR		8	0.4	41.8	0.1	0.1 2.5	10.4	21.5	11.5	54.0	36.3	0.0	17.7	0.0	0.0	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NPS		7	71.7	46.0	13.0 85.0	2•0 52•6	32.4	7.9	1.9	5.2	0.2	0.7	1.0	2.2	0.3	
*CONSTRUCTION AND ANADROMOUS FISH *CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION, LBR		6	56.9	94•8 0•C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	81.0	0.0	
*CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION LBR		ž	151.2	72.6	17.6	14.8	2.8	52.8	2.2	27.4	5.4	4.0	8.2	4.1	2.2	
+CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION, LBR		8	48.1	56.4	1.6	1.4	0.1	30.0	24.9	43.6	1.1	23.8	8.2	7.1	1.2	
*CONSTRUCTION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS-CIVIL		7	4.3	50.7	50.7	50.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.3	0.0	49.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE		7	25.8	25.3	16.1	0.0	16.1	4.7	4.5	74.7	0.0	51.6	0.7	19.3	0.0	
*CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE		8	60.6	59.3	55.6	24.5	31.1	2.7	1.0	40.7	2.5	9.9	6.7	15.8	0.5	
*CONTRIBUTED FUNDS, FWS		8	2.2	19.9	11.7	10.7	1.0	1.0	7.2	80.1	0.0	50.3	18.6	10.8	0 • 4	
CONTRIBUTION TO DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMM.	:	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONTRIBUTION TO SUSQUEHANNA RIV BASIN COMM	:	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONVERT ABANDONED RR RIGHTS-OF-WAY, HCRS	:	2	1.1	100.0	86.8	45.3	41.5	9.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DONATIONS, HCRS	:	8	0.3	100.0		97.4	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*EMERGENCY FUND. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	.:	7	2 • 1	87•4	84.0	82.7	1.3	0.0	3•4	12.6	3.8	3.9	0.0	4.8	0.0 0.0	
*ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION, FWS	:15.612	2	4.2	93.6	21.1	19.5	1.5	59.5	13.0	6.4	5.0	0.0	0.0	1•4 0•4	0.0	
*ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION, FWS	:15.612	8	0.3	99•6	98.4	75.4	23.0	1.2	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	
*ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINANT EVALUATION, FWS	:15.607	8	8.5	96.9	52.6	9.5	43.2	4.7	39.5	3.1	1.0	0.1	0.5	19.7	0.1	
*FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION	:	8	0.7	78.1	40.3	18.8	21.6	26.4	11.3	21.9 0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FISH RESTORATION (DJ), FWS	:15.605	. 8	1.0	100.0		46.6	30.5	10.3	12.5	57.4	14.8	4.4	21.7	13.3	0.0	
*FISHERY RESEARCH INFORMATION, FWS	:15.604	8	10.5	42.6	11.6	11.6	0.0	6.8	24.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, LBR	:	7	2.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 18.9	74.5 36.5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, LBR	• •• ·	8	9.9	100.0		0.0	3.5	36.6	42.5	16.7	2.7	10.1	0.3	3.1	0.0	
*GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS + LBR	:	7	6•9 5•3	83•3 60•3	4.2	0.7 0.1	1.4	42.3	16.6	39.7	0.0	21.8	0.3	15.9	9.7	
*GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS + LBR	:15.501	8 5	7.9	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0	46.5	49.3	0.0	
*IRRIGATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM LOANS, LBR	:15.502	5	1.8	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	3.0	
*IRRIGATION SYSTEMS REHAB & BETTERMENT, LBR	10.002	8	3.5		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*J.F. KENNEDY CTR. FOR PERFORMING ARTS, NPS		ž	231.3	39.0			1.2	14.4	0.6	61.0	10.5	0.4	2.5	44.6	1.0	
LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND BOR *LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND* BOR		8	36.2	97.6			46.6	5.2		2.4	0.1	1.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	
*LOAN PROGRAM + BUREAU OF RECLAMATION		8	0.2	98.2		C • C	0.0	77.5	20.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	
*MIGRATORY BIRD BANDING & DATA ANALYS FWS	:15.606	8	3.5	100.0	100.0	40.7	59.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATION ACCOUNT	:	8	5.4	72.0	57.0	40.9	16.1	7.9	7.1	28.0	0.1	9.3	2.5	15.1	0.9	
*MISC. PERMANENT APPROPRIATIONS, NPS	:	8	0.4	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.4	0.0	
*MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS, NPS		8	1.2	87.8			1.4	36.2		12.2	2.2	2.2	1.6	5.4	0.0	
NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARKS, HCRS	:15.414	8	0.7		100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES. HCRS	:15.416	8	1.6		100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, LBR	:	7	36 • 2	51.1			0.3	32.6		48.9	2.6	31.3	4.6	9.5	0.1	
*OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, LBR	:	8	36.3	42.6			6.2	. 29•4	4.1	57.4	3.2	35.8	4.1	13.4	0.0	
*OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM	:	8	335.₀0	59.4			8.7	9.5		40.6	6.4	5.7	5.8	12.6	1.7	
OUTDOOR RECREATION-AQUIST, DEV & PLG, HCRS	:15.400	2	396 • 1	61.6			12.2	21.1	8.6		7.9	4.6	10.8	9.1	1•6 3•8	
*PLANNING, DEV. & OPER. OF REC. FACS., NPS	:	8	13.7	19.8		9.9	3.7	4.8		80.2	4.6	11.9	19.2	12.0		
*PROCEEDS FROM SALES, WATER RES. DEV. PROJ.	:	8	0.2	0.5		0.1	0.0	0.0			0.0	69•4 21•2	2.2	0•0 66•2	27.9 0.0	
*RECLAMATION TRUST FUNDS	:	7	8.6	12.6		0.0	0.0	12.6			0.0	56.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	
*RECLAMATION TRUST FUNDS	:	8	0.4	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1			0.0	0.7	3.9 0.0	0.4	0.0	
*RECREATIONAL & FISH & WILDLIFE FACILITIES	· :	7	6.7	70.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	70.2	29.7	0.0	0.01		U • 7	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

BROAD FUNCTION,	: CFDA	: TYPE	•	: •	ME	TROPOLI	TAN CO	UNTIES				NMETRO	LES		TOTA	ILL Y
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	:NUMBER	:CODE	UNITED	TOTAL:	G	REATER	M	EDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBAN	IZED :	URBAN	IZED :	RUR	
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES		TOTAL	CORE	RINGE	:			AD JA -	ADJA-	ADJA-:	NON- : ADJA-: CENT :	ADJA-:	NON ADJ CEN
	:	•	-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE			,				
PULATION • 1978	:		3/	72.0		26.9	13.1			28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
												0.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	28
*RECREATIONAL & FISH & WILDLIFE FACILITIES	:	8 8	0.2 126.0	70.3 59.3	0.0 37.1	0.0 27.5	0.0 9.6	0.1	70.2		0.0 6.5	5.0	6.1	14.6	2.4	
*RESOURCE MANAGEMENT, FWS *ROAD CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	:	7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	11.5	0.0	8
*ROAD CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	:	8	1.4	79.9	73.4	0.9	72.5	5.1	1.3	20.1	0.0	1.4	4.7	.5.5	0.0	
S & E, ADV. COUNCIL-HISTORIC PRESERVATION	:	. 8	1.4	100.0		97.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.C 0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION		8	0.1	100.0	97.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	0.0 10.1	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, HCRS	:	8	11•3 28•1	100.0 79.5	84•2 53•7	74.4 48.2	9.8 5.4	5•7 14•4	11.4	20.5	13.1	3.7	0.0	3.8	0.0	
S & E, OFFICE WATER RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY *SMALL RECLAMATION PROJECTS, LBR	:15.503	5	23.0	84.3	31.5	31.5	0.0	43.8	9.0	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	14.8	0.0	
*SPORT FISH TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, FWS	:15.608	8	1.1	17.1	6.0	1.6	4.4	6.7	4.4	82.9	22.8	5.8	14.7	37.5	1.9	
*UPPER COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT	:	7	65•6	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.9	19•1	45.0	0 • 4	7.6	0.7	18.2	0.0	
*UPPER COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT	:	8	16.3	55.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.5	18.8	44.8	0.4	7.5	1.1 0.4	17.9	0.0	
*WILDLIFE RESEARCH INFORMATION + FWS	:15.610	8	14.2	65.2	50.6	8.3	42.2	7.9	6.7 3.3	34.8 0.1	12.3 0.0	5.3 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*WILDLIFE RESTORATION, FWS	:15.611 :15.609	8	2•2 0•3	99.9 45.6	87.7	59.5 0.8	28.3 1.0	8.9 23.9	19.9	54.4	10.4	9.1	5.6	21.6	2.5	
*WILDLIFE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, FWS OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF STATE	:		16.5	84.3	33.1	33.1	0.0	34.7	16.5	15.7	4.4	4•9	3.6	1.1	1.5	
CONSTRUCTION. IB & WC	:	8	6.1	98.8	87.0	87.0	C • 0	8.1	3.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5	
S & E . IB & WC OTHER PROGRAMS		8	10.3 0.0	75.7 0.C	1.0	1.0	0.0 0.0	50.6	24.1 0.0	24.3 190.0	7.1	7.7 100.0	5.8 0.0	1•4 0•0	2.2 0.0	
OTHER AGENCIES	:		8•4		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	• • •		94254.2	72.5		29.1	10.5	24.4	8.6	27.5	5.4	3.7	6.0	7.2	1.7	
DMMUNITY RESOURCES	•,		6176.8				7.7	16.3		· · · ·		7.3		14.2	2.7	
BUSINESS ASSISTANCE				53.1		18.3								20.0	3.1	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		1208.4	23.8	4.0	0.3	.3.8	9•1	10.6		14.1		16.4			
BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS, FMHA Industrial development grants, fmha	:10.422 :10.424	0 2	1198•8 9•6	23.8 15.2	4.1	0.3 0.6	3.7 2.0	9•1 7•7	10.6 4.9			16.5 5.3	16.4 23.8	20.0 25.5	3•1 9•5	
				80.8	60.2		9.6	16.0	4.5	19•2	5.2	3.3	2.6	4.8	0.5	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	:		360.3								1.7	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	
ECON DEV-BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ASST. EDA	:11.301		78.4	96.6			15.5 5.2	2.7 21.8	1.2 3.9			7.1	3.7	4.9	1.1	
ECON DEV-BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ASST. EDA	:11.301		80.7	60.5 51.9			10.7	0.0				0.0	25.5	0.0	0.0	
ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA	:11.309		14 • 7	96.9			10.0	16.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	
ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA	:11.309		56.3	86.7			14.7	9.8	8 • 8	13.3	5.3	1.8	4.6	1.6	0.0	
ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA	:11.309		2 • 8	79•1		39.7	10.7	27.6	1.1	20.9	7.0	3.2	8.2 2.1	2.5 17.2	0.0	
*FISHERY VESSEL OBLIGATION GUARANTEES. NMFS	:11.415		65.6	67.9			9.2	32.1	5.8 2.1	32•1 5•8	2.3	6.0 1.3	0.2	1.5	0.1	
MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE. OMBE	:11.800		30•2 15•8	94•2 98•1			4.5 0.9	14.3 24.5	9.8	1.9	0.4	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	
MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE • OMBE MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE • OMBE	:11.800		9.5	100.0			0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.1		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	:		4608.0	58.6	29.1	20.5	8•6	18.2	11.4	41.4	5.6	5.3	9.4	13.3	2.7	
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS	:59.025	0	1.6	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85•7			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS	:59.025		1.7	72.1			5.6	26.5				0.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	
	:59.020	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0		20.6	0.0		0.0	
BASE CLOSING ECONOMIC INJURY LOANS			_ · ·	/ - ^	/ 7 ^			n n	· · ·	7 2 7	31.0	4.4	0.0	0		
	:59.020	5	0.2	63.8 0.0	63.8 0.0	63.8 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.2	31.9	4.3 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

46

33. .

47

BROAD FUNCTION,		TYPE		:	۳Ł :	TROPOLI					:		LES	AN COUM SS :	111 <u>1</u> 5 : TOT	ALLI
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER			: TOTAL		GREATER	۲	EDIUM:	LESSER				URBA	VIZED :	RU	RAL
AND PROGRAM	1/	: 2/	STATES	:		CORE	RINGE	-			ADJA-:	NON- :		NON-		:NON
				:							CENT					
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U	.S. TOT	AL				
DPULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
DISPLACED BUSINESS LOANS	:59.001	0	1.9	76.6	42.6	0.0	42.6	30.3	3.6	23.4	0.0	13.1	0.0	10.4	0.0	c
DISPLACED BUSINESS LOANS	:59.001	5	12.8	93.3	61.4	53.3	8.1	26.9	5.0	6.7	2.6	3.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	
ECONOMIC DISLOCATION LOANS	:59.027	5	4.4	64.2	17.3	8.2	9.1	32.4	14.5	35.8	10.7	3.6	7.6	12.5	0.7	
ECONOMIC INJURY DISASTER LOANS	:59.002	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.5	0.0	7
ECONOMIC INJURY DISASTER LOANS	:59.002	5	48•4	47•4	19.4	14.3	5.1	18.8	9.2	52.6	10.9	11.7	7.3	15.7	2.9	
ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY LOANS	:59.003	0	32.3	65•3	34.0	23.1	10.9	22.2	9.1	34•7	5.6	6.0	5.3	13.3	9.5	
ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY LOANS	:59.003	.5	54.0	83.1	48.2	36.8	11.4	24.7	10.1	16.9	3.9	3.0	3.3	3.9	0. 8	
EMERGENCY ENERGY SHORTAGE LOANS	:59.022	0 E	0.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EMERGENCY ENERGY SHORTAGE LOANS	:59.022 :59.021	5	0.7	100.0	63.1	0.0	63.1	36.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HANDICAPPED ASSISTANCE LOANS HANDICAPPED ASSISTANCE LOANS	:59.021	5	20.3	70.8	1.7 34.1	1.7	0.0	11.2	31.9	55.3	6.8	14.7	14.5	13.6	5.6	
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH LOANS	:59.018	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	21.07	0.0	21.8 0.0	14•8 0•0	29.2	5.5	9.6	4.3	7.9	1.4	
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH LOANS	:59.018	5	4.2	51.2	0.9	0.9	0.0	37.6	12.8	100.0 48.8	0.0 11.8	0.0 27.9	0.0 4.9	0.0	0.0	10
PHYSICAL DISASTER LOANS	:59.008	5	1292.4	40.0	13.5	8.1	5.4	11.5	15.1	60.0	3.6	4.8	16.5	19.6	5.5	
REGULATORY-OTHER LOANS	:59.028	5	1.7	59.5	53.6	53.6	0.0	5.9	0.0	40.5	11.7	0.0	0.0	28.8	0.0	
S & E, SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	:	8	191.4	97.8	78.4	71.8	6.5	15.0	4.5	2.2	0.7	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	
SMALL BUSINESS ENERGY LOANS	:59.030	0	4.8	45•4	9.9	2.8	7.1	19.9	15.5	54.6	9.5	12.9	10.5	11.1	0.0	1
SMALL BUSINESS ENERGY LOANS	:59.030	5	13.4	77.5	43.8	29.5	14.3	25.4	8.3	22.5	3.4	3.9	0.3	14.3	0.6	
SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT COMPANIES	:59.011	5	108.4	98.1	74.9	62.0	12.9	19.9	3.3	1.9	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	
SMALL BUSINESS LOANS	:59.012	0	2534.8	60.6	28.8	19.0	9.7	21.2	10.6	39.4	7.0	6.1	7.9	12.8	1.4	
SMALL BUSINESS LOANS	:59.012	5	201.1	79.7	46.7	32•8	13.8	22.5	10.5	20.3	6.6	2.9	4.2	4.1	1.0	
STATE AND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LOANS	:59.013	0	29.4	72•4	55.9	43.5	12.4	12.4	4.1	27.6	2.3	3•8	4.6	8.7	0.4	
STATE AND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LOANS	:59.013	5	39.8	74.3	55.7	50.7	5.0	14.6	4 • 0	25•7	3.2	7.7	3.7	7.3	0.7	
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS	:59.024	0	0.5	86.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.6	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS OTHER PROGRAMS	:59.024	5	4.0 0.1	73.6 0.0	8.9 0.0	8.9 0.0	0.0	30.8 0.0	34.0 0.0	26.4 100.0	0.0	3.8 0.0	0.0	21.7	0.9 0.0	
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	:		12576.0	37.8	15.6	9.6	6.0	13.6	8.6	62•2	9.2		14.0		4.9	1
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		8231.1	22.4	5.6	0.5	5•1	7.3	9.4	77.6	8.6	4.9	18.4	21.1	6.9	1
COMMUNITY FACILITIES LOANS, FMHA	:10.423	٥	233.0	27.9	7.5	1.4	6.1	9.7	10.7	72.1	7.5	5.3	21.2	22.9	4.3	1
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES GRTS, FMHA	:11.300	2	4.7	26.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	17.9	.73.9	0.0	0.0	31.6	23.0	9.9	-
*RURAL ELECTRIC LOANS & LN. GUARANTEES, REA	:10.850	0	6429.7	19.9	4.5	0.2	4.3	6.1	9.4	80.1	7.8	4.5	18.6	22.2	7.2	1
*RURAL TELEPHONE BANK LOANS, REA	:10.852	5	130.8	39.4	11.6	0.0	11.6	18.1	9.7	60.6	12.6	0.9	13.8	14.5	10.5	
*RURAL TELEPHONE LNS. & LN. GUARANTEES, REA	:10.851	0	249.9	12.3	1.7	0.0	• 1 • 7	4.8	5.8	87.7	6.0	10.2	13.4	23.4	11.6	2
WATER & SEWER GRTS-APPALACHIAN SUPP, FMHA	:23.002	2	23.3	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.1	88.9	17.7	4.1	15.4	31.1	8.5	1
WATER & SEWER GRTS-FOUR CORNERS SUPP, FMHA	:38.003	2	5.3	19.3	3.8	0.0	3.8	4.3	11.2	80.7	9.1	0.4	18.6	27.4	12.6	1
WATER AND WASTE DISP• SYSTEMS LOANS• FMHA WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL GRANTS• FMHA	:10.418 :10.418	0 2	873.2	38.9	13.9	2.5	11.3	14.4	10.6	61.1	14.9	6.3	17.9	12.6	3.4	
OTHER PROGRAMS	.10.410		0.0	23.7	5.5	1.7	3.7	9.6	8.7	76.3	9.1	7.4	19.7	21.4	5.9	1
	•			67•8	40.7	34•4	6•3	13.9	13.2	32.2	2•7	9•3	0.0	20.2	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	:		226.6	53.6	25.2	20.9	4.3	20.8	7.6	46.4	6.5	6.8	10.3	16•2	1.2	
ECON DEV-GRANTS & LOANS-PUBLIC WORKS, EDA ECON DEV-PUBLIC WORKS IMPACT PROJECTS, EDA	:11.300 :11.304	2 2	198.9 27.7	55•9 37•2	26.9 13.0	22.5 9.3	4•4 3•6	21.3 17.4	7.7 6.9	44•1 62•8	7•1 2•8	6.2 10.8	9•3 17•2	15.4 21.7	1.2	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	:		4118.3	67.9	35.2										1.0	
	•					27•2	8.0	25.8	6.9	32.1	10.4	4.8	5.4	7.3	1.2	
CONST GRTS FOR WASTEWATER TREAT WORKS, WWM	:66.418	2	4118.3	67•9	35•2	27•2	8.0	25.8	6.9	32.1	10.4	4•8	5•4	7.3	1.2	
COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT	:		9338.4	79.8	39.8	35.1	4.7	33.8	6.1	20.2	3.6	2.5	5.4	5.1	2.3	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		235.4	42.6	23.6	20.3	3.3	10.9	8•0	57.4	7.4	7.6	13.3	18.4	3.2	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEV• PROGRAM• ASCS APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	:23.001 :23.001	4 2	0.1	26.5 0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	15.4	10.6	73.5	7.9	7.5 0.0	9•1 75•4	11.0 24.6	19.4	1

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

BROAD FUNCTION,	: CFDA	TYPE	:	:	:	LIKUPUL	ITAN CO				:		CPOLITA	AN COU SS	NTIES : TOTA	ALLY
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	INUMBER	:CODE	: UNITED	: TOTAL		GREATER		•	LESSER	TOTAL		VIZED	URBAI	NIZED	RUI	
AND PROGRAM	: 1/		STATES	:		: :			: :			NON-		NON-		:NON-
		· ·		:	TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE		:		:ADJA- :CENT					
			•			••	•				1.1		1.00		<u>.</u>	
	•		-MIL DOLS-					PEI	RCENTAGE	OFU	•S• T0	TAL				
POPULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26 • 9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING GRANTS, FMHA	:10.426	2	4.9	44.4	7.1	4.5	2.6	21.3	16.0	55.6	12.5	11.5	6.9	16.4	4.6	3.
S & E, FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION	:	8	209.5	38.€	19.1		3.4	11.0	7.9	62.0	7.8	8.0	14.4	20.1	3.4	. 8
S & E, RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMIN.	:	8	20.7	89.5	74.0	71.4	2.6	7•4	8.1	10.5	1.2	3.1	2.9	2.1	0.5	0
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	:		251.5	68.7	36+5	32.2	4.3	22.7	9.5	31.3	8•9	7.4	4.0	7•4	1.8	1.
*APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYS•• 0/S	:23.003	2	0.2	100-0	100.0	100-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
APPALACHIAN HOUSING TECHNICAL ASST., 0/S	:23.005	2	0.2	42.8			0.0	42.8	0.0	57.2	57.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*APPALACHIAN LOCAL DEV. DISTRICT ASST., O/S	:23.009	2	5.4	20.0	3.8	1.2	2.7	10.4	5.8	80.0	7.5	2.9	21.3		9.1	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL ECON DEV PROGRAM, ARC	:23.001	2	10.8	42.9	1.9			37.9	3.2	57.1	13.2	0.0	21.5	15.9	3.9	
*APPALACHIAN STATE HOUSING TECH. ASST., O/S	:23.005	2	7.2	92.3		1.9	0.0				5.2	2.5	21/	15.9	0.0	
*APPALACHIAN STATE RES. TECH AST & DEM. 0/S	:23.011	2	14.2	68.2			4.2	56.5	19.6	7.•7						
*APPL SPEC TRANS REL PLAN-RES & DEMO + 0/S		2					13.2	35.2	12.1	31.8	17.0	1.9	6.3	4.5	0.6	
	:23.017	-	0.3	69.0	0.0	0.0	G • 0	69.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
*COASTAL ENERGY IMPACT FUND	:	8	14.1	91.2	71.6	70.1	1.5	14.9	4.6	8.8	3.4	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, ARC	:	2	2.8	89.5	34.6	21.4	13.2	53.5	1.3	10.5	3.1	1.3	0.0	4.6	0.1	
ECON DEV-DISTRICT OPERATIONAL ASST, EDA	:11.306	2	0.6	54.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	21.0	45.6	10.5	5.3	7.9	15.8	0.0	6
ECON DEV-SPECIAL ECON DEV & ADJ ASST, EDA	:11.307	2	84.6	74.6	47.0	42.5	4.5	18.2	9.4	25.4	8.1	9.7	1.3	3.3	2.5	0
ECON DEV-STATE & LOCAL ECON DEV PLNNG, EDA	:11.305	2	16.3	89.3	45.4	38.5	6.8	36.7	7.2	10.7	5.3	2.6	1.2	1.5	0.0	0
ECON DEV-SUPPORT FOR PLANNING ORGS, EDA	:11.302	2	21.0	29.7	2.9	2.2	0.7	14.5	12.3	70.3	11.8	14.6	8.7	23.6	2.4	9
ECON DEV-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, EDA	:11.303	2	14.5	81 • C	53.4	47.7	5.7	19.8	7.7	19.0	4.9	5.3	3.3	3.2	0.3	2
ECON DEV-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, EDA	:11.303	7	0.9	98.8	98.0	98.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	ō
MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS, ARC	:	2	4.5	100.0	99.9	97.1	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ň
*REGIONAL ACTION PLANNING COMMISSIONS, 0/S		2	46.2	63.5	26.8	23.3	3.5	23.1	13.6	36.5	12.1	9.7	2.5	11.1	0.4	Ő.
REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM		2	5.3	31.9	5.6	5.6	0.0	22.4	3.9	68.1	. 9.9	17.0	14.7	13.0	10.9	2
S & E. APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION		-	2.3		100.0											
OTHER PROGRAMS	:	8	0.1		100.0	0.0	0.0 100.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		58.8		20.8	16.8	4.0	36.0	17.2		8.9	2.0	7.7	5.0	1.7	0.
						·				<u> </u>						
APPALACHIAN HEALTH PROGRAMS, HSA	:23.004	2	13.7		11.9	4•1	7.8	20.4	14.4	53.4	16.0	6.4	10.9		6.6	1.
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	:	8	0.8		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT, OS	:23.001	2	12.0	65•3			6.2	22.0	22.4	34.7	7.9	2.3	19.2	2.6	0.7	1.
APPALACHIAN SUPP• TO FED• GRANT-IN-AID• OE	:23.002	2	7.7	77.2		3.9	6.9	37.2	29.2	22.8	0.0	0.0	9.8	13.1	0.0	0.
APPALACHIAN VOC ED-FAC & OPERATIONS. OE	:23.012	2.	20.0	93•4	26.4	26•4	0.0	54.8	12.2	6.6	6 • 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
PUBLIC WORKS & ECON DEV-REG DEV PROG. OF	:11.300	7	4 • 6	83.4	25.3	25.3	0.0	41.6	16.5	16.6	16.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	:		4219.8	84•8	53.4	44.8	8•6	23.6	7.7	15.2	4.2	2.7	2.9	4•1	0.3	1.
COMM DEV BLOCK GRTS-ENTITLEMENT, H	:14.218	1	2749.5	93.8	57.2	46.9	10.3	27.2	9.3	6.2	2.4	1.7	0.8	1.3	0.0	0.
COMM DEV BLOCK GRTS-SMALL CITIES/DISCRT, H	:14.219	2	660.4	33.5			5.5	11.9	8.0	66.5	15.4	9.2	13.7	20.1	2.0	6
S & E, DEPT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	:	8	523.8	99.8	85.3		2.2	13.5	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS, CPD	14.221	2	286 • 2	89.3	50.2		10.8	34.9	4.3	10.7	4.3	2.0	2.7	1.6	0.0	0.
DEPARIMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		3.8	35.5	19.4	18.6	0.7	7.2	8.9	64.5	5.2	4.3	6.7	32.4	4.5	11.
APPALACHIAN MINE AREA RESTORATION, BM	:23.010	1	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	:	8	0.6	100.C	100.0	95.3	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL REVELOPMENT, HCRS	:23.001	2	1.7	31.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.8	15.4	68.8	11.5	4.5	2.6		9.9	4.
REGIONAL ACTION PLANNING COMMISSION. HCRS	:	2	1.3	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	94.5	0.0	6.4	15.6	45.5	0.0	27
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	:		235.8	31.3	1.9	0.4	1.5	15.2	14.2	68.7	8•2	6.5	11.2	17.4	18.9	6.
DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM-APPL REG. FHWA	:23.003	1	234.7	31.3	1.8	U • 4	1.4	15.3	14.2	68.7	8.2	6.2	11.2	17.4	19.0	6.
	•	2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS	:															
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS Regional development programs	:	2	0.3	43.8	43.8	0.0	43.8	0.0	0.0	56.2	56.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.0.

