Stat.

Netherlands school of economics.

ECONOMETRIC INSTITUTE

ON FUNCTIONS WITH SMALL DIFFERENCES

J.L. GELUK and L. de HAAN

REPORT 8006/E

ERASMUS UNIVERSITY ROTTERDAM P.O. BOX 1738. 3000 DR. ROTTERDAM. THE NETHERLANDS.

On functions with small differences

J.L. Geluk and L. de Haan

Erasmus University Rotterdam

Summary. An Abel-Tauber theorem is proved and applied to multiplicative arithmetic functions.

Contents.

On functions with small differences.

J.L. Geluk and L. de Haan.

1. Introduction.

We prove an Abel-Tauber theorem that complements a well known result. It is shown that this provides simple proofs for some results by de Bruijn en van Lint [2] on multiplicative arithmetic functions. The theorem also provides an easy proof of an earlier result by Wirsing [12] on multiplicative arithmetic functions.

2. Abel-Tauber theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose L is a measurable slowly varying function and U is such its Laplace transform $\hat{U}(\lambda) = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} U(x)\,dx$ exists for all $\lambda > 0$. We also require that $U(0+) = 0$ and U is locally bounded.

a. If for all $x > 0$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{U(tx) - U(t)}{L(t)} = 0 \tag{1}$$

then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\hat{U}(1/t) - U(t)}{L(t)} = 0. \tag{2}$$

b. If U is non-decreasing and for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{\hat{U}(\lambda t) - \hat{U}(t)}{L(1/t)} = 0, \tag{3}$$

then (2) holds.

Remark. It is clear that (1) & (2) imply (3) and that (2) & (3) imply (1).
Remark. This is an analogue of the main theorem of [7]. It is a generalisation of theorem 2 of Feller [4] which in turn contains theorem 3b, Ch. 5 in Widder [10] as a special case (note that the condition of slow variation of U in Feller's article in unnecessary). We shall make the connection with Feller's theorem after the proof.

Lemma 1. Suppose L is a slowly varying function and $V: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is locally bounded. If for some $\beta > 0$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{V(t)}{t^\beta L(t)} = 0,$$

then locally uniformly in $x \in [0,\infty)$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{V(tx)}{t^\beta L(t)} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Take any sequences $x_n \to x \in [0,\infty)$ and $t_n \to \infty$. If $\{t_n x_n\}$ bounded the result holds since $t_n^\beta L(t_n) \to \infty$.
If $t_n x_n \to \infty$ we write $V(t_n x_n)\, t_n^{-\beta}\, L^{-1}(t_n) = [V(t_n x_n)\{(t_n x_n)^\beta L(t_n x_n)\}^{-1}]$ $\{x_n^\beta . L(t_n x_n)/L(t_n)\} \to 0$ since the first factor tends to zero and the other one is bounded.

Lemma 2. Suppose L and V are as in Lemma 1 and moreover the Laplace transform $\hat{V}(\lambda) = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} V(x)\, dx$ exists for all $\lambda > 0$. Let α be a non-negative parameter.

a. If

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{V(t)}{t^\alpha L(t)} = 0 \tag{5}$$

then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\hat{V}(1/t)}{t^\alpha L(t)} = 0. \tag{6}$$

b. Conversely, if V is non-decreasing, $V(0+) = 0$ and (6) holds then (5) is true.

Proof. a) For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$\frac{\hat{V}(1/t)}{t^\alpha L(t)} = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-u}}{u^\varepsilon} \frac{(ut)^\varepsilon V(ut)}{t^{\alpha+\varepsilon} L(t)}\, du + \int_1^\infty e^{-u} \frac{V(ut)}{(ut)^\alpha L(ut)}\, u^\alpha \frac{L(ut)}{L(t)}\, du.$$

The first term tends to zero by Lemma 1 and for sufficiently large t the second term is bounded by

$$\varepsilon \cdot \int_1^\infty e^{-u}\, u^\alpha \frac{L(ut)}{L(t)}\, du$$

which by e.g. the representation of L tends to $\varepsilon \int_1^\infty e^{-u}\, u^\alpha\, du$ as $t \to \infty$.

b) Define $W_t(x) := \dfrac{V(tx)}{t^\alpha L(t)}$. According to (6) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \hat{W}_t(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda > 0$.

It follows from the extended continuity theorem for Laplace transforms (Feller [5]) that $\lim_{t\to\infty} W_t(x) = 0$ for $x > 0$ i.e. (5) holds.

