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## ABSTRACT

A function $U: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$is said to be $\Pi$-regularly varying with exponent $\alpha$ if there exists a positive function $L$ such that


Suppose $\tilde{U}(s):=s \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x s}}{1-e^{-x s}} U(x) d x, \quad U_{\rho}^{*}(s):=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d U(x)}{(s+x)^{\rho}}$
and $\hat{U}(t):=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t x} d U(x)$ exist for $s, t>0$ and some $\rho>0$.
Furthermore we define $X(x)=\underset{\substack{1 \leq m \leq x}}{\sum} \frac{1}{m} U\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$. We prove that $U, \tilde{U}, U_{\rho}^{*}, \widehat{U}$ and $\chi$ are $\Pi$-regularly varying if one of them is $\Pi$-regularly varying, supposed some extra assumptions are satisfied.
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## 1. Introduction

First we give the definition of regular variation.
Definition: A function $U$ is said to be regularly varying with exponent $\rho$ at infinity if it is real-valued, positive and measurable on $(0, \infty)$ and if for each $\lambda>0$
$\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(\lambda x)}{U(x)}=\lambda^{\rho} \quad$ where $\rho \varepsilon R$ (notation $U \varepsilon R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$ )
Remark. Regular variation of $U$ at zero can be defined analogously.
Regularly varying functions with exponent zero are called slowly varying. The theory of regularly varying functions has been developed by Karamata.
For some basic facts see (1), (10), (11).
A recent treatment of regular variation is also given in Seneta's book (13). Karamata proved the following theorems on regular variation which are basic in this theory:

Theorem A: Suppose $U: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$is Lebesgue summable on finite intervals. (i) If $U$ varies regularly at infinity with exponent $\beta>-1$
then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x U(x)}{\int_{0}^{x} U(t) d t}=\beta+1
$$

(ii) If $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x U(x)}{\int_{0}^{x} U(t) d t}=\beta+1$ with $\beta>-1$ then $U \varepsilon \operatorname{RV}_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$

The second theorem concerns the Laplace-Stieltjes transform $\hat{U}(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t s} d U(s)$ of $U$.

Theorem B: Suppose $U: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$is non-decreasing, right-continuous $U(0+)=0$, $\hat{U}(t)$ is finite for $t>0$.
For $\beta \geqq 0$ the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $U \in \mathrm{RV}_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$
(ii) $\hat{U} \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\beta}^{(0)}$

Both imply
(iii) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x)}{\underset{U(1 / x)}{U( })}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}$

The converse statement that (iii) implies regular variation of $U$ is wellknown. See e.g. Drasin (3).
For non-decreasing functions $U$ we can combine the theorems $A$ and $B$ using the notion of a fractional integral:
Definition: $\alpha_{\alpha} U(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{\alpha} d U(t)$ where $\alpha>0$.
Theorem C: Suppose $U: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$is non-decreasing and right-continuous, $U(0+)=0$ and $\hat{U}(t)$ is finite for $t>0$. For $\alpha>0$ and $B \geqslant 0$ the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $U \in \mathrm{RV}_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$
(ii) $\alpha_{\alpha} \mathrm{U} \varepsilon \mathrm{RV}_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)}$
(iii) $\frac{\alpha^{U(x)}}{x^{\alpha} U(x)} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
(iv) $\hat{U} \in R V_{-\beta}^{(0)}$
(v) $\frac{U(x)}{\hat{U}(1 / x)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$

Remark that the case $\alpha=1$ yields theorem $A$ with $\beta \geqq 0$. For arbitrary $\alpha>0$ theorem $C$ can be proved by using theorems $A$ and $B$ and the relation

$$
\hat{\alpha} \hat{U}(1 / x)=x^{\alpha} \hat{U}(1 / x)
$$

since $\alpha(x)$ is non-decreasing.
It only remains to prove that (iii) implies (i).
This can be done using a result of Drasiñ and Shea.

We write
$\Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\alpha^{U(x)}}{x^{\alpha}}=\int_{0}^{x} U(t)\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{t}{x} \frac{d t}{t} \sim \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} U(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
Or $\int_{0}^{\infty} U(t) k\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \frac{d t}{t} \sim c U(x)$ where the Kernel $k$ is positive.

