

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

JUNE 1952



REPORT

ON

FINANCIAL RESULTS OF 178 EAST OF SCOTLAND FARMS FOR 1950-51

COMPRISING

GROUP III.
40 STOCK RAISING AND FEEDING FARMS

GROUP IV.
79 ARABLE FARMS

GROUP V.
59 DAIRY FARMS

BY

D. M. R. LEASK, B.Sc.

THE EDINBURGH AND EAST OF SCOTLAND COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
22 ROSE STREET, EDINBURGH 2, SCOTLAND

THE EDINBURGH AND EAST OF SCOTLAND COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS 22 Rose Street, Edinburgh 2

REPORT

ON

FINANCIAL RESULTS OF 178 EAST OF SCOTLAND FARMS FOR 1950-51

COMPRISING

GROUP III.—40 STOCK RAISING AND FEEDING FARMS

GROUP IV.—79 ARABLE FARMS
GROUP V.—59 DAIRY FARMS

by

D. M. R. LEASK, B.Sc.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

STAFF.

D. WITNEY, B.Com.

J. D. NUTT, B.A., N.D.A. D. M. R. LEASK, B.Sc.

W. B. DUTHIE, B.Sc.

C. J. BLACK, B.Sc., Dip.Agric.Econ.

J. W. DAVIS, B.Sc.

J. A. MACLENNAN, B.Sc.

J. D. ROWBOTTOM, B.Sc.

B. Peart, B.A.

Miss H. L. SMITH, B.Sc.(Econ.).

A. B. K. Tracey, B.Sc.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS.

ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF WAR-TIME FARMING: 1939-40 to 1944-45.

FINANCIAL RESULTS OF EAST OF SCOTLAND FARMS: Hill Sheep, Stock Rearing, Stock Raising and Feeding, Arable and Dairy Farms, viz.:-

> 1946-47 205 Farms. 191 Farms. 1947-48 238 Farms. 1948-49 227 Farms. 1949-50

Costs of Milk Production: Whole Year 1945-46, 1946-47, 1947-48, 1948-49, 1949-50, 1950-51.

ECONOMICS OF LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION:

Winter Fattening of Sheep, 1947-48, 1948-49, 1949-50. Winter Fattening of Cattle, 1947-48, 1948-49, 1949-50. Commercial Egg Production, 1949-50, 1950-51.

Enterprise Costs: Economics of Silage Making in East of Scotland, 1950, 1951.

FINANCIAL RESULTS OF EAST OF SCOTLAND FARMS: Hill Sheep, Stock Rearing :-

> 53 Farms. 1950-51

Inquiries regarding the above publications should be addressed to either the Secretary of the College, 13 George Square, or the Provincial Advisory Economist, 22 Rose Street, Edinburgh.

CONTENTS

Introduction		PAGE
	•	. 5
PART I.		
GROUP III.: 40 STOCK RAISING AI	TD.	
FEEDING FARMS, 1950-51.	עוי	
I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION		. 7
Situation	1	. 7
Size of Farm	•	. 7
Rents		. 8
Staffing .	•	. 10
Sale Products Livestock	•	. 10
Crops	• • •	. 10
orops	•	. 11
II. CAPITAL INVESTED		. 11
		•
III. FINANCIAL RESULTS	•	. 15
IV. Comparison between 6 Farms with Highest and 6 Farms with Biggest Losses in 1950-51	Profit	. 20
V. Conclusion	•	. 22
GROUP IV.: 79 ARABLE FARMS, 1950	51	
	-91.	
I. General Description	_	. 23
Situation .		. 23
Internal Economy	•	. 23
Size of Farm	•	. 24
Rents	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 24
Cropping . Labour	•	. 25
	•	. 26
II. CAPITAL INVESTED	• .	. 26
II. FINANCIAL RESULTS	•	. 27
V. FARMS NORTH AND SOUTH OF THE FORTH: A Co	mparisor	n 33
V. Comparison between 6 Farms with Highest	.=	
AND 6 FARMS WITH LOWEST PROFITS IN 1950-51	T ROLL	s . 35
7I. Conclusion		. 37
В	•	

GROUP V.: 59 DA	AIRY I	FARMS,	1950-51.		
					PAGE 38
I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION .	•	•	•	•	
Introductory		• . •	•	• ; •	. 38
Situation	•		•	: .	. 39
Size of Farm	•		•	•	. 39
Rents	•		•	•	. 40
Beef Production .			•	•	. 41
Sheep	•	•		•	. 41
Cropping		• •		•	. 41
Labour	•			•	. 42
Size of Herd			•	•	. 43
Importance of Milk Pro	duction	•	• *	•	. 43
II. CAPITAL INVESTED					. 44
II. CAPITAL INVESTED	•				
III. FINANCIAL RESULTS .	•		•	•	. 45
IV. Comparison between 6 and 6 Farms with Bigg	Farms Est Lo	WITH H	іднеят І 1950-51	'ROFIT	. 50
V. Conclusion	•	•	•	•	. 53
			•		
PA	RT II	[.			
AVERAGE PRIC	CES AI	ND RET	URNS.		
I. Livestock	•		•	•	. 54
II. Crops	•	•	•	•	. 56
III. Conclusion · · ·				•	. 57
Acknowledgment			•.		. 58
AURIOW DEDGMENT	•				
APPENDIX	•	•	•	•	. 58

INTRODUCTION

This Report follows an earlier one (Bulletin No. 34), issued in May 1952, which dealt with the financial results of two other types of farms common to the area served by this College—Hill Sheep Farms and Stock Rearing Farms. It relates to the same accounting year—i.e., 1950-51—showing the disposal of the 1950 crop. The farms included in this Report are classified into three distinct groups, viz.:—

GROUP III.—40 Stock Raising and Feeding Farms.
GROUP IV.—79 Arable Farms.
GROUP V.—59 Dairy Farms.

In Part I. of this Report each of these groups in turn is dealt with on similar lines: a general description of the type of farm being given, and of the main features of the farm organisation, followed by a study of the financial results in recent years and factors affecting them.

In Part II. some data on average prices of crops and stock culled from all three groups are set out very briefly.

An Appendix gives detailed definitions of the terms used in the Report.

PART I.

GROUP III.—40 STOCK RAISING AND FEEDING FARMS, 1950-51

I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Situation

The farms composing the group dealt with in this section of the Report are, in general, situated at altitudes rather intermediate between those of the upland farms dealt with in Group II., Bulletin 34, and the more intensively arable farms comprising Group IV. dealt with later in this Bulletin. There are, however, wide variations in altitude even on individual farms, ranging from low lying arable ground to high lying hill pastures. These farms may be mainly classed as either high lying arable farms with a larger proportion of grass, or upland farms with a larger proportion than usual of arable crops.

Of the 40 farms studied, 28 are situated South of the River Forth—10 in Roxburghshire, 8 in Peeblesshire, 4 in Berwickshire, 3 in Selkirkshire, 2 in Midlothian and 1 in East Lothian. The remaining 12 farms are situated North of the Forth—7 in Angus, 2 in Fife, 2 in Perthshire and 1 in Kinross-shire.

Size of Farm

Farms within the group vary widely in size, the smallest being $43\frac{1}{2}$ acres and the largest over 2300 acres in extent. The proportion of rough grazings including mountain and heath on individual farms is also very variable; 18 farms have no rough grazings and the remainder have varying proportions up to about three-quarters of their total acreage.

The distribution of the farms in the group according to size

(including mountain and heath) is set out below.

TABLE I. SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Size in	Up to	126	251	501	751	1001	Over	Total
Acres	125	to 250	to 500	to 750	to 1000	to 1250	1251	
No. of Farms	4	5	15	8	3	2	3	40

The average size of the farms in the group for 1950-51 is 552 acres, of which 169 acres are mountain and heath. Owing to changes in the composition of the sample of farms included in the group in 1950-51, the average acreage is rather higher than in 1949-50, when it was 528 acres, including 155 acres of mountain and heath.

The greatest number of these farms is to be found in the range from 251-500 acres, three-fifths of all the farms being under 500 acres in extent. Of the 8 farms over 750 acres, two of the largest have 70 per cent, two have slightly over 40 per cent, two 10 per cent and the remaining two none of their total area under mountain and heath.

The table set out below gives the average cropping per farm

both in acres and percentages.

TABLE II. AVERAGE CROPPING ON 40 STOCK RAISING AND FEEDING FARMS, 1950

Per Fa	rm and	per	100	Acres
--------	--------	-----	-----	-------

	 -								. 1		1
Crops .	Wheat	Barley	Oats	Potatoes	Turnips and Swedes	Other Crops	Rotn. Hay	Rotn. Grass	. Perm't. Grass	Mountain and Heath	TOTAL ACRES
Per Farm . Per 100 acres	$6\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$	$25 \\ 4\frac{1}{2}$	66 12	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	27 5	$9\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$	28 5	141 $25\frac{1}{2}$	71 13	$169 \\ 30\frac{1}{2}$	552 100

Owing to changes in the composition of the group, due to the inclusion of two farms with approximately 50 per cent of their total acreage under mountain and heath and the non-availability of a farm with a very small proportion of mountain and heath land, the proportion of the total acreage under arable crops and rotation grass has diminished slightly compared with 1949-50. The proportion under arable crops and hay was the same in both years however. There were small changes in the proportions of the different crops grown in 1950-51 compared with 1949-50; barley and turnips showed reductions, but wheat and oats showed increases.

Rents

There have been few changes in the rents of these farms. In only two cases, where new leases had been negotiated, have rents been increased appreciably.

The tables set out below show the distribution of rents both per farm and per acre for all the farms included in this group from 1946 to 1951.

TABLE III. (a) ASSESSED RENT PER FARM

Rents	Up to	£101- £200	£201- £300	£301- £400	£401- £500	£501- £600	£601- £700	£701- £800	Over £800
				Num	ber of F	arms			
1946-47 .	7	5	12	4	4	1	1	2	1
1947-48 .	4	4	10	4	- 5	3	1	2	ī
1948-49 .	7	6	9	5	7	2	2	$\bar{2}$	
1949-50 .	4	7	10	6	4	3	ī	2	2
1950-51 .	3	6	10	- 8	5	2	ī	3	$\bar{2}$

(b) Assessed Rent per Acre

Rents	5s. 1d	10s. 1d	15s. 1d	20s. 1d	25s. 1d	Over
	10s.	15s.	20s.	25s.	30s.	30s.
1946-47 1947-48 1948-49 1949-50 1950-51	9 5 9 7 8	10 11 11 12 12	Number 13 10 8 9 10	of Farms 2 5 7 6 5	2 2 4 3 3	1 1 1 2 2

These tables illustrate the wide variations in rents both per farm and per acre for the farms comprising this group. They also serve to show the comparative stability of the rents paid within the group over the past five years. Rents vary widely between farms of similar size and type with a tendency towards higher rents on the smaller-sized farms. Only one farm of 250 acres or less is rented at under 22s. per acre, the rent in this case being 18s. per acre; only three farms of 400 acres or over have rents of £1 per acre or more with a maximum of £1, 3s. 9d. Of the farms paying rents over £800 one, with 70 per cent of its area under mountain and heath, pays slightly less than 8s. per acre over all and the other with no mountain and heath pays slightly over 18s. per acre. In general, farms over 500 acres in size pay under £1 per acre rent.

Staffing

The number of regular employees averages out at 5 adult male workers per farm, with an additional woman or boy on half of the farms. In addition numbers of casual workers are employed at busy seasons, especially on farms growing considerable acreages of potatoes, sugar beet, &c. The farmers in this group are in practically every case fully employed in the day-to-day work on the farm in addition to their managerial and clerical duties.

Sale Products

Owing to the wide range of altitudes, soil types and climatic conditions under which these farms operate, it follows that there is an equally wide range of sale products. About two-thirds of the cash receipts are derived from livestock, chiefly sheep and cattle, with about one-third from the sale of grain and root crops.

Livestock

Sheep.—Over the group as a whole, sheep and wool provide the largest individual share of the total net income of these farms. Only 5 farms included in this group have no breeding sheep and on only 3 farms were there no sheep of any kind

during the year.

The ewe flocks are mainly Half-Breds (Cheviot × Border Leicester) crossed with a Down type ram usually of the Oxford or Suffolk breeds. The Down cross lambs so produced are highly popular with sheep feeders in the more arable districts. The great majority of these lambs are sold as stores at the autumn lamb sales held throughout the area. These farms are not, however, entirely confined to store lamb production, in many cases they feed off a proportion, if not all, of their own lamb crop and in addition may purchase a number of store lambs from other farms for further keep.

Cattle.—Cattle come next in importance to sheep in the value of their net output but, although the average capital investment in cattle falls little short of that in sheep, the net output of cattle is only a little more than one-half of that of

sheep and wool.

Twenty-five of the farms within the group carried herds of breeding cows varying in number from 4 to 165, the average being 32 cows per farm. The breeds kept are mainly Aberdeen-Angus, Galloway and Shorthorns or crosses of these breeds. The calves produced by these herds are disposed of in various ways: some are sold at the special autumn sales for suckled

calves, some are kept over the winter by their rearers and sold in the spring as one year old stores, while others may be kept for longer periods and sold either as store or fat animals.

