



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

57.1
ON FUNCTIONS DERIVED FROM REGULARLY VARYING FUNCTIONS

Laurens de Haan

CSIRO Division of Mathematics and Statistics, Canberra

and

Erasmus University, Rotterdam

GIANNINI FOUNDATION OF
AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS
LIBRARY

WITHDRAWN
JAN 8 1976

Netherlands school of economics - Econometric institute

Report 7518/S

Summary

Some theorems on integrals and integral transforms of
regularly varying functions are proved.

October 1975

Introduction and notation

A generalization of Karamata's theorem on integrals of regularly varying functions is proved. Using Laplace-Stieltjes transforms it is shown that any regularly varying function with exponent α ($\alpha + 1 \notin \mathbb{N}$) is asymptotic to another regularly varying function all of whose derivations are regularly varying.

Suppose U is a positive function on \mathbb{R}^+ . U is regularly varying at ∞ (or $0+$) with exponent α , in short α -varying, notation $U \in R V_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}$ (or $R V_{\alpha}^{(0)}$ respectively), if for all $x > 0$

$$\frac{U(tx)}{U(t)} \rightarrow x^{\alpha}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (or $t \downarrow 0$ respectively); c.f. Karamata [5] and [6], Feller [2] VIII, 9 and XIII, 5.

If U is non-decreasing and if for suitable functions $a(t) > 0$ and $b(t)$ and all $x > 0$

$$\frac{U(tx) - b(t)}{a(t)} \rightarrow \log x$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we say $U \in \Pi^{(\infty)}$. If U is non-increasing and if for suitable functions $a(t) > \infty$ and $b(t)$ and all $x > 0$

$$\frac{U(tx) - b(t)}{a(t)} \rightarrow \log x$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we say $U \in \Pi^{(0)}$; c.f. de Haan [3], section I, 4. By abuse of language we shall also write $U(x) \in R V_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}$.

1. Integrals of regularly varying functions

We start from a well known result. Suppose F is a probability distribution function and $F(0+) = 0$. Then (Feller [2], VIII, 9 th. 2. cf. Pitman [7], lemma 3) for $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$, $\alpha + \beta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(P1) \quad & \int_0^x t^\alpha dF(t) \in R V_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} (1-F(t)) dt \in R V_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)} \\
& \Leftrightarrow 1-F(x) \in R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} (1-F(t)) dt}{x^\alpha (1-F(x))} = \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x t^\alpha dF(t)}{x^\alpha (1-F(x))} = \frac{-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

A variant is the following. Suppose U is non-decreasing, $U(0+) = 0$, then for $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(P2) \quad & \int_0^x t^\alpha dU(t) \in R V_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} U(t) dt \in R V_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)} \\
& \Leftrightarrow U(x) \in R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} U(t) dt}{x^\alpha U(x)} = \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x t^\alpha dU(t)}{x^\alpha U(x)} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

We want to present some analogous statements. Proofs are given in the next section. Firstly for probability distributions F

$$\begin{aligned}
(P3) \quad & \int_0^x t dF(t) \in R V_0^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt \in R V_0^{(\infty)} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt}{x(1-F(x))} = \infty \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x t dF(t)}{x(1-F(x))} = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

A sufficient (but not necessary) condition is $1 - F(x) \in R V_{-1}^{(\infty)}$.

Next suppose U is as above. For $\alpha > 0$

$$(P4) \quad U \in \Pi^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_0^x t^\alpha dU(t) \in R V_{\alpha}^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_x^\infty t^{-\alpha} dU(t) \in R V_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}$$

Finally suppose U_1 is non-decreasing, U_2 is continuous and strictly increasing, $U_2(0+) = 0$. Suppose $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$.

(P5) Any two of the following statements imply the others.

- a. $U_1 \in R V_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}$
- b. $U_2 \in R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$
- c. $\int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t) \in R V_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)}$
- d. $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t)}{U_1(x) U_2(x)} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}$

This generalizes (P2). Similarly for functions in $\Pi^{(\infty)}$ we have the following.

(P6) Suppose $U_1 \in R V_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}$, U_1 is continuous and strictly increasing, $U_1(0+) = 0$.

$$U_2(x) \in \Pi^{(\infty)} \iff \int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t) \in R V_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}$$

$$\iff \int_x^{\infty} \frac{dU_2(t)}{U_1(t)} \in R V_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}.$$

This generalizes (P4).