Proof of theorem 1. It is well known (cf. e.g. [3]) that (1) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{U(x) - x^{-1} \int_0^x U(t)\, dt}{L(x)} = 0. \qquad (7)$$

Define $V(x) = x\, U(x) - \int_0^x U(t)\, dt$. Note that $x^{-1} V(x)$ is locally bounded on $x > 0$ and that conversely $U(x) = x^{-1} V(x) + \int_0^x t^{-2} V(t)\, dt$ (cf. de Haan [8]).

a. Writing U in terms of V as above we get

$$\frac{U(t) - \hat{U}(t^{-1})}{L(t)} = \frac{V(t)}{t\, L(t)} - \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \frac{V(ts)}{ts\, L(t)}\, ds + \int_0^1 \frac{1-e^{-s}}{s} \frac{V(ts)}{ts\, L(t)}\, ds +$$

$$- \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \frac{V(ts)}{ts\, L(t)}\, ds.$$

The second term tends to zero by Lemma 2 (for $\alpha = 0$) and the remaining terms by similar arguments.

b. Now V is positive and non-decreasing and

$$\frac{\hat{U}(2^{-1}t^{-1}) - \hat{U}(t^{-1})}{L(t)} = \int_{2^{-1}}^1 \frac{\hat{V}(st^{-1})}{t\, L(t)}\, ds \geq \frac{2^{-1}\, \hat{V}(t^{-1})}{t\, L(t)}.$$

Hence (3) implies (6); this in turn implies (5) which is (7) and we have already seen that (7) is equivalent to (1).

Remark. Feller's [4] result is obtained if we take L constant and use the fact that (1) and (7) are equivalent.

We close this section with the following comments.

Comparing theorem 1 of [7] and the present theorem 1 we see that the latter holds with the righthand sides of (1), (2) and (3) replaced by (respectively) $c \log x$ ($c \geq 0$), $-c\gamma$ (Euler's constant) and $-c \log \lambda$. It is clear from (7) that (1) implies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{Z(tx) - Z(t)}{L(t)} = 0 \tag{8}$$

with $Z(x) = x^{-1} \int_0^x U(t)\,dx$. We prove two results concerning Z.

Lemma 3. a. If Z satisfies (8),

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\hat{U}(t^{-1}) - Z(t)}{L(t)} = 0 \tag{9}$$

b. If $\hat{U}$ satisfies (3) and Z is monotone, then (8) holds.

Proof. Analogous to part of the proof of theorem 1 in Geluk [6] (take $\beta = 1$ and his U the integral of ours). Z satisfies (8) if and only if the function

$$H(x) := \int_0^x t\,dZ(t) = \int_0^x U(t)\,dt - \int_0^x \int_0^t U(s)\,ds\,\frac{dt}{t}$$

is $o(x\,L(x))$ for $x \to \infty$. Now

$$\hat{H}(1/t) = t\,\hat{U}(1/t) - t\,\hat{Z}(1/t).$$

If Z satisfies (8), by lemma 2 $\hat{H}(1/t) = o(t\,L(t))$; by theorem 1 then $\hat{Z}(1/t) - Z(t) = o(L(t))$ hence (9) holds.
Conversely suppose Z is monotone and $\hat{U}$ satisfies (3). From (3) it follows

$$t\,\hat{U}(1/t) + \int_0^t \hat{U}(1/s)\,ds = o(t\,L(t)).$$

Now $\int_0^t \hat{U}(1/s)\,ds = t\,\hat{Z}(1/t)$ hence $\hat{H}(1/t) = o(t\,L(t))$ and by Lemma 2 $H(x) = o(x\,L(x))$ i.e. Z satisfies (8).

Lemma 4. If (8) holds and U is non-decreasing, then (1) is true.

Proof. It is easily verified that

$$x^{-1} \int_0^x U(t)\,dt = \int_0^x t^{-2} V(t)\,dt$$

so that for $x \geq 1$

$$\frac{(tx)^{-1} \int_0^{tx} U(s)\,ds - t^{-1} \int_0^t U(s)\,ds}{L(t)} = \int_1^x \frac{V(ts)}{t\,L(t)} \frac{ds}{s^2} \geq \frac{V(t)}{t\,L(t)} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{x}).$$

Hence (7) is true which is equivalent to (1).

Remark. A result like that of lemma 4 can be proved is a similar way in the situation mentioned above where the limits are non zero.

3. Arithmetic functions.

Next we show how the above results can be used to get results on the asymptotic behaviour of multiplicative arithmetic functions. The first part of the following result (with slightly different conditions and a different proof) is well-known (see de Bruijn and van Lint [2]).