Other Livestock.—Pigs and poultry deserve a passing reference, although even together they are only of minor importance in the economy of this group of farms. The number and value of pigs sold have almost doubled in 1950-51 compared with 1949-50, thus showing an increasing interest in this department. Sales of poultry and eggs also show small increases over 1949-50.

Crops

Arable crops including hay and grass silage account for rather less than one-third of the total acreage of these farms. Rotation and permanent pastures for grazing occupy about two-fifths, and mountain and heath land approximately three-tenths of the total area.

Oats.—The oat crop is the most important of the arable crops, occupying rather more than one-third of the total arable acreage. As a sale crop, however, it comes second to barley in 1950-51 due to the large quantities of oats which are consumed by the stock on these farms.

Barley.—With about two-fifths of the acreage of oats, barley is the most important sale crop and although the average quantity sold per farm is just over three-quarters of that of oats the higher price obtained for barley gives it a larger share of the farmer's total net income.

Wheat.—Only the best conditions of soil and situation on these farms are suitable for growing wheat. In many cases it is grown mainly to provide straw for thatching and potato pit covering. At the best it is only of minor importance as a sale crop.

Potatoes.—Though not occupying a large acreage over this group of farms as a whole, averaging rather less than one-seventh of the acreage under oats, potatoes do bring in the third largest amount of income on the cropping side of these farms.

Other Crops.—Small acreages of sugar beet, peas for canning, soft fruits, &c., are to be found, chiefly on those farms North of the Forth and together with surpluses of hay, straw, roots, &c., provide useful additional sources of income in some farms.

II. CAPITAL INVESTED

The capital invested in the various categories of live and dead stock on these farms is set out in the following table; the most usual valuation date is Whitsunday. The figures

shown are the average of the opening and closing valuations for 1950-51.

The average capital investment amounts to £7284 per farm or £1319 per 100 acres; these figures are relatively low compared with the present-day market values of these stocks, especially in relation to the permanent breeding stocks of cattle and sheep which have been retained in the farmers' valuations at very moderate values.

Farms taken over in recent years show a higher level of capitalisation, and to take over a farm of this type to-day would involve a much higher capital outlay than that indicated in

Table IV.

TABLE IV. AVERAGE CAPITAL INVESTED IN LIVE AND DEAD STOCK, 1950-51

	No.	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
Livestock— Horses, mainly Working Cows, mainly Breeding Bulls Cattle, under 1 year Cattle, 1-2 years Cattle, over 2 years, Breeding Cattle, over 2 years, Feeding Breeding Ewes (274) and Lambs Rams Ewe Hoggs Feeding Sheep Poultry and Pigs	2 23 1 22 17 5 8 9 87 28	£ 73 633 112 210 460 180 344 1483 81 472 122 223	£ 13 115 20 38 83 33 62 268 15 86 22 40	$\begin{matrix} \% \\ 1 \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \end{matrix}$
TOTAL LIVESTOCK	'	£4393	£795	60%
Crops and Produce— Oats Barley and Wheat Hay and Straw Potatoes Other Crops, Produce &c.		176 55 77 74 70	32 10 14 13 13	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1 1 1 1
TOTAL CROPS AND PRODUCE .	•	£452	£82	61%
Cultivations, Crops in Ground and U.M.R.		£1102	£200	15%
Implements and Fixtures		£1337	£242	18½%
Total .	•	£7284	£1319	100%

The figures in the above table show that livestock of all categories accounts for 60 per cent of the total capital investment in 1950-51, of which sheep at $29\frac{1}{2}$ per cent and cattle at

 $27\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the total account for practically the whole amount, leaving only 3 per cent for pigs and poultry.

Crops and produce, quantities of which are usually at their lowest at Whitsunday, together with cultivations and crops in the ground account for 21½ per cent of the total.

Implements and fixtures, which are written down according to the standard rates of Wear and Tear allowed by the Inland Revenue, account for the remaining $18\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the total.

Changes in the valuations of the different items making up the total are very small compared with the two previous years. This indicates that very little change has taken place in the organisation of these farms during the past three years.

In order to show the effect on capital requirement of the varying proportions of mountain and heath included in these farms, the group has been sub-divided according to the proportion of such land in the total area of each farm.

TABLE V. AVERAGE CAPITAL INVESTED IN LIVE AND DEAD STOCK PER ACRE, 1950-51

	Proportion of Farm Area under Mountain and Heath					
	Whole Group 31%	Nil-33%	34%-75%			
Number of Farms Average Size of Farm (acres) .	40 552	27 404	13 859			
Implements Livestock	£ s. 2 9 7 19 0 16 2 0	£ s. 3 7 11 2 1 3 2 16	£ s. 1 10 4 18 0 10 1 4			
TOTAL	£13 4	£18 8	£8 2			

This table shows that, at the very conservative valuations incorporated in these accounts for the farms in this group, the more intensively cropped farms required more than double the capital per acre necessary for the more extensive farms having more than one-third of their total area under mountain and heath.

TABLE VI. AVERAGE PROFIT AND LOSS ACCOUNT OF 40 STOCK RAISING AND FEEDING FARMS, 1950-51

Average Size of Farm: Crops and Grass, 383 Acres; Mountain and Heath, 169 Acres; Total, 552 Acres.

Dr.

	,								Or.
	Per	Farm	Per 10	0 Acres		Per	Farm	Per 10	00 Acres
To Valuation of Live and Dead Stock (at commencement). """ Cattle bought— Store Breeding Cows Calves Bulls and Dairy Cows. """ Sheep bought— Ewes, Gimmers and Ewe Hoggs Rams Store Lambs "" Figs bought. """ Other Livestock bought. """ Feeding Stuffs "" Seeds """ Manures (incl. Lime) """ Implements and Fixtures """ Labour (incl. Perquisites) """ Repairs, Fuel, Threshing, Tractor Expenses &c.	No. 10 4 6 1 89 3 72 14	£ 6,964 370 166 88 101 630 104 248 118 36 767 369 666 824 2,045 364	No. 2 1 1 16 13 3	£ 1261 67 30 16 18 114 19 45 21 7 139 67 121 149 370 66	By Cattle sold— Fat Store Breeding Cows All others , Sheep and Wool sold— Lambs and Hoggs, Store Lambs and Hoggs, Fat Draft Ewes Cast Ewes and Rams Wool (lb.) , Pigs sold , Poultry and Eggs sold , Poultry and Eggs sold , Crops sold— Wheat (owt.) Barley (cwt.) Oats (cwt.) Potatoes (tons) Other Crops , Government Subsidies and	No 12 15 7 9 257 95 53 46 2200 19 102 505 651 41-14	\$ 671 537 413 258 1,452 692 348 291 271 318 288 70 139 804 707 422 289	No. 2 3 1 2 47 17 9 8 399 18 91 118 7-11	£ 122 97 75 47 263 125 63 53 49 57 52 13 25 146 128 76 52
" General Expenses—Stock and Crop Expenses, Haulage, Rates and Insurance, Car Expenses &c. " Bank Interest " Net Profit for the year		655 28 929	-	119 5 168	Grants— Land Fertility Scheme Rebate Potato Acreage Payment Hill Cattle Subsidy Calf Subsidy All others , Miscellaneous Receipts , Farm Produce consumed, Workers' Perquisites &c. , Valuation of Live and Dead Stock (at close)		80 62 59 59 123 183 131 7,603		14 11 11 11 22 33 24 1377
		£16,270		£2946			£16,270	.	£2946

III. FINANCIAL RESULTS

The average Profit and Loss Account for 1950-51 as set out on page 14 is arranged to show the figures (a) per farm and (b) per 100 acres for ease of comparison with other groups.

The largest share of the income comes from sales of sheep and wool; next in order of importance are sales of cash crops. then cattle followed by other livestock sales (including pigs, poultry, eggs, &c.), and finally the total of government grants and subsidies. Compared with 1949-50 the returns under the above group headings have all declined except those for sheep and other livestock, in the latter case due to the number of pigs sold having risen from 9 per farm in 1949-50 to 19 in 1950-51.

Fewer cattle were sold and the increased prices received were insufficient to raise the cash returns to the 1949-50 level. the case of sheep, however, the higher prices received did bring the cash receipts to a higher level than in 1949-50. The quantity of barley available for sale was reduced owing to the slightly smaller acreage grown, but it retained first place as a cash Although there was an increased acreage of oats grown in 1950-51 and more was available for sale, the surplus was insufficient to regain the first place which it lost in 1949-50. Potato sales were also smaller in quantity than in the previous Government grants, acreage payments, &c., only amounted to approximately 14s. per acre compared with £1 Cash receipts actually totalled £10 less per 100 in 1949-50. acres in 1950-51 than in 1949-50.

On the expenditure side only three main items showed no increase in cost compared with 1949-50—viz., purchased cattle, rent and bank interest. All the other items showed increases The item showing the largest increase of varying amounts. was purchased foods due partly to increased prices and partly to extra requirements following the bad weather conditions in the spring of 1951. The costs of repairs to implements and machinery, fuel and tractor expenses rose considerably during the year; so too did general expenses, including such items as haulage, crop and stock expenses. Seeds and manures and new implements and machinery purchased were all more expensive than in 1949-50. Rents averaged out at the same figure per acre as in 1949-50 and, as mentioned previously, very few changes have taken place in the rents paid by these farms over the past five years. Bank interest charges also remained steady compared with 1949-50.

The most expensive item of cost on these farms is hired labour, now amounting to £3, 14s. per acre over all the farms; as almost two-thirds of the total area of these farms is under grazing either temporary or permanent with a low labour requirement, the cost of the labour expended on the arable

portion must be in the region of £8 to £9 per acre.

Purchases of livestock showed some changes compared with 1949-50, fewer cattle were bought and the prices paid were slightly lower; more sheep were purchased, breeding sheep costing more but store lambs rather less. Pigs, in general, still play a very small part in the economy of these farms but during 1950-51 purchases of pigs averaged out at double the number bought in 1949-50. Other livestock purchases, mainly poultry, also showed a small increase in cost. Total payments during 1950-51 rose by £133 per 100 acres compared with 1949-50.

The following table shows the average profit (a) per farm, (b) per 100 acres for this group of farms since 1944-45.

TABLE VII. TREND OF PROFITS

Year No. of Farms studied	No. of Farms	Average	Average Profit			
	Acreage per Farm	(a) Per Farm	(b) Per 100 Acres			
			£	£		
1944-45 .	28	626	977 676	156 115		
1945-46 .	35 37	589 487	296	61		
1946-47	34	490	541	110		
1947-48 1948-49	40	476	986	207		
1949-50	39	528	1151	218		
1950-51	40	552	929	168		
1000 31						

The average net profit per farm and per 100 acres for 1950-51 shows a drop from 1949-50 amounting to £222 per farm and £50 per 100 acres. In comparison with 1949-50 when £122 was reinvested in the average farm in the form of increased valuations at the end of the year, £639 was reinvested in 1950-51. With their reduced profits and the very high reinvestment amounting to over two-thirds of the profit earned, the cash position of the farmers in this group became markedly more difficult.

TABLE VIII. DISTRIBUTION OF PROFITS AND LOSSES PER 100 ACRES

Year	No. of Farms	Losse	showing s per Acres		Farms pe	showing r 100 Ac	Profits res	
		Over £200	Nil- £200	Nil- £200	£201- £400	£401- £600	£601- £800	Over £800
1945-46 .	35	3	6	13	9	3	1	
1946-47 .	37	5	8:	15	9 -		••	
1947-48 .	34	2	6	14	9	1	1	1
1948-49 .	40	2	4 .	13	11	8	2	
1949-50	39	1	3	14	13	4	3	1
1950-51 .	40	2	5	14	11	4	. 1	3

The above table shows how the decline in profits earned by this group in 1950-51 has affected the farms concerned. Three more farms show actual losses on the year's work compared with 1949-50 and, although the same number of farms still make profits of over £4 per acre with 2 more in the profits over £8 per acre group, it should be noted that these 2 farms are amongst the smallest in the group so that the actual profit earned per farm in each case is not very large. In general the profits shown per farm are nearer the bottom of their respective divisions than in 1949-50. Although only 7 farms show actual losses, 4 other farms make profits per farm of less than £250, and this includes both the estimated annual value of the farmhouse and the farm produce consumed in the farmer's household.

The following table shows the net output * and expenditure * on these farms for the years 1948-49, 1949-50 and 1950-51, giving details of the principal items involved in arriving at the net profit per 100 acres.

Total net output per 100 acres rose above that for the previous year but not by such a high margin as in 1949-50. On the livestock side there was an improvement amounting to almost $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with 1949-50; on the cropping side there was a decrease of about $5\frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with 1949-50.

^{*} See Appendix for definition.

Because of the bigger sums involved on the livestock side the balance of total output rose by between 1 per cent and $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with 1949-50. There was practically no difference in the net output of cattle over the two years and sheep showed a small reduction caused by the higher cost of purchases and losses of stock due to the severe climatic conditions during the spring of 1951. The net output of other livestock produce rose by about 60 per cent due mainly to the increased turnover of pigs.

