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# Generating nonnegatively correlated binary random variates 

Minxing Chen<br>MD Anderson Cancer Center<br>Houston, TX<br>mchen3@mdanderson.org


#### Abstract

In this article, I discuss the methods for generating nonnegatively correlated binary random variates. I provide a new command, rbinary, with examples showing how the command can be used.
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## 1 Introduction

Correlated binary data are frequently encountered in clinical trials (for example, with or without certain disease symptoms) with repeated measures, system reliability analysis (for example, a machine fails or not), or the segmentation of marketing data (in which only two values, yes and no or 1 and 0 , are used by customers to respond to questionnaires). Simulating these types of data has many important applications. For example, as suggested by Park, Park, and Shin (1996), simulated binary random variates with specified mean structures and correlation structures can be used to evaluate the smallor finite-sample properties of estimators in the generalized estimating equation; they can also be used in the analysis of system reliability in which the system comprises dependent components. When analytical evaluation of system performance is difficult, one needs to generate correlated binary variables for a Monte Carlo study. Correlated binary data are also found in the segmentation of marketing data, in which customer responses to questionnaires are usually binary (such as yes or no). Artificial data with known mean and correlation structure can be constructed to mimic situations from the real world (Dolnicar et al. 1998) and thus provide a valuable tool for the analysis of segmentation data (Leisch, Weingessel, and Hornik 1998). Finally, simulated correlated binary distribution is also useful for power analysis, such as calculating the power to detect the difference between pretreatment and posttreatment or treatment versus the control group in binary clinical data.

Unfortunately, there is not a standard procedure in the popular statistical software, including Stata, that can be used to directly simulate these types of data. In this article, I will present a straightforward and computationally fast method to achieve this goal. In section 2 , after discussing different approaches for generating correlated binary variables, I focus on an appealing algorithm proposed by Emrich and Piedmonte (1991) and demonstrate how to generate desired correlated binary data step by step. In section 3, I provide detailed syntax for the new command rbinary and provide some examples of its use.

## 2 Multivariate binary distribution

Stata has a built-in command, drawnorm, that can easily be used to draw a sample from a multivariate normal distribution with desired means and covariance matrix. Unfortunately, to my knowledge, no such built-in command or user-written command is available to do the same for binary distribution.

Several approaches of using an algorithm to generate binary random variates with desired marginal probabilities and correlation have been discussed in earlier literature. For example, the Bahadur model (Bahadur 1961) uses marginal probabilities, pairwise correlations, and higher-order moments to parameterize the multinomial distribution. For this model, we let the binary variable $Y_{i j}$ indicate outcome $j$ for subject i. We let $Z_{i j}=Y_{i j}-\mu_{i j} / \sqrt{\mu_{i j}\left(1-\mu_{i j}\right)}$ and $z_{i j}=y_{i j}-\mu_{i j} / \sqrt{\mu_{i j}\left(1-\mu_{i j}\right)}$, where $y_{i j}$ is the observed value of $Y_{i j}$. Further, we let parameters $r_{i j k}$ denote Pearson correlation coefficients, where $r_{j k}=\operatorname{cor}\left(Y_{j}, Y_{k}\right)=E\left(Z_{j} Z_{k}\right)$, and $r_{j k l}=\operatorname{cor}\left(Y_{j}, Y_{k}, Y_{l}\right)=$ $E\left(Z_{j} Z_{k} Z_{l}\right), \ldots, r_{(1, \ldots, n)}=E\left(Z_{1} Z_{2} \ldots Z_{n}\right)$. Then the probability mass function, $\operatorname{Pr}(Y=$ $y$ ), is the product of the marginal probability $f_{1}(y)$ and the correction term $f_{2}(y)$, where $f_{1}(y)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}^{y_{j}}\left(1-p_{j}\right)^{\left(1-y_{j}\right)}$ and $f_{2}(y)=1+\sum_{j<k} r_{j k} \times z_{j} \times z_{k}+\sum_{j<k<l} r_{j k l} \times$ $z_{j} \times z_{k} \times z_{l}+\cdots+r_{1, \ldots, n} \times z_{1} \times z_{2} \ldots z_{n}$. However, this approach has drawbacks; for example, the correlations are constrained by the marginal probabilities, and it is computationally difficult to handle for higher-dimensional correlated binary random variates (Park, Park, and Shin 1996).