Application of theorem 6.2 of (4) then yields (i).
In 1963 Bojanic and Karamata (2) studied the class of functions $U$ for which $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(\lambda x)-U(x)}{x^{\sigma} L(x)}$ exists for some function $L(x)$ and showed that $\sigma$ can be chosen such that $L(x)$ is slowly varying.
In this paper we shall see that the theorems $A$ and $B$ can be sharpened for functions $U$ which satisfy the relation
$\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{U(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{\sigma}}-U(x)}{x^{\sigma} L(x)}=H(\lambda)$ for some function $L(x)$

For $\lambda=0$ this relation is also studied by de Haan who gives a refinement of theorem B.
We need the following definitions and results which can also be found in (7), Definition A function $U: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$belongs to the class $\Pi$ if $U$ is measurable and if there exists an auxiliary function $L(x)$ which is strictly positive such that for all $\lambda>0$

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(\lambda x)-U(x)}{L(x)}=\log \lambda
$$

The class $\Pi$ is a subclass of the slowly varying functions.
Note that the auxiliary function $L(x)$ is determined up to asymptotic equality: a positive function $L(x)$ is an auxiliary function for $U(x) \varepsilon \Pi$ if and only if $L(x) \sim U(x e)-U(x)$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ Let $L(x)$ be slowly varying and in $L^{l}(d t / t)$ on finite intervals.

Then $U(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{L(t)}{t} d t$ is an element of $\Pi$ with auxiliary function $L(x)$.
This follows since $\frac{L(t x) / t x}{L(x) / x} \rightarrow \frac{1}{t}$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$
Hence for $\lambda>0 \frac{U(\lambda x)-U(x)}{L(x)}=\int_{x}^{\lambda \pi} \frac{L(t)}{L(x)} \frac{d t}{t}=\int_{1}^{\lambda} \frac{L(t x) / t x}{L(x) / x} d t \rightarrow \int_{1}^{\lambda} \frac{d t}{t}=\log \lambda$

It can be shown that each function $U \varepsilon \Pi$ for which $\int_{0}^{a} U(t) / t$ dt exists for all
a $>0$ can be written as $U(x)=\int_{0}^{L(x)+} \frac{L(t)}{t} d t$ where $L$ is slowly varying.
Here also $L(x) \sim \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} s d U(s) \sim U(X e)-U(x)(x \rightarrow \infty)$ is the auxiliary function. From the definition of $\Pi$ we can see that if $U(x) \varepsilon \Pi$ with auxiliary function $L(x), U_{1}(x)$ is measurable and $\frac{U(x)-U_{1}(x)}{L(x)} \rightarrow c(x \rightarrow \infty)$ where $c \varepsilon R$ is a
constant, then $U_{1}(x) \varepsilon \Pi$ with auxiliary function $L(x)$.
For an extensive treatment of the class $\Pi$ the reader is referred to (7).
Combining the results of de Haan (8) and Embrechts (5) we get
Theorem D : Suppose $U: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$is non-decreasing, $U(0+)=0$ and $\hat{U}(s)$ is finite for $s>0$

Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $U(x) \varepsilon \Pi$
(ii) $\hat{U}(1 / x) \in \Pi$
(iii) $\frac{U(x)-\hat{U}(1 / x)}{\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} s d U(s)} \rightarrow \gamma \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$

We give a second order version of Karamata's theorems $A$ and $B$ for non-decreasing functions $U$. A necessary and sufficient condition for a function to obey the second order relation is formulated in the following definition.

Definition $U \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\alpha} \quad$ iff $\frac{U(x)}{x^{\alpha}} \varepsilon \Pi$ where $\alpha \varepsilon R$
If Uع $\| R V_{\alpha}$ then we say that $L$ is the auxiliary function of $U$ if $L$ is the auxiliary function of $\frac{U(x)}{x} \varepsilon \Pi$.

We call the function $U$ - $I$-regularly varying with exponent $\alpha$. The $\Pi$-varying functions with exponent $\alpha$ form a subclass of $\mathrm{RV}_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}$.

## 2. Results

Our main result is the following theorem

Theorem 1. Suppose $\alpha>0, \beta \geq 0, U: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}, U(x) / x^{\beta}$ non-decreasing $\lim _{x \downarrow 0} \frac{U(x)}{x^{\beta}}=0$, and $\hat{U}(t)$ exists for $t>0$

Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i). $\quad U \varepsilon \Pi R V_{B}$
(ii) $\alpha^{U \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\alpha+\beta}}$
(iii) $\hat{U}(1 / x) \varepsilon \pi R V_{\beta}$

They imply
(iv) $\frac{\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} U(x)-\frac{\alpha^{U(x)}}{x^{\alpha}}}{x^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{x} s d U(s) / s^{\beta}} \rightarrow-\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
(v) $\frac{U(x)-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \hat{U}(1 / x)}{x^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{x} \operatorname{sd} \frac{U(s)}{s^{\beta}}} \rightarrow-\psi(\beta+1) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
where $\psi(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \log \Gamma(x)$
Conversely if (iv) with $\alpha \varepsilon(0,1], \beta \geqq 0$ then (i)
and if (v) with $\beta \geq 1$ then (i)
Proof
(i) $\rightarrow$ (iv) and (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii)