TABLE IX. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE PER 100 ACRES

	1948	49	1949	-50	1950	-51
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
	£	%	£	%	£	%
Net Output— Cattle	196 378 73	$ \begin{array}{c c} 18 \\ 34 \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 234 \\ 439 \\ 82 \end{array}$	$19 \\ 35 \\ 6\frac{1}{2}$	$237 \\ 421 \\ 132$	$18\frac{1}{2}$ $33\frac{1}{2}$ 10
TOTAL LIVESTOCK .	£647	581%	£755	601%	£790	62%
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Hay and Straw Other Crops	24 107 129 122 14 60	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 1 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	22 149 121 118 12 73	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 6 \end{array} $	28 144 135 106 17 47	2 11½ 10½ 8½ 1½ 4
TOTAL CROPS	£456	4112%	£495	39½%	£477	38%
TOTAL OUTPUT	£1103	100%	£1250	100%	£1267	100%
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Expenses Total Expenditure	58 69 90 352 54 160 113 £896	6½ 7½ 10 39 6 18 13	113 66 92 366 54 215 126 £1032	$ \begin{array}{c c} 11 & 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 8\frac{1}{2} & 36 \\ 5 & 21 \\ 12 & 100\% \end{array} $	140 67 106 370 54 224 138 £1099	12½ 6 9½ 34 5 20½ 12½ 100%
NET PROFIT	£207		£218		£168	

Net output of crops shows increases in the case of wheat, oats and hay and straw with decreases in barley, potatoes and other crops. Changes in the acreages grown of the grain crops compared with the previous year account for the

changes in their respective net outputs. Potatoes did not crop so well as in 1949-50; hay and straw surpluses available for sale fetched very high prices due to scarcity of keep

in the spring.

Total net expenditure rose by about $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with 1949-50. Taking the per 100 acres figures, the most pronounced rise in 1950-51 was in respect of feeding stuffs which were 140 per cent higher than in 1948-49. General expenses, manures, power and labour charges were all higher than in 1949-50. Seeds and rents remained practically steady over the three years shown. The ratios between the different items underwent small changes over the years shown, but the general pattern is fairly constant apart from the exceptional rise in feeding stuffs. As stated before, the average margin of net profit was reduced by £50 to £168 per 100 acres in 1950-51 compared with the previous year.

In order to find out if the changes in the sample of farms reported upon have had any undue influence on the results obtained, the following table which gives the net output and expenditure on 31 identical farms within the group—the largest number continuously available for the past five years These 31 farms have practically the —has been drawn up. same average acreage as the whole group in 1950-51, but they do not have such a high proportion of mountain and heath, only 25 per cent of their total, but they have more permanent and rotation grass; their arable cropping has been on regular lines closely related to that of the whole group and their valuations are also very similar, but about 4 per cent higher than that of the whole group. The same general trend is observable in this identical farm group as in the whole group for these There has been a continuing rise in total net output with a decrease in output of crops in 1950-51 compared with 1949-50. Net expenditure has also followed the same general tendencies as in the whole group.

The average profit per 100 acres on the 31 identical farms did not fall so low as that for the whole group in 1946-47 and they have maintained their higher margin each year except in 1948-49 when it was just below that for the whole group. On the whole the results for the identical group bear out those

for the whole group over the past five years.

TABLE X. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE PER 100 ACRES ON 31 IDENTICAL FARMS

	194	6-47	194	7-48	194	8-49	194	9-50	195	0-51
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100° Acres	Per	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
	£	%	£	%	£	%	£	%	£	%
Net Output— Cattle Sheep and Wool Other Livestock Produce	157 274 30	$\begin{array}{c} 18 \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	196 310 31	$ \begin{array}{c} 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 32\frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \end{array} $	210 404 48	36	238 450 73	35	252 435 115	19 33
· Total Livestock .	£461	53%	£537	56%	£662	59%	£761	59%	£802	601%
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Hay and Straw Other Crops	16 113 124 118 14 29	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 13\\ 14\\ 13\frac{1}{2}\\ 1\frac{1}{2}\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	28 83 117 115 19 62	3 9 12 12 2 6	25 119 120 125 12 58	$10\frac{1}{2}$ 11 11	22 153 133 129 11 77	$\begin{array}{c} 12\\10\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	25 159 154 114 18 49	$12 \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL CROPS	£414	47%	£424	44%	£459	41%	£525	41%	£519	39½%
TOTAL OUTPUT .	£875	100%	£961	100%	£1121	100%	£1286	100%	£1321	100%
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs Seeds Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Expenses Total Expenditure Net Profit	46 65 68 324 51 135 106 £795	$\begin{array}{c} 6\\8\\8\frac{8\frac{1}{2}}{40\frac{1}{2}}\\7\\16\frac{1}{2}\\13\frac{1}{2}\\\hline100\%\\ \end{array}$	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 40\frac{1}{2} \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 17 \\ 12 \\ \hline 100\% \\ \end{array}$	52 65 93 371 52 165 120 £918	$\begin{array}{c} 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 40\frac{1}{2} \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 18 \\ 13 \\ \hline 100\% \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 72 \\ 95 \\ 379 \\ 54 \\ 215 \\ 127 \\ \hline £1052 \\ \hline £234 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 36 \\ 5 \\ 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ \hline 100\% \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 70 \\ 113 \\ 388 \\ 56 \\ 212 \\ 137 \\ \hline £1106 \\ \hline £215 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 35 \\ 5 \\ 19 \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $

IV. COMPARISON BETWEEN 6 FARMS WITH HIGHEST PROFITS AND 6 FARMS WITH BIGGEST LOSSES, 1950-51.

For the purpose of comparison the following table showing the net output and expenditure per 100 acres on the 6 farms showing the highest profits and for the 6 farms showing the biggest losses within the group has been drawn up. From the cropping tables given below it is notable that the farms showing the highest profits average only 261 acres in extent and have only 22 per cent of their total area under permanent grass or rough grazings including only $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the latter. The

TABLE XI. (A.) CROPPING; (B.) NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE

		ns with Profits	6 Farm Biggest	
	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres
	Acres		Acres	
A. CROPPING Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Turnips and Swedes Other Crops Rotation Hay Rotation Grass Permanent Grass	$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ 17\frac{1}{2}\\ 60\\ 11\\ 14\\ 14\\ 11\frac{1}{2}\\ 69\frac{1}{2}\\ 55\frac{1}{2}\\ \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 2 \\ 7 \\ 23 \\ 4 \\ \hline 25 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 27 \\ 21 \\ \hline 2 \\ 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 4rac{1}{2} \\ 27 \\ 49 \\ 2rac{1}{2} \\ 25 \\ 8 \\ 18 \\ 115rac{1}{2} \\ 33rac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 18 \\ 5 \end{array}$
Mountain and Heath	$\frac{2}{261}$	$\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{100}$	356 639	100
Total	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
B. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE Net Output—	£	%	£	%
Cattle Sheep and Wool Other Livestock Produce	576 212 474	$\begin{array}{c c} 20 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	155 358 126	18 42 14
TOTAL LIVESTOCK .	£1437	50%	£639	74%
Wheat	48 212 474 345 97 265	$\begin{array}{c} 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19\\111\\20\\24\\5\\38\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 13 \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \\ 4\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $
TOTAL CROPS	£1441	50%	£219	26%
TOTAL OUTPUT	£2878	100%	£858	100%
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Expenses	360 147 232 618 80 479 175	$\begin{array}{c c} 17 \\ 7 \\ 11 \\ 29\frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \\ 23 \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	155 50 85 301 45 262 141	$ \begin{array}{c c} 15 \\ 5 \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 29 \\ 4 \\ 25 \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $
Total Expenditure .	£2091	100%	£1039	100%
NET PROFIT OR LOSS	£787 Profit		£181 Loss	

farms with the biggest losses average 639 acres in extent, of which 61 per cent is composed of permanent grass or rough grazings, the latter accounting for 56 per cent of the total

acreage.

Thus, the sub-group showing the biggest profits more nearly approaches the type of farm dealt with later in this Bulletin under the heading of "Arable Farms." Even if the acreage of rough grazings on the less successful group was disregarded and their total output and expenditure calculated on the remaining acreages, their per 100 acre figures of net output, with the exception of those for sheep and barley, would still fall far short of that for the high profit group. On the same basis, their total net expenditure would, however, very closely approach that of the high profit group. It would appear that the farms with high losses are not so naturally productive and that although their output of crops may not be so high they must consume a very much higher proportion of such crops by their stock and especially by their ewe flocks.

V. CONCLUSION

The 40 stock rearing and feeding farms studied showed an average profit of £168 per 100 acres or £929 per farm in 1950-51 compared with £218 per 100 acres or £1151 per farm in 1949-50. This fall in profits is regrettable as not only is the margin of profit lower, but it includes a much higher proportion in the form of increased valuations or capital reinvestment at the end of the year—£115 per 100 acres, leaving a cash margin of £53 per 100 acres or £290 per farm compared with a cash margin of £195 per 100 acres or £929 per farm in 1949-50.

Such a small cash margin must, of necessity, mean that if the farmers in this group do not possess private resources to fall back upon, many of them must have recourse to further extensions of credit from merchants or banks. Under present conditions with the tightening up of all forms of credit it may well be that many of these farmers will be forced to curtail their efforts towards modernisation of their equipment and

increasing the productivity of their farms.

GROUP IV.—79 ARABLE FARMS

I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Situation

Arable farms in the Edinburgh and East of Scotland Agricultural College area are associated with the more fertile regions around the coast stretching from the English boundary at Lamberton, Berwickshire, in the South, to Montrose in the North, together with the lower lying valleys of the Rivers Tweed, Forth, Tay and their tributaries within the area and including the Vale of Strathmore. Forty-one of the farms in the group are situated North of the River Forth, 18 in Fife, 10 in Angus, 10 in Perthshire and 3 in Kinross-shire. The remaining 38 farms are situated South of the Forth, 17 in Berwickshire, 17 in the Lothians and 2 each in Roxburghshire and Peeblesshire.

Internal Economy

With their low elevation above sea level and their generally more favourable climatic conditions compared with the farms in the previous three groups reported upon for 1950-51, these farms are more suited to cash cropping than most other types of farms in the College area. Livestock is kept mainly as an auxiliary to crop growing, consuming surplus arable and forage crops and converting straw into farmyard manure in order to help maintain the fertility of the soil.

The intensive nature of the cropping programme on these farms is illustrated by the fact that practically two-thirds of their total acreage was under arable crops or hay in 1950-51, leaving the balance of one-third for rotation and permanent grazings. Although primarily arable cropping farms, a considerable number carry herds of breeding cows or ewe flocks or even both. Within the group 14 farms have herds of breeding cows only, 19 have flocks of breeding ewes only and on 16 farms there are both breeding cows and ewes. Twenty-seven farms have no sheep and 2 have no cattle; these latter also do not have any sheep. It is necessary, however, to point out that on several of these farms where sheep do not appear in the accounts, they still play some part in the economy of

these farms since forage crops, clover aftermaths or surplus grass are frequently let out to sheep breeders and feeders.

Over the group as a whole the output of cash crops, principally grain and potatoes, accounts for 70 per cent of the total in 1950-51, with the output of livestock only accounting for the remaining 30 per cent. North of the Forth the potato crop is usually the most important, but barley is generally the principal cash crop South of the Forth.

Size of Farm

The farms comprising this group are very variable in size, the smallest being 29 acres and the largest 838 acres.

Their distribution according to size is set out in the following table.

TABLE I. SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Size in Acres	Up to	101- 200	201- 300	301- 400	401- 500	501- 600	Over- 600	TOTAL
No. of Farms .	12	18	15	16	10	3	5	79

The majority of the farms lie within the limits of 150 and 450 acres, the average size being 280 acres. This is rather less than in 1949-50 owing to changes in the sample in 1950-51, records relating to some of the larger farms not being available and new farms introduced being of relatively small acreages.

Rents

Owing to the wide range of sizes of the farms included in the group, rents paid per farm are very variable and range from £39 to £1034. A study of the per acre figures involved gives a better idea of the rents paid by these farms.

The range of rents per farm and per acre are shown in sections (a) and (b) respectively of the following table.

TABLE II. (a) ASSESSED RENTS PER FARM

Rents	Up to	£151-	£301-	£451-	£601-	Over
	£150	£300	£450	£600	£750	£750
No. of Farms .	17	15	13	18.	6	10

(b) Assessed Rents per Acre

Rents		Up to 10/-	10/1- 15/-	15/1- 20/-	20/1- 25/-	25/1- 30/-	30/1- 35/-	35/1- 40/-	Over 40/-
No. of Farms	•	1	3	8	12	20	18	10	7

The average rent is £406 per farm or £1, 9s. per acre, the latter figure ranging from 10s. to £3, 5s. 10d. There have been a few upward changes in the rents on farms where new leases have been negotiated by sitting tenants. Compared with 1949-50 rents, the two highest increases were 5s. 3d. and 3s. 10d. per acre—in each case rather less than one-sixth of the previous per acre rental. If these farms had been vacant there is no doubt that much greater increases would have been offered.

Cropping

The average cropping of the farms in this group for the past three years is set out in the following table.