2. Proofs and remarks

Proof of (P3):

$$\int_0^x t df(t) \in R V_0^{(\infty)} \text{ if and only if } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x t dF(t) / \{x(1-F(x))\} = \infty$$

by Feller [1], VIII, 9 th. 2. Now $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x t dF(t) / \{x(1-F(x))\} = \infty \iff \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt / \{x(1-F(x))\} = \infty$ is a matter of partial integration. If

$\alpha(x) = \int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt / \{x(1-F(x))\} \rightarrow \infty$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$) then $\int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt = \{\int_0^1 (1-F(t)) dt\}$

$\exp \int_1^x \{t \alpha(t)\}^{-1} dt$ and the latter is in $R V_0^{(\infty)}$ by the representation theorem

for regularly varying functions. If $\int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt \in R V_0^{(\infty)}$ then by property

8, p. 22 of de Haan [2] $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt / \{x(1-F(x))\} = \infty$.

Remark: This is related to the weak law of large numbers (Feller [2], VII, 7, th. 2).

Remark: The statements of (P3) are implied by the set of equivalent statements ($\alpha > 0$)

$$1 - F(x) \in R V_{-1}^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_0^x (1-F(t)) dt \in \Pi^{(\infty)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_0^x t dF(t) \in \Pi^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_0^x t^\alpha (1-F(t)) dt \in R V_\alpha^{(\infty)}$$

(the equivalence of these statements follows from (P4)).

Proof of (P5):

U_2 has a proper inverse U_2^{-1} . So

$$\int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t) = \int_0^{U_2(x)} U_1(U_2^{-1}(s)) ds.$$

We shall write $U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(s)$ for the compound function $U_1(U_2^{-1}(s))$.

Assume a) and b). Then $U_1 \circ U_2^{-1} \in R V_{\alpha/\beta}^{(\infty)}$, and hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t)}{U_1(x) U_2(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{U_2^{-1}(x)} U_1(t) dU_2(t)}{x U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(x)}$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(s) ds}{x U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(x)} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

Assume b) and c). The compound function

$$\int_0^{U_2^{-1}(x)} U_1(t) dU_2(t) = \int_0^x U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(s) ds$$

then belongs to $R V_{\beta^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)}^{(\infty)}$. Since $U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}$ is monotone, it follows

$U_1 \circ U_2^{-1} \in R V_{\beta^{-1}\alpha}^{(\infty)}$. Hence

$$U_1 = U_1 \circ U_2^{-1} \circ U_2 \in R V_\alpha^{(\infty)}.$$

Assume a) and c). It is well known that there is a continuous and strictly increasing function U_3 such that $U_3(0+) = 0$ and $U_1(x) \sim U_3(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\int_0^x U_3(t) dU_2(t) \sim \int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. The compound function

$$U_3^{-1}(x) \int_0^x U_3(t) dU_2(t) = \int_0^x s dU_2 \circ U_3^{-1}(s)$$

is in $R V_{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)}^{(\infty)}$. By (P2) then $U_2 \circ U_3^{-1} \in R V_{\alpha^{-1}\beta}^{(\infty)}$. The rest is as before.

Assume d) then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(s) ds}{x U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(x)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{U_2(x)} U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(s) ds}{U_2(x) U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(U_2(x))} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t)}{U_1(x) U_2(x)} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $U_1 \circ U_2^{-1} \in R V_{\beta^{-1}\alpha}^{(\infty)}$. Once we know this a) and b) are equivalent. If in

addition to d) we assume c) then $U_1(x) U_2(x) = U_2(x) U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(U_2(x)) =$

$U_4 \circ U_2(x) \in R V_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)}$ where $U_4(x) = x U_1 \circ U_2^{-1}(x)$. Clearly $U_4 \in R V_{\beta^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)}^{(\infty)}$ hence

$U_2 \in R V_{\beta}^{(\infty)}$.

Proof of (P6):

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 \in \Pi^{(\infty)} &\Leftrightarrow U_2 \circ U_1^{-1} \in \Pi^{(\infty)} \Leftrightarrow \int_0^x t dU_2 \circ U_1^{-1}(t) \in R V_1^{(\infty)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \int_0^x U_1(t) dU_2(t) = \int_0^{U_1(x)} t dU_2 \circ U_1^{-1}(t) \in R V_{\alpha}^{(\infty)} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the third statement of (P6). The second equivalence above follows from [3], theorem 1.4.1.b.

3. Derivatives

We prove the following:

Theorem 1. Any α -varying function U with $\alpha + 1 \notin \mathbb{N}$ is asymptotic to a function U_1 all whose derivatives are regularly varying provided they are given the correct sign.