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

48

Q.,

49

	: CFDA	: • TYPF	:		ME	TROPOL	ITAN CO			:			POLITA LES		TOTA	LLY
BROAD FUNCTION+ Function+ agency+	:NUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL		REATER		EDIUM	LESSER		URBAN				RUR	
AND PROGRAM	1/	: 2/	STATES			CORE	FRINGE				ADJA – CENT	NON- : ADJA-: CENT :	ADJA-:	NON- : ADJA-: CENT :	ADJA-:	NON ADJ CEN
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PEF	CENTAGE	OF U.	S. TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	:		4333•1	80.3	30.1	28.8	1.2	46.6	3.7	19.7	2.1	1.6	7.2	4.4	3.4	C
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY FUND	:	7	4333.1	80.3	30.1	28.8	1•2	46.6	3.7	19.7	2.1	1.6	7.2	4•4	3 • 4	(
OTHER AGENCIES	:		0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HOUSING	: :		25326.9	78.2	41.4	29.3	12.1	28.5	8•3	21.8	4.8	3.3	5.1	6.0	0.9	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		3703.1	24.0	5.2	1.5	3.7	11.5	7•4	76.0	11.5	6.6	20.3	25.1	4.2	
ABOVE MODERATE INCOME HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	:10.429		11.9	8 • 8	2.6	0.0	2.6	4.6	1.6	91.2		8•6 4•7	24.4 7.0	30.2 15.7	10.5	
FARM LABOR HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	:10.405		36.3	53.5		0.1	2.9 3.1	33.6 35.2	16•9 22•2	46•5 39•6		2.2		18.0	3.2	
FARM LABOR HOUSING GRANTS, FMHA	:10.405		32•4 2716•7	60•4 23•3	3.1 5.0	0.0	3.1	10.6	7.6	76.7		7.1		25.5	4.3	
LOW TO MODERATE INCOME HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	:10.410		3.0	23.8		0.0	0.0	14.1	9.7	76.2		24.4	2.4	18.5	2.9	2
RURAL HOUSING SITE LOANS, FMHA	:	2	2.4	37.5		4.2	0.0	16.7	16.7	62.5	4.2	8.3	8.3	20.8	4.2	1
RURAL HOUSING SUPERVISORY ASST GRTS, FMHA RURAL RENTAL HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	:10.415		864.0	24.1	6.0	2.3	3.7	12.4	5.7	75.9	10.8	5.1	23.7	24.8	3.8	
RURAL SELF-HELP HOUSING TECH. ASST., FMHA	:10.420		5.5	34.3	0.0	0.0	0 • 0	24.7	9.6	65•7		5.8	13.4	11.5	2.7	· 1
SELF-HELP HOUSING LAND DEV. LOANS, FMHA	:10.411		1.7	31.3	5.1	5.1	0.0	19.3	7.0	68.7		25.9	16.1	13.4	0.0	
VERY LOW-INCOME HOUSING REPAIR GRTS FMHA	:10.417		17.5	14.2		0.4	2.1	5.7	6.0	85.8		3.7	23.1	29•1 32•7	6.0 7.0	
VERY LOW-INCOME HOUSING REPAIR LOANS, FMHA	:10.417	5	11.8	12.6	1.4	0.5	0.9	5.5	5.7	87.4	7.1	4.8				
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	:		21501.1	87.5	47.5	33.9	13.6	31.5	8.5	12.5	3.7	2.7	2.5	2.6	0.4	
LOAN INSURANCE-MAJOR HOME IMPROVEMENTS, H	:14.108		0.2	73.5			0.0	73.5	0.0	26•5 48•6	9•8 8•3	10.6 6.7	0.0 14.2	6•1 11•9	0.0 2.9	
MOBILE HOME LOAN INSURANCE, H	:14.110		378.1	51.4			10.3	20.5	14.8	48.5		0.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-CONDOMINIUM HOMES, H	:14.133		72.1	98.2			5.8 0.0	30.0 82.5	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-EXPERIMENTAL HOMES, H	:14.152		0•1 113•5	100.0		43.1	28.6	14.6	6.7	7.0		0.1	0.4	0.3	0.0	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-HOME COINSURANCE PRG. H	:14.161		9600•4	. 88 • 8			13.1		8.5	11.2		3.0	1.8	2.2	0.3	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-HOMES, H	:14.127	•	1.4	32.8			0.0	32.8	0.0	67.2	0.0	67.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-MOBILE HOME PARKS• H Mortgage Insurance-nursing homes• H	:14.129		91.4	73.2			11.8	24.0	5.0	26.8	17.0	2.4	0.0	1.8	3.5	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-REDEVELOPMENT HOMES, H	:14.122		0 • 4	73.3	49.0	20.6	28.3	24.3	0.0	26.7	0.0	10.5	7.9	0.0	0.0	
MTGE INS-CONDOMINIUM HOUSING PROJECTS, H	:14.112		9.6	97.9			.4 • 6	29.9	0.0	2.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MTGE INS-GRADUATED PAYMENT MORTGAGE PRG. H	:14.159	0	5119.0	93.4			17.1	27.2	8.8	6.6		1.7	1.0	0.6	0.2	
MTGE INS-HOMES FOR SPECIAL CREDIT RISKS. H	:14.140		1.6	99.3			30.4	20.7	3•4 4•8	0 • 7 3 • 0		0.8	0.2	0.3	0.0	
MTGE INS-HOMES IN DECLINING AREAS. H	:14.123		144.6	97.0			8.5 0.0	18.9 48.9	0.0	42.1		3.1	5.3	12.1	2.6	
MTGE INS-HOMES IN OUTLYING AREAS, H	:14.121		1.0	50.6			50.6	0.0	0.0	49.4		27.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	
MTGE INS-LAND DEVELOPMENT & NEW COMMUN. H	:14.125	-	1755.5	78.7			14.3	27.0	7.6	21.3	5.3	3.0	5.9	5.6	0.3	
MTGE INS-LOW/MOD INC HOUS-MKT INT RATE, H MTGE INS-LOW/MODERATE INCOME FAM HOMES, H	:14.12	-	636 • 2	95.0		38.5	10.6	38.6	7.3	5.0	2.3	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.1	
MTGE INS-LOWER INCOME FAMILY HOMES. H	:14.105		439.9	88.6	19.3	12.2	7.0	53.5	15.8	11.4		3.3	1.7	1.6	0.1	
MTGE INS-MULTIFAMILY SUPPLEMENTAL LOANS, H	:14.15	0	2.0	100.0			0.0	90.3	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		
MTGE INS-PROJECTS WITH 2-YR OPER LOSSES, H	:	0	1.2	100.0			0.0	92.2	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MTGE INS-PURCHASE/REFIN EXISTING HOUS, H	:14.15		420.0	98.3			8.7	3.5	2.0	1.7		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MIGE INS-REDEVELOPMENT HOUSING PROJECTS. H	:14.139		67•4	100.0	83.9 100.0		0.0 0.0	11.3	4•8 0•0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MTGE INS-RENTAL HOUSING ASST PROJECTS, H	:14.10		11•8 57•1		50.2		5.0	30.2		16.3		0.0	7.1	6.3	0.0	
MTGE INS-RENTAL HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY. H	:14.13		5.4		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MTGE INS-RENTAL HOUSING PROJECTS. H	:14.13		2.7		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MTGE INS-SALES TYPE CO-OP PROJECTS. H	:14.14		1390.5	77.8			16.7			22.2		3.8	4.7	6.1	0.8	
PROPERTY IMPROVEMENT LOAN INSURANCE• H Public Housing Assistance program• H	:14.14		1008.1	75.9			5.6	25.1	8•2	24•1		3.5	5.7	6.8	0.6	
SECTION 312 REHABILITATION LOANS, CPD	:14.22		161.1	86.5	40.1	33.7	6.4			13.5		2.8	2.0 0.0	2.3	0.3	
				100.0	58.6	0.0	58.6	0.0	41•4	0.0	0.0	0.0				

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

BROAD FUNCTION,	CFDA	TYPE	:	:	: M	ETROPOL	LIAN C	UUNTIE:	S •	:	N0		DPOLIT/	AN COUN	TIES	
FUNCTION + AGENCY +	NUMBER			TOTAL	-	GREATER		MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL		IZED	URBAN	VIZED :	RUI	RAL
AND PROGRAM	1/	2/	STATES	:	•	CORE	FRINGE				ADJA- CENT		ADJA-		ADJA-	
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PEF	CENTAGE							
PULATION, 1978	: ,		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8 • 9	28.0	7•1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	
FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD	:		122.6	77.5	51.4	50.8	0.6	24.5	1.6	22.5	0.7	0.0	0.1	21.7	0.0	
ASSETS ACQUIRED FROM INSURED INSTITUTIONS		5	22.0				0.0				· · · · ·					
*FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BD. REVOLVING FUND		8	41.7		100.0		1.6	0.0	0.0 4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FEDERAL SAVINGS & LOAN INSURANCE CORP FUND	:	8	0.6		100.0		0.0	16.6 0.0	0.0	1.4 0.0	0.8 0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	
*LIQUIDATION AND OTHER EXPENSES	:	8	2.0	88.0			1.8	5.4	0.0	12.0	3.8	0.0	0.0 0.3	0.0 7.9	0.0	
LOANS TO INSURED INSTITUTIONS	:	5	11.8	0.0		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	95.5	0.0	
*PROVISION FOR CONTR. TO INSURED INST.	:	4	44.4		14.2	•••	0.0	51.9	0.1	33.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.8	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HOUSINGVETERANS	:		17097.3	86.3	45.6	28.0	17.6	29.5	11.1	13.7	4.7	3.3	2.6	2.1	0.5	
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	:		619.6	89.7	27.7	20.7	7.0	52.2	9.8	10.3	4.1	3.4	1.6	0.7	0.2	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-SERVICEMEN'S HOMES, H	:	0	103.8	85.2	27.1	20.4	6.7	44.2		14 0	7 0			• •		
MTGE INS-HOMES FOR CERTIFIED VETERANS, H	:14.118	õ	515.8	90.6	27.8	20.7	7.1	53.8	14•0 9•0	14•8 9•4	7•9 3•4	5•1 3•1	1•2 1•7	0.4	0.0 0.3	
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION	:		16477.7	86.2	46.3	28.3	18.0	28.7	11.2	13.8	4.7	3.3	2.6	2.2	0.5	
DISABLED VETS DIRECT HOME LOANS	:64.118	5	0.5	69.4	6.6	6•6	0.0	42.9	19.9	30.6	5.1	0.0	14.8	10.7	0.0	
MOBILE HOME LOANS	:64.119	0	116.2	58.7	18.1	7.8	10.4	23.3	17.2	41.3	9.6	6.7	9.7	10.1	2.3	
VETERANS DIRECT LOANS	:64.113	5	47 • 3	25.5	22.0	21.0	1.1	1.7	1.8	74.5	6.6	10.4	11.4	34.4	2.9	
VETERANS GUARANTEED AND INSURED LOANS	:64.114	0	16313.7	86.6	46.6		18.1	28.8	11.2	13.4	4.7	3.3	2.6	2.0	0.5	
NATIVE AMERICANS	:		1397.9	33•5	18.0	15.0	2.9	11.1	4.4	66.5	9.3	6.6	9.9	18.0	1.5	2
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		11.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	9.5	7.1	36.4	0.0	4
INDIAN TRIBES & TRIBAL CORP. LOANS, FMHA	:10.421	0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	9.5	7.1	36.4	0.0	4
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		154.8	39.1	22.3	13.2	9•1	12.0	4 • 8	60.9	6.1	7.3	12.2	16.3	2.3	1
INDIAN ED-FELLOWSHIPS-INDIAN STUDENTS, OE	:13.569	2	1.4	76.1	35.1	33.4	1.8	34.0	7.0	23.9	13.9	4.7	1.2	4.1	0.0	
INDIAN EDUCATION-ADULT EDUCATION, OE	:13.536	2	5.9	45.7	27.2	25.9	1.3	10.2	8.3	54.3	5.4	11.5		18.7	0.0	
INDIAN EDUCATION-GRANTS TO LEA*S, OE	:13.534	1	43.5	41.5	15.3	11.3	4.0	17.8	8.4	58.5	9.6	7.6	10.2	13.7	2.6	1
INDIAN EDUCATION-GRANTS TO NON-LEA'S, OE	:13.551	2	4.2	16.9	0.0	0.0	6.0	12.6	4.3	83.1	0.0	0.0	17.8	30.1	1.6	
INDIAN EDUCATION-SPECIAL PROG AND PROJ, OE	:13.535	2	14.0	46.4	28.7	28.7	0.0	11.4	6 • 4	53.6	4.0	7.3	8.3	13.4	1.6	
INDIAN EDUCATION, OE		8	2.2	90.3	87.4	81.0	6.4	2.6	0.4	9.7	7.3	0.3	0.4	1.2	0.0	
INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES, HSA	:	8	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	2.3	3.2	53.8	19.7	7.4	1
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES-HLTH MGT & DEV, HSA	:13.228	4	15.6	73.2	66.3	1.5	64.8	4.1	2.8	26.8	2.9	2.0	3.2	9.2	0.5	
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES• HSA NATIVE AMERICAN PROGRAMS• OS	:	8	14.1	6.3	2.3	1.5	0.8	4.0	0.0	93.7	9.5	19.3	14.3	30.8	4.2	1
VOCATIONAL ED-PGS FOR INDIAN TRB & ORG, OE	:13.612 :13.588	2	34•7 5•4	47.0	25.7	20.8	4.9	16.3	5.0	53.0	5.9	4•6	4 • 4	14.3	0.7	- 2
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	16.6 100.0	2.7 100.0	0.0	2.7 100.0	13.9 0.0	0.0	83•4 0•0	0.0 0.0	22.2	9.0 0.0	27•4 0•0	3.0 0.0	2
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		1085.0	32.9	18.5	16.5	1.9	10.1	4.3	67.1	10.2	6.7	9.6	18.5	1.4	2
ALASKA NATIVE FUND	:	8	30.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CLAIMS AND TREATY OBLIGATIONS, BIA	:	8	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.6	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	9
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, BIA	:	8	39.8	54.9	47.2	46.8	0.4	5.7	2.0	45.1	2.9	3.8	8.7	12.8	0.6	í
*CONSTRUCTION, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS	:	7	24.3	59.5	35.1	0.1	35.0	18.8	5.7	40.5	36.7	0.0	1.7	1.0	0.0	1
CONSTRUCTION, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS	:	8	101.8	30.8	.3.9	3.6	0.2	16.8	10.1	69.2	37.5	5.7	3.4	7.2	0.4	1
EASTERN INDIAN LAND CLAIMS SETTLEMENT FUND		8	0.1	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INDIAN ACTION TEAM PROGRAM. BIA	:15.107	8	22.5	100.0	99.9	9 9 •9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INDIAN EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE, BIA Indian loan guaranty & Insurance fund, bia	:15.108	2	31•8 2•9	30•9 8•2	14.0	13.3	0 • 7 · 4 • 2	11.6	5•4 1•7	69•1 91•8	8•6	12.6	8.6	22.4	0.9	1

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

50

BROAD FUNCTION.	: CFDA		•	•	:	INDFUL	ITAN CO	1			:		POLITA		TOTA	ALLY
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	:NUMBER			TOTAL		REATER	M	EDIUM	LESSER			IZED :	URBAN	IZED :	RUP	RAL
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES	:		CORE	FRINGE				: ADJA-: CENT :		ADJA-:		ADJA-:	
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OFU	.S. TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
INDIAN LOANS-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, BIA	:15.124	2	5.0	18.7	5.3	4.1	1.2	13.4	0.0	81.3	8.6	3.7	23.3	32.0	0.0	
INDIAN SOCIAL SERVICES-CHILD WELFARE, BIA	:15.103	4	15.7	20.2	2.3	2.3	0.0	16.9	1.0	79.8		4.4	3.7	22.9	1.2	
INDIAN SOCIAL SERVICES-GENERAL ASST., BIA	:15.113	4	53.8	13.9	1.3	1.1	0.2	8.7	3.9	86.1		3.6	6.9	21.2	1.0	
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, INDIAN IRRIG SYS	:	8	9.4	37.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	28.4	63.0		14.4	0.4	28.8 3.0	0.0	
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS, BIA	:	2	6.8	84.1	73.5	73.5	0.0 0.4	10.1	0.6 3.2	15.9 87.0		5.5 1.7	1.2	16.2	5.6	
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS, BIA	:	4	45.7	13.0 31.5	6.8 21.2	.6•4 21•0	0.2	7.8	2.5	68.5		6.3	14.2	18.9	1.8	
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS. BIA		7	100.0 500.6	28.6		10.3	2.3	11.8	4.3	71.4	7.0	8.2	11.5	22.5	1.1	
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS + BIA Power systems + Indian Irrigation Project		8	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0		25.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REVOLVING FUND FOR LOANS, BIA		5	0.2	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	90.1	60.1	0.0	0.0	29.9	0.0	
ROAD CONSTRUCTION BIA		8	77.9		18.0	18.0	0.0	5.1	2.7	74.2		7.1	8.9	28.2	1.3	
S & E, NAVAJO-HOPI RELOCATION COMMISSION	:	8	4.5	99.8	98.0	98.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.2		0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	:		143.2	32.6	8.7	7.4	1.3	18.5	5.5	67.4	6•5	4•8	10.2	15.2	1.6	. 1
*INDIAN EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING PROGRAM. ETA	:17.234	1	143.2	32.6	8.7	7.4	1.3	18.5	5.5	67.4	6.6	4.8	10.2	15.2	1.6	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		3.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INDIAN TRUST ACCOUNTING	:	8	3.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REVENUE SHARING	:		4549.4	73.0	42.7	33.0	9.7	22.1	8•2	27.0	6.7	3.9	6.2	6.8	1.1	
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY	:		4549•4	73.0	42.7	33.0	9.7	22.1	8.2	27.0	6.7	3.9	6.2	6.8	1.1	
ANTIRECESSION FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FUND State and local gov fiscal asst trust fund	:	1	0•3 4549•1	51.0 73.0		16.3 33.0	3.5 9.7	27.3 22.1	3•8 8•2	49.0 27.0		2•8 3•9	12.0	10.2	0.3	
TRANSPORTATION	:		17791.5	81.6	53.4	43.9	9.5	20.8	7.3	18.4	4.1	2.7	3.4	5.1	1.1	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		11.1	34•8	22•1	21.8	0.3	11.0	1.7	65.2	5.3	3.0	21•2	30.8	1.4	
*FEDERAL AID HIGHWAYS (TRUST FUND) HIGHLAND SCENIC HIGHWAY, FS	: 	8 8	10•4 0•6	36.1 13.7		22.3 13.7	.0•3 0•0	11.7	1.8 0.0	63.9 86.3		3.2 0.0	22.5 0.0	27•4 86•3	1.5 0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	:		2044.4	98.8	74.3	60.1	14.3	20.1	4.3	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	
		•	• •	100 0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ADMIN. OF CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION FUND. MAR	:11.508 :11.506	8	0.2	72.5			0.5	14.7	22.3	27.5		0.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	
AID TO STATE MARINE SCHOOLS. MAR *CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND. MAR	:	7	7.2		35.8		25.4	63.9	0.3	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DOMESTIC WATERBORNE TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, MAR	:11.509	à	0.3		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FEDERAL SHIP FINANCING FUND, MAR	:	7	31.5	100.0			0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0		. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FEDERAL SHIP FINANCING GUARANTEES, MAR	:11.502	0	1204.8	98.0		55.1	13.1	22.9	6.9	2.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MARITIME WAR RISK INSURANCE, MAR	:11.503	6	0.2		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OPER. OF U.S. MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY. MAR	:11.507	8	9.7	97.1		37.4	57.6	1.6	0.4	2.9		0.8 0.0	0.1	· 0•0	0.0	
OPERATIONS AND TRAINING, MAR	:	8	30.8	99.9	84.8 100.0		3.8 0.0	9.6 0.0	5.5 0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PORTS & INTERMODAL TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, MAR	:11.501	8	0.7	100.0			49•8		4.1	1.4		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, MAR		7	18.1					0.5	41.1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4		100.0	84.4	59.2	25.2	15.4	0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SEA GRANT SUPPORT, NOAA *Ship construct-differential subsidies, mar	:11.417 :11.500	2	0.3 413.0	56.6 100.0		14•9 59•2	0•0 25•2									

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

51

Ø

---CONTINUED

o

BROAD FUNCTION,	: CFDA	TYPE	:		:	TROPOL	ITAN CC				:		OPOLITA : LES		TOTA	ALL
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	:NUMBER		: UNITED	TOTAL		REATER	٢	EDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL						
AND PROGRAM	1/	: 2/	STATES		: :	•	FRINGE					NON-		NON- :		: NOI
•		:	:	:		:	-KINGE:				CENT					
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PEF	CENTAGE	OF U	•S• TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		31	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	
*SHIP OPERATING-DIFFERENTIAL SUBSIDIES, MAR	:11.504	4	320.0	100.0	82.3	78.5	3.8	17.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SPECIAL STUDIES, SERVICES, & PROJECTS, MAR		7	0.4		100.0	38.4	61.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
*VESSEL OPERATIONS REVOLVING FUND, MAR	:'	7	1.9	100.0		73.9	0.0	26.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	: '	,	0.1	100.0	4.3	4.2	0.1	95.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		1.2	52.4	6.5	2.1	45	1.7	44.1	47.6	0.0	34.5	0.0	4.9	0.0	
*FEDERAL AID HIGHWAYS (TRUST FUND)	:	8	1.2	52.4	6.5	2.1	4.5	1.7	44.1	47.6	0 • 0	34.5	0.0	4.9	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF STATE	: *	,.	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	:		15110.9	78.6	49.8	40.9	9.0	20.9	7.9	21.4	4.7	3.2	4.0	6.0	1.2	
ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION & IMPROVE., CG	:	7	164.3	98.2	85.6	78.6	7.0	11.6	1.0	1.8	0.7	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	
AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT AID PROGRAM, FAA	:20.102	2	591.0	73.9	35.9	30.5	5.4	25.3	12.7	26.1	3.9	7.6	2.7	8.8	0.4	
AIRPORT PLANNING GRANT PROGRAM. FAA	:20.103	2	33.7	63.8	16.8	15.5	1.4	12.7	34.2	36.2	1.1	13.1	2.7	14.6	0.3	
ALASKA RAILROAD REVOLVING FUND, FRA	:	8	35.8	55.9	C • 4	0 • 4	0.0	0.0	55.5	44.1	0.0	10.5	0.0	15.4	0.0	
ALTERATION OF BRIDGES, CG	:	7	4.7	95.8	95.8	2.5	93.3	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS, UMTA	:20.500	1	0.2	100.0 99.5	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS, UMTA	20.500	2	1915.2	100.0	90.2 94.2	88•9 94•2	1.4 0.0	8.7	0•6 4•3	0.5 0.0	0.2 0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	
COAST GUARD GENERAL GIFT FUND COAST GUARD SUPPLY FUND		÷	40.8	91.8	81.6	77.1	4.5	7.9	2.3	8.2	2.1	0.9	1.8	3.0	0.1	
COAST GUARD YARD FUND		8	27.1	98.6	94.5	90.5	4.0	3.9	0.2	1.4	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, TSC		7	61.4	99.0	88.0	79.6	8.4	10.7	0.3	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION, WMA, FAA	:	7	4.3	85.7	28.7	9.7	19.0	42.4	14.7	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FACILITIES & EQUIP., A/A TRUST FUND, FAA	:	. 7	278.0	89•6	49.0	26.5	22.5	25.3	15.3	10.4	3.5	3.4	0.8	1.7	0.1	
FACILITIES, ENGINEERING & DEVELOPMENT, FAA	:	7	13.2	100.0	45.3	32.4	13.0	28.9	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FORMULA GRANTS PROGRAM. UMTA	:20.507	1	1139.9	97.09	68.2	66 • 1	2.1	24.7	5.0	2 • 1	1.9	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	
HIGHWAY BEAUTIFICATION, FHWA	:20.214	1	12.8	58.6	5.2	2.7	2.5	39.3	14.0	41.4	17.6	4.7	7.0	6.8	0.4	
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA	:20.205	1	2.1	92•2 63•0	90.8 31.0	90.8 20.1	0.0 10.9	1.2	0.2 9.0	7•8 37•0	0.0	0.0 4.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA	:20.205 :20.205	1 2	7126.6	100.0	95•4	72.8	22.6	23.1 3.8	9•0 0•7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8 0.0		2.5	
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA HIGHWAY STUDIES, FHWA	:20.205		24.9	95.9	67.8	59.5	8.4	16.9	11.2	4.1	2.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.0	
MANAGERIAL TRAINING PROGRAMO UMTA	:20.503	2	0.4	98.0	60.1	55.1	5.0	35.3	2.7	2.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	
NORTHEAST CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	:	7	435.9	99.7	99.3	88.0	11.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
NORTHEAST CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	:	8	1.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0 + 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, FRA	:	7	1.7	95.3		36.4	8.4	49.9	0.6	4.7	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	2.1	
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, FRA	:	8	5.3	100.0		99.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, D.O.T.	:	7	0.2		100.0	96.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, D.O.T.	:	8	7.3	100•0 79•7		86.2	5.6	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OPERATING EXPENSES, CG	:	8	757.0 21.2	96.9	72.6	48.1 7.0	5.0 65.6	19•1 19•8	7.5	20•3 3•1	5.9 3.1	2.1 0.0	0.7	10.3	0.2	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WMA, FAA OPERATIONS, FAA		8	1691.9	93.3	50.1	36.3	13.7	31.9	11.3	6.7	1.2	3.0	0.2	1.5	0.0	
RAIL SERVICE ASSISTANCE, FRA		7	6.7	96.4	96.1	66 • 4	29.7	0.2	0.0	3.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	
RAIL SERVICE ASSISTANCE, FRA		8	2.4	100.0	97.6	94.9	2.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RAIL SERVICE OPERATING, UMTA		2	0.5	100.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, ERA		7	38.9	96•4	40.7	27.3	13.3	43.3	12.4	3.6	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.0	
RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, FRA	:	8	4 • 7	100.0	75.3	75.3	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RAILROAD SAFETY, FRA	:	2	1.5	82.1	59.2	69.2	0.0	12.5	0.4	17.9	12.5	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	
RAILROAD SAFETY, FRA	:	7	9.0	99.7	87.4	19.8	67.7	12.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	
RAILROAD SAFETY, FRA	:	8	9.9	99.0	69.4	62.7	6.8	26.4	• 3.2	1.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PRGS. TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PRGS. TRANSPORTATION		2	2.5	87.6	10.9	10.2	0.6	56.1 0.0	20.5 0.0	12.4	7.9	3.5 0.0	0.7 0.0	0.3 0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROS., TRANSPORTATION	:	8	7.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH, DEV., TESTING, & EVALUATION, CG	÷	7	17.8			37.9	11.3	19.8	29.4	1.5	1.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

52

.