TABLE III. CROPPING—A 3-YEAR COMPARISON

	19	148	19	049	1950		
	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Turnips and Swedes Other Crops Hay Pasture Mountain and Heath	Acres $20\frac{1}{2}$ 42 49 $26\frac{1}{2}$ $22\frac{1}{2}$ 12 $29\frac{1}{2}$ 93 $7\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\14\\16\\9\\7\frac{1}{2}\\3\frac{1}{2}\\10\\30\frac{1}{2}\\2\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	Acres 15½ 43 46 24½ 21 12 23 94½ 9	5½ 15 16 8 7½ 4 8 33	Acres 19½ 39 43 25 18½ 13 24 89½ 8½	$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 14 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 9 \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \\ 9 \\ 32 \\ 3 \end{array}$	
TOTAL	$302\frac{1}{2}$	100	$288\frac{1}{2}$	100	280	100	

There have been no significant changes in the cropping programme on these farms over the past few years. Slight variations which do occur are mainly because, of all the farms involved, very few, if any, have their fields of such sizes that exactly equal acreages of the same crops could be grown every year without having small uneconomic sub-divisions of some fields now and again. It therefore happens that because of these small differences a particular crop may vary by a few acres from year to year but this does not signify any change in cropping policy.

Labour

The average farm employs a regular staff of five men and one woman or boy. In addition, considerable numbers of casual workers are engaged at busy seasons, such as potato planting, turnip and beet thinning and the harvesting of grain and root crops.

All the farms in this group, with two exceptions, are worked under the direct supervision of the farmer. In fact the majority of the farmers in this group spend a considerable proportion of their time on the manual work of their farms in addition to their managerial and clerical duties.

II. CAPITAL INVESTED

The average capital invested is set out in the following table showing the principal items per farm, per 100 acres and per cent.

TABLE IV. AVERAGE CAPITAL INVESTED IN LIVE AND DEAD STOCK, 1950-51

	No.	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
		£	£	%
Livestock—		110	41	11
Horses, mainly Working .	3	$\frac{116}{316}$	113	$\frac{1\frac{1}{2}}{4^{\frac{1}{4}}}$
Cows and 2-year Heifers	10	24	8	$4\frac{1}{2}$
Stock Bulls	10	126	45	$1^{rac{\overline{1}}{2}}_{rac{\overline{1}}{2}}$
Calves :	13	368	132	5
Feeding Cattle	24	1162	415	16
Breeding Ewes (66) and Lambs		433	154	6
Rams	2	25	9	$\frac{1}{2}$
Ewe Hoggs	13	74	27	$\frac{1}{3}$
Feeding Sheep	36	214	76	
Pigs and Poultry	• • •	183	65	$2\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL LIVESTOCK		£3041	£1085	42%
				-
Crops and Produce— Grain—Wheat, Barley and Oats		477	170	61
Hay and Straw	•	112	40	11
Potatoes	•	317	113	41
Other Crops, Produce &c.	•	133	48	$6\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ $4\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL CROPS AND PRODUCE		£1039	£371	14%
Cultivations, Crops in Ground and U.M.F	₹	£1484	£530	20½%
Implements and Fixtures	•	£1702	£608	$23\frac{1}{2}\%$
TOTAL	•	£7266	£2594	100%

The capital invested amounting to £7266 per farm or almost £26 per acre, shown in the above table, is the average of the valuations at the beginning and end of the year and is practically £2 per acre higher than the average for 1949-50. Again, it must be emphasised that these figures are based on very conservative values and that to take over such a farm at the present time might cost much more than the figures shown above.

As the great majority of these farms have valuations around Whitsunday the total is lower than would be the case if the majority had their valuations around November, when stocks are usually at their maximum. To equip such a farm with implements and machinery would entail a much higher expenditure than the sum shown if modern types of these were purchased. Because of the high initial allowances operative up to 5th April 1952 on new plant and implements purchased, and the additional allowances for Wear and Tear agreed with the Inland Revenue, new implements are written down at the end of their year of purchase to less than half their cost. Under present conditions it is several years before the market value of such implements is as low as their written down value as shown in the farmers' financial accounts.

III. FINANCIAL RESULTS

The average Profit and Loss Account set out on page 28 shows in some detail the actual sales and purchases for these farms in 1950-51. As they are regarded as predominantly cash cropping farms the total sales of crops may be expected to be higher than the total sales of livestock. In fact, total sales of crops do exceed total sales of livestock, but only by a very small margin. In terms of net output, however, cash crops account for 70 per cent of the total, livestock and livestock products accounting for the remaining 30 per cent.

Potatoes bring in the biggest amount of cash on the cropping side, closely followed by barley then by oats, wheat and sugar beet. Other crops grouped together, including such crops as soft fruit, peas for canning, odd lots of cabbages and other vegetable crops, in total come next to wheat in respect of cash returns. Sales of oats, it must be noted, only account for a proportion of the actual amount grown as very considerable quantities are consumed by the livestock on these farms.

Sales of livestock bring in almost as much cash as sales of crops but purchases of store and other animals absorb more

TABLE V. AVERAGE PROFIT AND LOSS ACCOUNT OF 79 ARABLE FARMS, 1950-51.

Average Size of Farm: 280 Acres—All Crops and Grass.

		22 to po ana arass.	The second second	
		* The state of the		~
				Or.

	Per	r Farm	Per 1	00 Acres		Pe	r Farm	Per 1	00 Acre
To Valuation of Live and Dead Stock (at commencement)., Cattle bought— Store All other—Dairy, Breeding &c., Sheep bought— Store Lambs Breeding Sheep.	No. 40 7 77 25	£ 7,129 1,802 138 382 236 68	No. 14 3 27 10	£ 2545 643 49 136 84	By Cattle sold— Fat Store . All others . , Sheep and Wool sold— Lambs, Fat and Store . Hoggs, mainly Fat Ewes, Draft and Fat Rams .	No. 42 8 5 47 109 32 2		No. 15 3 2 17 38 11	97 11 6
", Other Livestock bought . "Feeding Stuffs "Seeds . " Manures (incl. Lime) ", Implements and Fixtures ", Labour (incl. Perquisites) ", Rent ", Repairs, Fuel, Threshing, " Tractor Expenses &c. ", General Expenses—Stock and " Crop Expenses, Haulage, Rates and Insurance, Car		53 565 513 766 880 2,390 406		24 19 202 183 274 314 853 145	Wool (lb.) "Pigs sold "Other Livestock sold "Milk, Eggs, Poultry, &c. "Crops sold— Wheat (cwt.) Barley (cwt.) Oats (cwt.) Potatoes, ware (tons) Potatoes, seed (tons) Sugar Beet Hay and Straw	370 1019 749 97-3 79-17	71 254 24 237 520 1,552 830 889 936 265 232	222 6 132 364 268 34-13 28-10	28 9 88 186 554 296 317 334 94
Expenses &c. Bank Interest Net Profit for the year		636 33 2,092		227 12 747	Other Crops Government Subsidies and Grants— Potato Acreage Payment All others Miscellaneous Receipts Farm Produce consumed, Workers' Perquisites &c. Valuation of Live and Dead Stock (at close)		222 183 199 121 7,403		130 79 68 71 43 2643
		£18,899		£6746			£18,899		£6746

10

than one-half of the total livestock returns. Government subsidies and grants (excluding potato acreage payments) amounted to 13s. per acre compared with £1 in 1949-50.

On the payments side labour is easily the largest single item of expense, now amounting to £8, 10s. per acre over the whole group of farms, a rise of about 10s. per acre compared with 1949-50. Most of the items of expenditure have risen in cost except purchased seeds which were very slightly less and general expenses down by about 4s. per acre. Repairs, fuel, &c., rose by almost 6s. per acre, new implements bought by 4s. 6d., feeding stuffs by almost 3s. 6d. and manures and lime by about 2s. 4d. Rents and bank interest both showed fractional increases over 1949-50.

The margin of profit shows a considerable improvement in 1950-51 compared with 1949-50. The numbers of livestock and the quantities of crops available for sale in 1950-51 have in certain cases improved upon the results obtained in 1949-50 which were much better than in 1948-49. By maintaining this improved output of both livestock and crops, the increased prices received in 1950-51 have made it possible for these farmers to cover their increased costs and also improve their profit margin by about £2 per acre. The average profit of almost £7, 10s. per acre is the best since 1942-43, and is second only to that memorable year. Reinvestment in the form of higher closing valuations only amounted to about £1 per acre so that these farms averaged a clear margin of practically £6, 10s. per acre.

The following table shows the average profit (a) per farm and (b) per 100 acres for this group from 1942-43 to 1950-51.

TABLE VI. TREND OF PROFITS

	No. of Farms	Average	Averag	e Profit
Year	studied	Acreage per Farm	(a) Per Farm	(b) Per 100 Acres
1939-40	60	366	£1132	£309
1940-41	63	379	1947	514
1941-42	69	358	2468	689
1942-43	68	351	2743	781
1943-44 1944-45	66	351	1640	467
1944-45	76	331	1230	371
1946-47	82 75	322	1111	345
1947-48	67	$323\frac{1}{2}$	1284	397 305
1948-49	81	319	972 1423	470
1948-49	79	$\frac{302\frac{1}{2}}{288\frac{1}{2}}$	1553	538
1949-50	79	280	2092	747
1990-91	19	200	2092	141

The distribution of profits and losses per 100 acres within the group for the six years from 1945-46 to 1950-51 is set out in the table below.

TABLE VII. DISTRIBUTION OF PROFITS AND LOSSES PER 100 ACRES

Year	No. of Farms	Farms show- ing Losses per 100 Acres		Farms showing Profits per 100 Acres						
		Over £200	Nil- £200	Nil- £200	£201- £400	£401- £600	£601- £800	£801- £1000	Over £1000	
1945-46 1946-47 1947-48 1948-49 1949-50 1950-51	82 75 67 81 79 79	4 1 6 4 2 1	7 9 7 4 2 2	12 12 16 7 11 5	25 14 10 16 12 13	15 19 10 26 18 13	12 8 10 8 14 10	4 7 6 4 6 13	3 5 2 12 14 22	

The improvement in the profits earned by these farms is borne out by the above table. The number of farms showing actual losses is down to three and the losses shown are lower than in 1949-50. The number of farms in the lower profit groups have also diminished so that only five of the farms showing profits in 1950-51 have a profit of less than £200 each.

The comparative figures set out in the following table give a summary of the changes which have taken place over the years 1948-49, 1949-50, 1950-51.

The most outstanding features are:

1. The totals of both net output and expenditure continually increase over the three years shown.

2. The increase in the net output of livestock naturally is less than that of crops, but their relative importance remains unchanged.

3. Wheat and potatoes show comparatively higher increases in net outputs than the other crops in 1950-51.

4. All the items of expenditure have shown increases per 100 acres since 1948-49. The figures for seeds, rent and general expenses are, however, practically the same in 1949-50 and 1950-51.

5. The improved profits in 1950-51 are due to the maintenance of the improved yields shown in 1949-50 combined with the better prices obtained.

TABLE VIII. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE

	1948-49		1949	-50	1950-51		
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	
Net Output—	£	%	£	%	£	%	
Cattle	$ \begin{array}{r} 348 \\ 292 \\ 112 \end{array} $	14 12 5	$369 \\ 297 \\ 164$	$13\frac{1}{2}$ 11 6	$454 \\ 308 \\ 179$	$egin{array}{c c} 14rac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 5rac{1}{2} \end{array}$	
TOTAL LIVESTOCK .	£752	31%	£830	30₺%	£941	30%	
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Sugar Beet Other Roots Hay Straw Other Crops	154 380 235 675 57 19 47 16 97	$ \begin{array}{c} 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 28 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \end{array} $	154 505 268 689 88 17 41 21	$\begin{array}{c} 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 3 \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 5 \\ \end{array}$	202 560 300 805 98 16 59 27 118	$ \begin{array}{c c} 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 18 \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 26 \\ 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \end{array} $	
TOTAL CROPS	£1680	69%	£1922	69½%		70%	
TOTAL OUTPUT	£2432	100%	£2752	100%	£3126	100%	
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Expenses Total Expenditure	115 165 190 765 110 381 236	6 8½ 9½ 39 5½ 19½ 12	185 183 224 796 119 431 276	$ \begin{array}{c c} 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 36 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	207 183 245 854 120 493 277	$ \begin{array}{c} 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 36 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline 100\% $	
NET PROFIT	£470	200 /0	£538	200 /0	£747	100 /0	

An examination of the net output and expenditure on 53 farms within the group whose accounts are available for the five years from 1946-47 to 1950-51 shows almost similar changes to those incurred by the whole group over the same periods. These identical farms are rather larger in average size than the whole group, 340 acres instead of $280\frac{1}{2}$ acres. Both their average valuation of £2549 per 100 acres and their cropping programme per 100 acres are very similar to those for the whole group. In 1950-51 the latter consisted of $7\frac{1}{2}$ acres wheat, $13\frac{1}{2}$ acres barley, 15 acres oats, $8\frac{1}{2}$ acres potatoes, $6\frac{1}{2}$ acres turnips and swedes, 5 acres other crops, $8\frac{1}{2}$ acres hay, 33 acres

pasture, $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres mountain and heath. Their total outupt has increased annually and except for 1948-49, when it was slightly less than in 1947-48, their total net expenditure has also increased each year.

