



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

The effect of marketing farm products on household income

Felipe de F. Silva

University of Nebraska-Lincoln
felipe.silva@huskers.unl.edu

Carlos Otávio Freitas

Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro
carlosfreitas87@ufrj.br

Mateus de Carvalho Reis Neves

Federal University of Viçosa
mateus.neves@ufv.br

Marcelo José Braga


Federal University of Viçosa
mjbraga@ufv.br

*Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2018 Agricultural & Applied Economics
Association Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C., August 5-August 7*

*Copyright 2018 by Silva et al. (2018). All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies
of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice
appears on all such copies.*



The effect of marketing farm products on household income



Felipe de Figueiredo Silva (University of Nebraska-Lincoln)
Mateus de Carvalho Neves (Federal University of Viçosa)
Carlos Otavio Freitas (Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro)
Marcelo Jose Braga (Federal University of Viçosa)

Introduction


▪Brazilian agriculture relies heavily on public policies in **rural extension** and **access to credit** to promote productivity and efficiency improvements.

- i. **Rural extension** provides both knowledge on production techniques and managerial skills, which is important on guiding farmers to commercialize their products (Christoplos, 2010).
- ii. **Access to rural credit** allows farmers to invest on new technologies, increase production and better commercialize their product (Luan and Bauer, 2016).

▪In 2014, around **75% of the farmers have marketed their products** while 25% kept them in-farm in Brazil (IBGE, 2017). These products are mainly sold to:

- i. Final customers (35.7%)
- ii. Middleman (31.5%)
- iii. Companies (22.8%)
- iv. Cooperatives (7.6%)

▪We estimate **the effect of marketing farm products on household income** in addition to identify **whether access to rural extension and rural credit affect the decision on where to sell the product**.



Distribution of the household income per destination of the production.

Methods I

Estimation of the returns to output marketing

▪We used an instrumental variable approach in which the first stage consists on the estimation of whether the product stays in-farm or is sold ($x_{1,i}$) using a *Probit* approach. Several variables are included as independent variables such as access to rural extension ($z_{1,i}$) and rural credit ($z_{2,i}$). In the second stage, we estimate the following equation

$$\log y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \gamma_k z_{k,i} + \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j v_{j,i} + \varepsilon_i \tag{1}$$

where y_i is monthly household income and $v_{j,i}$ with $j = 1, \dots, J$ are control variables such as gender, race, farm size.

Methods II

Determinants of the output destination

- We estimate a *Logit Multinomial*, where the dependent variable is the marketing destination of the output ($x_{2,i}$): in-farm consumption; sold to companies; sold to cooperatives; sold to middleman; sold to final customer; and sold to others. Among the independent variables we included access to rural extension, rural credit and monthly household income.
- In both approaches we use information on 13,126 rural households in Brazil, available at the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE).

Results

▪Both access to **extension** (27%) and to **credit** (23%) increase the monthly household **income**.

▪Marketing farm outcome can increase, on average, household income in 23% (kp. other factors constant).

▪Household income increases by R\$ 1,700 or 140% in a scenario in which the farmer has access to rural extension and credit in addition to marketing the farm product.

Variables	$\log y_i$ (Marg. Eff.)
<i>Extension</i>	0.27*** (0.023)
<i>Credit</i>	0.227*** (0.024)

Marginal Effect		
Variables	Companies	Cooperatives
<i>Extension</i>	0.0711*** (0.007)	0.016*** (0.004)
<i>Credit</i>	0.062*** (0.008)	0.038*** (0.005)

▪Access to **extension** (**credit**) increases the average probability of marketing the product to companies by 0.07 (0.06) and to cooperatives by 0.02 (0.04).

Conclusions

- Our results suggest **that marketing the farm product** increases income, on average, **23%**.
- We have found that access to **rural extension** and **credit increases** the household **income** and the average **probability** of **marketing the output**.