We write $U(x)=x^{\beta}\left(L(x)+\int_{0}^{x} \frac{L(t)}{t} d t\right)$ with $L(x)=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} s d \frac{U(s)}{s^{\beta}} \varepsilon \operatorname{RV}_{0}^{(\infty)}$
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\frac{\alpha^{U(x)}}{x^{\alpha}}-\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} U(x)}{x^{\beta} L(x)}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta} \frac{\frac{U(t x)}{t^{\beta} x^{\beta}}-\frac{U(x)}{x^{\beta}}}{L(x)} d t \rightarrow \\
& \quad \rightarrow \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} \log t(1-t)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta} d t \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last step is justified since by substituting the expression for $U(x)$ we find
$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta}\left\{\frac{L(t x)}{L(x)}-1\right\} d t-\int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta} \int_{t}^{1} \frac{L(s x)}{L(x)} \frac{d s}{s} d t\right]$
and $\frac{s^{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon} L(s x)}{x^{\varepsilon} L(x)} \rightarrow s^{\varepsilon}(x \rightarrow \infty)$ uniformly on $(0,1)$ where $\varepsilon>0$
(see de Haan (7)).
Now (iv) and (i) imply (ii) as mentioned in the introduction.
$(\mathrm{i})+(\mathrm{v})$ and $(\mathrm{i}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{iii})$
We write $U(x)=x^{\beta} L(x)+K(x)$ where $K(x)=x^{\beta} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{L(t)}{t} d t$.
By Karamata's theorem we have

$$
x^{\beta} L(x)-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t / x} d t^{\beta} L(t)=o\left(x^{\beta} L(x)\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Substituting the expression for $K(x)$ we find
$\frac{K(x)-\hat{K}(1 / x) / \Gamma(\beta+1)}{x^{\beta} L(x)}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{L(t x)}{L(x)} \frac{d t}{t}-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\beta} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{L(u x)}{L(x)} \frac{d u}{u} d t=(*)$
Now we write $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\beta} d u=G_{\beta}(t)$
Then (*) $=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{L(t x)}{L(x)} \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{L(u x)}{L(x)} \frac{d u}{u} d G_{\beta}^{\sim}(t)=$

$$
=\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-G_{\beta}(t)\right) \frac{L(t x)}{L(x)} \frac{d t}{t}-\int_{1}^{\infty} G_{\beta}(t) \frac{L(t x)}{L(x)} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

Since $\frac{t^{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon} L(t x)}{x^{\varepsilon} L(x)} \rightarrow t^{\varepsilon}$ uniformly on ( 0,1 ) and

$$
\left.\frac{t^{-\varepsilon} x^{-\varepsilon} L(t x)}{x^{-\varepsilon} L(x)} \rightarrow t^{-\varepsilon} \text { uniformly on (1, }\right) \quad \text { (see (7) cor } 1.2 .1 .4 \text { ) }
$$

we find $(*) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1}\left\{1-G_{\beta}(t)\right\} \frac{d t}{t}-\int_{1}^{\infty} G_{\beta}(t) \frac{d t}{t}(x \rightarrow \infty)$

By partial integration the last expression equals
$-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\log t}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} e^{-t} t^{\beta} d t=-\psi(\beta+1)$

Now we have analogously that (v.) and (i) imply (iii)
$\underline{(i i) \rightarrow(\text { iii) }}$ follows immediately since $\hat{\alpha}(1 / x)=x^{\hat{U}} \hat{U}(1 / x)$
and we can use (i) $\rightarrow$ (iii)

Now we can reformulate (v) as follows:
$\frac{1}{T(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\beta+1} L(t) \frac{d t}{t}+\frac{1}{T(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{t / x}^{1}(1-u)^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta} d u L(t) \frac{d t}{t}$

$$
-\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} \int_{0}^{x} L(t) \frac{d t}{t}-\xi L(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}+\frac{d}{d \beta}\left\{\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}\right\}$

Or $\int_{0}^{\infty} L(t) k\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \frac{d t}{t} \sim \xi L(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$ where the kernel $k$ is defined by
$k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)=\frac{x}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\{(1-x)^{\alpha-1} x^{\beta}-\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x}(1-u)^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta} d u\right\}$ for $x<1$ and 0 for $x \geqq 1$
For $\alpha \varepsilon(0,1]$ and $B \geqq 0$ the kernel is non-negative since $(1-x)^{\alpha-1} x^{\beta}$ is increasing on $(0,1)$
Moreover we have $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{L(t x)}{L(x)} \geq i$ since $x L(x)$ is non-decreasing. $t \rightarrow 1+$