TABLE IX. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE ON 53 IDENTICAL FARMS FOR 5 YEARS

	1946-47		1947-48		1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
Net Output— Cattle Sheep and Wool Other Livestock Produce	£ 281 219 43	$\frac{13\frac{1}{2}}{10\frac{1}{2}}$	£ 429 274 60	$\frac{\%}{18}$ $\frac{11\frac{1}{2}}{2\frac{1}{2}}$	£ 368 296 87	% 15 12 3	£ 387 315 122	$11\frac{1}{2}$	£ 467 341	
TOTAL LIVESTOCK .	£543	26%	£763	32%	£751	30%	£824	$\frac{4\frac{1}{2}}{30\%}$	£969	31%
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Sugar Beet Other Roots Hay Straw Other Crops	120 410 232 611 58 16 36 20 42	$\begin{array}{c} 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 20 \\ 11 \\ 29 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	161 310 237 672 77 14 53 19	$\begin{array}{c} 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 13 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{array}$	176 392 244 703 67 13 46 18 98	$ \begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{array} $	170 502 271 704 100 14 42 18 126	$3\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$	214 546 294 813 111 13 54 26 92	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 9 \\ 26 \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}\right\}$
TOTAL CROPS	£1545	74%	£1634	68%	£1757	70%	£1947	70%	£2163	69%
TOTAL OUTPUT .	£2088	100%	£2397	100%	£2508	100%	£2771	100%	£3132	100%
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Expenses	74 168 172 681 112 282 196	$ \begin{array}{c} 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 40\frac{1}{2} \\ 7 \\ 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	100 191 216 795 125 328 240	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 11 \\ 40 \\ 6 \\ 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \end{array} $	105 172 190 789 114 375 232	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 19 \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	172 179 215 812 118 417 275	$ \begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 37 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 19 \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	204 179 250 854 119 481 275	$ \begin{array}{c} 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 36 \\ 5 \\ 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \end{array} $
TOTAL EXPENDITURE		100%	£1995	100%		100%	i	100%	£2362	100%
NET PROFIT	£403	¥	£402		£531		£583		£770	

These identical farms have higher outputs of cattle and sheep and a lower output of other livestock produce throughout the period shown and their output of all livestock is proportionally higher than for the whole group in 1950-51. Their output of crops shows greater emphasis on wheat and sugar beet and rather less on "other crops." Net expenditure for the identical group follows approximately the same lines as for the whole

group, with labour and rents per 100 acres almost identically the same.

Since 1947-48 the margin of profit on the identical group of farms has increased annually, but at a slightly higher level than for the whole group. In general the results for the identical group bear out those obtained for the group as a whole.

IV. FARMS NORTH AND SOUTH OF THE FORTH

The following table shows the 79 farms composing the group in 1950-51 divided into two sub-groups according to their situation North or South of the River Forth. It gives the average cropping and net output and expenditure for each of these sub-groups; 41 farms are situated North of the Forth and 38 farms are South of that river.

The table illustrates some of the main differences between these two sub-groups in regard to size and utilisation of the Farms North of the Forth averaging 248 acres in size are smaller by 67 acres than the average size of farm in the South of the Forth sub-group. In 1949-50 the North group averaged 106 acres less in size than the South group, but changes in the composition of the group in 1950-51 have increased the average size in the North from 240 to 248 acres and reduced that of the South farms from 346 to 315 acres. Looking at the utilisation of the land exclusive of grass either mown or grazed, 56 per cent of the total acreage is under arable crops in both sub-groups, but the ratios of the different crops grown are very different. Out of every 100 acres on these farms in the North, oats are grown on 19, potatoes on 11, wheat on 7½ and barley on 7 acres; in the South barley is grown on $19\frac{5}{4}$ acres, oats on $12\frac{1}{2}$, potatoes on 7, and wheat on 61 acres. Turnips and swedes occupy 61 acres out of every 100 on both sub-groups, but sugar beet has 2 acres in the North to 1 in the South. More hay is cut in the North area than in the South. Compared with 1949-50 there has been a small decrease in tillage on the North area farms and a small increase in tillage on the South area farms. Slight changes have also taken place in the proportions of the various crops grown. Wheat and potatoes occupied a greater proportion of the total acreage both North and South of the Forth with barley and oats occupying a reduced acreage in both areas in 1950-51.

The general pattern of farming in the two sub-groups is little changed despite these differences in cropping. In the North potato growing associated with cattle feeding still accounts for almost half of the total net output. In the South barley is still the most important crop with sheep the main

livestock enterprise.

TABLE X. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE OF 41 ARABLE FARMS NORTH OF THE FORTH AND 38 ARABLE FARMS SOUTH OF THE FORTH, 1950-51 (CROP YEAR, 1950)

NORTH Average Size of Farm, 248 Acres				SOUTH Average Size of Farm, 315 Acres				
CROPPING NET OUTPUT		ITEM	NET OUTPUT		CROPPING			
Per 100 Acres Per 100 Acres Per Cent			Per 100 Acres Per Cent		Per 100 Acres			
Wheat	. 7½ 7	£ 599 178	% 18 5½	Cattle . Sheep and Wool . Other Live-	£ 331 418	% 11½ 14	Wheat Barley	$6\frac{1}{2}$ $19\frac{1}{2}$
Oats	19	180 £957	$\frac{5\frac{1}{2}}{29\%}$	stock Produce . Total Livestock	177 £926	$\frac{6}{31\frac{1}{2}\%}$	Oats	$12\frac{1}{2}$
Potatoes Sugar Beet .	11 2	201 331 419 1038 136	6 9½ 12½ 31 4	Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Sugar Beet .	202 756 199 608 66	$ \begin{array}{c c} & 31_{2} \ 7 \\ & 25_{2}^{1} \\ & 7 \\ & 20_{2}^{1} \\ & 2 \end{array} $	Potatoes . Sugar Beet .	7 1
Turnips and Swedes .	$6\frac{1}{2}$	6 69 8 169		Other Roots Hay Straw . Other Crops		1 1½ 1½ 2½	Turnips and Swedes .	6½
Other Crops .	3	£2377 £3334	71%	Total Crops . Total Output	£2023 £2949	$\frac{68\frac{1}{2}\%}{100\%}$	Other Crops .	3
Tillage	56	NET Expendi- ture		ITEM	NET Expendi- ture		Tillage	56
Rotn. Grass— Mown . Not Mown . Perm. Grass .	10 22 9½	£ 233 188 292 900 120 487 308	$\begin{array}{c c} \% \\ 9 \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 35\frac{1}{2} \\ 5 \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ \end{array}$	Feeding Stuffs Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Ex- penses	£ 185 179 205 815 121 497 251	$\begin{array}{c c} \% \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 36\frac{1}{2} \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 22 \\ \end{array}$	Rotn. Grass—Mown . Not Mown . Perm. Grass .	$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 25 \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$
Rough Grazing .	$2\frac{1}{2}$	£2528	100%	TOTAL EXPENDITURE	£2253	100%	Rough Grazing .	31/2
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	£806	••	NET PROFIT .	£696			100

The total net output per 100 acres off the North farms is higher than the South farms both for livestock and crops, but their net expenditure per 100 acres was also higher for every item except rent and power. The margin of profit was still higher in the North, however, the profit per 100 acres at £806 being £225 better than in 1949-50 compared with the South farms' profit of £696 per 100 acres, an improvement of £193 over that of 1949-50.

V. COMPARISON BETWEEN 6 FARMS WITH HIGHEST PROFITS AND 6 FARMS WITH LOWEST PROFITS PER 100 ACRES IN 1950-51.

TABLE XI. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE

	6 Farn Highest	ns with Profits	6 Farms with Lowest Profits		
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	
	£	%	£	%	
Net Output— Cattle Sheep and Wool Other Livestock Produce	453 405 167	$10 \\ 9 \\ 3\frac{1}{2}$	$381 \\ 270 \\ 129$	$ \begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 13 \\ 6 \end{array} $	
TOTAL LIVESTOCK .	£1025	22½%	£780	38%	
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Sugar Beet Other Roots Hay Straw Other Crops	66 834 268 1806 348 12 11 7 141	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 6 \\ 40 \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array} $	103 326 183 332 49 58 51 152	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 16 \\ 9 \\ 16\frac{1}{2} \\ \vdots \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	
TOTAL CROPS	£3493	77½%	£1254	62%	
TOTAL OUTPUT Net Expenditure—	£4518	100%	£2034	100%	
Feeding Stuffs . Seeds Manures Labour Rent	177 226 226 1004 131 487 403	6½ 8½ 8½ 8½ 38 5 18½ 15	152 173 156 736 123 544 202	$ \begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 8 \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 35\frac{1}{2} \\ 6 \\ 26 \\ 10 \end{array} $	
TOTAL EXPENDITURE :	£2654	100%	£2086	100%	
NET PROFIT OR LOSS	£1864 Profit		£52 Loss		

The foregoing table shows the net output and expenditure per 100 acres and per cent on the six farms showing the highest profits compared with the six farms showing the lowest profits per 100 acres, this latter group including the three farms showing losses.

The total net output of the high profit farms is almost $2\frac{1}{4}$ times greater but their net expenditure was only little more than $1\frac{1}{4}$ times greater than that of the low profit group.

The average cropping of the two groups per 100 acres set out below shows that the high profit group had a much bigger proportion of their farms under arable crops.

TABLE XII. Comparison between 6 Farms with Highest Profits and 6 Farms with Lowest Profits, 1950-51

		ms with t Profits	6 Farms with Lowest Profits	
Crop	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Turnips and Swedes Other Crops Hay Pasture Mountain and Heath Total	Acres $\frac{4}{39}$ $\frac{31}{31}$ $\frac{38\frac{1}{2}}{14\frac{1}{2}}$ $\frac{18}{7}$ $\frac{70\frac{1}{2}}{13}$ $\frac{235\frac{1}{2}}{2}$	Acres 2 16½ 13 16½ 6 7½ 2 31 5½ 100	Acres 16 38 $48\frac{1}{2}$ $14\frac{1}{2}$ $20\frac{1}{2}$ 11 $23\frac{1}{2}$ $138\frac{1}{2}$ 12 $322\frac{1}{2}$	Acres $ \begin{array}{c} 5\\ 12\\ 15\\ 4\frac{1}{2}\\ 6\frac{1}{2}\\ 3\\ 7\frac{1}{2}\\ 43\\ 3\frac{1}{2}\\ \hline 100 $

The farms in the high profit group averaged $235\frac{1}{2}$ acres in size and the low profit group $322\frac{1}{2}$ acres respectively.

The high profit farms, in addition to having a greater proportion of arable crops per 100 acres, have a much larger proportion of the more lucrative cash crops—barley, potatoes and sugar beet. Due to their concentration on these crops, yielding high cash returns per acre, their total net output of crops is about $2\frac{3}{4}$ times as great as that of the low profit grcup. Notwithstanding their higher proportion of arable crops the high profit farms have also a very much bigger net output of stock and the additional expenditure incurred by them for purchased feeding stuffs was negligible in comparison.

Net expenditure on the high profit farms is naturally higher for such items as feeding stuffs mentioned already and for seeds, manures and labour because of their much more intensive cropping programme. Rents paid, however, were very little higher on the most profitable farms and power costs were considerably lower, but general expenses were practically twice as costly compared with the low profit farms.

Average valuations on the high profit farms amounted to approximately £26 per acre compared with £23 on the low profit farms, but the total sales off the high profit group averaged 188 per cent of their average valuation compared with 124 per

cent of their average valuation on the low profit group.

As these high profit farms do not appear to have any obvious natural advantages compared with the low profit group—both groups being widely scattered over the College area—it would appear that their much better profits are due to the system of farming they have adopted. With their higher proportion of arable crops and their concentration on crops yielding high cash returns they have been enabled to carry, in addition, a higher concentration of livestock with very little extra outlay for purchased foods.

VI. CONCLUSION

The improved average profit shown by this group of farms in 1950-51 has only been made possible because they have continued to maintain the high average output achieved by them in 1949-50. Prices for their produce having risen compared with 1949-50; their total returns have more than covered their additional costs.

The cash position of these farmers has also improved because out of their higher profits, reinvestment in the form of higher valuations at the end of their financial year only amounted to

£274 in 1950-51 compared with £525 in 1949-50.

The figures of improved output and profit shown by the whole group have been in general corroborated by the figures shown by the 53 identical farms within the group over the same period.

There still appears to be room for further improvement in output on many of these farms if the example given by the most profitable farms was followed.

GROUP V.—59 DAIRY FARMS, 1950-51.

I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Introductory

Dairy farming, as now generally understood, has only become established as a normal farming practice in the area served by the Edinburgh and East of Scotland College of Agriculture within comparatively recent times. Previous to the 1914-18 War, the majority of those farms producing milk for sale in the area supplied their output either directly to consumers in their own vicinity or to retail dairy shops in the neighbouring towns or villages. At that time also a considerable proportion of the milk consumed in the larger towns was produced in what were termed "town dairies" situated within the towns. The cows were kept continuously within doors from the time of their purchase as newly calved cows in milk until they were disposed of as dry cows for slaughter. These establishments purchased all their requirements of hay, straw and forage crops from arable farms on the outskirts of the towns in which they were situated.

This form of dairying has now been almost completely eliminated. Customers in those days in many cases rejected milk unless it was "warm from the cow" and local milk producers would deliver supplies both in the morning and afternoon in order to satisfy this demand. In those days the standards of hygiene and methods of handling milk were far below present-day requirements and milk frequently became sour if kept as much as twenty-four hours. As the populations of the towns increased, local supplies of milk failed to meet the demand and milk had to be bought from farms much farther away. This necessitated sending it by rail, and gradually a system of milk wholesaling was established by firms which set up depots convenient to the railways from which they distributed these supplies to shops and customers.