		:	:	: .	ME	TROPOLI	TAN CO	UNTIES		:	NUT	METROF	LESS		TOTAL	LLY
BROAD FUNCTION, Function, Agency,	CFDA NUMBER			TOTAL:	G	REATER	M	EDIUM:	LESSER	TOTAL	URBAN	ZED :	URBAN	ZED :	RUR	
AND PROGRAM	: 1/		STATES	: :	:		:	:	:	:	1:	ION- :	1:	ION- :		
	•	:	:	: :	TOTAL:	CORE	RINGE:	:			ADJA-:/	CENT :C	ENT :	CENT :C	ENT :C	CEN
			•	•		•	······································									
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U.	S. TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6 • 8	1.2	;
THE STATE A DEVICE THE FUND	•	7	62.2	99.8	45.5	23.0	22.6	13.4	40.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH, ENG, & DEV, A/A TRUST FUND, FAA Retired Pay, CG	:	4	176.8	71.6	39.2	27.9	11.3	20.5	11.9	28.4	7.6	3.9	4.3	7.8	1.0	
S & E. OFFICE OF SECRETARY, TRANSPORTATION	:	7	1.8	100.0		99•6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0 0•0	0.0 0.0	
S & E, OFFICE OF SECRETARY, TRANSPORTATION	:	8	21.9	100.0		99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	
SERVICE METHODS AND DEMONSTRATION. UMTA	:20.506	2	115.4	99.3	89.3	84.9	4.4	7.6	2.4	0.7	0.2 94.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ST LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	:	8	7.6	5.9	5.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0 25.0	94.1	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	
TECHNICAL STUDIES PROGRAM. UMTA	:20.505	2	67.5	98•4	55.9	51.4	4.5	17.5		2.2	1.8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	
TRAFFIC AND HIGHWAY SAFETY, NHTSA	:	7	35.7	97.8	53.6	31.3	22.3	34.0	10.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TRAFFIC AND HIGHWAY SAFETY, NHTSA	:	8	49.8	100.0	99.9	99.9	0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, RESEARCH, & DEV.	:	7	6.4		100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, RESEARCH, & DEV.	:	8	⇒1.7		100.0	48.1	0.0	30.2	3.1	12.2		2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
UNIVERSITY RESEARCH AND TRAINING. UMTA	:20.502	2	2.0		54.6 100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, TRANSPORTATION	:	7	24.6				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.+ 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, TRANSPORTATION		8	10.9	85.2	100.0	0.0	40.7	18.5	25.9	14.8		0.0	0.0	14.8	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	03.5	40.1	0.0		10.5						•		
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMIN.	:	:	519.7	97.1	66.4	59.0	7.4	26.0	4.7	2•9	1.1	0.1	0.9	0.1	0.00	
AEROSPACE EDUCATION SERVICES PROJECT	:43.001	8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0		99.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONST OF FAC-AIR TRANSPORTION	:	7	57.2	90.5		50.8	2 • 1	37.6	0.0	9.5		0.0	7.8	0.0		
RES & PROG MAN-AIR TRANSPORTATION	:	8	163.0	98.1		40.7	15.1	29.4	12.8	1.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0 • 0 0 • 0	
RESEARCH & DEV-AIR TRANSPORTION	:	7	299.2	97.8	74.8	70.5	4.3	21.9	1.1	2.2	1.6	0.1	0.1	0.1		
OTHER AGENCIES	:		104.3	100.0	97•2	96.9	0.2	2.7	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HUMAN RESOURCES	:		18600.5	82.6	44.8	37.8	7.0	27•4	10.4	17.4	6.0	3.0	2.6	3.8	0.5	
EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES	:		5833.6	71.7	39.5	33.0	6.5	22.7	9•6	28.3	6.5	4.4	5.8	7.3	1.1	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		5205.6	71.2	38.6	32.0	6.6	23.0	9.7	28.8	6.6	4.4	5.9	7.3	1.2	
ADOPTION OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM. OS	:13.652	2	5.0	96.3	55.4		0.0	10.3	30.6			3.7	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	
ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION, OE	:13.420		2.0	100.0			18.2	6.7	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	
AMERICAN PRINTING HOUSE FOR BLIND-GRTS. OS	:	2	3.9	100.0		0.0		100.0	0.0			0.0	3.6	3.0	0.3	
BILINGUAL EDUCATION. OE	:13.403	2	142.6	82.1			. 5.4	23.4	8.0			2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BILINGUAL VOCATIONAL TRAINING. OF	:13.558		2.7	100.0			8.2	0.0	0.0			7.2	3.7	9.1	0.0	
CAPACITY BLDG FOR STAT ACTIVITY IN SEA. OF	:13.922		1.9	. 74.2			2.7	39.6	21.7			4.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	
CHILD ABUSE & NEGLECT PREV & TREATMENT. OS	:13.628		18.7	87.1			5+1		10.9			5.7	7.1	9.1	0.6	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT-HEAD START. OS	:13.600		640.8	67•6 92•6			7.1		6.7			1.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	
CHILD WELFARE RESEARCH AND DEMO GRANTS, OS	:13.608		15.9	89.7			2.3		14.3			3.7	0.2	1.9	0.0	
CHILD WELFARE SERVICES TRAINING GRANTS, OS	:13.648		36.9	86.6			2.7				6.6	5.4	0.3	0.8	9.2	
CIVIL RIGHTS TECHNICAL ASST. AND TRNG., OE	:13.405		12.1	92.8			2.6					0.7	0.0	2.1	0.0	
DEV DISABILITIES-SPECIAL PROJECTS. OS	:13.631		7.4	74.3			10.5			25.7	14.9	6.2	0.0	2.0	0.0	
DEV DISABILITIES-UNIV AFFILIATED PROGS, OS	:13.428		2371 .1	64.6			6.5		8.3			4.3	8.7	9.8	2.0	
EDUC DEPRIVED CHILDREN-LEA'S. OE	:13.430	-	42.1				0.0	53.8	18.0	11.6	3 8.0	2.1	0.5	.1	0.0	
*EDUC DEPRIVED CHILDREN-STATE ADMIN, OE		8	0.1	100.0	88.8	84.3	4.5	0.0	11.2			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EDUCATION FOR THE HANDICAPPED. OE EDUCATIONAL BROADCASTING FACILITIES. OE	:11.550		2.7	93.2		71.7	0.4		4.5			0.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUC-EVALUATION. OF	:	7	14.1	99.7			4.8					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUC-LVALOATION OF	:13.522		3.1	84.6			5.1					6.6	2.8	2.7	0.0	
ESAA-BASIC GRTS TO LOCAL EDUC AGENCIES, OE	:13.525		137.0	84.7	47.5		7.4					2.6	3.4	3.5	0.6	
ESAA-BASIC GRTS TO LOCAL EDOC AGENCIEST OF	:13.403		8.6				0.0					1.5	2.4	0.0	. 0.0	
ESAA-EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION, OE	:13.530		6.4				4.7					0.0		0.0	0.0	
ESAA-EVALUATION OE	:	7	1.2				0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ESAA-MAGNET SCHOOLS AND UNIVERSITIES. OF	:13.589	2	23.7				6.5					0.3	4.1	5.9	1.8	
ESAA-PILOT PROGRAMS (SPEC PRGS & PRJS) • OE	:13.526	2	31.9	81.8			2.6						1.7	2.6	0.0	
			17.2	91.4	54.8	52.0	2.7	32.5								

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

53

BROAD FUNCTION,	CFDA	TYPE		: :		TROPOLI		: :	:		:	:	LES		TOTA	ALL
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	INUMBER			: TOTAL		REATER	٢	EDIUM:	LESSER							
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES	: :		•		: :				NON- :		NON-		:NO
	:	:			TOTAL	CORE	RINGE				:ADJA-:					
		•	i								CENT :		LENI		فالاغد	<u>.</u>
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U	•S• TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6•8	1.2	
ESAA-SPECIAL PROGRAMS, OE	:13.532	2	59.5	88•6	66.5	59.9	6.6	19•4	2.6	11.4	11.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ETHNIC HERITAGE STUDIES PROGRAM, OE	:13.549	2	1.8	82.0	49.8	37.4	12.4	20.8	11.5	18.0	7.2	2.3	1.8	1.9	0.0	
FAMILY PLANNING PROJECTS, HSA	:13.217	2	130.7	89.1	41.1	36.4	4 • 8	34.5	13.5	10.9	6.1	1.9	1.0	1.2	0.0	
FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES-TRNG GRANTS, HSA	:13.260	2	5.3	100.0	86.7	48.2	38.5	11.5	1.7	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FAMILY PLANNING SVCS DELIVERY IMPROVE, HSA	:13.974	. 2	0.5	78.0	51.0	51.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	22.0	16.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FOLLOW THROUGH. OE	:13.433	2	55.9	73.7	38.5	33.1	5.4	24.1	11.1	26.3	4.7	5.3	5.3	5.2	1.4	
FUND FOR IMPROVEMENT-POSTSECONDARY ED., OE	:13.925	2	11.6	87.8	56.4	58.6	7.8	16.1	5.2	12.2	5.7	0.8	2.8	1.7	0.0	
GRTS TO STATES-SOCIAL & CHILD WELFARE SVCS	:	8	3.5	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HANDICAPPED EARLY CHILDHOOD ASSISTANCE, OE	:13.444	2	21.7	78.4	38.8	31.9	6.9	22.9	16.7	21.6	7.7	5.7	2.4	4.0	0.9	
HANDICAPPED INNOV PROG-DEAF-BLIND CTRS, OE	:13.445	2	14.9	86.5	46.8	46 . 8	0.0	23.4	16.2	13.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	
HANDICAPPED MEDIA SVC & CAPTIONED FILM, OE	:13.446	4	18.7	95.4	82.8	79.4	3.4	5.9	6.7	4.6	4.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	
HANDICAPPED PERSONNEL PREPARATION, OE	:13.451	ż	55.7	77.8	38.1	32.2	6.0	26.0	13.6	22.2	11.0'	6.8	1.1	3.1	0.0	
HANDICAPPED REGIONAL RESOURCE CENTERS, OE	:13.450	2	9.5	97.2	36.0	34.0	2.0	41.0	20.2	2.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HANDICAPPED TEACHER RECRUITMENT & INFO, OE	:13.452	2	1.0	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HANDICAPPED-RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION. OE	:13.443	2	19.7		50.4	50.3	10.1	15.8	7.8	15.9	9.5	4.2	0.6	1.0	0.6	
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, OS, HEW	:	8	62.8		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INNOVATIVE PGS-SEVERELY HANDICAP CHILD. OF	:13.568	2	4.1	75.1	33.7	27.7	5.9	30.9	10.5	24.9	21.9	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	
NATIONAL CENTER-EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, OF	:	7	8.2	98.5	75.5	69.1	6.4	2.8	20.1	1.5	1.0	0.4				
		•											0.1	0.1	0.0	
OFFICE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, HEW	•	8	1.4	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PLANNING AND EVALUATION, OE	:	7	2.1	100.0	82.3	32.7	49.6	7.6	10.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PROG FOR AGING-MODEL PROJECTS, OS	:13.634	2	14.9	88.88	56.4	52.4	4.0	24.7	7.7	11.2	4 • 7	2.5	0.3	2.4	0.0	
PROG FOR AGING-MULTIDISCIPLINARY CTRS., OS	:13.638	2	3.5	93.4	66.3	56.9	9.3	26.8	0.4	6.6	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	
PROG FOR AGING-RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, OS	:13.636	2	8.5	90.0	73.0	70.1	3.0	12.1	4 • 8	10.0	6.0	1.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	
PROG FOR AGING-STATE AGENCY ACTIVITIES, OS	:13.633	1	215.7	88.6	18.3	16.4	1.9	52.5	17.7	11.4	7.3	2.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	
PROG FOR AGING-TRAINING, OS	:13.637	2	17.1	89.5	43.7	39.4	4.3	31.1	14.7	10.5	4.5	3.6	0.5	1.7	0.1	
REGIONAL ED PRGS-DEAF AND OTHER HANDIC. OE	:13.560	2	2.3	100.0	89.8	89.8	0.0	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REHAB SVCS & FACILITIES-SPECIAL PROJS, OS	:13.626	2	30.5	94.2	52.5	46.9	5.6	35.3	6.4	5.8	3.7	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.0	
REHABILITATION RESEARCH AND DEMOS, OS	:13.627	2	32.1	93+8	69.8	66.3	3.5	17.9	6.1	6.2	1.2	2.4	2.1	C • 4	0.0	
REHABILITATION TRAINING, OS	:13.629	2	30.1	82.2	47.2	43.5	3.7	26.5	8.6	17.8	8.4	5.1	2.6	1.2	0.0	
RESEARCH & TRNG. ACT. OVERSEAS (SFCP), OS	:	8	0.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RIGHT TO READ-ELIMINATING ILLITERACY, OF	:13.533	2	26.2	78.7	48.3	43.6	4.7	21.5	. 8.9	21.3	5.6	3.4	4.3	6.4	0.1	
RUNAWAY YOUTH, OS	:13.623	2	10.7	87.8	45.1	37.4	7.7	30.7	12.0	12.2	2.8	3.6	1.9	3.3	0.0	
S & E, ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION	:	8	10.2	99.9	95.7	95.5	0.2	1.1	3.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAFA SAFEGUARD-LEA'S, OE	: '	2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
SAFA-CONSTRUCTION, OE	:13.477	2	2.1	97.7	86.2	70.7	15.5	9.7	1.8	2.3	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAFA-MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION, OE	:13.478	1	727.0	63.7	28.5	16.7	11.8	23.4	11.8	36.3	8.2	7.8	4.6	8.5	0.8	
SOCIAL SERVICES RESEARCH AND DEMO. OS	:13.647	2	3.0	87.5	38.5	29.4	9.1	44.0	5.0	12.5	2.5	0.0	6.3	0.6	0.0	
VOCATIONAL ED-PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PROJ, OE	:13.498	2	20.4	88.6	51.3	59.5	1.9	12.6	14.8	11.4	6.9	1.6	0.0	2.9	0.0	
VOCATIONAL ED-STATE ADVISORY COUNCILS, OE	:13.500	ī	2.7	70.2	25.7	16.0	9.7	24.4	20.2	29.8	14.0	10.6	1.7	3.4	0.0	
VOCATIONAL ED-STATE PLANNING GRANTS, OE	:	ī	0.8	92.9	19.2	19.2	0.0	47.5	26.1	7.1	4.4	0.0	1.6	1.1	0.0	
YOUTH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, OS	:13.640	2	1.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.1	99•2	93.9	39.8	54.0	3.7	1.6	08	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	:		2.7	83.9	24.4	22.8	1.6	51.3	8.2	16.1	11.6	4.5	0.0	0.0	3 • 0	
*VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAM IMPROV., ETA	:13.498	1	2.7	83•9	24.4	22.8	1.6	51.3	8•2	16.1	11.6	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ACTION	:		120.0	80.6	49.2	44.5	4 • 8	22.2	9.1	19•4	5.1	4.0	3.0	6.0	0.4	
FOSTER GRANDPARENTS PROGRAM	:72.001	2	34 • 4	73.6	27•2	21.0	6•2	33.7	12.7	26.4	7.2	7.0	4.6	6.2	0.0	
NATIONAL STUDENT VOLUNTEER PROGRAM	:72.005	2	0.4	99•8	99.8	97.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OPERATING EXPENSES, DOMESTIC PROGRAMS	:	8	26.8	98.4	90.1	87.0	3.1	6.5	1.8	1.6	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.0	
	:72.002	2	19.9	60.4	27.9	19•6	8 • 4	20.7	11.8	39.6	9.8	6.9	6.9	12.3	1.3	
RETIRED SENIOR VOLUNTEER PROGRAM																
RETIRED SENIOR VOLUNTEER PROGRAM Senior companion program	:72.008	2	6.8	71.0	34.6	30.5	4.1	21.2	15.2	29.0	8.8	2.0	1.9	12.6	1.9	
		2 2	6•8 2•4	71.C 82.9	34.6 42.0	30.5 36.7		21.2 15.9	15.2 24.9	29.0 17.1	8.8 6.4	2.0 4.8	1.9 0.2		1.9 0.0	
SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAM	:72.008						4•1 5•4 2•9							12.6 5.5 11.2		

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

54

	CFDA	TYPE	•				ITAN CO	: :	:		:	:	LESS		TOTAL	LLY
BROAD FUNCTION• Function• Agency•	INUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL		REATER	MN	EDIUM:	LESSER	TOTAL	URBAN	IZED :	URBAN	IZED :	RUR	
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES			: :					:	NON- :		NON- :		NON-
	:	:	:		TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE				CENT :					
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OFU	.S. TOT	AL				
	•		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7•1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
OPULATION, 1978	• • •							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.1		100.0	98.4	1.6						5.5	8.0	0.8	1
COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		505.0	74•7	46.1	40.2	5.9	19.5	9•1	25.3	5.1	4•4				
COMMUNITY ACTION	:49.002 :49.002	2	359.1 1.3	72•7 98•7		37.6 74.7	4.8	21•2 21•4	9.0 1.0	27.3		4.5 0.0	6.4	8.5 0.0	1.0	1
COMMUNITY ACTION	:49.011	2	43.5	81.0		59.2	0.0	9.0	12.8	19.0		5.2	2.8	9.0	0.0	2
COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Community economic development	:49.011	7	2.1	90.5			0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ç
COMMUNITY FOOD AND NUTRITION	:49.005	2	28.3		< 26.9		5.8	24.0	13.7	35.4	9.2	6.8	5.5	10.8	1.3	1
COMMUNITY SERVICES PROGRAM		7	1.5	95.0			30.9	6.2	0.3	5.0		0.1	2.3	0.9	0.0	0
COMMUNITY SERVICES PROGRAM		8	25.7		100.0		13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
OLDER PERSONS OPPORTUNITIES AND SERVICES		2	9.0	62.2			4.5	18.9	7.7	37.8		9.0	8.0	12.5	1.7	2
STATE ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY OFFICES	:49.013	2	11.7	78.3			0.0	46.2	17.3	21.7		4.0	1.8	3.8	0.0	<u> </u>
SUMMER YOUTH RECREATION	:49.015	2	16.8	70.0			4.9	23.8	9.9	30.0		5.1	6.5	9.0	0.9	<u> </u>
YOUTH RECREATION AND SPORTS	:49.015	2	5.9		100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<u> </u>
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	C
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	C • O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	C
COMMUNITY SERVICES PROGRAM	:	8	. 0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
NATIONAL COMM-INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD	:		0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT SERVICES. OS. HEW	:	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
HEALTH SERVICES	:		2476.5	85.8	51.6	44.2	7.3	24.6	9.6	14.2	5.2	3.7	1.8	2.5	0 • 4	0
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		346•4	79.3	33.5	26.4	7.1	28.9	16.9	20.7	12.6	6.5	0.7	0.7	0.0	0
INTRASTATE MEAT & POULTRY INSP ASST. FSQS	:10.475	2	29.8	85•4			11.0		31.1	14.6		7.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0
MEAT AND POULTRY INSPECTION, FSQS	:10.477	8	280.8	77.5			7.0		16.5	22.5		7.2	0.1	0.7	0.0	0
*NUTRITION FOR ELDERLY PROGRAM ADMIN., FNS	:	8	2.6	100.0			11.9	9.3	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NUTRITIONAL ED EXPERIMENTAL/DEMO PRJ, FNS	:10.563	2	1•8	97.6			2.1	21.1	8.2	2.4		0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
NUTRITIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING, FNS	:10.564	2	24.1	92.0			4.3		11.6	8.0		0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	2
NUTRITIONAL TRAINING AND EDUCATION. FNS	:	2	7.4	71.5	49.3	45.2	.4•1	22.2	0.0	28.5	0.5	0.4	27.5	0.0	0.0	. (
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		1785.6	85.4	52.4	45•3	7.0	24.0	9.0	14.6	4.6	3.6	2.2	3.1	0.5	(
ALCOHOL ABUSE & ALCOHOLISM PREVENT., ADMHA	:13.899	2	2.6	82•8	57•2	55•2	1.9	20.6	5.0	17.2		2•9	7.3	1.9	0.0	ç
ALCOHOL COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS, ADMHA	:13.252	2	1.7	100.0	98.3	33.0	65.4	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0 • 0	0.0	(
ALCOHOL DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS, ADMHA	:13.252	2	59.8	79•2	47.4	38.9	8.5	22.1	9.7	20.8		7.1	3.1	4.5	0.4	
ALCOHOL FORMULA GRANTS, ADMHA	:13.257	1	55.7	90.3	23.1	20.7	2.4	55.6	11.6	9.7		1.4	0.4	0.7	0.0	
ALCOHOLISM DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS, ADMHA	:13.898	2	1.9	94.7	35.1	35.1	0.0	43.7	15.9	5.3		2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, FDA	:	8	2.5	100.0	100.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	. 0 • 0	0.0	
CANCER CENTERS SUPPORT, NIH	:13.397	2	64.5	98.6	70.4	70.4	0.0	22.4	5.8	1.4		1•2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CHILDHOOD LEAD-BASED POISONING CONTRL, CDC	:13.266	2	10.3	98.5	58.4	55.2	3.1	34.4	·5 • 7	1.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
COAL MINERS RESPIRATORY TREATMENT, HSA	:13.965	2	6.8	89.6	14.5	11.7	2.7	72.2	2.9			4.2	0.0	6.2	0.0	
COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, HSA	:13.224	2	247.4	76+8			5.0		7.9			3.3	5.5	6.1	2.8	
COMP. HEMOPHILIA DIAG. & TREAT. CTRS., HSA	:13.296	2	2.9	95.0	54.3	44•7	9.6		10.2	5.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DENTAL TEAM PRACTICE, HRA	:13.319	2	2.0	98•9	90.1	69.0	21.0	2.3	6•6	1.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DRUG ABUSE COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGS., ADMHA	:13.235	2	145.8	95.1	37.9	23.3	14.5	48.8	8.3	4.9		0.9	0.6	0.2	0.0	1
DRUG ABUSE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS, ADMHA	:13.254	2	6.9	98.0	82.4	64.7	17.7	10.7	4.9	2.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION FORMULA GRTS., ADMHA	:13.269	1	38.8	87.9	24.9	24.9	0.0		11.4	12.1		1.4	0.4	3.1	0.0	
FLUORIDATION PROGRAMS, CDC	:13.980	2	1.0	67.1			0.0	22.7	11.5	32.9		3.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	
	:	8	105.3	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
GENERAL DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT. OS																
GENERAL DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT, OS Genetic dis testing & counseling svcs, HSA	:13.890	2	3.6	90.5	29.2	19.8	9.4	54.4	6•8 11•9	9•5 15•8		4•4 7•8	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

SS

BROAD FUNCTION,	: CFDA		:	: :	:		ITAN CO	:		:	:	:	LES		TOTA	
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER			TOTAL		REATER	M	EDIUM:	LESSER	TOTAL	URBAN					
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES			CODE	FRINGE:				AD JA -	NON- :		NON- :		NON AD.
	:	:	•	:	TUTAL		:				CENT :	CEN				
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	E OF U	S. TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
HEALTH FINANCING RES, DEMO AND EXPER, HCFA	:13.766	2	16•4	43.3	10.7	7.2	3.5	25.7	6.9	56.7	15.5	15.3	8.4	12.4	0.8	4
HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATION DEV ASH	:13.256	2	23.8	92.9	49.6	29.5	20.1	32.7	10.5	7.1	0.6	2•4	3.1	1.0	0.0	(
HEALTH PLANNING-HEALTH SYSTEMS AGCIES, HRA	:13.294	2	105.0	85.6	42.1	36.0	6.1	34.4	9.0	14.4	4.0	5.0	1.2	3.8	0.3	9
HEALTH SERVICES, HSA	:	8	32.2	74.9	67.8	67.2	0.6	4.4	2.7	25.1	7.3	6.3	3.2	4.7	1.4	-
HOME HEALTH SERVICES GRANT PROGRAM. HSA	:13.888	2	6.0	63.8	23.9	19.7	4.2	31.1	8.8	36.2	6.2	5.0	6.3	10.9	0.7	
HYPERTENSION PROGRAM. HSA	:13.882	2	9.5	88.9	23.8	21.0	2.9	46.6	18.5	11.1	8.5	1.5	0.3	0.7	0.0	
INFLUENZA PROGRAMS, CDC	:13.979	2	5.8	89.0	31.7	29.3	2.4	41.3	16.0	11.0	8.4	2.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	
MEDICAL FAC CONSTRUCTION-FORMULA GRTS, HRA	:13.887	2	41.2	87.1	73.8	21.3	52.4	6.8	6.5	12.9	8.1	0.7	0.0	3.5	0.0	0
MENT HLTH-COMMUNITY MENTAL HLTH CTS+ ADMHA	:13.295	2	49.3	71.5	28.2	25.2	3.0	23.3	20.0	28.5	8.5	12.7	1.3	5.9	$0.1 \\ 0.0$	
MENT HLTH-HOSPITAL IMPROVEMENT GRTS. ADMHA	:13.237	2	1.8	49.0	27.7	16.5	11.2	16.5	4.7	51.0 19.4	16.5 3.8	8.9 5.0	14.6 8.9	11.0	0.0	ć
MENT HLTH-HOSPITAL STAFF DEV GRANTS, ADMHA	:13.238	2	4.6	80.6	46.2	20.5	25.8	29.5	4.9	26.7	3•8 5•5	10.1	2.8	8.2	0.0	ļ
MENTAL HEALTH-CHILDREN'S SERVICES, ADMHA	:13.295 :13.246	2	17.9	73•3 61•7	36•6 10•1	35.5 9.4	1•2 0•7	26•4 24•9	10.3	38.3		3.8	4.6	6.5	1.2	Ċ
MIGRANT HEALTH GRANTS, HSA	:13.258	4	31.0	100.0	98.7	7•4 44•6	54.0	1.3	28.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE CORPS, HSA OPERATION OF COMM MENTAL HLTH CTRS, ADMHA	:13.295	2	6•7 234•6	69.4	36.4	28.2	8.2	23.0	10.1	30.6	9.8	8.0	5.6	6.9	0.0	
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS REVIEW ORGS., HCFA	:13.776	3	234.8	87.9	41.8	31.4	10.5	36.5	9.6	12.1	6.1	5.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	1
RESIDENCY TRNG-GEN DENTISTRY PRACTICE, HRA	:13.897	2	4.5	99.6	58.2	62.4	5.8	30.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
S & E, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	:	. 8	256.4	100.0	91.4	88.5	2.8	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SPEC ALCOHOLISM PROJ-IMPL UNIF ACT, ADMHA	:13.290	2	238•4 9•5	76.2	18.9		0.0	33.4	24.0	23.8		5.8	2.0	3.9	0.0	
STATE HEALTH PLANNING & DEV. AGENCIES, HRA	:13.293	2	28.3	84.6	15.0	13.0	2.0	52.8	16.8	15.4	7.5	4.1	1.2	2.6	0.0	1
STATE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNITS. HCFA	:13.775	1	14 • 1	80.5	58.5	49.2	9.3	16.9	5.0	19.5	6.1	2.5	4.5	4.5	0.6	
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME INFO PRG, HSA	:13.292	2	2.8	95.2	52.0	50.2	1.8	33.2	10.1	4.8	2.6	0.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	
URBAN RAT CONTROL, CDC	:13.267	2	12.3	100.0	48.3	45.5	2.8	46.7	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	:		142.8	100.0	75•1	60.8	14.3	19.7	5.2	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	İ
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-HOSPITALS, H	:14.128	0	142.8	100.0	75.1	60.8	14.3	19.7	5•2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	:		191.8	90.2	57.1	51.3	5.8	27.0	6.2	9.8	2.3	2.5	1•7	2.1	0.3	
*COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY GRANTS, MSHA	:17.600	2	6.0	90.4	8.9	8.3	0.6	68.9	12.6	9.6	0.0	.0.4	6.0	3.2	0.0	(
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, OSHA	:	8	3.4		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MINE HLTH & SAFETY COUNSELING & T/A, MSHA	:17.601	7	11.7		79.6		42.6	0.8	17.3		1.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	
*MINE HLTH & SAFETY COUNSELING & T/A, MSHA	:17.601	8	1.1		100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MINE HLTH & SAFETY EDUCATION & TRNG, MSHA	:17.602	8	2 • 1	55.8	24.4	10.9	13.5	27.0	4.4	44.2		3.7	4.3	13.5 3.2	0.0	
OPERATIONAL GRANTS, OSHA	:17.500	2	30.9	78•8	9•7	4.3	5.4	57.5	11.6			5.5 13.6	1.1	10.9	0.0	į
*S & E, MINE SAFETY & HEALTH ADMINISTRATION		8	18.5	59.5	7.3	6.4	0.9	48.7	3.5		4.1	0.2	6.7 0.8	0.3	0.0	
S & E, OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH ADMIN. STATISTICAL OPERATIONAL GRANTS, OSHA	:17.500	8	114.5 3.7	98•3 73•8	78.3 20.7		2•5 3•9	16.4 39.2	3•7 13•9	1•7 26•2	8.8	6.5	5.3	3.4	0.0	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
S & E, MINE SAFETY & HEALTH ADMINISTRATION	:	8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	J.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL COMM-INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD	:		0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
HEALTH SERVICES, HSA	:	8	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PRESIDENT'S COMMACCIDENT AT THREE MILE IS.	:		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	C.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

56

-- CONTINUED

1

and .