Application of theorem 6.2 in (4) then gives the result since $\hat{k}(\rho)=\int_{0}^{1} k\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) t^{\rho-1} d t$ is decreasing for $\rho>-\beta-1$ and so $\hat{k}(\rho)=\xi$ only if $\rho=0$.
$(v) \rightarrow$ (i) We define $L(x)$ as in the proof of (iv) $\rightarrow$ (i)

Here we can reformulate (v) as follows:
$\int_{0}^{\infty} k\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) L(t) \frac{d t}{t} \sim \xi L(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
where $\xi=1+\psi(\beta+1)$ and the kernel $k$ is given by
$k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} x^{\beta} e^{-x}-\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} u^{\beta} e^{-u} d u+1-I_{(0,1)}(x)$
If $\beta \geqq 1$ this kernel is positive for all $x>0$ since the term $x^{\beta} e^{-x}$ is increasing on $(0, \beta)$

Here we can also apply theorem 6.2 in (4).
(i) $\rightarrow$ (iii) Writing $V(x)=\frac{U(x)}{x^{\beta}}$ we have by proposition P4 in (9)

VeII iff $\int_{0}^{x} t^{\beta} d \forall(t) \varepsilon R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$ where $\beta>0$
Or: $U \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\beta}$ iff $U(x)-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{U(t)}{t}$ dt $\varepsilon R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$
This is equivalent to
$\widehat{U}(1 / x)-\beta x \hat{K}(1 / x) \varepsilon R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$ where $K(x)=\frac{U(x)}{x}$
The last statement is equivalent to $\hat{U}(1 / x) \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\beta}$, since
$x \hat{K}(1 / x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\hat{U}(1 / t)}{t} d t$ by partial integration
The case $\beta=0$ is the result of de Haan (8).

Remarks
(a) (iv) and (v) imply (iii) and (iv) in theorem $C$ since

(b) The proofs of (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii), (i) $\rightarrow$ (iv) remain valid for $\beta>-1$

That (ii) implies (i) for $-1 \leq \beta<0$ can be shown as follows:
$\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{\beta} d \cdot \frac{U(t)}{t^{\beta}}=-U(x)-\beta \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{U(t)}{t} d t$ is $\beta$-varying at infinity
iff U हाRV ${ }_{B}$ by proposition P 4 in (9)
Integrating from 1 to $x$ gives
$G(x):=-\beta \int_{1}^{x} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{U(s)}{s} d s d t-\int_{1}^{x} U(t) d t \varepsilon R V_{1+\beta}^{(\infty)}$
iff $\hat{G}(1 / x)=-\beta \times \hat{K}(1 / x)-\hat{x U}(1 / x) \varepsilon \operatorname{RV}_{1+\beta}^{(\infty)}$
where $K(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{U(s)}{s} d s$. This proves the statement since $\hat{K}(1 / x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{U}(1 / t)}{t} d t$.

For $\beta<-1$ a similar method can be applied.
(c) For the Abelian theorems (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii) and (i) $\rightarrow$ (iii) it is not necessary that $U(x) / x^{\beta}$ is non-decreasing, the Tauber part however cannot be derived without extra assumption on $U$.
(d) An alternative proof for the equivalence of (ii) and (iis) can be given as follows. We have $\alpha^{U \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\alpha+\beta}}$ iff for $a>1 \phi \varepsilon R V_{o}^{(\infty)}$ where
$\phi(x)=\frac{1}{x^{\alpha+\beta}} \int_{0}^{x}(x-5)^{\alpha-1}\left\{\frac{U(s a)}{a^{\beta}}-U(s)\right\} d s$
by the definition of $\alpha^{I I}$ and theorem 1.4.1 in (7).
Now $\phi \varepsilon R V_{0}^{(\infty)}$ iff $x^{\alpha+\beta} \phi(x) \varepsilon R V_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)}$ iff $x^{\alpha} \hat{H}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \varepsilon \operatorname{RV}_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)}$
where $H(x)=\frac{U(a x)}{a^{B}}-U(x)$ by theorem $B$.

This is equivalent to $x^{-\beta} \hat{H}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)=\frac{1}{(a x)^{\beta}} \hat{U}\left(\frac{1}{a x}\right)-\frac{1}{x^{\beta}} \hat{U}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$
$\varepsilon R V_{0}^{(\infty)}$ and so to $\frac{1}{x^{\beta}} \hat{U}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \varepsilon \Pi$.
Remark that $x^{-\beta} \cdot \hat{U}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ is non-decreasing, since $\frac{U(x)}{x^{\beta}}$ is non-decreasing.
(e) The existence of $\hat{U}$ is implied by the regular variation of $U$ for non-decreasing U.