Theorem 2. Suppose λ is a real-valued multiplicative arithmetic function (i.e. $\lambda(m.n) = \lambda(m) \cdot \lambda(n)$ for $(m,n) = 1$) with $\lambda(n) \geq 0$ for $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_p \lambda(p)^2 < \infty \tag{10}$$

and

$$\sum_{p,k\geq 2} \lambda(p^k) < \infty. \tag{11}$$

If for $x > 0$

$$\sum_{e^t < p \leq e^{tx}} \lambda(p) = (b + o(1)) \log x \quad (t \to \infty) \tag{12}$$

with $b \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \lambda(n) = \left(\frac{e^{-b\gamma}}{\Gamma(b+1)} + o(1)\right) \left[\prod_p e^{-\lambda(p)} (1 + \lambda(p) + \lambda(p^2) + \ldots)\right] e^{\sum_{p\leq x} \lambda(p)} \tag{13}$$

where γ is Euler's constant

Moreover

$$\prod_{p\leq x} (1 + \lambda(p) + \lambda(p^2) + \ldots) \sim e^{b\gamma}\, \Gamma(b+1) \sum_{n\leq x} \lambda(n). \tag{14}$$

If for $x > 0$

$$\sum_{e^t < p \leq e^{tx}} \lambda(p) \sim L(t) \log x \qquad (t \to \infty) \tag{15}$$

with $L(t) \to \infty$, then

$$\log \sum_{n\leq e^x} \lambda(n) = \sum_{p\leq e^{1/s}} \lambda(p) + (1-\gamma)\, L(1/s) + o(L(1/s)) \tag{16}$$

where $x \to \infty$, $s \downarrow 0$ and $xs \sim L(1/s)$

Proof. First we prove (13).

Since $\sum_{e^t < p \leq e^{tx}} \lambda(p) = (b + o(1)) \log x \quad (t \to \infty)$ we get

$$\sum_{p\leq e^x} \lambda(p) - \sum_p \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^{1/x}} = \gamma b + o(1) \qquad (x \to \infty). \tag{17}$$

For $b > 0$ this result is well-known (see [6]); in case $b = 0$ it follows by application of theorem 1.

Next we define the function $g(p,s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(p^k)}{p^{ks}}$ where $s \geq 0$ and p denotes a prime.

Then we have for $s > 0$

$$\log \sum_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \log \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{\lambda(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \ldots\right) = \sum_p \log (1 + g(p,s))$$

the convergence of the last series being implied by (11) and (12).

This can be seen as follows. First we have $g(p,s) \to 0 \quad (p \to \infty)$ for $s > 0$ since

$$\left|\sum_{k\geq 2} \frac{\lambda(p^{ks})}{p^{ks}}\right| \leq \left|\sum_{k\geq 2} \lambda(p^k)\right|$$

and this tends to zero if $p \to \infty$ by (11). Moreover we have $\frac{\lambda(p)}{p^s} \to 0 \quad (p \to \infty)$ since $\lambda(p)$ is bounded. Now $\log(1 + g(p,s)) \sim g(p,s) (p \to \infty)$ and $\sum_p g(p,s) = \sum_p \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^s} + \sum_{p,k\geq 2} \frac{\lambda(p^k)}{p^{ks}} < \infty$ by (11) and (12).

This implies

$$\log \sum_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = g(p,s) - \sum_p \int_0^1 \frac{g(p,s)^2\,(1-t)}{[1 + t\, g(p,s)]^2}\, dt \tag{18}$$

$$= \sum_p \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^s} + c + o(1) \qquad (s \downarrow 0)$$

where $c = \sum_{p,k\geq 2} \lambda(p^k) - \sum_p g(p,0)^2 \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{[1 + t\, g(p,0)]^2}\, dt$

$$= \sum_p [\log \{1 + \sum_{k\geq 1} \lambda(p^k)\} - \lambda(p)].$$

Now (18) implies regular variation at zero with exponent $-b$ of $\sum_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s}$ since $\exp \sum_p \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^s}$ is regularly varying at zero with exponent $-b$. Application of a well-known theorem of Karamata (see [8] theorem 2.3) now yields

$$\log \sum_{n\leq e^x} \lambda(n) - \log \sum_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^{1/x}} \to -\log \Gamma(b+1) \quad (x \to \infty). \tag{19}$$

Combination of the results (17), (18) and (19) gives the expression for $\sum \lambda(n)$. Similarly we find $\log \prod_{p\leq x} (1 + \lambda(p) + \lambda(p^2) + \ldots) = \sum_{p\leq x} \log(1 + g(p,0)) =$ $= \sum_{p\leq x} \lambda(p) + c + o(1) \quad (x \to \infty)$ and (14) follows.

In order to prove (16) we proceed similarly. (17) is replaced by

$$\sum_{p\leq e^x} \lambda(p) - \sum_p \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^{1/x}} = (\gamma + o(1))\, L(x) \qquad (x \to \infty). \tag{20}$$

The proof of (18) is unchanged.
In this case the regular variation of $\hat{U}(s) := \sum_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s}$ at zero is replaced by

$$\left(\frac{\hat{U}(ys)}{\hat{U}(s)} \right)^{-1/L(1/s)} \to y \qquad (s \downarrow 0) \quad \text{for } y > 0 \tag{21}$$

which is a consequence of (15), (18) and (20).