Proof. First let $\alpha < 0$. There is a decreasing function U_2 such that $U(x) \sim U_2(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Define $U_3(x) = U_2(\frac{1}{x})$ then $U_3 \in R V_{-\alpha}^{(0)}$. Denote its Laplace-Stieltjes transform by \check{U}_3 . Then $U_3(x) \sim \{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\}^{-1} \check{U}_3(\frac{1}{x})$ as $x \downarrow 0$. So $U(x) \sim \{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\}^{-1} \check{U}_3(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and the latter function satisfies the requirements (property 8 p.22 de Haan [3]).

Next let $\alpha > 0$ ($\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$). There is an increasing function U_2 such that $U_2(0+) = 0$ and $U(x) \sim U_2(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Denote its Laplace-Stieltjes transform by \check{U}_2 . Then

$$U(x) \sim U_2(x) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall prove that $U_1(x) = \{\Gamma(1+\alpha)\}^{-1} \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x})$ satisfies the requirements.

We have (Abramowitz and Stegun [1] Ch. 24, 1.2.I.c.)

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x}) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{n!}{m!} \binom{n-1}{m-1} (-1)^n x^{-n-m} \check{U}_2^{(m)}(\frac{1}{x}).$$

By property 8 of de Haan ([3], p.22) for $m = 1, 2, \dots$

$$x^{-m} \check{U}_2^{(m)}(\frac{1}{x}) \sim (-\alpha)(-\alpha-1) \dots (-\alpha-m+1) \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x})$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x}) &\sim n! (-1)^n x^{-n} \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x}) \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n-1}{n-m} (-\alpha) \\ &= \binom{-\alpha+n-1}{n} n! (-1)^n x^{-n} \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x}) \\ &= \alpha(\alpha-1) \dots (\alpha-n+1) x^{-n} \check{U}_2(\frac{1}{x}). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem says that within each equivalence class of asymptotically equivalent regularly varying functions there is at least one function satisfying the requirements. A similar statement with a different definition of the equivalent class holds for functions in Π . This is the analogue of the previous theorem for $\alpha = 0$.

Theorem 2. Any function $U \in \Pi^{(\infty)}$, i.e. any non-decreasing function satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(tx) - b(t)}{a(t)} = \log x$$

for all $x > 0$ and suitably chosen functions $a(t) > 0$ and $b(t)$ has a companion function U_1 such that $(-1)^{n+1} U_1^{(n)}(x) \in R V_{-n}^{(\infty)}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(t) - U_1(t)}{a(t)} = 0.$$

Proof. The Laplace-Stieltjes transform $\check{U}(t)$ of U exists for all $t > 0$. We shall prove that $U_1(x) = \check{U}(x^{-1} e^{-\gamma})$ satisfies the requirements; here γ is Euler's constant. By de Haan [4] $\check{U} \in \Pi^{(0)}$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(t) - \check{U}(\frac{1}{t})}{a(t)} = \gamma.$$

As in the previous proof we have

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \check{U}(\frac{1}{x}) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{n!}{m!} \binom{n-1}{m-1} (-1)^n x^{-n-m} \check{U}^{(m)}(\frac{1}{x}).$$

By the lemma in [4] $-\check{U}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{x}) \in R V_{-1}^{(0)}$ and by property 8, p.22 of [3] for $m = 1, 2, \dots$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$x^{-m} \check{U}^{(m)}(\frac{1}{x}) \sim (-1)^{m+1} (m-1)! x^{-1} \check{U}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{x}).$$

Hence as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \check{U}(\frac{1}{x}) &\sim (-1)^n x^{-n-1} \check{U}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{x}) \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n-1}{m-1} \frac{(m-1)! n!}{m!} (-1)^{m+1} \\ &= (-1)^n x^{-n-1} (n-1)! \check{U}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{x}). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and I.A. Stegun, ed. (1970). Handbook of mathematical functions. National bureau of standards, Washington.
- [2] Feller, W. (1971). Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 2. Wiley, New York.
- [3] de Haan, L. (1970). On regular variation and its application to the weak convergence of sample extremes. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam.
- [4] de Haan, L. (1974). On an Abel-Tauber theorem for Laplace transforms. Report 7414/S, Econometric Institute, Erasmus University, Rotterdam.
- [5] Karamata, J. (1930). Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions. Mathematica (Cluj) 4, 38-53.
- [6] Karamata, J. (1933). Sur un mode de croissance régulière. Théorèmes fondamentaux. Bull. Soc. Math. France 61, 55-62.
- [7] Pitman, E.J.G. (1968). On the behaviour of the characteristic function of a probability distribution in the neighbourhood of the origin. J. Austral. Math. Soc. 8, 423-443.