Indeed for $\beta$-varying $U$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{U}(1 / x) & =\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t / x} U(t) d t=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-\frac{t}{x}} U(t) d t+\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{n-1} x}^{2^{n} x} e^{\frac{t}{x}} U(t) d t \\
& \leqq U(x)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U\left(2^{n} x\right) e^{-2 n-1} \leqq U(x)+U(x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(B+\varepsilon)} e^{-n-1}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

(f) It is evident that (i) implies regular variation of
$\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} U(x)-\frac{\alpha^{U(x)}}{x^{\alpha}}$ with exponent $\beta$. It is an open question whether
the converse statement holds true.

## Example

$\log \Gamma(x+1) \varepsilon \Pi R V_{1}$ with auxiliary function 1 . This can be derived from $\psi(x)-\log x \rightarrow 0 \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$ and $\log \Gamma(x+1)=\int_{0}^{x} \psi(t+1) d t$

## 3. Applications

Our first application concerns the Stieltjes-transform. Suppose $U$ is nondecreasing and right-continuous. We define

$$
U_{\rho}^{*}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d U(t)}{(t+x)^{\rho}} \text { for } \rho>0 \text {, supposed the integral exists. }
$$

In 1931 Karamata proved the following theorem about $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{\rho}}{ }^{*}$ (see [11]).
Theorem 2 For $\rho \geqq \sigma>0 \quad U_{\rho}^{*}(x) \sim x^{-\sigma} L(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$ where $L(x)$ is slowly varying, $U$ non-decreasing
implies $U(x) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\rho)}{\Gamma(\sigma) \Gamma(\rho-\sigma+1)} \quad x^{\rho-\sigma} L(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
It is obvious that the converse statement $U \varepsilon R V_{\rho-\sigma} \Rightarrow U_{\rho}{ }^{*} \varepsilon R V_{-\sigma}$ also holds. To extend this theorem for $I$-regularly varying functions we need a lemma.

Lemma 1 (a) Suppose $\mathrm{U}_{1} \varepsilon \mathrm{RV}_{\alpha}$ is increasing and continuous ( $\alpha>0$ ), U is nondecreasing. Then $U \varepsilon \Pi$ iff $\frac{1}{U_{1}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} U(t) d U_{1}(t) \varepsilon \Pi$. In this case $U(x)-\frac{1}{U_{1}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} U(t) d U_{1}(t) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} L(x)(x \rightarrow \infty)$ where $L$ is the auxiliary function of $U$.
(b) Suppose $U_{1} \varepsilon R V_{-\alpha}$ is decreasing and continuous ( $\alpha>0$ ), $U$ is nondecreasing. Then $\frac{-1}{U_{1}(x)} \int_{x}^{\infty} U(t) d U_{1}(t) \varepsilon \Pi$ iff $U \varepsilon \Pi$. In this case $\frac{-1}{U_{1}(x)} \int_{x}^{\infty} U(t) d U(t)-U(x) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} L(x)$ where $L$ is the auxiliary function of $U$.

Proof We only prove the first part; the second part is proved similarly. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(x)-\frac{1}{U_{1}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} U(t) d U_{1}(t)=U(x)-\frac{1}{U_{1}(x)} \int_{0}^{U_{1}(x)} U_{0}\left(U_{1}^{-1}(s)\right) d s= \\
& =M\left(U_{1}(x)\right) \text { where } M(x)=U\left(U_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)-\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} U\left(U_{1}^{-1}(s)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

From $U_{1} \in R V_{\alpha}$ and the definition of the class $\Pi$ we see that $U \varepsilon \Pi$ with auxiliary function $L$ iff $U U_{1}^{-1} \varepsilon \Pi$ with auxiliary function $M$. The functions $L$ and $M$ satisfy the relation $L(x) \sim \alpha M\left(U_{1}(x)\right)$. This finishes the proof of part a.