57

BROAD FUNCTION.		TYPE		: :		TROPOL	:		: :		:	:	DPOLITA	s :	TOT	ALLY
FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	:NUMBER	:CODE		TOTAL	(GREATER	M	EDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL		NON-		NON- :		RAL
			UTATES		TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE				ADJA-:	ADJA-	ADJA-	ADJA-: CENT :	ADJA-	: AD J
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U	S. TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6•8	1.2	2
TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT	:		10290.4	88.0	46.1	39.0	7.2	30.8	11.1	12.0	5.9	2.0	1.0	2.0	0.2	0
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		110.2	22.6	15.7	11.8	4.0	4.0	2•9	77•4	10.4	7.9	9.1	25.3	3.1	21
*EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE	:	 7 .	110.2	22.6	15.7	11.8	4.0	4 • 0	2.9	77•4	10.4	7.9	9•1	25.3	3.1	21
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		108.4	46.6	15.1	14.3	0.8	17.6	13.9	53.4	9.7	10.7	10.0	15.5	1.7	5
*EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE *EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE	:	7 8	99•4 9•0	48•7 23•5	16•1 3•9	15•2 3•9	0.9	18•2 10•9	14•4 8•6	51.3 76.5	10.1	9.9 18.9	9.3 18.4	16.3	1.1 8.9	4 16
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	:		10064.7	89.2	46.9	39.6	7.3	31.3	11.1	10.9	5.8	1.8	0.8	1.6	0.1	0
*COMPR EMPLOYMENT TRG PRGS (NCEP-GIFT), ETA	:17.232	1	0.2	100.0	80.4	80.4	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
*COMPR EMPLOYMENT TRG PRGS (NCEP-GIFT), ETA	:17.232	2	0.3	100.0	78.6	78.6	0.0	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
*COMPREHENSIVE EMPL• & TRNG• PROGRAMS• ETA	:17.232	1	7544.4	90.6	46.1	38.4	7.8	32.8	11.6	9.4	6.1	1.6	0.4	1.0	0.0	1
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND + ETA	:	7	3.3	93.1	52.9	42.8	10.1	31.6	8.7	6.9	2.3	2.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	1
DISASTER RELIEF (CWF), ETA	:	1	3.9	62.1	16.8	16.8	0.0	25.7	19.6	37.9	7.3	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE	:	8	1.1		100.0		0.0	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EMPLOYMENT SERVICES (CETA-LMI), ETA	:17.207	1	678.1	72.0	36.9	29•8	7•1	23.9	11•2	28.0	7.5	5.9	5.0	7.9	0.6	
*JOB CORPS+ ETA	:17.211	2	329.1		48•4	43.1	5.4	28.4	6.9	16.3	1.6	1.7	3.3	3.7	0.5	
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION, ETA	:	8	106.2	100.0	100.0	95.9	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, ETA	:17.233	2	26.8	97.0	70.8	49.5	21.3	21.8	4.4	3.0	2.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SPECIAL PRGS/ACTIVITIES-DISADVANTAGED, ETA	:17.243	2	151.1	96.1	71.5	67.2	4.3	19.6	5.0	3.9	2.1	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	
*SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS, ETA	:17.232	1	617.2	90.0	45.9	40.7	5.2	31.9	12.2	10.0	5.6	1.5	0.5	1.4	0.0	
WORK INCENTIVES	:	8	8.3	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*YOUTH COMMUNITY CONSERVATION & IMPROV, ETA	:17.232	ĭ	78.6		45.7	37.9	7.8	30.7	11.8	11.7	5.0	2.5	1.3	1.7	0.1	
*YOUTH EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING PROGRAMS, ETA	:17.232	ī	433.5	89.3	44.3	37.9	6.4	33.2	11.8	10.7	5.7	1.7	0.8	1.4	0.1	
*YOUTH INCENTIVE ENTITLEMENT PILOT PRJ. ETA	:17.241	· 1	82.7	100.0	85.6	85 • 3	0.2	13.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ACTION	:		1.3	79.7	29.8	28.9	0.8	13.1	36.8	20.3	20.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ļ
YOUTH EMPLOYMENT SUPPORT	•	2	1.3	79.5	29.3	28.8	0.6	13.1	37.1	20.5	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS			0.0	98.8	86.3	50.2	36.1	10.2	2.3	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.5	
	• ••															
COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	•		5.7		23.7			31.8	16.5	28.0	0.0	12.8	0.0	8.3	0.0	
COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING	:17.232	2	0.2	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EMPLOYMENT & TRNG RESEARCH & DEV PROJECTS	:17.232	2	1.6	100.0	56 • 7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
YOUTH COMMUNITY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT	:17.239	2	3.6	66•2′	3.5	3.5	0.0	36.2		33.8	0.0	20.5	0.0	13.3	0.0	
YOUTH COMMUNITY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT	:17.239	7	0.4	0.0	ۥ0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10
COME SECURITY	:		171163.8	71.3	40.6	30.9	9.7	22•2	8.4	28.7	7.1	3.6	7.0	7.3	1.3	
MEDICAL AND HOSPITAL BENEFITS	:		40888.3	71.1	42.8	34.2	8•6	20.5	7.•7	28.9	6.8	3.3	7.3	7.6	1.3	1
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		40888.3	71.1	42.8	34 • 2	8•6	20.5	7•7	28•9	6.8	3•3	7.3	7•6	1.3	-
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM. HCFA	:13.714	1	12750.7	70.9	44.8	37.8	6.9	18.9	7.3	29.1	6.2	3.5	7.2	8.0	1.5	:
MEDICARE-HOSPITAL INSURANCE, HCFA	:13.773	4	19850.2	70.1	40.9	31.4	9.5	21.2	8.1	29.9	7.1	3.3	7.7	7.7	1.3	
											-					
MEDICARE-STATE SURVEY CERTIFICATION, HCFA	:13.777	4	62.0	72.2	27.7	23.4	4.3	33.6	10.9	27.8	7.4	4.0	5.6	7.3	1.1	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

	: CFDA	: TYPE:	:		ME	TROPOLI	TAN CO	•	:	:		METRO	LESS	s :	TOTAL	
BROAD FUNCTION + Function + Agency +	NUMBER		•	TOTAL		REATER			ESSER	TOTAL	URBAN	ZED :	URBANI	ZED :	RUR	AL NON
AND PROGRAM	: 1/		: STATES		:		:	:	:		AD JA-:	NON- :				
	:	:			TOTAL:	CORE	RINGE	÷	•	:	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :C	ENT :	CEN
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · ·							ENTAGE	05 11	S: TOT					
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PERU	ENTAGE	UF U•	3. 101					
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	:
			21683.2	75.2	h () (39.7	6.7	21.1	7.6	24.8	5.7	3.5	5.7	6.5	1.2	
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE AND UNEMPLOYMENT	:				144 111				8.7	27.6	6.1	3.8	6.6	7.1	1.5	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		6015.2	72.4	39.4	33 • 7	5.7	24.2	0							
*CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAM ADMIN FNS	.:	8	14.9	100.0	79.1	70.5	8.6	20.9	0.0	0.0 83.1	0.0 0.7	0.0 22.6	0.0 0.4	0.0 11.5	0.0 1.8	4
*FOOD DISTRIBUTION TO NEEDY FAMILIES, FNS	:10.550	9	15.7	16.9	2.7	1.8	0.9	11.0	3.3		0.0	32.2	0.0	24.4	0.0	•
FOOD DISTRIBUTION-OTHER PROGRAM COSTS, FNS	:10.550	. 3	2•6	43.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.6	10.8	56.6	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FOOD DONATION PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION. FNS	:	8	11.3	100.0	80.2	57.5	22.7	19.8	0.0	0.0		3.8	7.0	7.6	1.6	
*FOOD STAMP BONUS COUPONS, FNS	:10.551	3	5525.9	71.1	40.7	34.8	6.0	21.8	8.5	28.9	6.2	6.0	3.5	8.8	0.0	
*FOOD STAMP PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION, FNS	:	8	17.4	77.0	56.2	40.6	15.6	13.9	6.9	23.0	4.2			0.0	0.0	
MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS, AMS	:10.155	8	4.3	100.0	92.3	92.0	0.4	4 • 4	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER FOOD STAMP PROGRAM COSTS, FNS	:10.551	3	6.4	100.0	86.1	80.8	5.3	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
PURCHASES FOR CHILD NUTRITION PRGS., FNS	:10.550	7	85 • 8	73.5	34.2	28.9	5.3	24.7	14.7	26.5	2.9	10.2	10.1	1.7	0.0	
*STATE ADMIN MATCH GRTS-FOOD STAMP PRG. FNS	:10.561	1	303.6	92.7	15.5	13.9	1.6	66.2	11.0	7.3	5.7	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.0	
*STATE ADMIN. EXP. FOR CHILD NUTRITION. FNS	:10.560	1	27.3	91.5	16.0	14.0	2.0	61.0	14.5	8.5	3.4	2.9	0.6	1.7	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:	· ,	12286 • 3	76.2	50.2	43.8	6.3	19.2	6.9	23.8	5.3	3.1	5.6	6•4	1•2	
ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM. SSA	:	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.8	
*ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS-MAINTENANCE ASST., SSA	:13.808	1	6280.3	81.6	55.1	47.5	7.7	20.0	6.5	18.4	5.0	2.7	3.8	4.6		
ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS-RESEARCH, SSA	:13.812		1.4	93.0	68.5	61.4	7.0	24.5	0.0	7.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ASST PAYMENTS-STATE & LOCAL TRAINING, SSA	:13.810		28.0	83.6	61.3	52.2	9.2	15.8	6.5	16.4	4.4	2.0	4.3	4.1	0.6	
CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT, SSA	:13.679		250.5	81.5	53.6	46.0	7.6	20.6	7.3	18.5	5.0	2.7	3.8	4.7	0.8	
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL. HEW	:	8	26.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2	27.1	92.3			0.7	15.7	4.3	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
POLICY RESEARCH PROGRAM. OS		8	2.7		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
POLICY RESEARCH, OS	13.814		147.5	92.8			0.6	68.5	13.3	7.2	6.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	
REFUGEE ASISTANCE-INDOCHINESE REF. SSA	:13.813		53.2	99.7			G . C.	76.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	
REFUGEE ASSISTANCE-CUBAN REFUGEES, SSA	:13.815		26.2	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REFUGEE ASSISTANCE-SOVIET & OTHER REF. SSA		8	602.9		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME PROGRAM	:	-					5.7		8.1	35.3	6.4	4.2	9.1	10.0	2.0	
*SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME * SSA OTHER PROGRAMS	:13.807	4	4840.2		38.1 100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	•		1182.2	79.0	49.0	39.5	9.5	22•1	7.9	21.0	5.4	3.5	4.3	5.8	0.7	
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	• • • •						9.5	22.1	7.9	21.0	5.4	3.5	4.3	5.8	0.7	
SECTION 8-LOWER INCOME HOUSING ASST PRG, H	:14.156	4	1182.2	79.0									4.6	5.7	0.7	
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	:		2047.5	76•4	45.2	34•4	10.8	22.6	8•7	23.6	7.1	4.3				
FED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS & ALLOWNCES, ETA	:17.225	4	447.6	73.9	43.0	31.7	11.3	22.5	8.4	26.1	6.4	4.0	5.8	6.5	1.1	
FOOD STAMP PROGRAM, FNS	:	8	0.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SPECIAL UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE, ETA	:17.225		414.2	81.9			11.5	20.0	6.1	18.1	5.8	2.8	3.9	3.9	0.6	
	:17.400		263.9	75.3			12.7	24.4	7.6	24.7	7 • 2	3.2	6.0	5.5	1.1	
TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, ETA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE, ETA	:17.225		921.0	75.5			9.7	23.3	10.2	24.5	7.9	5.4	3.9	6.2	0.4	
UNEMPLOTMENT INSURANCEV LTA								20.4	10.5	33.3	8.1	4.5	7.7	9.2	1.1	
RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD	:		152.0	66•7		27.4	8.6		10.5	33.3	8.1	4.5	7.7	9.2	1.1	
*RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACCOUNT	:57.001	4	152.0	66•7			8•6	20.4							1•2	
RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY	:		108592.2	70.6	38.6	27.8	10.8	23.1	8.8	29.4	7.5	3.8	7.1	7•4		
DEPARIMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		102964.2	70.4	38.8	27.9	10.9	22.8	8•7			3.7	7•2	7•4	1.3	
LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, SSA	:	8	1217.4	92.6	58.6	45.1	23.4	17.9	6.1	7•4		2.8	0.4	1.2	0.0	
*SOCIAL SECURITY-DISABILITY INSURANCE, SSA	:13.802		13125.3	68.5		26.3	10.4		9.0	31.5		4•1 3•6	7•7 7•1	8.0 7.2	1.5 1.2	
							10.7	22.7	8.6	29.2	7.6					

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

58

-CONTINUED

2

BROAD FUNCTION,	CFDA	TYPE	:	: :	ME	TROPOL	ITAN CO				:	NME I RU		N COUN	TOTA	
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER	:CODE		TOTAL		REATER			LESSER:	TOTAL						
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES	: :	:		:	•	:			NON- :		NON- :		NON
	:	:			TOTAL:	CORE:	FRINGE				ADJA-: CENT :					
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OFU	.S. TOT	AL				
OPULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7•1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
*SOCIAL SECURITY-SURVIVORS INSURANCE, SSA	:13.805	4	21957 • 1	69.8	38.3	26.8	11.5	22.7	8.8	30.2	7.4	3.9	7•4	7.7	1.3	2
SPECIAL BENEFITS FOR DISABLED COAL MINERS	:	8	7.1	83.1	58.1	1.1	57.0	21.1	3.9	16.9	6.2	1.9	1.7	7.1	0.0	0
*SPECIAL BENEFITS-DISABLED COAL MINERS, SSA	:13.806	4	983.0	42.4	11.5	5.8	5.7	22.9	8.0	57.6	11.7	5.0	11.9	21.0	2.9	5
SS PAY STATES FOR DETERMIN OF DISABIL, SSA	:	7	304.4	91.1	17.0	12.5	4.4	59.0	15.2	8.9	7.3	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.0	0
VOC REHAB SVC SS DISABIL BENEFICIARIES, OS	:13.625	4	102.2	91.3	18.2	15.2	3.0	56.8	16.3	8•7	6.8	1.1	0.4	0 • 4	0.0	0
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	:		1345.1	92.5	36.0	30.7	5.3	46.7	9.8	7.5	3.5	3.6	0.1	0.2	0.0	0
COAL MINE WORKERS COMP (BLACK LUNG), ESA	:17.307	4	583.1	90.4	15.7	12.7	3.0	66.3	8•4	9.6	3.3	5.5	0.2	0.4	0.1	0
FEDERAL EMPLOYEES COMPENSATION, ESA	:	4	700.6		47.3	39.6	7.7	34.5	11.8	6.4	3.9	2.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0
LONGSHORE AND HARBOR WORKERS COMP., ESA	:17.302	4	2.1	93.0	83.0	83.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0
PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION		8	52.2	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· 0
SPECIAL WORKERS* COMP EXPENSES (PERMANENT)	• ••	8	7.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.00	,
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		1 • 4	77.5	47.4	26.6	20.7	24.5	5.6	22.5	4.0	17.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	C
LIMITATION ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, SSA	:	7	1.4	77.5	47.4	26.6	20.7	24.5	5.6	22.5	4.0	17.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	C
RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD	:		4281.2	68•5	33.7	25.0	8 • 8	23.5	11.2	31.5	7.9	4.8	6.8	8.9	1.1	2
*RAILROAD RETIREMENT ACCOUNT	:57.001	4	4281.2	68.5	33•7	25.0	8.8	23.5	11.2	31.5	7.9	4•8	6•8	8.9	1.1	2
OTHER AGENCIES	:		0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
NATIONAL RESOURCES	:		176419•4	84.4	50.7	37.7	13.0	23.9	9.7	15.6	5.4	4.0	2.4	2.6	0.5	0
DEFENSE CONTRACTS	:		56342.0	91.2	60.3	46.8	13.6	23.2	7.7	8.8	4 • 0	2.0	1.0	1.1	0.2	· 0
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	:		56278.2	91•2	60.4	46.8	13.6	23.1	7.7	8.8	4.0	2.0	1.0	1.1	0.2	C
*MILITARY PRIME CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS	:	7	1432.9	65.5	27.9	17.4	10.5	29.0	8.6	34.5	14.1	13.9	3.2	1.3	0.4	. 1
*MILITARY PRIME RDTE CONTRACTS	:	7	8453.5	96.4	77.7	64.1	13.6	16.2	2.4	3.6	2.6	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.0	0
*MILITARY PRIME SERVICE CONTRACTS	:	- 7	10696.9	86.3	52.8	37.7	15.1	23.9	9.7	13.7	5.2	4.4	1.5	1.2	0.1	1
*MILITARY PRIME SUPPLY CONTRACTS	:	7	35694.9	92.5	59.9	46.6	13.3	24.3	8.3	7.5	3.5	1.2	0.9	1.3	0.3	(
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	:		63.8	100.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	96.5	3.1	C . O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ģ
*FUSION-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	:81.037	7	1.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	160.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
*WEAPONS-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	:	7	62.6	100.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	96.4	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
DEFENSE PAYROLLS AND ADMINISTRATION	:		43903.4	78.8	30.9	19.1	11.8	32.5	15.3	21.2	8.3	7.4	2.5	2•2	0.3	(
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	:		43898.3	78.8	30.9	19.1	11.8	32.5	15.3	21.2	8.3	7•4	2.5	2.2	0.3	(
*CIVILIAN PAY	:	8	15977.8	83.5	35.8	20.8	14.9	35.9	11.9	16.5	9.0	3.8	1.8	1.5	0.2	
*CIVIL DEFENSE-STATE & LOC SUPPORTING SYS EQ	:12.321	2	3.1	88.9	24.4	21.1	3.3	47.3	17.2	11.1	8.4	1.4	0.1	1.2	0.0	
*MILITARY ACTIVE DUTY PAY	:	8	15867.3	74.3	24.6	14.6	10.0	30.0	19.7	25.7	9.1	13.0	2.2	0.9	0.1	
*MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	12.400	2	27 • 7	52.8	6.9	1.2	5.7	14.8	31.1	47.2	7.1	17.8	3.8	10.1	1.5	÷
*MILITARY RESERVE AND NATIONAL GUARD PAY	:	8	2210.3	74.1	34.6	22.7	11.9	28.2	11.3	25.9	6.2	5.3	5.3	7.6	0.5	
*MILITARY RETIRED PAY	:	8	9812.2	79.5	32.6	22.7	9.8	32.1	14.8	20.5	6.3	4.6	3.6	4.2	0.7	
	:		0.0	100.0	93.0	93.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION -	•		•••													

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

59

BROAD FUNCTION.	CFDA	: TYPE	,	:	ME	TROPOL	:	:	:	:		:	POLITA LES	s :	TOTA	
FUNCTION + AGENCY +	:NUMBER	:CODE	UNITED :	TOTAL		REATER			LESSER:	TOTAL	URBANI			IZED : NON- :	RUR	NON
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES			CORE:	FRINGE:	-			AD JA -: A	DJA-:	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	ADJ
	<u>;</u>	:						:			CENT :C	ENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CEN
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U.	S. TOTA	L				
	•		3/	70 6	40.0	26 9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
PULATION, 1978	• ••			1200	1000	200		2002			· .					
PRESIDENT'S COMMACCIDENT AT THREE MILE IS.	:		1.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	:	8	1.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 • 0 -	C
OTHER AGENCIES	:		3.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ENERGY AND SPACE	:		16273.1	81.0	54.9	36.1	18.8	17.2	8•9	19.0	4.8	5.4	3.4	3.2	1.8	. 1
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		19.1	9.7	1.1	0.8	0.2	6.1	2.6	90.3	5.9	7.7	11.0	39.4	6.0	20
ENERGY CONSERVATION	:	2	18.7	8.4	1.1	0.8	0.2	5•1 60•0	2•2 22•9	91•6 17•1	6.0 0.0	7•8 0•0	11.2	39.8 17.1	6•1 0•0	20
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (ENERGY), EPA		8	0.3	82.9	49.5	27.8	21.7		6.6	24.6	6.2	7.3	4.0	4.2	2.5	(
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	:				33.8	33.8	0.0	0.0	66.2	C.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*BIOMASS-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV *BIOMASS-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV	:81.037 :81.037	27	0.1	100.0 92.9	78.9	46.3	32.6	6.1	8.0	7.1	2.1	0.0	4.3	0.4	0.0	
+COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	:81.037	2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	.10
*COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	:81.037	7	1.6	100.0	82•1 88•8	23•3 88•7	58•8 0•1	12.6	5.3 5.4	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
*COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	:81.037 :81.037	2	11.5 516.6	96.9 90.3	56.8	40.4	16.5		0.6	9.7	2.2	5.9	0.2	1.3	0.0	
*COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	:	7	2.3	94.6	68.4	33.2	35.3		3.6	5.4	2.8	1.4	0.3	0.9	0.0	
*CONSTRUCTION PLANNING AND DESIGN			41.1	37.0	13.2	1.5	11.7		3.4	63.0	26.6	0.5	0.1	6.1	29.6	
*COST OF WORK FOR OTHERS *FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMM-REGULATION	:81.048	ŕ	55.1	100.0	99.7	7.1	92.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FISSION-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	:81.037	7	246.5	83.4	41.0	41.0	0.0		0.0	16.6	0.0	16.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FISSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	:	7	1561.4	18.5	2.8		0.1		0.0	81.5	24.8	27.6	0.3	28.8	0.0	
*FISSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV	:81.037	2	0.1	100.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	
*FISSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV	:81.037	7	1100.6	77.0	26.0		9.6		29.2		6.8 0.3	9.1 0.0	5.1 29.1	0.0	0.0	
*FUSION-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	:81.037	. 7	140.5	70.5	45.3		1•9 7•4		6•7 3•9	29•5 18•6	13.0	0.1	5.5	0.0	0.0	
*FUSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	:81.037	7	344 • 4	81.4	46.2		13.3		1.4	34.9	11.0	20.8	0.9	0.0	0.5	
*GAS-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	:81.037	7	30.9 0.6	65•1 78•2	32.2 65.5		13.4		0.0	21.8	0.0	21.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*GEOTHERMAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	:81.037	2	0.8		100.0		7.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*GEOTHERMAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES AND TECH DEV *GEOTHERMAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES AND TECH DEV	:81.037	7	120.5	61.8			5.4		2.3	38.2	2.6	19.1	14.5	1.5	0.3	
*HYDROELECTRIC-ENERGY SUPP-PROD DEMO & DIST	:	2	0.1		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*HYDROELECTRIC-ENERGY SUPP-PROD DEMO & DIST	:	7	6.9	25.7	22.7	18.1	4.6	0.4	2.7			60.3	0.0	1.5	0.0	
*INDUSTRIAL-CONSERVATION	:81.035	2	2.0	89•4			0.0		0.0	10.6		0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	
*INDUSTRIAL-CONSERVATION	:81.035		22.5	86.6			23.1		8•7	13•4	4.3 0.0	5.9	0.7	2.4 0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-BASIC SCIENCES	:81.049		0.2	100.0			0.0		0.0			0.2	7.4	0.1	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-BASIC SCIENCES	:81.049	77	421.2	88.5			64•4 69•1		1.1	11•5 6•5		0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-ENERGY INFORMATION	:	7	61.3 1.6	93.5 99.1			83.1		2.2			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	:81.049		207.2	66.6			30.7		4.6			1.8	2.9	0.1	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES & TEC DEV	:81.046		0.1		100.0		0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-ENVIRONMENT *MULTI/RESOURCE-ENVIRONMENT	:81.046		199.7	76.5			18.9	15.1	12.9	23.5	13.9	2.0	5.2	0.1	2•2	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT	:	7	14.1	94.7		61.3	25.0	7.9	0.5	5.3		0.0	1.2	0.0	0.2	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-REGULATION	:81.048	2	3.9	96•2	73.6	73.6	6.1		9.8	3.8		3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-REGULATION	:81.048	7	91.1	98•2			70.7		2.6	1.8		0.1	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/SECTOR-CONSERVATION	:81.035		0.9	100.0			0.0		0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/SECTOR-CONSERVATION	:81.035		108.8	90.8			22.7		3.9			2.2	2•8 29•0	0.1	15.6	
*OTHER COSTS AND CREDITS		7	,206.5	50.8			8•7 0•0		3.3 0.0	49•2 3•4		0.2	29.0	3.4	0.0	
*OTHER-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT	:	2	0•9 66•6	96•6 88•6			38.6		1.8			3.1	4.9	0.3	0.1	
*OTHER-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT		8	392.9	88.6 95.9			70.7		3.3			2.9		0.3	0.6	
+OTHER-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT	:81.048	-	0.3					100.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	
*PETROLEUM-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	.01.0440		340			51.0	48.9		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