Now application of theorem 1 in [1] gives

$$\frac{\log \sum_{n \le e^t} \lambda(n) - \log \sum \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s}}{L(1/s)} \to 1 \tag{22}$$

where $s \downarrow 0$ and $t \to \infty$ are related by $st \sim L(1/s)$.
Combination of (18), (20) and (22) now gives (16).

Next we apply the above results to prove the following theorem (compare Wirsing (11) and (12)).

Theorem 3. If $f(n) \ge 0$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ is a multiplicative arithmetic function,

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{f(p) \log p}{p} = (\tau + o(1)) \log x \qquad (x \to \infty) \tag{23}$$

$$\sum_{p,k \ge 2} \frac{f(p^k)}{p^k} < \infty, \quad \sum_p \frac{f(p)^2}{p^2} < \infty \tag{24}$$

then

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{f(n)}{n} \sim \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1)\right) \prod_p \left[e^{-\frac{f(p)}{p}} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \ldots\right)\right] e^{\sum_{p \le x} \frac{f(p)}{p}} \tag{25}$$

where γ is Euler's constant.

Proof. We define $A(x) := \sum_{p \le x} \frac{f(p) \log p}{p} = (\tau + o(1)) \log x$.

Then $\sum_{p \le x} \frac{f(p)}{p} = \int_1^x \frac{1}{\log t} \, d\, A(t) = \tau + o(1) + \int_1^x \frac{t(u)}{u \log u} \, du$ where $\lim_{u \to \infty} t(u) = \tau$.

This gives $\sum_{p\le e^x} \frac{f(p)}{p} = \int_0^x \frac{t(e^v)}{v}\,dv + \tau + o(1)$, hence condition (12) in theorem 2 is satisfied (with $\lambda(p) = \frac{f(p)}{p}$).

It is possible to give the behaviour of $\sum \frac{f(n)}{n}$ in case $\sum_{p\le x} \frac{f(p)\log p}{p}$ tends to infinity more quickly.

Theorem 4. Under the assumptions of theorem 3 with (23) replaced by

$$\sum_{p\le x} \frac{f(p)\log p}{p} = L(\log x)\,.\,\log x \qquad (x\to\infty) \tag{26}$$

with $L(x)\to\infty$ slowly varying we have

$$\log \sum_{n\le e^x} \frac{f(n)}{n} = \sum_{p\le e^{1/s}} \frac{f(p)}{p} + (1-\gamma+o(1))\,L(1/s) \tag{27}$$

with $x\to\infty$, $s\downarrow 0$ and $xs\sim L(1/s)$.

References.

1. A.A. Balkema, J.L. Geluk and L. de Haan, An extension of Karamata's Tauberian theorem and its connection with complementary convex functions, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 30 (1979) 385-416.

2. N.G. de Bruijn and J.H. van Lint, Incomplete sums of multiplicative functions I, II, Ned. Akad. Wet., Indag. Math. 26 (1964) 339 -358.

3. N.H. Bingham and C.M. Goldie, Extensions of regular variation, I: Uniformity and quantifiers, preprint Westfield college, University of London (1979).

4. W. Feller, On the Classical Tauberian Theorems, Archiv. der Math. 14 (1963) 317-322.

5. W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its applications, vol. 2, Wiley, 1971.

6. J.L. Geluk, Π-Regular Variation, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. (1980), to appear.

7. L. de Haan, An Abel-Tauber theorem for Laplace transforms, J. London Math. Soc. 13 (2) 1976, 537-542.

8. L. de Haan, On regular variation and its application to the weak convergence of sample extremes, MC tracts 32 (1970).

9. E. Seneta, Reguarly varying functions, Springer, 1976.

10. D.V. Widder, The Laplace transform, Princeton, 1946.

11. E. Wirsing, Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen uber multiplikative Funktionen, Math. Ann. 143 (1961) 75-102.

12. E. Wirsing, Dat asymptotische Verhalten von Summen uber multiplikative Funtionen, Acta. Math. Ac. Sc. Hung., 1967, 411-467.

LIST OF REPORTS 1980

8000 "List of Reprints, nos 241-260, Abstracts of Reports Second Half 1979".

8001/O "A Stochastic Method for Global Optimization", by C.G.E. Boender, A.H.G. Rinnooy Kan, L. Stougie and G.T. Timmer.

8002/M "The General Linear Group of Polynomial Rings over Regular Rings", by A.C.F. Vorst.

8003/O "A Recursive Approach to the Implementation of Enumerative Methods", by J.K. Lenstra and A.H.G. Rinnooy Kan.

8004/E "Linearization and Estimation of the Addi-Log Budget Allocation Model", by P.M.C. de Boer and J. van Daal.

8005/O "The Complexity of the Constrained Gradient Method for Linear Programming", by J. Telgen.

8006/E "On Functions with Small Differences", J.L. Geluk and L. de Haan.