Theorem 3 Suppose $\rho \geq \sigma>0, \frac{U(x)}{x^{\rho-\sigma}}$ non-decreasing on $R^{+}, \lim _{x \neq 0} \frac{U(x)}{x^{\rho-\sigma}}=0$.
Then the following statements are equivalent
(i) $U \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\rho-\sigma}$
(ii) $U_{\rho}{ }^{*} \varepsilon \Pi R V_{-\sigma}$

Both imply

$$
\left.\frac{U(x)-\frac{\Gamma(\rho)}{\Gamma(\sigma) \Gamma(\rho-\sigma+1)} x_{\rho}^{\rho}{ }_{\rho}^{*}(x)}{x^{\rho-\sigma-1}} \quad \int_{0}^{x} \operatorname{sd} \frac{U(s)}{s^{\rho-\sigma}}\right) \psi(\sigma)-\psi(\rho-\sigma+1) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Proof Since for $\rho>0(t+x)^{-\rho}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t \tau} e^{-x \tau} \tau^{\rho-1} d \tau$
it follows that $U_{\rho}^{*}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) e^{-x \tau} d \tau$ where
$g(\tau)=\frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t \tau} d U(t)$
By theorem $1 \| \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\rho-\sigma}$ is equivalent to $\hat{U}(1 / x) \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\rho-\sigma}$
and since $g\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} x^{1-\rho} \hat{U}(1 / x)$ we have $g\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \varepsilon \Pi R V_{1-\sigma}$
Writing $H(x):=x^{\sigma-1} g\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ we have by lemma 1 (b)
$H \dot{\varepsilon} \Pi$ iff $x^{\sigma} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{H(u)}{\sigma+1}$ du $=x^{\sigma} \int_{0}^{1 / x} g(t) d t \varepsilon \Pi$ iff $\int_{0}^{1 / x} g(t) d t \varepsilon \Pi R V_{-\sigma}$
if we can show that $H(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \bar{x}^{\rho+\sigma} \hat{U}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ is non-decreasing and this is so since $U(x) / x^{\rho-\sigma}$ is non-decreasing
Thus $g(1 / x) \varepsilon \Pi R V^{1-\sigma}$ iff(i)
Equivalently $U_{\rho}^{*-\sigma}(x)=\hat{g}(x) / x \varepsilon \Pi R V_{-\sigma}$ by interchanging the roles of zero and infinity in (i) $\rightarrow$ (iii) of theorem 1 . This proves the first part of the theorem. By ( $*$ ) and theorem 1 we have
$\frac{\left.U(x)-\frac{\Gamma(\rho)}{\Gamma(\rho-\sigma+1}\right) x^{\rho-1} g(1 / x)}{x^{\rho-\sigma-1} \int_{0}^{x} \operatorname{sdU}(s) / s^{\rho-\sigma}} \rightarrow-\psi(\rho-\sigma+1) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$.

From the last expression we can see that $g\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \varepsilon \Pi R V_{1-\sigma}$
with auxiliary function $\frac{\Gamma(\rho-\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(\rho)} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x}$ sd $\frac{U(s)}{s^{\rho-\sigma}}$
This implies that
$g\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \hat{g}(x)$
$\frac{\Gamma(\rho-\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(\rho)} x^{-\sigma} \int_{0}^{x}$ sd $\frac{U(s)}{s^{\rho-\sigma}}$

Combining this with the last expression gives the desired result.
Remark The existence of $U_{\rho}^{*}$ is implied by the regular variation of $U$. To prove this we partition the domain of integration by the points $\mathrm{x}, 3 \mathrm{x}, 7 \mathrm{x}, \ldots,\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right) \mathrm{x}, \ldots$

If $U$ is $\rho-\sigma$ varying then $\frac{U(2 x)}{U(x)} \leq 2^{\rho-\sigma / 2}$ for $x \geqq x_{0}$
Repeated application of this inequality yields
$U_{\rho}^{*}(x)=\rho \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{U(t)}{(t+x)^{\rho+1}} d t \leq c U(x) \cdot x^{-\rho} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{n \sigma}{2}}<\infty$.
The second application concerns the Lambert-transform
Suppose $n: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$and $n \varepsilon L^{\prime}(d t / t)$ on $(0, \infty)$
We define $\tilde{n}(s)=s \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u s}}{1-e^{-u s}} n(u) d u$ for $s>0$
An Abel-Tauber theorem on regular variation of $n, \tilde{n}$ is given by Kohlhecker (12), a similar result for the class $\Pi$ is derived in (6).

We start with an Abelian result.
Theorem Suppose $n \varepsilon \Pi R V_{B}(\beta>0)$ and $\frac{n(t)}{t}$ integrable on finite intervals of $(0, \infty)$ Then $\tilde{n}(1 / x) \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\beta}$
Moreover
$\frac{c_{1} n(x)-\tilde{n}(1 / x)}{x^{\beta} L(x)} \rightarrow c_{2} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
where $c_{1}=\zeta(\beta+1) \Gamma(\beta+1)$

$$
c_{2}=-\frac{d}{d \beta}(\zeta(\beta+1) \Gamma(B+1))
$$

and $L$ is the auxiliary function of $n$.