60

--CONTINUED

2

					ME	TROPOLI		UNTIES			NO	NMETRO	POLITA	N COUN	TIES	
BROAD FUNCTION,	CFDA	TYPE			n L	INDFULI	:	:			:		LES		TOTA	LLY
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER			TOTAL	G	REATER	M	EDIUM:	LESSER:	TOTAL					RUR	
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES :	:	•	:	:	:	:			NON- :		NON- :		NON-
	:	:	:		TOTAL:	CORE:F	RINGE	:			ADJA-:					
		1	.								ILENI :	CENT :	CENT .	CENT .	CLIVI .	
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U.	s. TOT	AL				
				70.0		0 (D		23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.
POPULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23+1	8.9	20.0	1 • 1	5.9	0.1	0.0		
*PETROLEUM-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	:	7	112.4	79.5	11.5	1.8	9.7	68.1	0.0	20.5	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
*PETROLEUM-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES AND TECH DEV	:81.037	7	71.1	58.4	18.8	15.9	2.9	37.5	2.0	41.6	1.5	38.2	1.7	0.2 4.9	0.0	0. 8.
*POWER MARKETING-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	: :	7	403.2	66.0	17.7	7.5	10.2	31.2	17.1	34.0	4.7	3.8	10.9 3.4	2.9	0.1	2.
POWER MARKETING-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	:	8	85.4	84•2	75.5	46.5	28.9	3.8	4.9	15.8	3.7	3.6		2.9	0.0	. 0
*RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL-CONSERVATION	:81.035	2	0.3	94.6	94.6	94.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL-CONSERVATION	:81.035	7	64.1	88.0	78.8	54.8	24.1	5.2	4.0	12.0	10.0	0.3	1.4 0.0	0.0	0.0	0
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	: · ~.	2	1.1	89.5	71.3	41.4	29.9	16.0	2.3	10.5	4.9	2.0			0.0	0
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	:	7	129.4	95.1	69.6	46.4	23.2	23.7	1.8	4.9	2.0	0.7	1.9	0.3		
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	:81.037	2	0.5	74.7	53.0	63.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	25.3	0.0	0.0	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	:81.037	7	255 • 8	96.2	79.6	56.7		13.7	2.9	3.8	1.6	0.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0
*STATE/LOCAL-CONSERVATION	:81.041	2	64.4	95.0	79.3	79.2		12.2	3.5	5.0	1.1	2.4	0.3	1.2	0.0	· 0
*STATE/LOCAL-CONSERVATION	:81.041	7	17.5	99•8	71.9	67.3	4.6	11.8	16.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
*TRANSPORTATION-CONSERVATION	:81.035	7	83.5	98.0	90.8	71.2	19.7	6.6	0.6	2.0	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0
+UTILITIES-CONSERVATION	:81.035	7	123.0	68.9	60.1	45.6	14.5	5.9	2.9	31.1	10.5	18.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0
*WEAPONS-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	:	7	2130.2	77•1	27.8	21.4	6 • 4	37.5	11.8	22.9	0.7	4.7	7.4	0.0	10.0	0
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	100.0	190.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		7•8	32.0	16.3	14.0	2.2	́11∙8,	4.0	68.0	6.5	7.6	7.3	17.9	0.0	28
EMERGENCY ENERGY CONSERVATION SERVICES, OS	:49.014	2	7•8	32.0	16.3	14.0	2.2	11.8	4.0	68.0	6.5	7.6	7.3	17.9	-0.0	28
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		206.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
	•	8	206.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
*EXPL OF NAT*L PETRO RESERVE IN ALASKA* GS OTHER PROGRAMS			0.0	99.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
													• •	10.4	0.0	. 8
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	.:		0•4	51.7	28.6	28.6	0.0	10.3	12.9	48.3		2.4	0.0	12.4		
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA	:20.205	1	0 • 4	51.7	28.6	28.6	0.0	10.3	12.9	48.3	24.9	2.4	0.0	12.4	0.0	8
COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		180.3	66.3	30.8	26 • 2	4 • 6	28•3	7.3	33.7	10.4	6.6	6.0	8.4	0.7	1
EMERGENCY ENERGY CONSERVATION SERVICES	:49.014	2	180.0	66.3	30.7	26.1	4.6	28.3	7.3	33.7	10.4	6.6	6.0	8.4	0.7	1
EMERGENCY ENERGY CONSERVATION SERVICES	:49.014	7	0.3		100.0	88.6	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		2.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
ENERGY	:	8	2.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMIN.	•		4156.5	96.9	74.3	61.8	12.4	11.7	10.9	3.1	0.8	0.1	1.6	0.1	0.0	0
NATIONAL ALKONAUTICS & SPACE ADMIN.	•															0
AEROSPACE EDUCATION SERVICES PROJECT	:43.001	8	0.9	0.0	ũ • 0-	• • 0 • 0 •	0.0			100.0	0.6	99.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONST OF FAC-SPACE FLIGHT	:	7, 1	34.6	100.0			0.8	5.3	65.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONST OF FAC-SPACE SCIENCE, APPL & TECH	:	7	6.4	100.0	51.5	3.3	48.2	0.0	48.5	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONST OF FAC-SUPPORTING SPACE ACTIVITIES	· • • • •	7	42.3	95.5			27.6	16.5	9.7	4.5	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	
RES & PROG MAN-SPACE FLIGHT	:	8	351.8	98 • 1			15.1	29.4	12.8	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
RES & PROG MAN-SPACE SCIENCE, APPL & TECH	:	8	291.7	98.1	55.8		15.1		12.8	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 1
RES & PROG MAN-SUPPORTING SPACE ACTIVITIES	: :	8	51.5	98.1			15.1		12.8	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
RESEARCH & DEV-SPACE FLIGHT	· • • • •	7	2093.3	95.9	75.7	73.9	1.8	5.3	14.9	-4+1	0.8	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	
	:	· 7	1003.0	97 • 7		61.8	18.5	15.3	2.1		1.5	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0
RESEARCH & DEV-SPACE SCIENCE, APPL & TECH											0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	1
	:	7	254.6	97 • 8	95.5	28.1	67.4	2.2	0.1	2.2						
RESEARCH & DEV-SPACE SCIENCE+ APPL & TECH Research & DEV-Supporting space activities Technology utilization	:43.002	7	254.6 26.3	97•8 97•8	95.5 90.0		67.4 8.2 0.0	2•2 4•6 0•0	3.2		0.4	1.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0 0

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

61

DOAD FUNCTION.	: CFDA	: TYPF	:	: :	ME	TROPOL	:	:		:		:	LESS		TOTAL	
BROAD FUNCTION, Function, Agency,	:NUMBER		: UNITED	TOTAL		REATER	м				URBAN	ZED :	URBANI	ZED :	RURA	AL Non-
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES	:		CORE	-	:			AD JA -:/			ADJA-:/	DJA-:	ADJA
			:	: :	:		RINGL:	:			CENT :	ENT :	ENT :	CENT ::	ENT :	CENT
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U.	S. TOT	L				
POPULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6•7	6.8	1.2	2.
FUFUEATION V 1910			•													
HIGHER EDUCATION AND RESEARCH	:		10553.6	87•1	60.9	49.3	11.6	18.4	7.8	12.9	5.2	2.9	1•6	2•4	0.1	0.
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		1•4	48.9	7.6	5.4	2•2	19.7	21.6		34.9	3•2	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.
RURAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH, SEA	:10.879	1	1•4	48.9	7.6	5•4	2•2	19.7	21.6	51.1	34.9	8.2	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	:		1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
*MULTI/RESOURCE-BASIC SCIENCES	:81.049	7	1.2	0.0	0.0	6.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		9139•1	87.1	60.3	48.1	12.2	18.8	8•0	12.9	5.0	3•1	1.7	2•7	0.1	0.
ACADEMIC PROGRAM, HOWARD UNIVERSITY, OS	:	2	103•4 43•0	100.0 92.7	100.0		0.0 5.6	0.0	0.0 9.2	0.0 7.3	0.0 4.0	0.0 1.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 2.2	0.0	0. 0.
AGING RESEARCH, NIH Alcohol nat research service a⊎ards, admha	:13.866 :13.272	2	1.2	90.8	66.3	65.7	0.6	20.4	4.0	9.2	9.2	0 . 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
ALCOHOL RESEARCH CENTER GRANTS, ADMHA	:13.891	2	6.0	100.0	88.4	75.7	12•7	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
ALCOHOL RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AWARDS, ADMHA	:13.271	2	0.8	95.2	57.9	48.8	9.1	22.8	14.5	4.8	4•8 9•6	0.0 1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	1
ALCOHOL RESEARCH PROGRAMS, ADMHA	:13.273	2	5.1	87.4	71.5	61.0	10.5	9.6	6•3 8•9	12.6 16.8	2.9	2.9	2.3	3.8	0.0	4
ALCOHOL TRAINING PROGRAMS, ADMHA	:13.274	2	5.9	83.2	49.2 100.0	47•7 31•7	1•5 68•3	25.1 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH		8	24.5 53.3	96.8		76+2	2.6		5.3	3.2	1.0	0.9	0.0	1.2	0.0	0
ALLERGIC & IMMUNOLOGIC DISEASES RES. NIH	:13.855	2	9.2	89.0	56.0	44.8	11.2		8.9	11.0	6.7	2.2	0.2	1.5	0.0	0
ALLIED HLTH PROFESS-SPECIAL PROJ GRTS, HRA ARTHRITIS, BONE & SKIN DISEASES RES., NIH	:13.846	2	49.9	95.8	69.5	68.5	1.1	18.6	7.7	4.2	2.2	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
ASSOC HEALTH PROFESSIONS-TRAINEESHIPS, HRA	:	2	2.5	93.2	72.6	71.5	1.1	13.1	7.4	6.8	3.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0
BASIC ED OPPORTUNITY GRANT PROGRAM, OE	:13.539	2	1857.Б	76.2		33.5	6.5		11.3	23.8	8.6	5.4	3.7	5.4 0.0	0.2	Ő
BIOMEDICAL COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, NIH	:13.836	. 3	3.9	100-0			26.1		6•5 7•9		5.3	2.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	ő
BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH SUPPORT, NIH	:13.337	2	44.9	91.1			4.2		11.1	3.9	0.0	2.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	ŋ
BIOTECHNOLOGY RESEARCH + NIH	:13.371	2	13.0 75.5	96•1 97•4			7.4		5.6		1.6	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.0	0
BLOOD DISEASES AND RESOURCES RESEARCH. NIH	:13.839	8	29.0	100.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, NIH	:13.396	°2	89.8	93.9			12.4		4.9		3.4	1.7	0.0	1.0	0.0	C
CANCER BIOLOGY RESEARCH. NIH CANCER CAUSE AND PREVENTION RESEARCH. NIH	:13.393	2	205.2	95.2			30.4		4.2	4.8	3.3	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.0	0
CANCER CONSTRUCTION • NIH	:13.392	2	17.4	100.0		69.6	16.5	5.9	7.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
CANCER CONTROL NIH	:13.399	2	64.5	98.0	72.0		5.2		8.1			0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	. (
CANCER DETECTION & DIAGNOSIS RESEARCH, NIH	:13.394	2	. 36.9	95.2			6.8		4.8			0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
CANCER RESEARCH MANPOWER, NIH	:13.398	2	36.1	95.2			3.0		7•6 4•6			2•1 0•9	0.5	0.1	0.0	Č
CANCER TREATMENT RESEARCH, NIH	:13.395	2	230 • 3	96.5			6•8 22•1		7.3			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ċ
CARIES RESEARCH, NIH	:13.840	2 2	8.6	96.0 95.1			9.8		5.7			1.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	0
CATARACT RESEARCH, NIH	:13.869 :13.863	2	92.8	91.0			1.7		11.2			2.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	(
CELLULAR & MOLECULAR BASIS OF DIS RES, NIH Chemical/biological info handling res, nih	:13.877	3	1.2			100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
CLINICAL RESEARCH, NIH	:13.333	ž	51.8	95.9			0.1	15.3	9.1	4.1		1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
COLLEGE LIBRARY RESOURCES, OE	:13.406		9.8	62.5			9.4		10.7			6.9	7.8	11.1	0.5	. 1
COMMUNICATIVE DISORDERS RESEARCH+ NIH	:13.851	2	29.1	95.7			1.1		10.9			1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
COMMUNITY EDUCATION. OE	:13.563	2	3.2	74•2			3.6		21.2			3.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND AWARDS. OE	:	4	2.0	99.9			29.3 100.0	4.4 0.0	18.4			0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	i
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NIH		8	0.2		100.0		0.2		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, OE		8	0.2	99•8	99.0 100.0		0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
CONSTRUCTION, HOWARD UNIVERSITY, OS	:13.564	-	3.6	84.5			18.7		4.5			1.8	3.2	5.2	0.0	1
CONSUMERS® EDUCATION, OE Corneal diseases research, NIH	:13.868		14.3	99.0			0.8		6.1	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
CRANIOFACIAL ANOMALIES RESEARCH, NIH	:13.842	-	8.9	91.7			3.0	9.2	16.2			1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
DENTAL RESEARCH INSTITUTES, NIH	:13.845		7.6	100.0	37.4	37.4	0.0		37.1			0.0	0.0	0.0	.0.0	0
DIABETES, ENDOCRINOLOGY & METAB. RES., NIH	:13.847		106.3	91•4			2•5		7.3			3.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0
DIGESTIVE DISEASES AND NUTRITION RES., NIH	:13.848		46.3	93.0			1.3		6.0			1.2	0.0	0.2 0.0	0.0	. 0
DRUG ABUSE NAT RESEARCH SVC AWARDS, ADMHA	:13.278	2	0.8	98.8	79.8	48.1	31.7	17•4	1.6	1.2	0.0	0.8	0.4	0.00	0.00	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

62

 $2_{\rm eff}$.

63

PWNCT100H, AGENCY. AND PAGGRAM INUMER ICODE I I UNITED ICODE I I INTEL I INTEL I INTEL I INTEL INTEL INTEL I INTEL I	BROAD FUNCTION,	: : CFDA	TYPE	:	:	M 1	LIKOPOL	ITAN CO	UNITES :			: NO	NMEIKO	POLITA		TOTA	ALI
i i	FUNCTION, AGENCY,	INUMBER	:CODE :	UNITED	TOTAL			м	EDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL			URBAN	IZED :	RUR	RAL
	AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES	:				:								:NO
Lation, 1978 i		:	:			TUTAL	CORE	FRINGE	:								
Shife Augr Reference <		:		-MIL DOLS	• •••••				PER	CENTAGE	OF U	•S• TOT	AL				
DBUG AUST RESEARCH PROGRAMS, ADMIA 113.279 2 37.3 95.5 6.2 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.5 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0	PULATION, 1978	:		31	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	
DRUG AUSE RESEARCH PROGAMES, ADMAA 113.279 2 37.3 95.6 6.5.2 33.7 14.9 4.1 2.6 0.7 0.0	DRUG ABUSE RESEARCH DEVELOP. AWARDS, ADMHA	:13.277	2	1.0	97.0	78.6	74.8	3.7	11.1	7.3	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
LOUC FOR 0.FTED & TALENED CHILD & YTH+ OC 113.662 2 3.6 02.6 05.7 0.7 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.7 <		:13.279		37.3												0.0	
LOUG CPR USE OF MITRIC SYS OF MEASURE, OE 113.661 2 1.6 22.4 39.2 33.6 5.4 21.6 11.6 10.0 0.0		•	-													0.0	
LOUGATIONAL INFORMATION CENTERS, OC 113.563 1 2.7 77.2 17.5 2.6 6.5 11.2 2.6 6.5 11.2 2.6 6.5 11.2 2.6 6.5 11.2 2.6 6.5 7.2 6.6 6.6 5.7 7.6 6.6 6.6 5.7 7.7 6.6 6.6 5.7 7.7 7.6 6.6 6.6 5.7 7.7 7.6 6.6 6.6 5.7 7.7 7.6 6.6 6.6 5.7 7.7 7.6 6.6 6.6 5.7 7.7 7.6 6.6 6.7 7.7 7.7 6.6 6.1 7.7 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>																	
CDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY CENTERS, 0C 113.653 2 7:2 81:0 9:0 5:3 9:0 2:0 8:0 9:0 0:0<																	
LOUGATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, NEE 13.990 2 79.2 96.0 6.3 5.6 11.7 15.7 12.0 6.0 0.0																	
CDUCATIONAL TELEVISION AND RADTO, OC 13.951 7 -47 100.0 0.2 0.4 0.0																	
LLEERMAAY & SLCONDARY SCH ED IN ARTS, DC 113-566 2 2.8 88-8 66.4 62.7 3.7 17.8 4.6 11.2 4.4 1.7 1.0 3.3 0.0																	
ESAA-SPECIAL PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS OC 113-529 2 0.8 100:0 100:0 100:0 0.0 <	ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY SCH ED IN ARTS, OE		2													0.0	
EVERETT M. DIRKSEN CENTER, OC : 2 2,5 100.0 100.0 0.0	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH & DEV., NIH	:13.894	2	14.0	90.5	65.1	64.9	0.2	19.5	5.9	9.5	9.0	0.5	0.0	9.0	0.0	
EXPANCED FUNCTION DENTAL AUX TRNG PRG, HRA 113,609 2 1.9 01.5 05.4 01.7 25.3 0.1 1.6 7.7 0.0				0.8	100.0	100.0	100+0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FOOD AND DRUG RESEARCH GRAITS, FDA 113,103 2 1.9 97.1 57.1 1.6 2.7 2.1 1.6 2.7 2.1 1.6 2.7 2.1 1.6 2.7 2.1 1.6 2.7 2.1 1.6 2.7 1.2 0.0<		•														0.0	
FOREIGN LANG & AREA STUDIES-FELOVERS, OC 113,435 2 9.5																0.0	
PORTIGN LANG & AREA STUDIES-FELLOUSHPS, OE 113+334 2 4.6 55:1 50:2 50:1 51:2 12:4 14:4 12:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:4 12:4 14:5 14:4 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td></t<>																	2
PORTIN LANG & AREA STUDIES-RESEARCH, OE 113+336 0.9 96:1 75,7 67,7 4.8 15.4 5.6 3.9 2.7 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 FUNDAGENTHANS TARG CONSTRUCTS RESEARCH, NIH 113+325 0.9 0.8 5.6 5.7 2.4 19.9 0.4 11.5 7.2 2.0 0.0 <td></td>																	
FULBRIGHT-HAYS TANG GTS-FAC RES ABROAD. OE 113.438 2 3.0 88.5 60.3 57.9 2.4 19.9 8.4 11.5 7.2 3.2 0.0 10.0 0.0	FORFIGN LANG & AREA STUDIES-RESEARCH. OF																
FUND FOR IMPROVEMENT-POSTSECONDARY ED., OE 113.925 2 0.9 83.4 26.7 21.9 4.8 46.8 9.8 16.6 0.0																	
FUNDAMENTAL MEUNOSCIENCES RESCARCH, NIH 113.854 2 31.1 91.8 62.4 59.6 2.8 19.9 9.5 6.2 5.5 2.2 0.0 0.5 0.5 GALLAUDET COLLEGE-CONSTRUCTION: 05 : : 2 89.2 56.6 155.2 4.9 23.2 9.2 7.5 4.7 2.1 0.2 0.5 0.0<																	
GALLAUDET COLLEGE-CONSTRUCTION, 0S : 2 48.2 100-0 100-0 100-0 0.																0.0	
GLAUCOMA RESEARCH, NIH :13.870 2 10.3 98.2 77.2 74.7 2.5 15.1 5.9 1.8 0.3 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.5	GALLAUDET COLLEGE-CONSTRUCTION, OS	:	2	48.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
GRANTS FOR TANG IN EMERGENCY MED SVCS, HRA 13.287 2 3.0 9.2 55.1 49.1 6.0 22.7 12.3 6.8 3.4 3.3 2.2 0.0 0.5 GRANTS FOM UNSEUNDS, OE : 7.1 83.6 57.3 38.5 7.4 25.4 11.9 17.0 6.8 3.0 2.2 0.0 0.5 GRANTS FOM UNSEUNDS, OE ::- 7.1 83.6 55.5 25.4 7.1 5.2 2.6 5.3 1.3 0.0					92.5			4.9	23.2	9•2	7.5	4 • 7	2.1	0.2	0.5	0.0	
GRANTS TO MUSEUMS, OE : 2 7.1 83.0 45.7 38.3 7.4 25.4 11.9 17.0 6.8 3.0 2.2 3.7 0.0 GTS RES TRG-GEN INT HED AND/OR PEDIATH RA 113.4884 27.1 95.5 58.2 54.7 13.5 22.8 2.6 6.5 3.1 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 HEALTH PROFESSIONS-FIN, DISTRESS GRIS, HRA 113.4339 2 4.9 91.7 19.8 10.9 7.7 4.2.8 0.0																0.0	
GTS RES TRG-GEN INT MED AND/OR PEDIAT. HRA 113.808 2 16.9 89.6 56.6 55.7 1.6 2.7 3.5 0.0 1.3 0.0 HEALTH PROFESSIONS-CAPITATION GRANTS. HRA 113.3766 2 27.1 93.5 58.2 54.7 13.5 22.8 2.6 6.5 5.7 3.4 2.8 0.0<			-													0.5	
HEALTH FINANCING RES, DEMO AND EXPER, HCFA :13.766 2 ?7.1 95.5 58.2 2.5 3.5 2.6 0.6 0.0			-														
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-CAPITATION GRANTS, HRA 113.339 2 114.1 92.3 57.5 56.0 1.5 26.2 7.7 3.4 2.0 0.3 1.1 0.0 HEALTH PROFESSIONS-FIN.DISTRESS GRAS, HRA 113.384 2 4.9 91.7 19.6 0.0 71.9 0.0 R.3 0.0 <td></td>																	
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-FIN, DISTRESS GRTS, HRA 113.381 2 4.9 91.7 19.8 19.8 0.0 71.9 0.0 0.3 0.0			-														
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-START-UP ASST., HRA 13.388 2 23.3 61.0 41.4 32.4 9.0 27.5 12.1 19.0 7.7 4.6 22.5 38.6 0.1 HEALTH PROFESSIONS-STUDENT LOANS, HRA 113.302 2 9.8 91.8 57.9 56.5 1.4 26.4 7.5 8.2 3.5 2.6 0.8 0.9 0.2 HEALTH PROFESSIONS-STUDENT LOANS, HRA 113.422 9.8 91.8 57.9 56.5 1.4 26.4 7.5 8.2 3.5 0.0 <td></td>																	
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-STUDENT LOANS, HRA 113.352 2 9.8 91.8 57.9 56.5 1.4 26.4 7.5 8.2 3.5 2.6 0.8 0.9 0.2 HEALTH RESOURCES; HRA III.0 21.0 0.0 100.0 0.0																	
HEALTH RESOURCES, HRA : 8 4.5 100.0 100.0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.2</td><td></td></td<>																0.2	
HEALTH STATISTICS TRNG. & TECH. ASST., ASH 113.227 8 11.0 92.1 33.5 22.0 33.6 7.9 6.5 1.2 0.2 0.0 0.0 HEART AND VASCULAR DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH 113.837 2 99.9 93.7 66.4 63.4 3.0 17.6 9.7 6.3 4.8 1.3 0.2 0.0 0.0 HIGHER ED CONS-INTEREST, 0E : 2 25.2 79.0 42.2 31.2 11.0 24.4 12.5 11.0 24.4 12.5 11.0 24.4 12.5 12.0 10.8 3.2 3.7 3.3 0.0 HIGHER ED-STREMETHENING DEVELOP INST, 0E :13.454 2 112.9 47.4 13.4 10.8 2.6 22.5 11.5 52.6 12.4 9.0 0.0			8													0.0	
HEART AND VASCULAR DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH 113.837 2 294.9 93.7 664.63.4 3.0 17.6 9.7 6.3 4.8 1.3 0.2 0.0 0.0 HIGHER ED ACADEMIC FAC CONS-INTEREST, 0E : 2 25.2 79.0 42.2 31.2 11.0 24.4 12.5 21.0 10.8 3.2 3.7 3.3 0.0				23.1	94.5	70.4	46.1	24.3	7.0	17.1	5.5	2.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HIGHER ED ACADEMIC FAC CONS-INTEREST, 0E : 2 25.2 79.0 42.2 31.2 11.0 24.4 12.5 21.0 10.8 3.2 3.7 3.3 0.0 HIGHER ED-LAND-GRANT COLLEGES AND UNIV, 0E : 1 2.7 100.0 100.0 0.0						33.5	22.5	11.0	25.0		7.9	6.5	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	
HIGHER ED-LAND-GRANT COLLEGES AND UNIV, 0E : 1 2.7 100.0 100.0 0.0								•								0.0	
HIGHER ED-STRENGTHENING DEVELOP INST, OE :13.454 2 112.9 47.4 13.4 10.8 2.6 22.5 11.5 52.6 12.6 8.4 9.7 16.5 2.0 HIGHER ED-VETS COST OF INSTRUCTION PRG, OE :13.454 2 112.9 47.4 13.4 10.8 2.6 22.5 11.5 52.6 12.6 8.4 9.7 16.5 2.0 HIGHER ED-VETS COST OF INSTRUCTION PRG, OE :13.463 4 18.8 78.9 38.8 30.6 8.2 27.8 12.4 21.1 7.6 6.7 3.1 3.9 0.2 HIGHER EDUCATION WORK-STUDY, OE :13.463 4 563.1 73.2 36.8 30.4 6.4 24.8 11.5 26.8 9.3 6.4 3.9 6.4 0.2 HIGHER EDUCATION-COOPERATIVE EDUCATION, OE :13.463 4 563.1 73.2 36.8 30.4 6.4 24.8 11.5 26.8 10.7 28.7 8.7 17.4 8.8 10.0 0.5 0.2 10.2 10.4 10.2 10.5 10.2 10.5 10.		•															
HIGHER ED-VETS COST OF INSTRUCTION PRG, 0E :13.540 4 18.8 78.9 30.6 8.2 27.8 12.4 21.1 7.0 6.7 3.1 3.9 0.2 HIGHER EDUCATION ACT INSURED LOANS, 0E :13.460 0 604.2 86.3 46.0 38.3 7.8 33.7 6.5 13.7 3.7 2.6 2.6 3.7 0.4 HIGHER EDUCATION WORK-STUDY, 0E :13.463 4 563.1 73.2 36.8 30.4 6.4 24.8 11.5 26.8 9.3 6.4 3.9 6.4 0.4 HIGHER EDUCATION-COOPERATIVE EDUCATION, 0E :13.4510 2 14.8 71.5 37.2 29.9 7.3 23.6 10.7 7.7 4.8 1.0 0.5 0.3 HUBERT H. HUMPREY INSTITUTE, 0E : 2 36.5 91.3 57.8 25.6 16.3 16.3 7.2 8.7 1.7 4.8 1.0 0.5 0.3 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		•	-														
HIGHER EDUCATION ACT INSURED LOANS, OE :13.460 0 604.2 86.3 46.0 38.3 7.8 33.7 6.5 13.7 3.7 2.6 2.6 3.7 0.4 HIGHER EDUCATION WORK-STUDY, OE :13.463 4 55.1 73.2 36.8 30.4 6.4 24.8 11.5 26.8 9.3 6.4 3.9 6.4 0.2 0.4 HIGHER EDUCATION-COEPRATIVE EDUCATION, OE :13.463 4 56.1 73.2 36.8 30.4 6.4 24.8 11.5 26.6 3.7 0.4 HIGHER EDUCATION-COCPERATIVE EDUCATION, OE :13.460 2 14.8 71.5 37.2 29.9 7.3 23.6 10.7 28.5 8.4 7.0 4.2 7.6 0.4 HUBERT H. HUMPHREY INSTITUTE, OE : 2 5.0 100.0 100.0 0.0																	
HIGHER EDUCATION WORK-STUDY, OE :13.463 4 563.1 73.2 36.8 30.4 6.4 24.8 11.5 26.8 9.3 6.4 3.9 6.4 0.2 HIGHER EDUCATION-COOPERATIVE EDUCATION, DE :13.463 4 563.1 73.2 36.8 30.4 6.4 24.8 11.5 26.8 9.3 6.4 3.9 6.4 0.2 HIGHER EDUCATION-COOPERATIVE EDUCATION, DE :13.4510 2 14.8 71.5 37.2 29.9 7.3 23.6 10.7 28.5 84.4 7.0 4.2 7.6 0.4 HIGHER EDUCATION-COOPERATIVE EDUCATION, DE :13.401 2 50 100.0 100.0 0.0	HIGHER EDUCATION ACT INSURED LOANS, OF																
HIGHER EDUCATION-COOPERATIVE EDUCATION, DE :13.510 2 14.8 71.5 37.2 29.9 7.3 23.6 10.7 28.5 8.4 7.0 4.2 7.6 0.4 HLTH MANPOWER EDUC INITIATIVE AWARDS, HRA : 2 38.5 91.3 67.8 52.6 15.3 16.3 7.2 8.7 1.7 4.8 1.0 0.5 0.3 HUBERT H. HUMPREY INSTITUTE OE : 2 38.5 91.3 67.8 52.6 15.3 16.3 7.2 8.7 1.7 4.8 1.0 0.5 0.3 0.1 0.4 0.4 91.3 67.8 52.6 15.3 16.3 7.2 8.7 1.7 4.8 1.0 0.5 0.3 0.1 0.4 0.4 91.3 67.8 52.6 16.3 16.3 7.2 8.7 1.7 7.4 8.8 10.1 1.1	HIGHER EDUCATION WORK-STUDY, OF		-														
HUBERT H. HUMPHREY INSTITUTE. OE : 2 5.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		:13.510	2		71.5	37.2	29.9										
INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES : 8 0.4 99.1 97.2 96.7 0.5 1.3 0.6 0.9 0.3 0.1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>91.3</td> <td>67.8</td> <td>52.6</td> <td>15.3</td> <td>16.3</td> <td>7•2</td> <td>8.7</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>4.8</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.3</td> <td></td>			-		91.3	67.8	52.6	15.3	16.3	7•2	8.7	1.7	4.8	1.0	0.5	0.3	
INVESTIG., SURVEILLANCE & TECH. ASST., CDC :13.283 8 23.9 95.0 61.3 35.8 25.5 25.6 8.0 5.0 2.9 1.2 0.0 0.9 0.0 JOHN E FOGARTY CTR ADV STUDY HLTH SCI, NIH :		•	-													0.0	
JOHN E FOGARTY CTR ADV STUDY HLTH SCI, NIH :		-	-														
KIDNEY DISEASES, UROLOGY & HEMAT RES, NIH :13.849 2 47.5 96.0 59.7 68.0 1.7 17.4 8.9 4.0 1.8 1.3 0.1 0.8 0.0 LAB. ANIMAL SCIENCES AND PRIMATE RES., NIH :13.849 2 23.1 97.3 64.5 62.0 2.6 28.0 4.8 2.7 1.9 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0 LIBRARY RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION, OE :13.475 2 1.0 100.0 100.0 87.6 12.4 0.0																	
LAB. ANIMAL SCIENCES AND PRIMATE RES., NIH :13.306 2 23.1 97.3 64.5 62.0 2.6 28.0 4.8 2.7 1.9 0.0 0.8 0.0 LIBRARY RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION, OE :13.465 2 1.0 100.0 100.0 87.6 12.4 0.0 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td>		•															
LIBRARY RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION, OE :13.475 2 1.0 100.0 100.0 12.4 0.0 <										-							
LIBRARY TRAINING GRANTS, OE :13.468 2 2.0 82.4 46.3 38.8 7.6 24.0 12.0 17.6 6.1 7.1 0.0 4.4 0.0 LUNG DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH :13.838 2 73.1 92.9 70.0 68.6 1.4 14.7 8.2 7.1 2.3 4.6 0.1 0.2 0.0 MANAGEMENT FUND, NIH :																	
LUNG DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH :13.838 2 73.1 92.9 70.0 68.6 1.4 14.7 8.2 7.1 2.3 4.6 0.1 0.2 0.0 MANAGEMENT FUND, NIH : 8 117.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
MANAGEMENT FUND, NIH : 8 117.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 0.0																	
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH RESEARCH, HSA 113.231 2 5.3 94.2 78.5 71.4 7.1 5.3 10.4 5.8 4.4 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.0 MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH TRAINING, HSA :13.233 2 26.8 99.7 74.6 66.5 8.1 18.2 6.9 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
					94.2	78.5											
MELHANISMS-ENVIKUN DISEASES & DISORD, NIH :13.893 2 15.8 93.7 54.6 48.3 6.3 26.5 12.6 6.3 4.1 0.7 0.0 1.6 0.0								8.1			0.3			0.0		0.0	