In the early 1920s with the decline in arable and stock farming many farmers turned to milk production, disposing of their supplies to these wholesalers. In the 1930s the Scottish Milk Marketing Board was established, and now the great majority of the farms producing milk in the area have their output collected daily at their farms by the "Board," who

are responsible for its handling from then on. Very few farmers in the area now either retail directly or wholesale their milk to retail shops. Of the 59 farms studied only 4 farms are retailers or producer-wholesalers.

Situation

The 59 farms dealt with in this Report are situated throughout the College area as follows: Midlothian 7, East Lothian 5, West Lothian 14, Peeblesshire 13, Selkirkshire 2, Roxburghshire 1, Berwickshire 2, East Perthshire 2, Fife 6, Angus 5 and Kinross-shire 2. These farms could be regarded as having belonged, in former times, to any one of the four major types of farms—hill sheep, stock rearing, stock raising and feeding, or arable—already dealt with in this Report and in Bulletin 34, previously issued, on which milk cows have been introduced either as an additional source of income or as substitutes for rearing and feeding cattle.

Size of Farm

Farm acreages in this group range from a minimum of $23\frac{1}{2}$ acres to a maximum of 1169 acres. The average size is

245 acres including 56 acres of mountain and heath.

The acreage distribution of the farms is shown in Table I. The 9 farms shown in the group over 400 acres in extent contain over 90 per cent of the total mountain and heath included in the whole group. Of these 9 farms 2 have no mountain and heath and 1 other only 5 per cent of its total acreage as such; these were arable type farms before the introduction of the dairy cows. Of the remaining 7 farms 2 are normally hill sheep farms having a proportion of good, comparatively low-lying ground which has been utilised to maintain the dairy herd; the other 5 are stock raising and feeding farms on which dairying has replaced beef production.

The majority of the farms under 400 acres in extent are of the arable type on which dairy cows have replaced feeding cattle, but a few of these smaller farms were formerly of the stock rearing type (dealt with in Group II., Bulletin No. 34) or the stock raising and feeding type on which dairy cows

have been introduced in comparatively recent times.

Milk is the largest single item sold off these 59 farms amounting to 47 per cent of the total farm sales, and 53 per cent of the net farm output. On only 3 farms within the group does milk take a secondary place and then only by small amounts.

TABLE I. SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Size in Acres	Up to 50	51- 100	101- 150	151- 200	201- 300	301- 400	Over 400
No. of Farms .	11	12	8	5	8	6	9

Rents

Assessed rents show wide variations both per farm and per acre, the very large farms with a high proportion of mountain and heath land are naturally rented at a low figure per acre over all; those with 50 per cent or over of mountain and heath have rents ranging from 7s. 5d. to 10s. 9d. per acre.

The assessed rents are shown in the following tables (a) per farm, (b) per acre.

TABLE II. (a) Assessed Rents per Farm

Rents	Up to £50	£51- £100	£101- £200	£201- £300	£301- £400		£501- £600	Over £600
No. of Farms .	5	18	7	10	.4	5	6	4

(b) Assessed Rents per Acre

Rents	Up to 10/-	10/1- 15/-	15/1- 20/-	20/1- 25/-	25/1- 30/-	30/1- 35/-	35/1- 40/-	Over 40/-
No. of Farms	6	7	8	10	4	9	9	6

The average rent is very nearly £1, 2s. per acre and ranges from 7s. 5d. to £3, 0s. 11d. The average rent is up by rather less than 2s. per acre compared with 1949-50. Part of this is due to the inclusion of buildings insurance in the rent, but there have been small increases in rent in several cases. On 3 farms substantial increases have been made in negotiating new leases; in one of these cases the increase was as much as 18s. per acre.

Beef Production

There is very little surplus feeding available after the needs of the dairy herds are satisfied and only in one or two exceptional cases are bullocks fattened. Fat cattle sold off these farms are either heifers which have proved unsuitable for dairy purposes, or cast cows and bulls.

Sheep

On the farms with considerable areas of grazing ground, ewe flocks are generally kept—Cheviots and Blackfaced on the rougher hill grazings and Half-Breds on the better ground. The lambs produced may be either sold as stores or fattened on these farms. Twenty-three of these farms have ewe flocks and 4 others with no breeding ewes buy in store sheep for feeding.

Cropping

The average cropping per farm is shown in the following table for the three years 1948-49, 1949-50, 1950-51.

TABLE III. CROPPING: A 3-YEAR COMPARISON

	19	48	19	49	1950		
	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Turnips and Swedes Other Crops Rotation Hay	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Acres} \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 33 \\ 11 \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 22 \\ \end{array}$	$3\frac{1}{2}$ 3 14 $4\frac{1}{2}$ 5 3	Acres 6 $4\frac{1}{2}$ $35\frac{1}{2}$ $9\frac{1}{2}$ 13 7 $20\frac{1}{2}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} $	Acres $ \begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 34\frac{1}{2} \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 20\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	3 2 14 4 5	
Rotation Grass Permanent Grass Mountain and Heath	$ \begin{array}{c c} & 22 \\ & 52 \\ & 30 \\ & 52 \\ \hline & 233 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 22\frac{1}{2} \\ 13 \\ 22\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline 100 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 64\frac{1}{2} \\ 27 \\ 56\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 11 \\ 23 \\ \hline 100 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 62\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \\ 56 \\ \hline \\ 245 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 25\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 23 \\ \hline 100 \end{array} $	

Over the three years shown there have been only slight fluctuations in the average cropping of the group. The cropping programme on these farms has become more or less stabilised and, although they endeavour to provide as much as possible of their dairy cows' rations by home-grown foods, there is still

a considerable quantity available for direct sale.

There has been a slight improvement in the quantity of crops sold in 1950-51 compared with 1949-50. Potatoes provide the largest amount of income from crops followed by oats; although large quantities of oats are consumed by livestock on these farms there is still a considerable surplus available for sale. Wheat and barley are grown in much smaller quantities and their combined sales total about four-fifths of the sales of oats.

The proportion of the different crops grown is very variable from farm to farm as may be expected from their wide range of situation. The following table shows the distribution of the four main cash crops—wheat, barley, oats and potatoes—

over this group of farms in 1950-51.

TABLE IV. ACREAGE OF MAIN SALE CROPS GROWN PER FARM, 1950

	None	Up to 10 Acres	11-20 Acres	21-30 Acres	31-40 Acres	Over 40 Acres
			Number	of Farms		
Wheat	27	17	7	6	1	1
Barley	42	7	4	3	2	1
Oats	3	8	14	6	8	20
Potatoes (including potato land let)	8	34	9	5	2	1

As will be seen, nearly three-fourths of the farms studied grew no barley, and nearly one-half of them grew no wheat. It is surprising to find that some of them, although only three, grew no oats.

Labour

The proportion of family labour employed on these farms is higher than in the other groups reported upon. Because of the number of comparatively small sized farms within the group—over 50 per cent of these farms are under 150 acres in size—the farmer and his family undertake a considerable proportion of the dairy work. On 30 of the farms within the group, one or more members of the farmer's family are employed full time on dairy work, in addition to the farmer and his wife.

Hired workers, in addition to the farmer's family, average four per farm—three men and one woman or boy.

Size of Herd

The average size of dairy herd consists of 33 dairy cows plus 40 young stock and 1 bull. The number of milk cows per farm ranged from 4 to 156. Thirty-two farms have under 30 cows (8 of these have under 10 cows); 21 have from 31 to 60 cows and 6 farms have over 60 cows. Of these 6 large dairy farms (4 of which were of the arable type and 2 of the stock raising and feeding type) 3 had herds of 67 or 68 cows, 2 had herds of between 80 and 90 cows, and the very largest had just over 150 cows.

Importance of Milk Production

The range of productivity of these dairy farms may be deduced from the following table showing the value of the milk sold per cow per annum.

TABLE V. VALUE OF MILK SALES

Per Cow	£61-	£81-	£101-	Over
per Annum	£80	£100	£120	£120
No. of Farms	7	26	13	11

The average returns for milk sold approximated closely to £107 per cow, the returns per cow ranging from just under £50 per cow to £172. On the two farms which had returns below £50 per cow there had, in each case, been difficulty in getting their cows in calf and both had exceptionally high numbers of dry cows throughout the year. The farm with the highest returns is one of the few producer-retailers selling "certified" milk.

The total amount of milk sold off the farms in this group during 1950-51 amounted to 1,315,023 gallons or 22,288 gallons per farm.

TABLE VI. MILK AS PERCENTAGE OF NET OUTPUT

Percentage	Not exceeding 40%	41%- 50%	51%- 60%	61%- 70%	71%- 80%	Over 80%
No. of Farms	9	11	10	18	8	3

On just under two-thirds of these farms milk sales represent over 50 per cent of the total net output. On the farms showing over 80 per cent of their net output as milk, practically the whole economy is directed towards producing milk, any other sales are mainly surplus dairy stock and crops which occasionally may exceed their own requirements.

II. CAPITAL INVESTED

The average capital invested in live and dead stock is shown per farm, per 100 acres and per cent in the following table.

TABLE VII. AVERAGE CAPITAL INVESTED IN LIVE AND DEAD STOCK, 1950-51

	No.	Per Farm	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
Livestock—		£	£	%
Horses, mainly Working	2	89	36	11
Dairy Cows	33	1311	534	$23\frac{1}{4}$
Bulls	1	96	39	11
Cattle, under 1 year	14	170	69	3
Cattle, 1-2 years	13	293	119	$5\frac{1}{2}$
Cattle, over 2 years, mainly Breeding .	13	444	181	8
Breeding Ewes (63) and Lambs		366	149 .	$6\tfrac{1}{\tfrac{1}{2}}$
Rams	2	17	. 7	1 1
Ewe Hoggs	15	65	26	1
Feeding Sheep	13	48	20	1
Poultry and Pigs	••	88	36	11/2
TOTAL LIVESTOCK .	•	£2987	£1216	53½%
Crops and Produce—				-
Grain—Wheat, Barley and Oats .		251	102	41
Hay and Straw		86	35	$\begin{array}{c} 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$
Potatoes		44	18	1 1
Other Crops, Produce &c		86	35	$1\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL CROPS AND PRODUCE .		£467	£190	81%
Cultivations, Crops in Ground and U.M.R.	•	£753	£307	13½%
Implements and Fixtures		£1357	£552	24½%
TOTAL		£5564	£2265	100%

The average capital invested per acre at £22, 13s. shows an increase of 17s. 7d. per acre compared with 1949-50. The increase in the closing valuation compared with the opening valuation for 1950-51 was 12s. 7d. per acre. These valuations

have to be considered as minimum figures for this type of farm because they are drawn from established concerns where the livestock may be worth more in the open market than the figures at which they are maintained in the farmer's accounts. As with the other groups already dealt with, equipment has been written down by the amounts allowed by the Inland Revenue (including initial allowances on new purchases) and thus stands in the valuation at much lower figures than current replacement costs. To set up in business as a dairy farmer with no more capital than is shown in Table VII. above would require the maximum of skill in selecting equipment and stock.

III. FINANCIAL RESULTS

The average Profit and Loss Account for this group of 59 farms is set out on page 46, giving the main items of income and expenditure and the profit (a) for the average farm, (b) per 100 acres. The average profit amounts to £1312 per farm and is £16 lower than that for 1949-50. The size of the average farm—245 acres—despite changes in the composition of the group, is only 1 acre more than in 1949-50. On the per acre basis the drop in profits is just under 2s. per acre; to all intents and purposes the financial results for the two years are identical.

Receipts in general all showed increases with the exception of the total for Government Subsidies and Grants which were rather lower than in 1949-50. Milk accounts for almost 47 per cent of the total cash receipts, and together with sales of dairy stock almost 60 per cent of the income on these farms. Total sales of crops amount to almost half the milk sales and sales of sheep bring in about two-thirds of the total for sales of cattle. The numbers of stock sold and the quantities of crops all showed increases compared with 1949-50.

Expenditure also increased under almost every heading, the heaviest increase being for purchased foods which cost almost £41 per cow compared with £31 in 1949-50 and £20 in 1947-48. Purchases of sheep and other livestock cost rather less in 1950-51 and payments for general expenses cost the same per acre in both years.

The closing valuation is higher by £155 per farm or 12s. 7d. per acre than the opening valuation. This is just about two-thirds of the amount shown as reinvested by the farmers in this group in 1949-50.

TABLE VIII. AVERAGE PROFIT AND LOSS ACCOUNT OF 59 DAIRY FARMS, 1950-51.

Average Size of Farm: Crops and Grass, 189 Acres; Mountain and Heath, 56 Acres; Total, 245 Acres.

