



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

3000
Netherlands school Economics

ERASMUS UNIVERSITY ROTTERDAM

Econometric Institute

GIANNINI FOUNDATION OF
AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS
LIBRARY

WITHDRAWN
JUN 27 1975

Report 7414/S

ON AN ABEL-TAUBER THEOREM FOR LAPLACE TRANSFORMS

by Laurens de Haan

December 10, 1974

Preliminary and Confidential

ON AN ABEL-TAUBER THEOREM FOR LAPLACE TRANSFORMS

by Laurens de Haan

1. INTRODUCTION

The well known Abel-Tauber theorems for Laplace transforms of probability distributions (given e.g. in Feller 1, XIII, 5 ex. c) for indices $-\alpha$ of regular variation with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ can be complemented by a similar theorem for $\alpha = 1$ (cf. Wichura, 5). This result can be derived by comparing two sets of equivalent conditions on the distribution function and its transform respectively for the domain of attraction of a stable distribution of exponent $\alpha = 1$ (Nevels, 3). Here we present a direct approach to this result. In Section 1 we derive the theorem for $\alpha = 1$ using Karamata's Tauberian theorem and some simple considerations. Section 2 contains the corresponding result for $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots$. All these results are known. In the final section we present a more general result.

2. $\alpha = 1$

Let F be a distribution function with $F(0-) = 0$ and let \tilde{F} be its Laplace transform. Write $\psi(t) = t^{-1}(1 - \tilde{F}(t))$. We shall prove

THEOREM 1. The following assertions are equivalent:

$$(1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} = x^{-1} \quad \text{for all } x > 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\psi(tx) - \psi(t)}{\psi(te) - \psi(t)} = \log x \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

Both imply

$$(3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^t (1 - F(s)) ds - \psi(1/t)}{t(1 - F(t))} = \gamma,$$

Euler's constant.

Remark. Relation (1) says that $1 - F$ is -1 -varying at infinity and (2) says that $\Psi(1/t) \in \Pi$ (cf. 2 section 1.4).

For the proof we need the following

LEMMA. Suppose U has a negative non-increasing derivative $-u$. Then

$$(4) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{U(tx) - U(t)}{U(te) - U(t)} = \log x \text{ for all } x > 0$$

if and only if u is -1 -varying at $0+$. Moreover then $-t.u(t) \sim \{U(te) - U(t)\}$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

Remark. " u is -1 -varying at $0+$ " $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{u(tx)}{u(t)} = x^{-1}$ for $x > 0$.

Proof

The method of proof is adapted from 2, section 2.7.

If u is -1 -varying, then

$$\{U(tx) - U(t)\}/tu(t) = - \int_1^x \frac{u(ts)}{u(t)} ds.$$

Since the integrand tends to s^{-1} uniformly, (4) follows.

Next suppose (4) holds. We write

$$\frac{U(tx) - U(t)}{U(te) - U(t)} = \frac{-t.u(t)}{U(te) - U(t)} \int_1^x \frac{u(ts)}{u(t)} ds.$$

The last integral by our assumption on u is at most $x - 1$ when $x > 1$.

Hence

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{-t.u(t)}{U(te) - U(t)} \leq \frac{\log x}{x - 1}$$

for all $x > 1$. Similarly

$$\liminf_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{-t.u(t)}{U(te) - U(t)} \geq \frac{\log x}{x - 1}$$

for all $0 < x < 1$. Hence $-t.u(t) \sim (U(te) - U(t))$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By (4) $U(t) - U(te)$ is a slowly varying function, so is $t.u(t)$.

Remark. A similar property is true at $t = \infty$.

Proof of theorem 1. Let $U(t) = \int_0^t (1 - F(s))ds$ and $V(t) = \int_0^t s(1 - F(s))ds$. Let \check{U} and \check{V} be the Laplace-Stieltjes transforms of U and V . Simple calculations show

$$\Psi(t) = \check{U}(t) = \int_t^\infty \check{V}(s)ds.$$

Now $1 - F$ is -1 -varying at infinity if and only if V is 1 -varying at infinity (this can be seen by the method of proof of the lemma above cf. Pitman, 4). By a standard Abel-Tauber theorem (Feller 1, XIII, 5 theorem 2) the 1 -variation of V is equivalent to the -1 -variation of \check{V} at $0+$. This in turn by the lemma above is equivalent to (2).