.

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

		: : CFDA	TYPE		: :	ME	IKOPOL	ITAN CO	:	:	:	:	:	POLITAN	s :	TOTAL	
	BROAD FUNCTION. Function. Agency.	:NUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL		REATER	M				URBANI	ZED :	URBANI	ZED :	RUR	AL
	AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES		T 3 T 41		RINGE:		:		AD JA - : A		ADJA-:/	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	
								:		:		CENT :C	ENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CEN
							1			CENTAGE	05 11	S. TOTA					
		:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	LENTAGE	UF U	• 3 • 1017					
ULA	TION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6•7	6.8	1.2	2
	MEDICAL LIBRARY ASSISTANCE, NIH	:13.879	2	9.0	94.0	58.5	65.0	3.5	14.0	11.5	6.0	2.7	1•4	0.9	0.9	0.0	C
	MEDICAL LIBRART ASSISTANCE, NIN MENT HLTH NAT*L RESEARCH SVC AWARDS, ADMHA	:13.282	2	15.0	92.9	64.6	62.3	2.4	14.9	13.3	7.1	7.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
	MENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH GRANTS, ADMHA	:13.242	2	97.5	95.0	69.1	63.7	5.4	18.0	7.9	5.0	3.0	1.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	
	MENTAL HEALTH TRAINING GRANTS, ADMHA	:13.244	2	66.8	90.5	56.2	51.5	4.7	26.7	7.5	9•5 7.•2	3.6 4.3	3.7 2.1	0.3	1.7 0.7	0.0	
	MICROBIOLOGY AND INFECTIOUS DIS. RES., NIH	:13.856	2	92.0	92.8	59.9	56.2	3.7	24.8	8.1		17.4	14.0	0.0	14.7	0.0	
	MINING & MINERAL FUEL CONS FELLOWSHIPS, OE	:13.567	2	4.5	53.9	27.4	19.5	7.8	21.2	5.3	46•1 12•5	9.5	0.6	1.6	0.8	0.0	
	MINORITY ACCESS TO RESEARCH CAREERS, NIH	:13.880	2	2.8	87.5	50.4	40.3	10.2	29.8	11.0	17.2		0.0	5.6	3.9	0.0	
	MINORITY BIOMEDICAL SUPPORT. NIH	:13.375	2	17.9	82.8	43.8	33.4 0.0	10.4 96.4	28.0 0.0	0.0	3.6		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NAT INST ALLERGY AND INFECTIOUS DIS, NIH	:	8 2	27.1	96•4 100•0	96.4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NAT INST ARTHRITIS, METAB & DIGESTIVE DIS	:	8	26•5 24•7	100.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NAT INST NEUROLOGICAL DIS AND STROKE, NIH		8 8	112.0	100.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE + NIH		8	0.1	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL CENTER-EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, OF	:13.553	÷	13.7		45.9	26.6	19.4	25.2	10.5	18.3		3.1	3.5	5.5	0.9	
	NATIONAL DIFFUSION PROGRAM. OE	:13.471	4	318.3		40.3	35.4	4.9	26.8	12.1	20.8	8.7	4.8	2.9	3.9	0.1	
	NATIONAL DIRECT STUDENT LOANS, OF	:	, A	6.4	100.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL EYE INSTITUTE, NIH NATIONAL HEART, LUNG, AND BLOOD INSTITUTE		8	32.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL HEART, LONG, AND BLOOD INSTITUTE NATIONAL INST OF GENERAL MED SCIENCES, NIH		8	4.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF AGING, NIH		8	10.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	U • O	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF DENTAL RESEARCH, NIH		8	12.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE, NIH	:	8	21.5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATIONAL TECHNICAL INST FOR DEAF-GRTS, OS		2	18.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATL INST CHILD HEALTH & HUMAN DEV, NIH	:	8	16.1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NATE INST ENVIRONMENTAL HETH SCIENCES, NIH	:	8	22.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS RESEARCH, NIH	:13.852	2	72.0	94.4	74 • 1	69.2	4.9	13.1	7.1	5.6		2.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	
	NHS CORPS SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM. HRA	:13.288		5.4	88•2	71.1	69.5	1.6	15.3	1.8	11.8		2.4	0.0	8•1 4•6	0.0	
	NURSE TRNG. IMPROVEMENT-SPECIAL PROJ., HRA	:13.359		14 • 4	78.7	47.0	40.7	6.3	17.8	13.9	21.3		8•9 0•0	0.2	0.0	0.0	
	NURSING RESEARCH PROJECT GRANTS, HRA	:13.361		4.9	94.9	57.6	49.3	8.3	27.0	10.4			5.9	2.5	3.5	0.1	
	NURSING SCHOLARSHIPS, HRA	:13.363		8.8	79.0			8.7 0.0	27.6 .0.0	11.2	21.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NURSING SCHOOL CONSTR-LOAN GUARANTEES, HRA	:	0	0.2		100.0				10.6			6.2	2.5	3.8	0.1	
	NURSING STUDENT LOANS, HRA	:13.364		13.3	78.6			7.3 2.5	26.6 11.3	13.4	12.2		7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HLTH RES GRANTS, CDC	:13.262		6.3	87•8		60•6 67•1	0.7	18.3	11.0	3.0		0.2	0.6	0.2	0.0	
	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HLTH TRG GRANTS, CDC	:13.263		9.3	97.0	67.7 100.0	0.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR, NIH	:	8	18•5 2•5	. 98.4	75.8		1.3		12.6	1.6		0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	PAIN CONTROL AND BEHAVIORAL STUDIES, NIH	:13.844		7.7	96.9		69.2	6.4	16.0	5.4	3.1		0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	PERIODONTAL DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH	:13.841		34.7	87.0			2.3	21.3	7.0	13.0		1.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	
	PHARMACOLOGY-TOXICOLOGY RESEARCH, NIH	:13.821		48.3	93.8			1.2		9.4	6.2		1.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	
	PHYSIOLOGY AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING, NIH		7	5.2	100.0			26.9	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	PLANNING AND EVALUATION. OE	:13.864		63.5	94.3		55.6	3.9		11.8	5.7	3.3	1.9	0.2	0.4	0.0	
	POPULATION RESEARCH, NIH	:13.892		23.5	92.5	57.1	49.8	7.3	22.0	13.4	7.5	5.5	0.9	0.0	0.8	0.2	
	PREDICTION, DETECTION & ASSESS OF DIS, NIH	:		99.8	91.4		21.6	67.9	1.9	0.0	8.6	1.9	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES, CDC PUBLIC SVC PRO ED-INSTIT GTS & FELLSHP, OE	:13.555	-	6.0	85•3	49.7	42.3	7.5	22.8	12.8	14.7		6.6	0.0	4 • 4	0.0	
	QUALITY CARE MANAGEMENT, RESEARCH & ADMIN.	:	8	730.5	99.9	99.8	99.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
	RESEARCH FOR MOTHERS AND CHILDREN, NIH	:13.865	5 2	101.6			64.8	2.3		7.0	- 6.8		1.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	
	RESEARCH RESOURCES, NIH	:	8	3.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	· 0•0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	RESTORATIVE MATERIALS RESEARCH, NIH	:13.843	5 2	3.7	95.0	57.7	56.5	1.2		16.5	5.0		4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	RETINAL & CHOROIDAL DISEASES RESEARCH. NIH	:13.86		38.3	95.9			3.3		6.1	4.1		1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	S & E, ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HEALTH	:	8	6.6			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	S & E. NATIL COMM LIBRARIES & INFO SCIENCE	:	8	1.6				0.0	0.2	0.0	.0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	S & E, OFFICE OF EDUCATION	:	8	125.1	99•6			1.5	1.3	0.3	0.4		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	
	SCHOLARSHIPS-EXCEPTIONAL FINANCIAL ND. HRA	:13.820	2	6.9				2.3	28.7	7.8	9.1		3.1	0.2	1.8 0.2	0.2 0.0	
	SENSORY-MOTOR DISORDERS & REHAB. RES., NIH	:13.87	1 2	20.4	98.2			5.7		5.6	1.8		0.4	0.0		0.0	
	SERVICE AND SUPPLY FUND, NIH	:	8	65•7			0.0	100.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SOFT TISSUE STOMATOLOGY-NUTRITION RES. NIH	:13.878		6.5	95.0		64.0	4.3		7.5	5.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SPECIAL PROJECTS AND TRAINING, OE	: '	8	0.1	100.0			12.2		0.0	0.0 33.9		0.0	5.9	9.1	0.4	
	SPECIAL SVC FOR DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS, OE	:13.48	2 2	52.5	66•1	32.2	26.5	5.7	22.1	11.7	ు ఎం 🕫	7 IU+4	0.9	3.9	. 7 • 1		

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

64

BROAD FUNCTION,	: CFDA	TYPE		:			ITAN CO	: :			:	:	LES	s :	TOTA	ALI
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL		GREATER	4		LESSER		URBAN	IZED :	URBAN	IZED :	RUP	RAL
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES			:						NON- :		NON- :		: NO
		-				CORE	FRINGE				ADJA-: CENT :					
	:	·········	-MIL DOLS-					PFG	CENTAGE							
	-															
ULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	
STATE PLNNG COMM PRG-INTRASTATE PLNNG, OE	:13.550	1	3.3	80.2	20.2	18.1	2•1	45.1	15.0	19.8	9.9	4.5	3.0	2.3	0.0	
STATE STUDENT FINANCIAL ASST TRNG PROG. OF	:13.582 :13.576	1 2	2.4	100.0 80.4	48.9	50.0 48.9	50.0	0.0 19.9	0.0	0.0 19.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
STRENGTHENING RESEARCH LIBRARY RES., OE STROKE, NERVOUS SYSTEM TRAUMA RES., NIH	:13.853	2	6.0 34.9	98.4	70.8	66.0	0.0	19.7	7.9	1.9.6	12.1	0.8	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	
SUPP. EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS. OF	:13.418	4	334.1	76.2	40.0	32.9	7.0	24.3	11.9	23.8	8.8	5.6	3.6	5.1	0.1	
TALENT SEARCH. OE	:13.488	2 2	15.0	73.6	44.5	40.4	4.1	19.2	9.9	26.4	5.4	5.9	5.6	7.5	0.0	
TEACHER CORPS-OPERATIONS AND TRAINING, OE	:13.489	2	36.3	78.4	38.6	31.8	6.9	32.8	7.0	21.6	6.4	8.7	2.1	3.2	0.6	
TRAINING OF PHYSICIAN'S ASSISTANTS, HRA	:13.886	2	8.6	92.5	55.7	49.0	6.8	26.6	10.2	7.5	3.3	3.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	
TRNG SPEC PRG STAFF & LEADERSHIP PERS, OE	:13.592	7	8.1	82.2	39.6	37.4	2.2	31.0	11.6	17.8	10.7	3.2	1.0	3.0	0.0	
UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY SVC-SPEC PROJECTS, OE	:13.557	2	1.6	71.8	31.2	31.2	0.0	30.3	10.3	28.2	11.5	8.6	0.0	5.3	0.0	
UPWARD BOUND, OE	:13.492	2	58.5	68 • 4	34.3	28.6	5.7	21.2	13.9	31.6	8.9	6.5	5.8	8.7	0.4	
*VOCATIONAL ED-PRG IMPROVE & SUPP SVCS, OE	:13.495	1	3.2		100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
VOCATIONAL ED-SPECIAL NEEDS, OE	:13.499	1	0.8	100.0	36.2	36.2	0.0	63.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WOMEN'S EDUCATIONAL EQUITY, OE	:13.565	2	7.6	90.7	70.3	64.2	6.1	14.1	6.4	9.3	5.2	1.1	0.4	2.5	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.1	100.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		2.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HISTORIC AMERICAN BUILDINGS SURVEY, HCRS	:15.410	8	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD. HCRS	:15.413	8	0.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND	:	8	1.4		100.0		0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS	:	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		2.2	100.0	100.0	77.6	22.4	0.0	0.0	6.0	0 • 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, NIH	:	7	1.6		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ċ.
CONSTRUCTION, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION		7	0.5		100.0		99.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL COMM-INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD	:	1	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS	:		159.2	96•1	81.9	78.2	3.8	11.0	3 • 1	3.9	1.5	0.6	0.4	1.0	0.1	
ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND DESIGN	:45.001	2	4.4	90.8	73.8	69.6	4.2	14.3	2.7	9.2	4.4	1.4	0.9	2.3	0.2	
ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND DESIGN	:45.001	- 7	0.4	190.0		90.9	9.1.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND DESIGN	:45.001	9	0.8	97.2		72.5	0.0	22.9	1.8	2.8	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	
ARTIST IN SCHOOLS	:45.003	7	0.6	86•7	69•4	69.4	0.0	4.9	12.4	13.3	7 • 4	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	
DANCE PROGRAMS	:45.002	2	10.6	98.6	84 • 2	79.8	4.3	11.2	3.3	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0•4	0.0	
DANCE PROGRAMS	:45.002	9	2.8	100.0	91.0	91.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EXPANSION ARTS PROGRAMS EXPANSION ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.010 :45.010	2	8.1 0.5	92•1 100•0	73.6 97.0	67.9 97.0	5.7	15.8	2.7	7.9	2.2	0.8	1.8	2.0	0.2 0.0	
EXPANSION ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.010	9	0.5	100.0	97.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FEDERAL-STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAMS	:45.007	2	0.5	100.0	36.9	70 • 2 36 • 9	0.0	48.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FEDERAL-STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAMS	:45.007	9	0.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.3	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FOLK ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.015	2	2.6	71.3	45.9	39.1	6.8	10.8	14.6	28.7	4.8	5.6	1.8	11.3	0.6	
FOLK ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.015	9	0.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
INTERGOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES PROGRAMS	:	2	0.8	100.0	71.8	58.9	12.9	3.2	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INTERGOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES PROGRAMS	:	7	0.1	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
LITERATURE PROGRAMS	:45.004	2	3.9	87.0	63.8	58.0	5.8	15.9	7.3	13.0	7.1	2.4	0.7	1.6	0.1	
MEDIA ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.006	2	8.7	97.0	90.2	86.8	3.3	5.7	1.1	3.0	0.8	0.1	0.5	1.5	0.0	
MEDIA ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.006	7	1.0	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MEDIA ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.006	9	1.7	97.0	97.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	
MUSEUM PROGRAMS	:45.012	2	18.2	95.5	75•3	72.2	3.1	15.7	4.5	4.5	2.4	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.0	
MUSEUM PROGRAMS	:45.012	9	7 • 4	100.0	86.8	86.8	0.0	9.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MUSIC PROGRAMS	:45.005	2	19.3	98.2	77.8	72.7	5.0	19.0	1.4	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

65

BROAD FUNCTION.	CFDA	TYPE		:		TROPOLI	•	•	:		NON	:	LESS		TOTAL	
FUNCTION + AGENCY +	NUMBER			TOTAL		REATER	М		LESSER	TOTAL	URBANI	IZEJ :	URBAN	NON- :		NON
AND PROGRAM		: 2/	STATES					:			AD JA -: A					
	:	:	:		TUTAL:	CORE:F	KINUL:				CENT :C	ENT :	CENT :	CENT :	ENT :	CEN
								PFR	CENTAGE	OFU	.s. tot#	L				
	:		-MIL DOLS-									3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26 • 9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0						
MUSIC PROGRAMS	:45.005	9	10.1	99.5	84.9	83.1			0.3	0.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS	:	7	0.9	79.8	62.9	40.3	22.6		0.0	20.2	17•2 0•0	0.8 0.0	0•0 0•0	2.1 0.0	0.0	ŏ
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS	:	8	10.2	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ō
OPERA AND MUSICAL THEATRE PROGRAMS	:45.014	2	6 • 9	94.5	89.7	86.9	2.8	4.3	0.5	5.5 4.5	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Č
OPERA AND MUSICAL THEATRE PROGRAMS	:45.014	9	3.9	95.5	94.3	94.3	0.0	1.3 3.2	6.5	2.6		0.0	0.7	1.6	0.0	i
SPECIAL PROJECTS	:45.011	2	7.5	97.4	87.7	84.6	3.1	18.5	0.0	10.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	1
SPECIAL PROJECTS	:45.011	7	0.8	90.0	71.5	63.1	8.4	0.0	7.0	2.0		0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	
SPECIAL PROJECTS	:45.011	9	5.1	98.0	91.0	88.1	2.9	11.8	3.6	2.8		2.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	
THEATRE PROGRAMS	:45.008	2	9.9	97.2	81.8	76.7 100.0	5.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
THEATRE PROGRAMS	:45.008	7	0.1	100.0		86.4	2.9	4.8	0.0	5.8		5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
THEATRE PROGRAMS	:45.008		3.4		89.4	63 • 4	13.3	12.8	2.1	8.4		0.4	1.1	2.9	0.2	
VISUAL ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.009	2	5.2	91.6	76.7		36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
VISUAL ARTS PROGRAMS	:45.009	9	0.5	100.0		63.6 26.5	0.0	73.5	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	26.5	2003	0.0									
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES	:		193.0	89.0	53.4	57.9	5.5	19.2	6.4	11.0	5.1	2•9	1.2	1.3	0.0	
BASIC RESEARCH	:45.140	2	8 • 1	94.1	84.6	83.8	0.9	6.1	3.3	-5-9		2.0	1.7 0.3	0.0	0.2	
CENTERS OF RESEARCH	:45.124	2	13.1	93.7	83•1		1•4	8.5	2.1	6.3		1.2				
CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS	:45.117	2	1.2	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DIVISION OF STATE PROGRAMS	:45.129	2	24 • 4	. 81.5	33.0		5.7		11.2			8.1	0.0 7.5	3.2 7.3	0.0	
EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT	:45.139	2	6.1	71.4	44.5		5.6	18.6	8.3			0.0		3.9	0.0	
EDUCATION PILOT	:45.138	2	2 • 2	76•8	44•4		11.5		11.4			4•6 9•4	6•6 3•5	0.7	0.0	
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION PROGRAM	:45.127		5.7	81.1	69•9		9.5	8.6	2.6			.2.1	0.0	.0.0	0.0	
FELLOWSHIPS & STIPENDS FOR THE PROFESSIONS	:45.109		2.0	87.7	54.3		5.7		21.7			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FELLOWSHIPS AT CENTERS FOR ADVANCED STUDY	:45.122	2	2.0	99•2			3.9	9.2	7.4	0.8		2.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	
HIGHER EDUCATION PROJECTS	:45.111	2	5.5	87.8	62.2		2.9		1.0			1.4	2.1	1.0	0.0	
HUMANITIES CHALLENGE GRANTS	:45.130		55•6	89.7			8.5		7.1			0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	
MEDIA GRANTS	:45.104		11.5	98.5			1•4		8•9 2•9		-	3.2	0.7	0.8	0.3	
MUSEUMS & HISTORICAL OBGANIZATION PROGRAMS	:45.125		9.2	91.6			8.2					7.3	5.3	8.3	0.0	
NATIONAL BOARD OF CONSULTANTS	:45.123		0.5	66.4			11.4		5.5 0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES	:	7	0.7			100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES	:	8	9.3	100.0			0.0		11.1			3.8		3.0	0.0	
NEH FELLOWSHIPS CATEGORIES A,B,C	:45.136		6.7	84.0			11.0		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	
PLANNING AND ASSESMENT STUDIES	:45.128		0.9	39.6			1.6		1.3			4.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	
PUBLIC LIBRARY PROGRAM	:45.137		1.6	95.5			12.1		3.8			0.3		0.5	0.0	
PUBLIC PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT	:45.113		6.4	98.3			8.5		5.4			1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT	:45.134		0.5	90.3			4.0		7.1			8.7		1.5	0.0	
RESEARCH MATERIALS	:45.126		8.8	81.5			4.5		3.9			0.0		0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS	:45.132		0.3	98.7			0.0		1.7			0.0		0.0	0.0	
SPECIAL PROJECTS	:45.131		2.2	98.3			7.9		5.8			12.3		1.4	0.0	
STATE, LOCAL, & REGIONAL HISTORY RESEARCH	:45.141		1•1 5•4	76•5 94•7			0.8		8.0			0.9		0.0	0.0	
SUMMER SEMINARS FOR COLLEGE TEACHERS	:45.116		0.6	83.0			10.4		9.6			5.2	1.7	2.2	0.0	
SUMMER STIPENDS	:45.121		0.8	75.2			3.7		17.7			2.8		10.9	0.0	
YOUTH GRANTS Youth projects	:45.115 :45.135		1.3	86•2			3.7		0.4			4.3	0.8	4•1	0.0	
	•		951.9	83.8	58.3	49.0	9.2	17.3	8.2	16.3	2 9.1	2.4	0.8	0.9	0.0	
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION	•						0.5		14.9			1.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	
APPLIED SCIENCE AND RESEARCH APPLICATIONS	:47.041		125.8	68.7			.9.5		86.8			0.0		0.0	0.0	
APPLIED SCIENCE AND RESEARCH APPLICATIONS	:47.041		3.9	96.3			14.1		3.3			7.7		1.0	0.0	
ASTRO., ATMOS., EARTH AND OCEAN SCIENCES	:47.050		100.7	70-2			61.2		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	3
ASTRO., ATMOS., EARTH AND OCEAN SCIENCES	:47.050		66.7	61•2			5.4		2.4			1.4		0.5	0.1	
BIOLOGICAL, BEHAVIORAL, & SOCIAL SCIENCES	:47.051		234.5	94.1			5.4		24			0.0		0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NSF	:	2	1.2		56.0		5.2		4.0 0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND + NSF	:	7	0.5		100.0				0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	
DONATIONS, NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION	:	7	8.0	100.0	100+0	100.0	0.0	, u.u	0.00							