)r.							1 1 1		Cr.
	Per	Farm	Per 10	0 Acres		Per	Farm	Per 10	0 Acres
	No.	£	No.	£		No.	£	No.	£
o Valuation of Live and Dead					By Cattle sold—	2			
Stock (at commencement)		5,487		2234	Dairy Cows and Heifers .	12	666	5	271
, Cattle bought—					Cast Cows	5	151	2	62
Dairy Cows and In-Calf					Fat Cattle (incl. Bulls) .	2	128		3
Heifers	6	336	2	137	All others	16	81	6	55
Bulls		59		24	" Sheep and Wool sold—		100		
Calves	1	7		3	Lambs and Hoggs, Store .	54	255	22	104
Store	$\overline{2}$	82		33	Lambs and Hoggs, Fat .	46	268	18	10
, Sheep bought—		02		00	Ewes and Rams	19	100	- 8	4
Breeding Sheep	16	95	7	39	Wool (lb.)	431	55	175	2
Store Lambs	24	105	10	43	., Pigs sold	5	89	2	3
Pigs bought .	24	41	10	16	Other Livestock sold		10		
Other Livestock bought.		23		9	Milk sold		3,548		144
		1,340		545	" Eggs, Poultry &c. sold .		103		4
, Feeding Stuffs		220		90	Crops sold—				
		515		209	Wheat (cwt.)	158	198	65	8
,, Manures (incl. Lime) .		662		270	Barley (cwt.)	122	193	50	7
, Implements and Fixtures .		1.648		671	Oats (cwt.)	423	467	172	19
, Labour (incl. Perquisites)		267		109	Potatoes (tons)	68-14	643	27-18	26
, Rent (incl. Building Insurance)		201		109	Other Crops	00 11	238		ç
, Repairs, Fuel, Threshing,		637		259	Government Subsidies and		-00		
Tractor Expenses &c.		037		259	Grants—				:
"General Expenses—Stock and		,			Potato Acreage Payment .		82		3
Crop Expenses, Haulage,					Land Fertility Scheme Rebate		84		3
Rates and Insurance, Car		400		198	All others		98		4
Expenses &c		486	1.0		Miscellaneous Receipts		133		
, Bank Interest		21		504			100	1	
" Net Profit for the year	1	1,312		534			111		4
					Workers' Perquisites &c.		111		4
					" Valuation of Live and Dead		5,642		229
					Stock (at close)	1 1 1	0,042		428
•						1000			
		£13,343		£5432			£13,343		£543

TABLE IX. TREND OF PROFITS

Year No. of Farr		No. of Farms	Average Acreage	Average Profit				
		studied	per Farm	(a) Per Farm	(b) Per 100 Acres			
				£	£			
1939-40		25	317	815	257			
1940-41		24	339	1628	480			
1941-42		23	341	1507	442			
1942-43		25	336	1758	520			
1943-44		28	342	2068	605			
1944-45	• .	29	306	1536	501			
1945-46		37	291	1207	415			
1946-47	•	41	284	1302	458			
1947-48	•	42	295	1338	454			
1948-49		63	233	1183	508			
1949-50		57	244	1328	543			
1950-51		59	245	1312	534			

The above table shows that although the 1950-51 profit is slightly lower than that of 1949-50 per 100 acres it is the third largest profit in the period from 1939 to 1951. The average profits per 100 acres earned by farms in this group have remained much steadier than those of the other groups already dealt with.

The distribution of profits and losses per 100 acres is shown below.

TABLE X. DISTRIBUTION OF PROFITS AND LOSSES PER
100 ACRES

Year	No. of Farms	Farms show- ing Losses per 100 Acres		Farms showing Profits per 100 Acres						
		Over £200	Nil- £200	Nil- £200	£201- £400	£401- £600	£601- £800	£801- £1000	Over £1000	
1945-46 1946-47 1947-48 1948-49 1949-50 1950-51	37 41 42 63 57 59	 4 5 2 5	1 3 2 5 3	6 8 8 8 5 13	9 7 10 12 8 3	9 6 5 5 9 8	6 9 7 8 8 7	3 5 3 9 4 6	3 3 5 14 16 14	

The above table shows how the diminution in their scale of profit has affected the farms in the group in 1950-51. Although the average profit remained relatively unchanged, the number

of farms showing losses has increased by one and the number of farms in the group showing profits up to £2 per acre has increased from 5 to 13 with fewer in each of the groups with profits up to £4, £6 and £8 per acre. Twenty of these farms still make profits of over £8 per acre; 14 of these, instead of 16 in 1949-50, make profits of over £10 per acre in 1950-51.

TABLE XI. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE

and the second s						
	1948	-49	1949	-50	1950	-51
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
Net Output	£ 220 133 1278 48	% 9 6 53 2	£ 234 188 1352 55	% 9 8 53 2	£ 275 191 1468 71	% 10 7 53 2
Total Livestock .	£1679	70%	£1829	72%	£2005	72%
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Hay and Straw Other Crops	78 77 162 304 25 86	3 3 7 13 1 3	72 57 165 297 22 94	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	96 80 191 319 18 85	$ \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $
TOTAL CROPS	£732	30%	£707	28%	£789	28%
TOTAL OUTPUT	£2411	100%	£2536	100%	£2794	100%
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Expenses	343 107 151 642 81 351 228	$ \begin{array}{c} 18 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 8 \\ 34 \\ 4 \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \end{array} $	425 87 152 639 82 366 242	$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 8 \\ 32 \\ 4 \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \end{array}$	558 90 172 671 90 426 253	$ \begin{array}{c} 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 19 \\ 11 \end{array} $
TOTAL EXPENDITURE .	£1903	100%	£1993	100%	£2260	100%
NET PROFIT	£508		£543		£534	

Both the total net output and net expenditure have shown annual increases from 1948-49 to 1950-51. The output of milk has remained at a steady 53 per cent of the total in each year shown with an increasing net output per 100 acres each year. In 1950-51 the only items having lower per 100 acre figures than in 1949-50 are hay and straw and "other crops" on the receipts side.

Feeding stuffs made the biggest increase on the expenditure side raising its proportion of the total to $24\frac{1}{2}$ per cent instead of 21 per cent in 1949-50 and 18 per cent in 1948-49. Although the cost of labour per 100 acres has gone up from £639 in 1949-50 to £671 in 1950-51 the percentage figure has fallen from 32 per cent to 30 per cent of the total net expenditure. Similarly seeds, manures and general expenses though costing more per 100 acres have smaller percentage figures in 1950-51.

An examination of the results of 34 farms within the group whose accounts are available for the five years from 1946-47 to 1950-51 shows that total net output and expenditure have risen year by year, but on the identical group of farms the profit margin has also risen each year. This is accounted for by the fact that, of the eight farms showing losses in 1950-51, only one is available in the identical group and that one of the smallest in size and loss.

The identical group averages $302\frac{1}{2}$ acres in size and the cropping policy has undergone no definite changes over the five years. In 1950-51 the cropping per 100 acres was wheat 4, barley 2, oats 15, potatoes $4\frac{1}{2}$, turnips and swedes 5, other crops $3\frac{1}{2}$, rotation hay $8\frac{1}{2}$, rotation grass 29, permanent grass 8,

mountain and heath 201 respectively.

From the cropping figures for these identical farms it is seen that they are rather more intensively arable than the average for the whole group and this is borne out by the figures in the following table. Sheep is the only item which is lower in output on the identical group than for the whole group. All the other items show a higher return per 100 acres. Expenditure shows less for feeding and more for seeds, manures, labour, power and general expenses; rent is very little different per 100 acres.

As mentioned above, the identical farm group includes only one of the farms showing losses in 1950-51, the accounting material for the other seven not being available over the whole

period.

TABLE XII. NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE ON 34 IDENTICAL FARMS FOR 5 YEARS

	194	16-47	194	17-48	194	18-49	194	19-50	. 198	50-51
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
	£	%	£	%	£	%	£	%	£	%
Net Output— Cattle Sheep and Wool Milk Other Livestock Produce	161 95 1025 19	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 51\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	243 106 1133 17	11 5	251 138 1287 27	$\frac{10}{5\frac{1}{2}}$	288 168 1496 37	10	342 171 1599 38	$ \begin{array}{c c} 11 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 51 \end{array} $
TOTAL LIVESTOCK .	£1300	65%	£1499	69%	£1703	69%	£1989	70%	£2150	69%
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Hay and Straw Other Crops	74 73 154 319 22 48	$\begin{vmatrix} 8^2 \\ 16 \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \end{vmatrix}$	79 57 138 306 18 69	$egin{array}{c} 2rac{1}{2} \\ 6rac{1}{2} \\ 14 \\ 1 \end{array}$	92 66 190 328 28 73	$\frac{2\frac{1}{2}}{7\frac{1}{2}}$	95 67 198 362 25 105	$egin{array}{c} 2rac{1}{2} \\ 7 \\ 12rac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{array}$	116 94 231 403 20 106	$13 \atop \frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL CROPS	£690	35%	£667	31%	£777	31%	£852	30%	£970	31%
TOTAL OUTPUT .	£1990	100%	£2166	100%	£2480	100%	£2841	100%	£3120	100%
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs Seeds Manures Labour Rent Power General Expenses Total Expenditure	228 83 119 562 81 288 174 £1535		260 99 139 607 81 310 195 £1691	15½ 6 8 36 5 18 11½ 100%	299 108 155 663 82 344 242 £1893	$5\frac{1}{2}$ 8 $34\frac{1}{2}$ 5 18 13	413 98 176 712 86 390 269 £2144	$\begin{array}{c} 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 8 \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 4 \\ 18 \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{c} 4\frac{1}{2} \\ 7\frac{1}{2} \\ 31 \\ 4 \\ 19 \\ 11 \\ \hline 100\% \end{array} $
NET PROFIT	£455		£475		£587		£697		£705	

IV. COMPARISON BETWEEN 6 FARMS WITH HIGHEST PROFITS AND 6 FARMS WITH BIGGEST LOSSES, 1950-51.

As an illustration of the wide range of output and expenditure within this group, the following table shows the net output and expenditure per 100 acres for the six farms showing the highest profits with corresponding figures for the six farms showing the biggest losses.

TABLE XIII. COMPARISON BETWEEN 6 FARMS WITH HIGHEST PROFITS AND 6 FARMS WITH BIGGEST LOSSES, 1950-51

NET OUTPUT AND EXPENDITURE

	6 Farn Highest	ns with Prefits	6 Farm Biggest	
	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent	Per 100 Acres	Per Cent
Net Output— Cattle	£ 584 91 2328 44	$\%$ $11\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ $45\frac{1}{2}$ 1	£ 104 331 1140 195	$\frac{5\frac{1}{2}}{17}$ $\frac{59}{10\frac{1}{2}}$
Total Livestock .	£3047	$59\frac{1}{2}\%$	£1770	92%
Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Hay and Straw Other Crops	257 70 447 1115 41 153	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 21\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{array}$	4 3 28 69 1 44	$ \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ \vdots \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \end{cases} $
TOTAL CROPS	£2083	$40\frac{1}{2}\%$	£149	8%
TOTAL OUTPUT	£5130	100%	£1919	100%
Net Expenditure— Feeding Stuffs . Seeds . Manures . Labour . Rent . Power . General Expenses . Total Expenditure . Net Profit or Loss .	729 118 281 1140 125 693 358 £3444 £1686	$\begin{array}{c} 21\frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 8 \\ 33 \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 20 \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \\ 100\% \\ \end{array}$	864 • 55 165 579 79 359 235 £2336	$\begin{array}{c} 37 \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \\ 7 \\ 25 \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ \hline \\ 100\% \\ \hline \end{array}$
2122 22022 020 2000	Profit		Loss	

The above table shows the great differences in output and expenditure per 100 acres which are to be found on examining the financial returns for any group of farms contained in a general classification such as the Dairy Farm group being dealt with here.

About their only point of similarity is that in both these sub-groups the net output of milk is the largest single item of output in each case.

The cropping table shown below shows how different are the farming systems of these two sub-groups.

TABLE XIV. Comparison between 6 Farms with Highest Profits and 6 Farms with Biggest Losses, 1950-51

Cropping, 1950

	Per Farm Pe Cer Acres		Per Cent
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Acres	
Wheat Wheat Barley Oats Potatoes Turnips and Swedes Other Crops Rotation Hay Rotation Grass Permanent Grass Mountain and Heath	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{4} \\ \vdots \\ 16 \\ 1 \\ 5\frac{1}{4} \\ 1 \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 28 \\ 30\frac{1}{2} \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 100 \end{array} $

The high profit farms are of the arable type dealt with in Group IV. but the six farms with the biggest losses are of the livestock type closely resembling the stock rearing farms dealt with in Bulletin No. 34. The farms showing losses are, with one exception, relatively small with a considerable proportion of the manual work done by the farmer and his wife, thus partly accounting for the lower wages paid per 100 acres.

On those farms showing losses net output of sheep and "other livestock," mainly poultry and eggs, are the only items which are higher in value than the corresponding items on the high profit farms. The total output on the high profit farms amounted to more than two and one-half times that of the farms showing the biggest losses and is about 190 per cent better than the average for the whole group. The output of crops on the high profit farms is actually fourteen times greater than for the farms showing losses and indicates the vast difference there is in the fertility and crop bearing capacities of these two sub-groups.

Net expenditure is about one and one-half times greater on the high profit group but they actually spend less on purchased feeding stuffs per 100 acres than the farms with the biggest

losses.

V. CONCLUSION

This group of farms has, on the average, managed to maintain a comparatively stable level of profits per 100 acres over the past ten years or so. Increased costs have, in general, been recouped by increased returns; although there is a small decline in the level of profit of the whole group, the 34 identical farms available for the past five years show increasing profits each year over that period.

The wide differences in the conditions under which milk is produced on farms within the group are illustrated by the figures for the 6 most profitable farms and the 6 farms with the biggest losses. These figures demonstrate that dairying does not prosper on these low productive farms any more than

their more normal stock rearing systems.