Proof For $\beta>0$ we define $c_{\beta}=\zeta(\beta+1) \Gamma(\beta+1)-\frac{1}{\beta}=$
$=-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{e^{-u}}{1-e^{-u}}\right) u^{B} d u+\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{B} e^{-u}}{1-e^{-u}} d u$
Substituting this we can write
$\frac{c_{B^{n}(x)}+\int_{0}^{x} \frac{n(t)}{t} d t-\tilde{n}(1 / x)}{x^{\beta_{L}(x)}}=$

$$
=\int_{0}^{1} u^{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{e^{-u}}{1-e^{-u}}\right) \frac{\frac{n(x u)}{x^{\beta} u^{\beta}}-\frac{n(x)}{x^{\beta}}}{L(x)} d u-\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\beta} e^{-u}}{1-e^{-u}} \frac{\frac{n(x u)}{x^{\beta} u^{\beta}}-\frac{n(x)}{x^{\beta}}}{L(x)} d u
$$

For $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty$ the last expression tends to
$\int_{0}^{1} u^{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{e^{-u}}{1-e^{-u}}\right) \log u d u-\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\beta} e^{-u}}{1-e^{-u}} \log u d u=-\frac{d}{d B} c_{B}$
as in the proof of the main theorem

The Abelian side of the main theorem gives now

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{B} n(x)-\int_{n}^{t} \frac{n(t)}{t} d t}{x^{\beta} L(x)} \rightarrow-\frac{1}{B^{2}} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Combination of the last results yields the theorem.
Remark This theorem is a refinement of Kohlbecker's result

$$
\zeta(\beta+1) \Gamma(\beta+1) n(x) \sim \tilde{n}(1 / x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \text { for } \beta \text {-varying } n .
$$

Theorem Suppose $n \varepsilon \Pi R V_{\beta}(\beta>0)$ and $n(\pi) / x$ integrable on finite intervals of $(0, \infty)$ If we define $\chi(x)=\sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} \frac{1}{k} n\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$ and suppose $n(x) / x^{\beta}$ to he non-decreasing, then $\chi \in \Pi R V_{\beta}$.

Moreover
$\frac{\zeta(\beta+1) n(x)-x(x)}{x^{\beta} L(x)} \rightarrow-\zeta^{\prime}(\beta+1) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
where $L$ is the auxiliary function of $n$.
We may suppose w.1.o.g. that $n(x)=0$ on $(0,1)$
Then: $\tilde{n}(s)=s \int_{1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k u s} n(u) d u=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u s} d x(u)$

By the main theorem we have
$\frac{\chi(x)-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \tilde{n}(1 / x)}{\zeta(\beta+1) x^{\beta} L(x)} \rightarrow-\psi(\beta+1) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
Combination with the last theorem then gives the desired result.
Corollary In this case we have $\zeta(\beta+1) n(x) \sim \chi(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$

For the Tauberian converse of the last theorem we need the following lemma.
Lemma If L is slowly varying and non-decreasing for $x>0$
$\frac{L(x)}{L(x-1)} \leq 1+x^{-\gamma}$ for some $>0, x \geq x_{0}(\gamma)$, then
$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \Pi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)=O\left(x^{B} L(x)\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
where $\beta>0$ and $U(x)=\int_{1}^{x} t^{\beta} d L(t)$

Proof As in (6) we define $a_{n}=U(n)-U(n-1), n \geq 2, a_{1}=0$.
We divide the sum into three parts.
Then $\underset{m \leq x}{\sum} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \Pi\left(\left[\frac{x}{m}\right]\right)=\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \sum_{v \leq \frac{x}{m}} a_{v}=\sum_{m \leq x} a_{m} N\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$
where $N(x)=\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m}$

Since $N(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$ we have

$$
\sum_{m \leq x} a_{m} N\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \leq c \sum_{m \leq x} a_{m}=c \Pi([x])=o\left(x^{\beta} L(x)\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

by Karamata's theorem $A$.
Now $T(x)-U(x-1)=x^{\beta} L(x)-(x-1)^{\beta} L(x-1)-\beta \int_{x-1}^{x} t^{\beta^{-1}} L(t) d t$ $\leq x^{B}(L(x)-L(x-1))$ since $L$ is non-decreasing.