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

66

BROAD FUNCTION.	CFDA	TYPE	:	:	:	ETROPOL		:			:		DPOLITA		TOTA	ALL
FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	NUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL		GREATER	1	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL		IZED :	URBÁN	IZED :	RUR	RAL
AND PRUGRAM	1/	: 2/	STATES			CORE	FRINGE				: AD JA -:		ADJA-:		ADJA-:	
	:	•	-MIL DOLS-	•	•	••					CENT :					202
PULATION, 1978	•								CENTAGE							
	•		3/	72•0	40.0	26•9	13•1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6•8	1•2	
INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMS INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMS	:47.036	2	5.3	81.4	19.6		4.1	58.8	3.0	18.6	10.9	0.7	7.0	0.0	0.0	
MATHEMATICAL & PHYSICAL SCIENCE & ENGINEER	:47.036 :47.049	7 2	11.0 236.2	92.1	100.0	100.0 59.4	0.0	0.0 12.2	0.0 15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MATHEMATICAL & PHYSICAL SCIENCE & ENGINEER	:47.049	7	0.4	100.0	0.0				15.8	7.9 0.0	4.1 0.0	2.8 0.0	0.0	0.9 0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES. NSF	:	8	51.6			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SCIENCE AND SOCIETY PROGRAM	:47.038	2	9.3	94.9	74.2		7.8	18.1	2.5	5.1	1.1	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.9	
SCIENCE ED RES & DEV & RESOURCES IMPROVE	:47.048	2	47.8	74.2	44.5	35 • 8	8.7	16.0	13.7	25.8	10.5	5.2	3.4	4.1	0.2	
SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES (SFCP), NSF	:	2	1.5	96.2	17.3	14.0	3.4	76.7	2.2	3.8	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.0	
SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES (SFCP), NSF	:	7	2.0		100.0		70.8	υ. Ο	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL IMPROVEMENT	:47.009	2	19.4	80.6			4.9	39.1	11•4	19•4	7.2	4.8	2.2	3.8	0.2	
SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL IMPROVEMENT SCIENTIFIC, TECHNOLOGICAL, & INTL. AFFAIRS	:47.009 :47.053	7	4.2			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SCIENTIFIC, TECHNOLOGICAL, & INTL. AFFAIRS	:47.053	2 7	21.3 0.4	58.0	27.0		1.1	30.5 0.0	0.5 0.0	42.0	36.9 0.0	0.6 0.0		4.5 0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	0.0		0.0	97.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PRESIDENT'S COMMACCIDENT AT THREE MILE IS.	:		0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES, CDC	:	8	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:,		0 • 0			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION	:		102.9	100.0	100.0	98.2	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCT & IMPROVE NAT®L ZOOLOGICAL PARK	:	7	4.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION		7	0.8			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REST. & RENOVATION OF BLDG., SMITHSONIAN	:	7	2.7			100.0	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E. SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION S & E. WOODROW WILSON INT'L CTR SCHOLARS		8 · 8	90.5			98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SCIENCE INFORMATION EXCHANGE		4	1•5 2•6			100.0 100.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	
VETERANS BENEFITS	:		20709.4	77•4	43.4	33.7	9.7	24.4	9.6	22.6	6.5	3.4	5.0	5.6	0.8	
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION	:		20694.6	77.4	43.4	33.7	9.7	24.4	9.6	22.6	6.5	3.4	5.0	5.6	0.8	
ASSISTANCE TO NEW STATE MEDICAL SCHOOLS	:64.020	2	12.4	81.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.9	15.1	18.9	0.0	18.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AUTOS & SPECIAL EQUIPMENT, DISABLED VETS	:64.100	4	12.3	73.4	37.5	26.9	10.5	26.5	9.4	26.6	6.6	4.2	6.0	6.6	1.0	
COMMUNITY NURSING HOME CARE	:64.002	8	102.3	82.5	48.7		6.2	22.3	11.6	17.5	7.8	.3.5	1.9	3.6	0.0	
*COMPENSATION TO DEPENDENTS, VETS S/C DEATH Construction of medical facilities	:64.102	4	64.8	73•8	39•4	27•6	11.7		9.5	26.2	6•4	3.9	6.3	6.5	1.2	
*DEPENDENTS INDEMNITY COMPENSATION (DIC)	:	7	239.8	84.2	41.3		2.8	37.2	5.6	15.8	8.4	1.7	1.3	4 • 4	D•0	
EXCHANGE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION	:64.004	2	1088.3 0.6	73•8 96•9	39.4 89.9	27.6 89.9	11.7	24.9	9.5	26.2	6.4	3.9	6.3	6.5	1.2	
GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES	:	8	619.6	97.1			0.0	0.0 13.5	7.0 5.9	3.1	3.1 1.7	0.0 0.6	0.0	0.0 0.6		
GENERAL POST FUND	:	8	5.6	100.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
GRANTS FOR CONST - STATE EXTENDED CARE FAC	:64.005	2	15.0	59.8	6.0	6.0	0.0	12.3	41.5	40.2	0.3	2.2	33.4	2.5	1.9	
GRANTS TO AFFILIATED MEDICAL SCHOOLS	:64.021	2	24.4	79.0	43.4	41.7	1.7	26.2	9.4	21.0	1.8	12.1	1.4	5.7	0.0	
MEDICAL ADMIN. & MISC. OPERATING EXPENSES		8	46.3	96.5	86.5	84.6	1.9	8.1	2.0	3.5	1.2	0.4	1.1	0.8	0.0	
MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH	:	8	138.5	97.4	68.7	66.2	2.6	23.1	5.5	2.6	1.9	0.0.	0.1	0.6	0.0	
*SONS, DAUGHTERS, WIVES & WIDOWS EDUCATION *SPECIALLY ADAPTED HOUSING, DISABLED VETS	:64.117 :64.106	4	194.2	72.9	36.9	26.2	10.7	26.1	10.0	27.1	6.4	4.2	6.4	6.8	1.2	
*VETERANS DEATH PENSION	:64.105	4	1358.3	74•6 72•2	36•9 38•5	27.1	9.8	27.1	10.6	25.4	6.7	3.8	6.0	6.1	1.0	
*VETERANS DISABILITY COMPENSATION	:64.109	4	5424.5	72•2	39.9	25.9 27.8	12.6 12.1	24.5	9•2 9•3	27•8 25•8	6•8 6•6	4•1 3•8	6.7 6.0	6.9 6.4	1.2	
*VETERANS DISABILITY PENSION	:64.134	4	2086.9	69.8	35.4	24.3	12•1	24.6	9.3	20.8	6.8	3.8	7.1	7.9	1.1	
VETERANS DOMICILIARY PROGRAM	:64.008	8	80.5	46.8	21.5	21.5	0.0	15.4	9.9	53.2	15.0	19.2	3.9	15.1	0.0	
VETERANS HOSPITALIZATION	:64.009	8	5344.2	85.3	50.4	44.3	6.1	24.1	10.7	14.7	6.8	2.1	2.5	3.3	0.0	
*VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES	:64.103	6	1084.9	76.0	43.6	30.4	13.2	23.6	8.8	24.0	6.4	3.4	5.6	5.8	1.0	
*VETERANS READJUSTMENT TRAINING	:64.111	4	2409.4	73.2	38.7	27.7	10.9	24.9	9.6	26.8	6.4	4.1	6.4	6.7	1.1	
VETERANS STATE DOMICILIARY CARE VETERANS STATE HOSPITAL CARE	:64.014	2	11.1	85.2	64.2		0.0	16.6	4.4	14.8	1.8	3.9	0.0	9.0	0.0	
VENERANO OTATE RUSPITAL LAKE	:64.016	2	4.3	97.6	84.0	84.0	0.0	13.6	0.0	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

67

	•	•	• •			TROPOL		:				:	LES	COUNT	TOTAL	LLY
BROAD FUNCTION.		:TYPE	: UNITED :	TOTAL:	6	REATER	: M	EDIUM:L	ESSER:	TOTAL	URBANI	IZED :	URBAN		RUR	
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER		: UNITED : : STATES :	-	:		:	:	:		: : !	NON- :	: 1	NON- :		NON-
AND PROGRAM		:	:		TOTAL:	CORE:	FRINGE:	:	:		ADJA-:	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	ADJA-:4	DJA-:	ADJA
		:	:;	:	:	:		:			CENT :	CENT :	LENI :	LENI .	ENI	UENI
	•		-MIL DOLS-					PERC	ENTAGE	OF U.	S. TOT	AL				
	•								8.9		7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.7	2000				1200		
VETERANS STATE NURSING HOME CARE	:64.015	2	19.9	80.4	35.2	35.2	0.0	43.7	1.4	19.6	6.3	8.1 4.0	0.0	5.2 6.8	0.0	2
*VETS BURTAL AWARDS & OTHER MISC BENCH PMI	:64.101	4	188.9	73.0	38.7	26.8	11.9	24.9 25.0	9•4 10•4	27•0 28•6	6.6 6.7	4.3	6.5	7.4	1.2	2
+VOCATIONAL REHAB. TRNG. FOR DISABLED VETS.	:64.116	4	95.2	71.4	36.0	23.9	12.1	25.0	10.4	2000						1
OTHER AGENCIES	:		14.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
			28637.9	85.4	61.3	48.1	13.3	17.6	6.5	14.6	3.3	2.5	2.9	3.7	0.7	1
OTHER FUNCTIONS	•						2.4	2.6	12.0	82.2	10.9	10.6	9.6	30.8	8.7	11
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	:		242.3	17.8	3.2	0.8	2	2.00								2
ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	:	8	1.6	60.8	8.7	7.1	1.6	27.9	24.1	39.2	20.6	11•4 3•1	0.0	4.5 19.3	0.0 1.8	67
PAYMENTS TO COUNTIES, NAT'L GRASSLANDS, FS	:	4	1.4	2.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.5	0.5 0.0	97.8 73.0	2.8 0.0	20.9	15.1	3.1	0.0	34
DAVMENTS TO MINN FROM NATL FOREST FUNDA FS	:	4	0.3	27.0	C.0	0.0	0.0	27.0 2.4	12.0	82.4	10.9	10.6	9.7	31.1	8.8	11
PAYMENTS TO STATES. NATEL FORESTS FUND. FS	:	4	238.9	17•6 9•2	3.2 0.0	0.8 0.0	2.4	9.2	0.0	90.8	6.3	28.8	21.3	29.7	0.0	4
PYMTS. TO SCHOOL FUNDS, ARIZ. AND N.M., FS	:	4	0.2									• •		1 0	· • • •	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	:	÷- ,	1558.7	93.5	76.9	38.9	38.0	11.7	5.0	6.5	2.1	1.2	0.2	1.8	0.1	- 1
*ANADROMOUS & GREAT LAKES FISH. CONS., NMFS	:11.405	1	1.0	62.0	28.3	28.3	0.0	33.6	0.0	38.0	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ANADROMOUS & GREAT LAKES FISH. CONS. MARS *ANADROMOUS & GREAT LAKES FISH. CONS. MARS	:11.405	2	1.1	89.1		33.8	47.6	4.3	3.4	10.9	9.0	0.0	0.0	1.9 95.0	0.0	
*ANADROMOUS & GREAT LAKES FISH. CONS., NMFS	:11.405	8	0.8	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	95.0 0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BUSINESS ASST., SERVICES, AND INFO., ITA	:11.104	8	13.7	99.6		93.0	0.0	5.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CENSUS SPECIAL TABULATIONS AND SERVICES	:11.005	7	0.9		100.0	0.0	100.0 52.8	0.0	0.5	24.2	0.3	22.7	0.3	0.5	0.1	
CENSUS SPECIAL TABULATIONS AND SERVICES	:11.005		3.7	75.8	52.9 100.0	10.1	89.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*COMMERCIAL FISHERIES RESEARCH & DEV., NMES	:11.407	2	0.2	0.01		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
*COMMERCIAL FISHERIES RESEARCH & DEV.+ NMFS	:11.407	8	50.5	94.2			56.4	18.1	1.8	5.8		1.3	1.2	1.4	0.2	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND. CENSUS	:	2	1.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND. NOAA		8	177.0	93.8	73.5	28.8	44.8		7.7	6.2		1.5	0.1	2.2	0.1	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NOAA *CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NTIS		8	0.3		100.0		100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
+CONSTRUCTION + NOAA	:	. 8	0.2			100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CURRENT STATISTICAL PROGRAMS, CENSUS	:	7	2.6	99•6			75.1		0.0	0•4		0.0	0.5	0.6	0.1	
CURRENT STATISTICAL PROGRAMS, CENSUS	:	8	37.5	97.3			65.8		0.7	2.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EXPORT LICENSING SERVICES AND INFO., ITA	:11.100		0.5			100.0 100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FYPORT TRADE PROMOTION, ITA	:11.101		17.3	100+0			C.8		10.6	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	
*FIRE RESEARCH AND SAFETY, NBS	:	8 8	1.8	94.8			0.0		0.0	5.2	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FISHERY PRODUCTS INSPECTION & CERTIF, NMFS	:11.413	-	12.5	96.1			93.9		1.2	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.4	
*GEODETIC CONTROL SURVEYS. NOAA	:11.105	-	0.2			100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
IMPORT DUTY-FREE ED & SCIENTIFIC MAT, ITA *INFORMATION PRODUCTS AND SERVICES	:	8	13.4	100.0	99.1	1.6	97.5		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	
INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL INFORMATION, ITA	:11.103	8	7.8	99•4			0.0		1.2			0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MISCELLANEOUS TRUST EUNDS. ITA	:	8	3.0			100.0	0.0		0.0	20.2		5.4	1.1	5.9	1.5	
NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFO ADMIN	:	2	18.0		22.1		2.5		1.9	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NAUTICAL CHARTS AND RELATED DATA NOAA	:11.401		12.4	100.0	; 75•4) 100•0		0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION. ITA		8 1	25.2	100.0			99.7		0.3			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, NOAA		8	444.1	88.5			37.2		8.3		2.9	1.5	0.3	3.7	0.1	
+OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, NOAA	:11.900		46.4	98.9			92.3		1.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PATENT & TRADEMARK TECH INFO DISSEMINATION PATENT & TRADEMARK TECH INFO DISSEMINATION	:11.900		151.7		100.0	100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	:	7	23.8	99•8	6.2	0.7	5.5		0.8			0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	
PERIODIC CENSUSES PERIODIC CENSUSES		8	68.9	97.1			61.8		0.9			1.1	0.5	2.9	0.0	
PROMOTE AND DEVELOP FISHERY PRODUCTS NOAA	:	8	9.2	94.9			1.0		3.8			6.6	1.9	5.3	0.0	
PROMOTION OF TRAVEL FROM ABROAD, USIS	:11.951		0.6	77.7			3•5 2•0		8•8 0•0			0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PROMOTION OF TRAVEL FROM ABROAD, USIS	:11.951		0.6	99.6		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PROMOTION OF TRAVEL FROM ABRUAD & USIS	:11.951	. 8 7	3.8 0.3		100.0		59.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS		. 8	17.7			100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS		8	60.8			100.0	0.0		0.0) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, GENERAL ADMINISTRATION, COMMERCE		8	0.7		100.0				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SCIENTIFIC & TECHNICAL RESEARCH & SERVICES *SEA GRANT SUPPORT, NOAA	:11.417	-	30.4	88.0		23.7	16.3		15.1			2.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	
TOLA URANI SUFFURIT HUMA	:11.417		2.8	49.1			0.0	0.0	49.1	50.	9 50.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

68

BROAD FUNCTION.	: : CFDA	: :TYPE	:	:		ETROPOL	ITAN CO	OUNTIES			. NO		OPOLITA	AN COUN	TIES TOTA	
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	INUMBER			TOTAL	:	GREATER			LESSER		URBAN	IZED	URBAN	JTZED :		
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	STATES	:	•	: :	: :	; ;	:			NON-		:NON- :		:NO
	:			:	TOTAL	: CORE:	FRINGE	: :	:					ADJA-:		
	•	•				: :			:		:CENT :	CENT	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	:CE
	•		-MIL DOLS					PER	CENTAGE	OF U	•S• TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		31	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	
*SPECIAL STUDIES, SERVICES & PROJECTS, NOAA	:	8	6.1	100.0	37.4	44.2	53.2									
SPECIAL STUDIES, SERVICES, & PROJECTS, BEA	:	8	0.1		100.0		0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	
*STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIALS, NBS	:11.604	8	0.1	100.0	86.6	0.0	86.6	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
*WEATHER & RIVER FORECASTS & WARNINGS, NWS	:	8	96.0	89.4	49.9		24.8	25.3	14.2	10.6	1.0	4.6	0.0	2.7	0.0	
*WORKING CAPITAL FUND, NBS	:		172.5	93.9	92.2	15.0	77.2	1.3	0.4	6.1	5.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	i
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, COMMERCE	:	8	17.1	100.0	.99.4	89.6	9.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 1
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					·											
	:		0.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<u>,</u> 1
*OTHER COSTS AND CREDITS	:,	7	0.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· (
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	:		39.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	·. (
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS, HEW	:	8	39.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· · ·
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	:		925 • 2	91.5	64.6	17 5	7, ,									
	•		JZJ • 2	0100	04.0	33.5	31.1	10.5	6.3	18.5	2.9	4.3	1.9	6.0	0.7	2
ADMINISTRATION OF TERRITORIES	:	8	1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, BUREAU OF MINES	:	7	2.0	100.0	57.7	48.8	8.9	31.5	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, BUREAU OF MINES	:	8	4.8	95.4	78.5	40.7	37.8	12.8	4.1	4.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND. 0/S. INTERIOR	:	8	26.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONTRIBUTED FUNDS, BUREAU OF MINES	:	7	0.1	100.0	11.6	11.6	0.0	0.0	88.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONTRIBUTED FUNDS. BUREAU OF MINES	: / /	8	0.4	63.2	6.8	4.2	2.6	31.0	25.4	36.8	32.9	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	
DRAINAGE OF ANTHRACITE MINES	:	7	1.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*GEOL, MIN & WTR RES INVEST & TOPO MAP, GS	:	8	416.2	86 • 8	69.5	7.5	61.9	11.3	6.0	13.2	2.7	5.4	0.5	4.3	0.1	
HELIUM FUND. BUREAU OF MINES	:	7	4.7	93.1	55.2	55.2	0.0	36.2	1.7	6.9	0.0	0.1	6.7	0.0	0.0	
HELIUM FUND, BUREAU OF MINES	:	8	8.1	69•7	22.0	20.9	1.1	5.4	42.3	30.3	0.0	0.2	13.2	0.1	0.0	1
MINERAL RESEARCH & RESOURCE INFO & T/A, BM	:	2	0.8	48.3	26.9	26.9	0.0	11.5	9.9	51.7	34.0	0.0	0.2	17.5	0.0	
MINES AND MINERALS	: '	7	62 • 2	91.2	59.2	39.8	19.5	29.8	2.2	8.8	3.5	2.6	0.9	1.6	0.0	
MINES AND MINERALS	:	8	68.8	88.2	67.8	51.5	16.4	13.6	6.8	11.8	6.8	0.2	0.1	4.7	0.0	
MISC. PERMANENT APPROPRIATIONS. LBR	:	2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	89.9	0.0	
*MISC. PERMANENT APPROPRIATIONS. LBR	:	7	0.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND	:	1	3.0	20.0	7.6	0.8	6.8	5.2	7.1	80.0	7.9	6.5	18.7	20.7	7.1	1
*NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND	:	8	1.1	39.4	19.3	10.4	8.9	3.6	16.5	60.6	5.5	5.9	16.2	23.0	5.8	1
OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER FOR GUAM	:	8	141.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	6.0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES, BLM	:	1	102.4	17.7	5.1	3.8	1.3	8.6	4.0	82.3	6.7	13.5	9.2	28.6	5.2	-1
S & E, (SFCP), INTERIOR	:	8	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*S & E. OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, INTERIOR	:	8	33.9	92.9	80.0	75.1	5.0	6.8	6.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	
S & E. OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR. INTERIOR	:	8	15.0	95.7	78.2	77.6	0.6	12.0	5.5	4.3	1.5	0.4	0.7	1.4	0.0	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, INTERIOR	:	7	27.5	68.6	3.0	2.1	0.9	14.4	51.3	31.4	3.7	5.4	3.0	7.3	2.1	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND. 0/S. INTERIOR	:	8	2.6	95.7	35.0	3.0	32.0	0.4	60.3	4.3	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	90.6	35.7	35.7	0.0	5.4	49.4	9.4	5.6	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	:		1339.6	89.0	53.2	57.4	5.8	17.5	8.2	11.0	2.6	3.4	1.7	1.6	0.9	
*ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT GRANTS, OMF	:16.700	2	40.7	94.7	73.7	72.1	1.6	17.5	3.5	5.3	2.6	1.4	0.3	1.0	0.0	
*BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, BUREAU OF PRISONS	:	8	13.2	67.8	23.4	19.6	3.8	28.6	15.8	32.2	7.3	2.0	6.3	0.9	4.1	1
COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING GRANTS, LEAA	:16.500	1	51.8	87.9	28.6	26.9	1.8	47.1	12.2	12.1	8.2	2.7	0.0	1.2	0.0	1
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP	:16.604	2	1.0	100.0	49.7	44.0	5.7	24.1	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONNECTIONS - CETCT FORMULATION, BUP	:16.604	8	0.2	100.0		91.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP		2	0.4		33.5	26.0	7.5	26.9	18.2	21.4	8.3	1.1	10.8	1.2	0.0	
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP *CORRECTIONS-RESEARCH AND EVALUATION, BOP	:16.602														0	
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP		2														
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP *CORRECTIONS-RESEARCH AND EVALUATION, BOP *CORRECTIONS-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, BOP	:16.603	2	2.1	95.0	64.1	35.2	28.9	25.4	5.4	5.0	4.4	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP *CORRECTIONS-RESEARCH AND EVALUATION, BOP *CORRECTIONS-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, BOP *CORRECTIONS-TRNG, & STAFF DEVELOPMENT, BOP	:16.603 :16.601	2 2	2.1 5.0	95•0 93•7	64•1 64•1	35•2 35•2	28.9 28.9	25•4 23•8	5.4 5.7	5.0	4.4 4.3	0.0 1.6	0.5	0.1 0.0	0.0	
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP *CORRECTIONS-RESEARCH AND EVALUATION, BOP *CORRECTIONS-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, BOP	:16.603	2	2.1	95.0	64.1	35.2	28.9	25.4	5.4	5.0	4.4	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