To show (3) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1 - F(s))ds - \Psi(1/t) &= \int_0^1 t(1 - F(st))ds - \int_0^\infty e^{-s/t}(1 - F(s))ds \\ &= \int_0^1 t(1 - F(st))ds - \int_0^\infty te^{-s}(1 - F(st))ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{-s}}{s} st(1 - F(st))ds - \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} st(1 - F(st))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now $(st)^\rho(1 - F(st))/t^\rho(1 - F(t))$ tends to $s^{\rho-1}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $(0, 1]$ when $\rho > 1$ and uniformly on $[1, \infty)$ when $\rho < 1$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{-s}}{s} \cdot \frac{st(1 - F(st))}{t(1 - F(t))} ds - \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \cdot \frac{st(1 - F(st))}{t(1 - F(t))} dt \right\} &= \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{-s}}{s} ds - \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} ds = \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary. Under the conditions of the theorem

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{t^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1 - \check{F}(t)}{t} \right)}{1 - F(1/t)} = -1$$

Proof. Use the final conclusion of the lemma and a similar property with respect to $\int_0^x (1 - F(t))dt$.

3. $\alpha > 1$

For completeness we mention an analogous result when $1 - F$ is $-n$ -varying with $n = 2, 3, \dots$, given also by Nevels. Define $F_1(t) = F(t)$ and $1 - F_{n+1}(t) = \int_0^t (1 - F_n(s)) ds \leq \infty$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t > 0$. When finite $1 - F_n$ is a distribution tail. Now $1 - F$ is $-n$ -varying at infinity if and only if $1 - F_n$ is finite and -1 -varying at infinity. Define $U_n(t) = \int_0^t (1 - F_n(s)) ds$. Its Laplace-Stieltjes transform is

$$\check{U}_n(t) = \left(\frac{-1}{t}\right)^n \{ \check{F}(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \int_0^\infty x^k dF(x) \}.$$

Application of theorem 1 yields

THEOREM 2. Let n be a positive integer. The following two statements are equivalent: $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} = x^{-n}$ for all $x > 0$

and

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\check{U}_n(tx) - \check{U}_n(t)}{\check{U}_n(te) - \check{U}_n(t)} = \log x \text{ for all } x > 0.$$

Both imply

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n(t) - \check{U}_n(1/t)}{t(1 - F_n(t))} = \gamma.$$

4. A MORE GENERAL RESULT

The approach to theorem 1 is through the measure corresponding to $U(t) = \int_0^t (1 - F(s)) ds$ which has a monotone derivative. The latter property⁰ is not necessary as the following result shows.

THEOREM 3. Suppose U is non-decreasing and right-continuous; furthermore $U(0-) = 0$. Suppose $\check{U}(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} dU(s)$ is finite for all $t > 0$. The following assertions are equivalent:⁰

$$(5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(tx) - U(t)}{U(te) - U(t)} = \log x \quad \text{for all } x > 0$$

and

$$(6) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\check{U}(tx) - \check{U}(t)}{\check{U}(te) - \check{U}(t)} = \log x \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

Both imply

$$(7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(t) - \check{U}(1/t)}{t^{-1} \int_0^t s dU(s)} = \gamma.$$

Remark. In the formulation of (5) we tacitly assume

$$(8) \quad U(te) - U(t) > 0 \quad \text{for sufficiently large } t.$$

This is implied by (6).

Proof. Define $Q(t) = \int_0^t s dU(s)$. By theorem 1.4.1, a/b of 2 relation (5) holds if and only if \check{Q} is 1-varying at infinity. So (5) is equivalent to -1-variation of $\check{Q}(t)$ at $0+$. Now $\check{U}(t) = \int_0^\infty \check{Q}(s) ds$ hence by the lemma the equivalence of (5) and (6) is established.

To show (7) we use the representation

$$U(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t \frac{g(s)}{s} ds \quad \text{with } g(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^t s dU(s)$$

(cf. proof of theorem 1.4.1 in 1, part b. \Rightarrow d.). Then

$$\check{U}(1/t) = t^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-s/t} U(s) ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} g(ts) ds + \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} g(ts) ds$$

so that (analogous to the proof of theorem 1)

$$U(t) - \check{U}(1/t) = g(t) - \int_0^\infty e^{-s} g(ts) ds + \int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{-s}}{s} g(ts) ds - \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} g(ts) ds.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(t) - \check{U}(1/t)}{g(t)} = \gamma.$$

Corollary. Under the conditions of the theorem

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \check{U}(t)}{\frac{1/t}{\int_0^t s dU(s)}} = -1.$$

REFERENCES

1. Feller, W., An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 2 (Wiley, 1971).
2. Haan, L. de, "On Regular Variation and Its Application to the Weak Convergence of Sample Extremes" (Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1970).
3. Nevels, K., On Stable Attraction and Tauberian Theorems (Thesis, University of Groningen, 1974).
4. Pitman, E.J.G., "On the Behaviour of the Characteristic Function of a Probability Distribution in the Neighbourhood of the Origin", J. Austral. Math. Soc. 8 (1968), pp. 423-443.
5. Wichura, M.J., "Functional Laws of the Iterated Logarithm for the Partial Sums of i.i.d. Random Variables in the Domain of Attraction of a Completely Asymmetric Stable Law". Annals of Probability, 1974 (to appear).

100