Over the group as a whole the amount of profit already "tied up" in the form of increased valuations at the end of the year is lower than in 1949-50 being £155 per farm compared with £238. Thus, although the total profit is slightly less the eash margin has improved over the year.

PART II. AVERAGE PRICES AND RETURNS

I. LIVESTOCK

In order to illustrate the general movement in livestock prices and to obtain as much information thereon as possible, the total purchases and sales of the various classes of livestock for the three groups of farms dealt with in Part I. of this Report have been merged.

The following table shows these aggregate figures for 1950-51

with comparative data for 1949-50 and 1948-49.

TABLE I. AVERAGE PRICES *

	1948-49			194	1949-50				1950-51			
	Total No.		era Pric	age e	Total No.		vera Pric		Total No.		era	
(a) LIVESTOCK BOUGHT-		£	s.	d.		£	s.	d.	1 , 4	£	s.	d.
Cattle— Dairy Cows and In-Calf Heifers. Breeding Cows and	388	56	17	5	349	63	12	2	398	56	13	10
In-Calf Heifers . Bulls	393 65 555	43 87 10	4 7 11	4 0 7	58	42 75 12	16 5 1	8 11 5		130	15 4 11	. 0
Store Cattle. Sheep— Breeding Ewes,	3,627	45	2	3			16	8		44	4	
Gimmers and Ewe Hoggs . Rams	6,455 157	6 30			5,682 184	7 35		'2 3	6,426 189	7 35	6	9
Store Sheep	8,086 587	6	7 4	5 7	9,402 975		16 13	0	10,398 1,421	8	9 15	
(b) Livestock Sold— Cattle—			٠		*							
Cast Cows Breeding Cows and Heifers	933	28 53	0 8	10 7	460 1,020	59	13	9	551 1,146	56	17 2	2
Store Cattle	695 3,749	39 58	8	11 3	1,065 3,567		17 19	4 0	1,314 3,907	37 63	11 8	9
Store Lambs	13,696 3,463 1,416	5 5 5	0 8 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 11 \\ 0 \end{array}$	13,423 4,343 2,138		$\begin{array}{c} 4\\16\\13\end{array}$	10 8 4	14,182 4,176 1,946	5 6 6	$\begin{smallmatrix} 9\\10\\7\end{smallmatrix}$	10 1 0
Fat Hoggs Draft Ewes Fat Ewes	11,526 2,547 3,319	6 4	14	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 11 \\ 2 \end{array}$	13,180 $3,082$ $2,822$	7 4	9	6 1 8	12,054 3,777 3,527	6 4	12 10 11	5 8 9
Rams	869	16 6 16	12	3 5	1,049		15	2 6	383 659	18 7	3 19 11	7
Fat Pigs Produce— Wool	367 lb. 136,677	0	2	3	883 lb. 158,104	19 0	16 2	5 5	1,579 lb. 162,563	20 0	2	10 5

^{*} Numbers bought or turned over are inserted mainly as indications of the sizes of the samples from which statistics are drawn.

Looking at the prices paid for livestock bought it will be seen that the only changes of note were in respect of dairy cows and in-calf heifers which were almost £7 per head cheaper on the average than in 1949-50 and store pigs which were dearer by £1, 2s. 6d. per head. Prices paid for bulls purchased were very much higher than in either 1948-49 or 1949-50, but the numbers purchased were small and individual prices extremely variable, depending to a large extent on the personal choice or needs of the purchasers for their own particular requirements.

The decrease in the average price of purchased dairy cows and heifers was due in part to doubts about the future prospects for milk production after the 1950 price review adjustments, and also to the more plentiful supply of dairy heifers coming

on the market.

The increased demand and prices paid for store pigs was a reflection of the more optimistic view of the prospects for fat pig production engendered during the year. Purchases of sheep showed increases in numbers both for feeding and breeding, but the average prices paid were slightly lower than in 1949-50.

Prices realised by sales of livestock followed much the same course as the cost of purchases of similar types. Breeding cows and heifers and store cattle sold were slightly cheaper per head than in 1949-50. Fat cattle sold made a small increase in the price received per head compared with 1949-50. Young sheep sold, both store and fat, made improved prices compared with 1949-50, store lambs made 5s. more, fat lambs 13s. 5d., store hoggs 7s. 11d. and fat hoggs 13s. 8d. more per head; fat ewes averaged 2s. 1d. per head more but draft ewes were 18s. 5d. per head less than in 1949-50. Pigs bought and sold all made better prices than in 1949-50 with a big increase in the number of fat pigs sold.

TABLE II. CATTLE—GROSS PROFIT MARGIN

Year	No. of	Store	Cattle Bought	Fa	t Cattle Sold	Average		
1945-46 1946-47 1947-48 1948-49 1949-50 1950-51	19 31 37 39 30 26	No. 1467 1792 2519 2582 2264 2181	Average Price £33 2 10 £34 4 5 £41 11 7 £47 12 9 £49 6 8 £48 15 5	No. 1363 1793 2412 2364 2132 2209	Average Price £44 3 5 £48 2 2 £56 16 0 £61 16 8 £65 2 0 £67 11 7	Margin of Gross Profit #11 0 7 #13 17 9 #15 4 5 #14 3 11 #15 15 4 #18 16 2		

The margin shown above represents the difference in price between store cattle bought and fat cattle sold for each of the years from 1945-46 to 1950-51 on farms where no cattle are bred. The figure does not represent the inbuying price and selling price of identical lots of cattle. In 1950-51, 2181 store cattle were purchased at an average cost of £48, 15s. 5d. and 2209 fat cattle were sold off the same farms at an average price of £67, 11s. 7d., the margin being £18, 16s. 2d. This was a considerable improvement over 1949-50 and the biggest margin so far shown in these Reports, but whether it is sufficient to cover the increasing costs of feeding is a moot point.

TABLE III. SHEEP—GROSS PROFIT MARGIN

No. of		Store	Lambs Bought	Fat	Hoggs Sold	Average Margin of		
	Farms	No.	Average Price	No.	Average Price	Gross Profit		
1945-46 1946-47 1947-48 1948-49 1949-50 1950-51	22 23 16 19 20 20	4707 4486 2688 3155 3550 3447	£3 3 1 £3 4 8 £4 4 8 £4 13 0 £5 1 10 £4 12 2	4047 4633 2228 2698 3068 3249	£5 0 10 £4 18 0 £6 9 0 £6 19 8 £7 5 3 £7 6 5	£1 17 9 £1 13 4 £2 4 4 £2 6 8 £2 3 5 £2 14 3		

The margins shown for the three years from 1945-46 to 1950-51 are derived by a process similar to that employed in the case of cattle. All farms in the three groups dealt with in this Report, having no breeding sheep of their own, but buying in store lambs for feeding, had their purchases and sales of sheep grouped together. In 1950-51, 20 farms with no breeding sheep purchased 3447 store lambs at an average cost of £4, 12s. 2d. per head and during the same year these 20 farms sold 3249 fat hoggs at an average price of £7, 6s. 5d. per head, the margin being £2, 14s. 3d. per head. This is a decided improvement compared with 1949-50 and 1948-49 and is also the highest margin shown so far, for this class of stock, These farmers had been discouraged by the in these Reports. low margin obtained in 1949-50 so that they were more cautious in their purchases, paying less than in either 1949-50 or 1948-49 for their store lambs.

II. CROPS.

The total acreages of the principal sales crops and the quantities sold off per acre, together with their average selling prices, have been set out in the following table for 1950-51 together with comparative figures for 1948-49 and 1949-50.

TABLE IV. CROP SALES AND PRICES

	1948-49				1949-50		1950-51			
Crop	Acreage grown	Quantity per Acre sold off	Price per Cwt.	Acreage grown	Quantity per Acre sold off	Price per Cwt.	Acreage grown	Quantity per Acre sold off	Price per Cwt.	
Wheat*. Barley . Oats . Potatoes*	Acres 2437 4639 8468 3010	Cwts. 16·8 18·2 10·8 5t. 19c.	£ s. d. 1 2 5 1 811 1 011 9 12 9†	Acres 1787 4703 7953 2562	Cwts. 23·6 23·9 13·0 7t. 8c.	£ s. d. 1 3 8 1 7 3 1 1 0 9 16 0†	Acres 2294 4338 8043 2603	Cwts. 18·6 24·9 13·7 7t. 12c.	£ s. d. 1 7 5 1 10 9 1 2 0 10 1 11†	

^{*} These prices are exclusive of Government Acreage Payments.

† Per ton.

Owing to changes in the numbers of farms included in the groups dealt with in this Report from year to year, acreage figures are not strictly comparable. What is shown, however, in the above table is a slight decrease in the barley acreage and an increase in the wheat acreage, neither of any great significance. The quantity of wheat available for sale per acre grown was rather lower in 1950-51 than in 1949-50, but it was still better than in 1948-49. The quantity of barley sold per acre actually improved in 1950-51 compared with the very good average figure for 1949-50. Oats also showed a bigger surplus sold per acre, but the quantities consumed on these farms may vary from year to year so that it is not possible to say whether the actual yield per acre was greater in 1950-51 than in previous years. The average prices realised were a good deal better in the case of wheat, 3s. 9d. per cwt., and barley, 3s. 6d. per cwt., with a slight improvement of 1s. per cwt. in the case of oats sold. Potato sales also showed a small increase in net weight per acre with a small increase in the price per ton of 5s. 11d.

The principal feature brought out in the foregoing table is the larger quantities of barley, oats and potatoes available for sale per acre grown in 1950-51 compared with 1949-50 which itself was a record year in this respect. Wheat sales per acre

declined, but were still higher than in 1948-49.

III. CONCLUSION

The results shown by the three groups of farms in 1950-51, reported upon in Part I., give a good indication of the general trend of profits on those types of farms throughout the College area.

The higher lying stock raising farms, from their situation on the less fertile and usually later districts of the area, have had their margin of profit reduced. With a cropping programme not very greatly reduced in intensity from that of the 1940s and with their lower per acre yields of crops compared with the farms in the highly arable districts, these farms found that the increases during 1950-51 in the cost of labour, manures, power, &c., outstripped the increased returns obtained.

On the arable group of farms, however, the yields per acre are much higher and the increased prices obtained for their produce rather more than covered their increase in expenditure so that they, in general, improved their margin of profit during the year. Dairy farms in the area generally found that the increases in their costs, especially for feeding, accentuated by the bad spring in 1951, did, on the average, rather more than counterbalance the small gains they made in milk prices during the year. On those dairy farms situated on what were formerly arable farms, the yields of the arable cropping side of their business kept up their profits, but on dairy farms situated on the higher farms of the stock rearing type, for example, the profit margin deteriorated very considerably in 1950-51.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Grateful acknowledgment is hereby made of the valuable assistance given by farmers and accountants collaborating in our accounts work, and of the care taken to provide us with accurate records. Each farmer will receive a copy of this Report, together with comparative tables relating to his own farm. Inquiries are invited from other farmers who might care to collaborate in this work.

APPENDIX

1. Valuation.

(a) Valuation of Permanent Stock.—In general, the breeding stock have been valued at conservative figures which are usually below current cost of replacements.

(b) Growing Crops and U.M.R.—The actual figures given by farmers or valuators have been used for these items. In those cases where no such figures were given in the accounts as originally prepared, estimated values have been inserted so that all farms should have particulars relating to capital investment on a comparable basis.

(c) Implements, Equipment, &c.—These have been depreciated on the bases agreed upon by the Inland Revenue Authorities viz., five-fourths of the standard rates. Where necessary, appropriate adjustments have been made to give effect to the concessions introduced by the Income Tax Act, 1945, and the Finance Act, 1947, for initial allowances, balancing charges and allowances, &c.

2. Net Output.

The net output of each class of livestock, crop or produce is calculated by subtracting any purchases from the sales. To this sum any increase in the valuations over the year is added, while any decrease is deducted. Any subsidies for stock and crop are added to their respective categories, e.g. :-

Net Output of cattle = (Sales + Closing Valuation) - (Purchases

+ Opening Valuation).

Net Output of Potatoes = (Sales + Closing Valuation (if any) + Acreage Payment) - Opening Valuation (if any).

3. Net Expenditure.

Net expenditure refers to all operating costs necessarily incurred in running the farm business, except those categories of expendituree.g., cattle bought—which are already dealt with in calculating net output. They comprise Purchased Foods, Seeds, Manures, Labour, Rent, Power, and General Expenses. Adjustments for changes in stocks on hand are made where required, and, where Government subsidies in effect reduce any costs, such receipts have been deducted from the appropriate items of cost.

Two grouped categories of net expenditure need further definition:

- (a) Power.—This includes the cost of repairs to implements, tractor expenses, fuel, hire charges, and the depreciation of implements and of horses.
- (b) General Expenses.—These include livestock expenses, crop expenses, rates, building repairs, carriage, car expenses, insurances, bank interest and sundries, less miscellaneous receipts.

4. Growing Crops and Unexhausted Manurial Residues.

Generally speaking, these represent costs which have been incurred up to the valuation dates on seed, manure, labour, and power. Any increase in the Growing Crop and U.M.R. Valuation is treated as Net Output and is included as such under the heading of Sundry Crops; any decrease is treated as an item of costs and is included in the Net Expenditure—with General Expenses.

5. Labour.

The accounts have been adjusted in such a way that no charge has been made for the labour of the farmer or for that of his wife; charges for other "family" or "unpaid" labour have been included.