69

	:	: :			ME	TROPOLI	TAN COL	JNTIES	:	:			POLITAN		TOTAL	LEY
BROAD FUNCTION.	: CFDA			: TOTAL:	~	REATER	: M 1	: הוואיו	ESSFR	TOTAL	URBANI	ZED :	URBAN		RUR	AL
FUNCTION, AGENCY.	:NUMBER	: 2/		. IUTAL :		KEAILK		:		:	: N	ON- :	::	10N- :	: 1	NON
AND PROGRAM	: 1/		JIRILO			CORE:F	RINGE:	:	:	:	ADJA-:A	DJA-:	ADJA-:/	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	AD.
	:	:		:	:	:	:	:		· :	CENT :C	ENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CE
			-MIL DOLS-						TENTAGE		S. TOTA					
	:		-MIL DULS-					FLK							4	
ULATION, 1978	: :		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8•9	28.0	7•1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	
	.16 500	. 7	1.5	30.8	30.8	16.8	14.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	
CRIMINAL JUSTICE-STATISTICS DEV., LEAA	:16.509 :16.501	2	73.1	89.7	43.0	37.2	5.8	33.5	13.2	10.3	5.2	1.3	1.0	2.0	0.0	
DISCRETIONARY GRANTS+ LEAA	:16.511	2	0.6	100.0	13.8	13.8	0.0	35•4	50.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, LEAA	:	8	2.5	82.4	14.9	14.9	0.0	30.9	36.6	17.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	8.0	7.5	
FEDERAL PRISON INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED		8	23.5	98.5	63.0	58.6	4.4	28.0	7.5	1.5	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	
*FEES AND EXPENSES OF WITNESSES	•		0.3	89.8	9.1	9.1	0.0	52.7	28.0	10.2	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INTERNSHIPS, LEAA	:16.512	2	11.1			68.1	9.1	6.5	13.8	2.6	2.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JJDP NATIONAL INSTITUTE + LEAA	:16.518	2			77.2		13.9	9.0	3.1	6.8	1.3	0.0	1.8	0.4	1.8	
JJDP-SPECIAL EMPHASIS PREV. & TREAT., LEAA	:16.517	2	16.3	93•2	81-1	67 • 2	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JJDP-SPECIAL EMPHASIS PREV. & IREAT., LEAA	:16.517	3	0.5	100.0	91.8	91.8			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JJDP-SPECIAL EMPHASIS PREV. & TREAT., LEAA	:16.517	7	3.8	100.0		94.8	5.2	0.0	7.5	13.7	6.8	1.5	3.1	2.2	0.0	
*LEEP-STUDENT FINANCIAL AID. LEAA	:16.504	4	17.1		56.9	47.3	9.6	21.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS, LEAA	:	8	22.3		99.7	96.3	3.4	0.3		0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF CORRECTIONS	:	8	1.1	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0			1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY ANTI-CRIME PROGS, LEAA	:16.519	2	8•2	93.9	75.5	74.6	0.9	15.8	2.5	6.1	4.3		0.0	0.0	0.0	
ORGANIZED CRIME PROSECUTORIAL TRNG., LEAA	:16.514	2	0.2	34.9	34.9	34.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.1	65.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	
PRIVACY & SECURITY-CRIMINAL JUST SYS. LEAA	:16.522	3	0.6	100.0	59.2	59.2	0.0	40.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		• • •	
PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER DEATH BENEFITS, LEAA	:16.520	2	0.4	100.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER DEATH BENEFITS. LEAA	:16.520	4	12.9	100.0	100.0	76.8	23.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH & DEV-GRADUATE FELLOWSHIPS, LEAA	:16.505	2	0.2	82.5	54.6	49.6	5.0	11.0	17.0	17.5		4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	:16.507	2	18.5	95.8	74.3	62.1	12.3	12.8	8.6	4.2	2.9	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH & DEV-PROJECT GRANTS, LEAA	:16.507	7	5.8		100.0	44.5	55.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH & DEV-PROJECT GRANTS, LEAA	:16.508	2	0.2	100.0		46.2	0.0	53.8	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH & DEV-VISITING FELLOWSHIPS, LEAA	. 18. 508	8	264.1		37.8	24.4	13.4	22.4	17.2	22.6	5.8	4.6	6.2	0.6	3.5	
*S & E, BUREAU OF PRISONS		8	3.8		100.0	85.8	14.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	
*S & E, COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	•	-					1.4	8.3	2.9	2.1		1.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	
*S & E, DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	:	8	161.0		86.7			0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*S & E. GENERAL ADMINISTRATION. JUSTICE	:	8	26 • 6		100.0	95.2	4.8			0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*S & E, GENERAL LEGAL ACTIVITIES	:	8	77.2		100.0	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	21.5		10.6	1.4	5.9	0.3	
*S & E, IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SVC.	:	8	248.9	78.5			0.9	13.2	6.0			0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	
*S & E, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS & MARSHALS	:	8	156.6	98•2			4.5	24.2	8.1	1.8		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
STATISTICS ON CRIME & CRIMINAL JUST, LEAA	:16.510	2	1.0	100.0		0.0	55 • 9	0.0	44.1	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	
STATISTICS ON CRIME & CRIMINAL JUST, LEAA	:16.510	3	4.9	100.0	74.5	72.8	1.6	25.5	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
*SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES PRISONERS	:	8	16.8	99.2	53.2	46.9	6•2	39.0	7.1	0.8		0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, LEAA	:16.503	2	6.5	90.9	63.8	44.4	19•4	6.9	20.2	9.1		0.5	0.0			
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, LEAN	:16.503	7	3.6	100.0	95.6	71.7	24.0	0.1	4.3	ü • 0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, LEAA	:16.503		0.1	100.0	99.6	99.5	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, LEAA	:16.513		0.3				0.0	0.0	94.8	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TRAINING, LEAA	:16.513		2.5			100.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TRAINING, LEAA	:	8	19.5			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	
*WORKING CAPITAL FUND, JUSTICE			19•5			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS			0.0	100+0	10000	10000										
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	:		348.5	99•5	89.8	86•4	3.3	8•8	0.9	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, BLS	:	8	7.7		100.0		6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MISC. CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUNDS, LABOR	:	8	5.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		
MISU. CUNSULIDATED WORKING FONDOU EABOR		8	51.3			96.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS		.8	71.7		100.0		0.4	0.0	0.0	C.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E. DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT. LABOR		8	138.3		74.7		5.6	21.8	2.3	1.3		0.2	0.6	0.0		
S & E. EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION		8	42.8				3.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0+0	0.0	0.0	0 • 0	0.0	
S & E, LABOR-MANAGEMENT SERVICES ADMIN.		8	31.1			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND. LABOR			0.0			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	•						· • •		10 7	0.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	:		26 • 3	99•1	-58 • 3	64•6	3.7	11.6	19.3	0.09	, V.O	U				
	:	2	9.5	99•3	66.6	62.3	4.3	30.1	2.6	0.7		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	
ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA									28.7	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.2		

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

70

BROAD FUNCTION,	CFDA	TYPE	•		. MI	ETROPOL		OUNTIE:	S	:	: NI	ONMETRO	DPOLITA : LES			
FUNCTION, AGENCY,	NUMBER		•	TOTAL	: (GREATER		-	LESSER						TOTA Rur	
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/		:	:	: :		:				NON-		NON- :		INDI
	:	:		:	TOTAL	CORE:	FRINGE	: :	: :	:	AD JA-	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	ADJA-:	:AD
	!	:	•	:	:	: :		:			CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	CENT :	: CE
	:		-MIL DOLS-					0.51				.				
	•		- AIL DULS-					PLI	RCENTAGE	. OF U.	• 5 • 10	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26•9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY	:		4220.0	96•1	75.2	68.5	6•7	16.0	5.0	3.9	0.8	1.9	0.2	0.8	0.0	(
*ACCOUNTS, COLLECTION AND TAXPAYER SERVICE	:	8	737.9	98.0	54.2	43.9	20.4	25.7	8.1	2.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	1.3	0.0	
ADMINISTERING THE PUBLIC DEBT		8	11.1	99.8	77.4	76.1	1.4	19.6	2.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
ALLOWANCES AND STAFF FOR FORMER PRESIDENTS	:	4	0.2	89.6	44.8	44.8	0.0		0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	
BUREAU OF ENGRAVING AND PRINTING FUND	:	8	125.0	100.0	90.1	90.1	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	i
CLAIMS, JUDGMENTS, RELIEF (OTHER GEN GOV)	: 🤇	4	157.6	96.9	72.6	59.4	13.1	20.2	4.1	3.1	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.0	i
COINAGE PROFIT FUND	:	8	3.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ť
COMPENSATION OF THE PRESIDENT	:	8	0.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	i
*COMPLIANCE, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	:	8	, 767•8	93.9	62.9	56.3	6.6	23.5	7.5	6.1	1.8	3.0	0.2	1.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION, FED LAW ENFORCEMENT TRNG CTR	:	2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	1.00.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS	:	8	22.3	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*INVESTIGATIONS AND COLLECTIONS, IRS	:	. 8	472.6		63.1	56.9	6.2	23.7	7.5	5.8	1.8	2.7	0.2	1.0	0.0	
NEW YORK CITY SEASONAL FINAN FUND, ADM EXP	:	8	0.8	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*REFUNDING INTERNAL REVENUE COLLECTIONS-INT	:	8	358.8			74.5	1.4	20.3	2.8	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REFUNDS, TRANSFERS, AND EXPENSES, CUSTOMS	:	8	4.3	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*S & E, BUREAU ALCOHOL, TOBACCO & FIREARMS	:	8	102.0	95•8	74.0	66.5	7.5	17.1	4.6	4.2	1.4	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.0	
S & E. BUREAU OF GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL OP.	:	4	603.0	100.0	99.4	99.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, BUREAU OF THE MINT		8	76.0	99•2	99.2	99•2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E. FED LAW ENFORCEMENT TRNG CTR *S & E. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	: :	8	15.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.6	0.0	99.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E. OFFICE OF REVENUE SHARING		8	139.0	100.0	93.8	91.1	2.7	.5.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, TREASURY		8	6.7	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE		8	30.1	100.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*S & E, U.S. SECRET SERVICE		8 8	449.1	90.3	77.0	75.0	2.0	8•7	4.6	9.7	1.0	4.0	1.4	2.5	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:		135.5	99.3 100.0	85.9 100.0	82.3 190.0	3.7 0.0	11.8 0.0	1.5 0.0	0.7 0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.6 0.0	
COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	•:		8.9	100.0	96 • 2.	90.5	5.7	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	:	8	8.9	100.0	96.2	90.5	5.7	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	:		1088.1	92.5	55.0	50.2	4.9	18.2	19.2	7.5	4.5	2.0	0.4	0.5	0.0	
ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	:	2	27.4	77.0	29.1	24.6	4.5	• • •								
*ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	:	7	79.1	100.0	57.8	67.4	4.5 0.4	36.2	11.6 32.2	23.0	18.4	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	
*ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA		8	69.9	99.8	76.9	70.7	6.2	1.3	21.5	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AGENCY AND REGIONAL MANAGEMENT, EPA	:	2	0.5	82.5	67.2	56.0	11.3	14.7	0.6	17.5	17.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AGENCY AND REGIONAL MANAGEMENT, EPA		Ť	28.3	100.0	90.5	89.0	1.5	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*AGENCY AND REGIONAL MANAGEMENT. EPA	:	8	71.1	99.9	92.6	85.8	6.9	0.3	7.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AIR POL CON-NA AMB AIR & SOURCE EM DA, ANR	:66.007	8	2.2	100.0		65.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM GRANTS, ANR	:66.001	2	83•7	89.2	32.6	31.2	1.4	46.9	9.7	10.8	7.7	2.4	0.3	0.3	0.0	
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	:66.501	2	6.9	82.2	46.3	38.6	7.7	12.9	23.1	17.8	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH INFO SVCS. ANR	:66.009	7	0.6	100.0	0.0	G • O	0 + 0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH INFO SVCS, ANR	:66.009	. 8	1.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL ASST ANR	:66.008	7	3.8	100.0	4.7	4.7	0.0	0.0	95.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL ASST., ANR	:66.008	8	0.6	100.0	98.9	54.7	44.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL TRNG., ANR	:66.006	7	0.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL TRNG., ANK	:66.006	8	0.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AIR POLLUTION MANPOWER TRNG. GRANTS, ANR	:66.003	2	1.6	45•2	27•1	27.1	0.0	14.0	4.1	54.8	54.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AREAWIDE WASTE TREAT MGT PLAN GRANTS, WWM	:66.426	2	94.9	84•2	26.1	20.0	6.1	40.8	17.3	15.8	9.3	3.5	0.5	2.3	0.0	
*BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES, EPA	:	7	0.9	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES, EPA	:	8	0.8	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED GRANTS-PROGRAM SUPPORT, OPM Consolidated Research grants, rd	:66.600	2	11.0	64.9	22.4	0.0	22.4	0.0	42.5	35.1	35.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSULIDATED RESEARCH GRANTS, RD CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT ASST. GRANTS, WWM	:66.500	2	19.8	82.1	32.5	30.0	2.5	23.7	25.9	17.9	5.7	5.9	0.3	5.3	0.0	
*DRINKING WATER SUPPLY-TECHNICAL ASST. WWM	:66.438	1	64.0	88.7	19.0	19.0	0.0	61.2	8.5	11.3	4•9	6.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	
SOLARATAO WATER SUFFEITIEURNICAE ASSING WWM	:66.425	7	0.1	100.0	100.0	53.5	46.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

	: CFDA	: TYPE	:	: .	MEI	ROPOLI	•	•	:	:		:	LESS		TOTAL	
BROAD FUNCTION.	: CFDA :NUMBER		UNITED	TOTAL:	GF	REATER	M	EDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANI	ZED :	URBANI	IZED :	RUR	
FUNCTION + AGENCY + AND PROGRAM			STATES	: :	:	:	:	:	:	:	: 1	10N- :	: 1	10N- :	:	NON
AND FROORAG	:	:	:	: :	TOTAL:	CORE:F	RINGE:	:	:	:	AD JA - : A	DJA-:	ADJA-:/	ADJA-I	ADJA-II	
•	:	:	:	: :	:	:	:	:	:	:	CENT :C	ENT	LENI :C		ENT .	
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U.	S. TOT	L				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8 •9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2
*DRINKING WATER SUPPLY-TECHNICAL ASST., WWM	:66.425	8	4.5	99.3	99.3	78.9	20.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
ENFORCEMENT, EPA	:	2	0.1	62.4	52.4	52.8	9.6	0.0	0.0	37.6	37.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ENFORCEMENT, EPA	:	7	21.4	100.0	100.0	98.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ENFORCEMENT, EPA	:	8	46.1		99.8	79.2	20.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*NOISE POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH. ASST., ANR	:66.030	7	4.7	100.0		99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*NOISE POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH. ASST. ANR	:66.030	8	2.9	100.0		95•4	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, EPA	:	2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, EPA	:	8	0.6		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PECTICIDES ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM GRANTS, OE	:66.700	2	8.7	89.6	7.8	7•8	0.0	61.7	20.0	10.4	8.6	1.8 2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PESTICIDES CONTROL RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	:66.502	2	5.9	94.1		12.4	1.3	8.5	72.0	5.9	3.1	2.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	
PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM SUPV-STATE PRG GT, WWM	:66.432	2	24.3	84•3	18.2	18.2	0.0	48.0	18.1	15.7	11.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
QUIET COMMUNITIES-ST/LOC CAP BLDG AST, ANR	:66.031	2	1.3	89.1		52.2	12.9	13.9	10.1	10.9	8.0 21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. EPA	:	2	1.5	76.9	53.3	48.2	5.1	3.0	20.6	23.1	1.9	1.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, EPA	:	7	116.8	96.1		37.6	0.0	4.5	54.0	3.9	5.8	4.1	2.6	0.0	0.0	
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, EPA	:	8	75.8	87.4	35.7	35•7	0.0	16.6	35.1	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*REVOLVING FUND-CERTIF. & OTHER SVCS., EPA	:	8	0.6		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8	5.7	1.2	0.3	0.6	0.0	
SAFE DRINKING WATER RES & DEMO GRANTS, RD	:66.506	2	8.9			59.7	7.8	16.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES OVERSEAS (SFCP), EPA	:	7	2.4		100.0		0.0	0.0	. 14.7	9.5	5.2	2.5	0.9	0.9	0.0	
SOLID & HAZ WASTE MGMT SUPPORT GRANTS, WWM	:66.451	2	26.0	90.5		31.8	0.9	43.1 27.1	13.1	14.2	14.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	:66.504	2	1.4	85.8	45.6	43.6	2.ŭ 0.0	27•1 9 9 •0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DEMO. GRANTS, WWM	:66.452	2	3.8	100.0	1.0	1.0	9.2	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT TRAINING GRTS WWM	:66.453	2	0.9	100.0	94.3	85•1 98•4	0•1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID WASTE TECH. ASST. & INFO. SVCS WWM	:66.450	7	7.8	100.0	98.5	93.3	3.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID WASTE TECH. ASST. & INFO. SVCS., WWM	:66.450	8	4 • 4	100.0	96.6	48.6	7.6	21.8	7.3	14.8	11.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	
TOXIC SUBSTANCE RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	:66.507	2	2.2	85.2	56.2 12.6	11.7	0.9	61.7	13.6	12.0	7.8	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	
UNDERGD WATER SOURCE PROT ST PROG GTS, WWM	:66.433	2	4.8	88.0 100.0		91.3	8.6	0.0	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*WAT QUAL CON INF SYS-ORIEN & TRNG SEM, WWM	:66.423	7	48.0 51.3	99.1		74.9	23.7	0.3	0.2	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	
*WAT QUAL CON INF SYS-ORIEN & TRNG SEM, WWM	:66.423	8	9.1	56•4		14.5	1.3		2.6	43.6	2.8	35.1	3.7	2.1	0.0	
WATER POLL CON-LAKE RESTOR DEMO GRTS, WWM	:66.435	2	2.2	71.8		35.6	5.8		9.5	28.2	23.0	0.5	0.0	4.0	0.8	
WATER POLL CON-STATE & LOCAL MANP DEV, WWM	:66.420	2	25.8	86.6		57.1	4.2		10.2		9.4	1.5	1.0	1.2	0.0	
WATER POLLUTION CON RES, DEV, DEMO GTS, RD	:66.505	2	25.0		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*WATER POLLUTION CONTROL-DIRECT TRNG., WWM	:66.417 :66.428	2	2.4		59.4		0.7	4.5	0.6			0.0	0.0	17.3	0.0	
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL-PRO TRNG GRTS, WWM Other programs	:		0.1		100.0		0.3		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	:		88•4	98.6	85.2	84.6	0.6	12.4	1.0	1.4	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0 • 0	
	:30.001	8	73.9	99.9	89.0	89.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	· 0 • 0	0.0	
*S & E, EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMM. *STATE AND LOCAL ANTI-DISCRIMINATION GRANTS	:30.002	2	14.6	92.2		62.5	3.6		6.0	7.8	5.9	1.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	:		3345.1	96•1	83.7	52.8	31.0	10.0	2•4	3.9	0.9	1.2	0.6	1.1	0.0	
ALLOWANCES AND STAFF FOR FORMER PRESIDENTS	:	8	0•4	100.0	100.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING FUND	:	8	72 • 8	100.0		79.4	6.8		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, BUREAU OF PRISONS	:	7	7•4	51.9		48.3	0.0		0.0	48.1	48.1	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION, FED LAW ENFORCEMENT TRNG CTR	:	7	3.2	99•6		0.0	0.0	0.0	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION. NOAA	: '	7	8.6		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSUMER INFORMATION CENTER	:	8	1.4		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CWF. REAL PROPERTY ACTIVITIES	:	8	4.6		100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		13.3	0.0	
DISPOSAL OF FEDERAL SURPLUS REAL PROPERTY	:39.002	9	10.2	82•1		51.6	6.4	7.8	16.4	17.9		0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	
DONATION OF FEDERAL SURPLUS PERSONAL PROP.	:39.003	9	6.8	83.2		11.6	21.2		8.7	16.8		-8•7 0•0	0.8	0.0	0.0	
EXPENSES, DISP SURPL REAL & REL PERS PROP	:	- 8	0.4	100.0		91.9	0.0		0.0	0.0		1.0	0.4	1.1	0.0	
FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND	:	7	1447.0	96.4		72.4	12.0		2.6	3.6		1.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	
FEDERAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS FUND	:	7	389.3	97.9		61.2	21.4		4.1	2.1	0.8	1.7	1.1	1.6	0.0	
GENERAL SUPPLY FUND. PERSONAL PROPERTY ACT	:	7	1067.0	94.6		17.1	66.8		1.6	5.4 0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		7	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
INTERNATIONAL CENTER, WASHINGTON, D.C.	:	7	0.1		- 0.0	0.0	0 0	100.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

72

•

	:	:	:	:	M	ETROPOL	ITAN CO	DUNTIES			NO	NMETRO	POLITA	N COUN	TIES	
BROAD FUNCTION.		:TYPE	•	:	:			: :		1	:		LES		TOTA	
FUNCTION. AGENCY.	NUMBER			:TOTAL	:	GREATER		1EDIUM:	ESSER	TOTAL	URBAN	17ED :	IIRBAN	17750	RUR	
AND PROGRAM	: 1/	: 2/	: STATES	:	:	: :						NON- :		NON- :		NOI
	:	:	•	:	TOTAL	CORF	FRINGE									
	:			:	•		I MINUL	: :			:ADJA-:	AUJA-:	AUJA-:	AUJA-:	ADJA-:	AD
			•••••••••••••••••		•	••		• •			:CENT :	CENI :	CENT	CENI :	CENI :	CE
	:		-MIL DOLS-					PER	CENTAGE	OF U	.S. TOT	AL				
PULATION, 1978	:		3/		40.0			23.1		28.0		3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	
NATIONAL ARCHIVES GIFT FUND												•••		0.0	1.02	
NATIONAL ARCHIVES TRUST FUND	:	8	0.2	99.3		98.1	0.0	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	
	:	. 8	8.0	96.2		91.8	0.0	2.6	1.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.4	0.0	
NATL HISTORIC PUBLICATIONS & RECORDS GRTS.	:39.006	2	. 4 • 1	81.4	41.0	30.2	10.9	37.9	2.5	18.6	8.8	5.5	3.7	0.3	0.0	
OPER EXP-AUTO DATA & TELECOMMUNICATIONS SV	:	. 8	8.0	100.0	97.6	95.3	2.3	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OPER EXP. FEDERAL PROPERTY RESOURCES ACT.	:	8	26.5	99.7	82.4	72.0	10.3	16.8	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OPERATING EXPENSES. FEDERAL SUPPLY SERVICE	:	8	113.5	100.0	84.6	46.1	38.5	14.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OPERATING EXPENSES, NARS	:	8	24.3	96.7	80.6	39.3	41.2	13.3	2.8	3.3				0.0	0.0	
RECORDS DECLASSIFICATION, NARS	:	8	0.2	69.9		39.5	0.0	30.4			0.0	0.0	1.4	1.9	0.0	
S & E, ADMIN. & STAFF SUPPORT SVCS., GSA		Ř	77.1	100.0		92.8	0.0	6.8	0.0	30.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.1	0.0	
S & E. GENERAL MANAGEMENT & AGCY OPER, GSA		8	14.4	100.0		97.8			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SALE OF FEDERAL SURPLUS PERSONAL PROPERTY	:39.007	9	37.3	91.4			0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND. PERSONAL PROP. ACT.	:	,				57.3	4.3	23.1	6.7	8•6	1.3	4.6	0.8	1.5	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS		• •	12.1		79.9		4•2	15.5	0.7	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	
offick extorking			0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 . 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NATIONAL COMM-INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD	:		0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMIN.	:	8	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	.:		140.7	98•3	84•4	82.0	2.3	11.0	2.9	1.7	1.1	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	
INTERGOVERNMENTAL PERSONNEL ASSISTANCE	:27.012		10.7						1							
REVOLVING FUND. OPM			19.3		39.2		1.2	38.3	15.1	7.4	5.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S & E, OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	•	8	29.2		90.4		5.4	7.7	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	:	8	92•1		91.9	90.4	1.6	6.3	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
UTHER PROGRAMS	:		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
POSTAL SERVICE	:		14753.5	78.5	49.4	39.7	. 9•7	21.8	7.3	21.5	4.8	3.0	4.9	5.5	1.0	
*PAYMENT TO THE POSTAL SERVICE FUND	:	8	14753.5	78.5	49.4	39.7	9.7	21.8	7.3	21.5	4.8	3.0	4.9	5.5	1.0	
OTHER AGENCIES	:		512.5	99.7	94.1	93.1	1.0	5.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	

-- = NONE OF NOT APPLICABLE.

* = ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION. 1/ INDICATES THE PPOGRAM IDENTIFICATION NUMBER, IF ANY, AS GIVEN IN THE U.S. OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET; CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE.

2/ PROJECT MANUEL ADDITIONAL PROLONING CODES: (1) FORMULA GRANTS; (2) PROJECT GRANTS; (3) OTHER GRANTS: (4) DIRECT PAYMENTS: (5) DIRECT LOANS; (6) INSURANCE; (7) CONTRACTUAL PROCUREMENT; (8) SALARIES AND EXPENSES; (9) DONATION OF PROPERTY; (10) GUARANTFED AND INSURED LOANS.

3/ 218.1 MILLTON.

SOURCE: COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.

73

••

LITERATURE CITED

- Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations. <u>Regional Growth: Flows of Federal Funds, 1952-76</u>. A-75. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1980.
- (2) Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations. <u>Regional Growth: Historic Perspective</u>. A-74. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1980.
- (3) Anton, Thomas J., Jerry P. Cawley, and Kevin L. Kramer. <u>Moving Money: An Empirical Analysis of Federal</u> <u>Expenditure Patterns</u>. Cambridge, Mass.: Oelgeschlager, Gunn & Hain, Publishers, Inc., 1980.
- (4) Davis, Thomas F. <u>Persistent Low-Income Counties in</u> <u>Nonmetro America</u>. RDRR-12. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., May 1979.
- (5) Hendler, Charles I., and J. Norman Reid. Federal Outlays in Fiscal 1978: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas. RDRR-25. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Sept. 1980.
- (6) Hines, Fred K., David L. Brown, and John M. Zimmer. <u>Social and Economic Characteristics of the Popula-</u> <u>tion in Metro and Nonmetro Counties, 1970</u>. AER-272. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ., Res. Serv., Mar. 1975.
- (7) Reid, J. Norman. "Understanding Federal Programs: The Need for a Coordinated Data System," <u>State and Local</u> Government <u>Review</u>, 11 (May 1979): 42-47.
- (8) Reid, J. Norman, and William F. Fox. "Interregional Benefits from Federal Spending: A New Look at an Old Issue," <u>Policy Studies Journal</u>, 9 (Autumn, 1980): 95-102.
- (9) Reid, J. Norman, W. Maureen Godsey, and Fred K. Hines. Federal Outlays in Fiscal 1976: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas. RDRR-1. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1978.
- (10) U.S. Congressional Budget Office. <u>Troubled Local</u> <u>Economies and the Distribution of Federal Dollars</u>. <u>Washington</u>, D.C.: U.S. Govt. Print. Off., Aug. 1977.

74

RELATED REPORTS

The Economic Research Service and its predecessor agencies have published several other reports relating to State and local governments:

Fox, William F. <u>Relationships Between Size of Schools and</u> <u>School Districts</u> and the Cost of Education. TB-1621. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Apr. 1980.

. <u>Size Economies in Local Government Services:</u> <u>A Review</u>. RDRR-22. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1980.

, Jerome M. Stam, W. Maureen Godsey, and Susan D. Brown. Economies of Size in Local Government: An Annotated Bibliography. RDRR-9. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Apr. 1979.

Hendler, Charles I., and J. Norman Reid. <u>Federal Outlays in</u> Fiscal 1978: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan <u>Areas.</u> RDRR-25. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Sept. 1980.

Honadle, Beth Walter. <u>Capacity-Building (Management Improve-</u> ment) for Local Governments: An Annotated Bibliography. RDRR-28. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Serv., Mar. 1981.

Lubov, Andrea. <u>Issuing Municipal Bonds: A Primer for Local</u> <u>Officials</u>. AIB-429. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., July 1979.

Reid, J. Norman, W. Maureen Godsey, and Fred K. Hines. <u>Federal</u> <u>Outlays in Fiscal 1976: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Non-</u> <u>metropolitan Areas</u>. RDRR-1. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1978.

Stam, Jerome M. <u>Coordinating Federal Programs:</u> The Case of Office Management and Budget Circular A-95. RDRR-20. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Mar. 1980.

, and J. Norman Reid. <u>Federal Programs Supporting</u> <u>Multicounty Substate Regional Activities: An Overview</u>. RDRR-23. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1980.

Copies of these and other ERS publications are available from:

EMS Publications Room 0054-South U.S. Department of Agriculture Washington, D.C. 20250