Suhstituting this we find for $x \geq x_{0}=x_{0}(y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1<m<\frac{x}{m}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m}\left\{U\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-U\left(\left[\frac{x}{m}\right]\right)\right\} \leq \\
& 1 \leq m<\frac{x}{x_{0}} \\
& \leq \underset{1 \leq m<\frac{x}{x_{0}}}{\leq} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{\beta}\left\{L\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-L\left(\frac{x}{m}-1\right)\right\} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \frac{x}{x_{0}}}^{\sum} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{\beta-\gamma} L\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \leq x^{\beta-\gamma}\left\{L(x)+\int_{1}^{x / x_{0}} u^{-1-\beta+\gamma} L\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) d u\right\} \\
& =x^{\beta-\gamma} L(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{L(y)}{y^{1-\beta+\gamma}} d y=o\left(x^{\beta} L(x)\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

by theorem A if we choose $0<\gamma<B+1$
The last sum is bounded since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum \frac{\mu(m)}{m}\left\{U\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-U\left(\left[\frac{x}{m}\right]\right)\right\} \leq 2 \underset{x_{0}}{\frac{x}{x_{0}}<m \leq x} \underset{x_{0}}{x_{0}} \frac{1}{m} V\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \\
& \leq 2 U\left(x_{0}\right) \quad \sum \frac{1}{m}=0(1)=0\left(x^{B} L(x)\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \\
& \frac{x}{x_{0}}<m \leq x
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the lemma.

Theorem If $x(x)=\underset{1<m \leq x}{\sum} \frac{1}{m} n\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \varepsilon \prod_{B R} \quad(\beta>0)$,
$T(x):=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x}$ s d $\frac{x(s)}{s^{\beta}}$ is non-decreasing on $R^{+}$
$\frac{L(x)}{L(x-1)} \leq 1+x^{-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma>0, x \geq x_{0}(\gamma)$
then $n \varepsilon \Pi \mathbb{R V}_{\beta}$ with auxiliary function $\frac{1}{\zeta(B+1)} \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{x})$

Proof By the representation theorem in de Haan (7) we can write $x(x)=x^{R} L(x)+x^{\beta} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{L(t)}{t}$ dt where $L$ is slowly varying.

Möbius inversion now sives
$n(x)=x^{\beta} \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{\beta+1}} \phi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)+x^{\beta} V(x)$
where $\phi(x)=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{L(u)}{u} d u$ and $V(x)=\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{1+6}} L\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$
Substituting this gives
$n(x)-\beta \int_{1}^{x} \frac{n(t)}{t} d t=\int_{1}^{x} u^{\beta-1} L(u) N\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) d u+R(x)$
where $R(x)=x^{\beta} V(x)-\beta t^{\beta-1} V(t)$ dt and $N(x)=\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m}$
Now we have by dominated convergence
$\int_{1}^{x} u^{\beta-1} L(u) N\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) d u=x^{\beta} \int_{1}^{\because} \frac{N(v)}{v^{\beta+1}} L\left(\frac{x}{v}\right) d v \sim x^{\beta} L(x) \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{N(v)}{v^{\beta+1}}$ dv $\sim$
$\frac{1}{\beta \zeta(\beta+1)} x^{\beta} L(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$ since
$\int_{1}^{x} \frac{N(v)}{v^{\beta+1}} d v=\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \int_{k}^{x} \frac{d v}{v^{\beta+1}}=\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{1+\beta}}-\frac{N(x)}{\beta x^{\beta}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta \zeta(1+\beta)} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
and $N(x) \rightarrow n \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$.

Application of the lemma now gives
$R(x)=x^{\beta} V(x)-\beta \int_{1}^{x} t^{\beta-1} v(t) d t=\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \int_{1}^{x / m} t^{\beta} d L(t)=b\left(x^{\beta} L(x)\right)$
This proves that $\frac{n(x)}{x^{\beta}}-\frac{\beta}{x^{\beta}} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{n(t)}{t} d t \sim \frac{1}{\beta \zeta(\beta+1)} L(x) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
Application of proposition $P 4$ in (9) then gives $n \in \Pi R V_{B}$.
Remark In this case we have $n(x) \sim \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta+1)} x^{\beta} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{L(u)}{u} d u \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
Theorem Suppose $R(x):=\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m} n\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)=x^{\beta} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{L(u)}{u} d u+o\left(x^{\beta} L(x)\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$
with $L$ slowly varying and $\beta>0$.
If $L_{*}(x):=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} s d \frac{R(s)}{s^{\beta}}$ is non-decreasing on $R^{+}$
and $\frac{L_{*}(x)}{L_{*}(x-1)} \leqq 1+x^{-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma>0, x \geq x_{0}(\gamma)$ then $n \in \Pi_{R V} V_{\beta}$ with auxiliary function $\frac{1}{\zeta(\beta+1)} \mathrm{L}(x)$
Proof We have $R(x)=x^{\beta} L_{*}(x)+x^{\beta} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{L_{*}(t)}{t} d t \varepsilon \Pi R_{\beta}$
where $L_{*}$ satisfies the conditions of the last theorem. This proves the theorem.

The author is indebted to dr. L. de Haan for his valuable advice and criticism.
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