A more appealing algorithm proposed by Park, Park, and Shin (1996) is the approach that any Poisson random variable can be expressed as the sum of several other independent Poisson random variables, and if two Poisson random variables share a Poisson distribution component, they are nonnegatively correlated. For example, let $X_{(a)}$ denote a Poisson random variable with nonnegative mean $a$ and assume $X$ s are mutually independent if they have different subscripts. Now, consider $k=2$, and let $Y_{1}=X_{1\left(a_{11}-a_{12}\right)}+X_{3\left(a_{12}\right)}$ and $Y_{2}=X_{2\left(a_{22}-a_{12}\right)}+X_{3\left(a_{12}\right)} . Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ will then follow Poisson distribution with means $a_{11}$ and $a_{22}$ and are also correlated because they share a common component, $X_{3\left(a_{12}\right)}$. Further, if we set $z_{i}=I_{0}\left(Y_{i}\right)$, where $I_{A}(y)=1$ if $y \in A$ and $I_{A}(y)=0$ if $y \notin A$, then $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ will be binary random variates converted from the Poisson variables, which are also correlated. This approach is most suitable for generating two-dimensional binary random variates, but it is difficult to generate any correlated binary data with more than two dimensions.

In this article, I adopt an algorithm proposed by Emrich and Piedmonte (1991) that is both computationally convenient and easy to understand. This approach first generates a random multivariate normal distribution with the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and variancecovariance structure $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, which can be transformed into binary values by setting

$$
Z_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
=1, & \text { if } & x_{i}>0 \\
=0, & \text { if } & x_{i} \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This transformed multivariate binary distribution will have the mean vector $\mathbf{p}$ and correlation structure $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}}$ that we need.

For example, we could use this algorithm to generate random multivariate binary data with mean vector $\mathbf{p}$ and correlation matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}}$ defined as

$$
\mathbf{p}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.05 \\
0.10 \\
0.15 \\
0.20
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
0.3 & 1 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
0.2 & 0.3 & 1 & 0.3 \\
0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

I demonstrate how to perform this in the following steps.

### 2.1 Step 1: Determine mean vector $\mu$ for multivariate normal distribution

The mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is simply the inverse cumulative standard normal distribution of $\mathbf{p}$. For example, with the above binary distribution mean vector, the normal distribution mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ would be

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{invnormal}(0.05)=-1.645 \\
\operatorname{invnormal}(0.10)=-1.282 \\
\operatorname{invnormal}(0.15)=-1.036 \\
\operatorname{invnormal}(0.20)=-0.842
\end{array}\right)
$$

### 2.2 Step 2: Determine correlation matrix $\Sigma$ for normal distribution

It is important that we obtain the variance-covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of the normal distribution. With the Stata built-in command drawnorm, we can easily generate a random multivariate normal distribution with a specified mean vector and covariance matrix. However, we still need to find the connection between the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}}$ of the binary distribution and the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of the normal distribution.

By definition, the correlation coefficient $r_{A B}$ of any two binary random variables $A$ and $B$ can be written as $r_{A B}=\left(p_{A B}-p_{A} p_{B}\right) / \sqrt{p_{A} q_{A} p_{B} q_{B}}$, where $p_{A B}$ is the joint probability $P(A=1, B=1) ; p_{A}, p_{B}$ is the marginal probability of binary variable $A$ and $B$; and $q_{A}$ is equal to $\left(1-p_{A}\right)$ and $q_{B}$ equal to $\left(1-p_{B}\right)$. The equation can be written as $p_{A B}=r_{A B} \sqrt{p_{A} q_{A} p_{B} q_{B}}+p_{A} p_{B}$.

If $A$ and $B$ are converted from two normal random variables $X$ and $Y$, as described above, $p_{A B}$ can then be related to the normal distribution by

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{A B} & =I P(X>0, Y>0)=I P\left(\bar{X}>-\mu_{X}, \bar{Y}>-\mu_{Y}\right)=L\left(-\mu_{X},-\mu_{Y}, \rho\right) \longrightarrow \\
L(h, k, \rho) & =I P(\bar{X}>h, \bar{Y}>k)=\iint_{h k}^{\infty} \phi(x, y, \rho) d y d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ is the correlation coefficient between $X$ and $Y$, and

$$
\phi(x, y, \rho)=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \exp \left\{-\frac{x^{2}-2 \rho x y+y^{2}}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\right\}
$$

With the desired correlation coefficient $r_{A B}$ and the marginal probability of variable $A$ and $B$, we can now obtain the joint probability $p_{i j}$ for any pair of binary variables. With known $p_{i j}$ and the equation $L(h, k, \rho)=I P(\bar{X}>h, \bar{Y}>k)=\iint_{h k}^{\infty} \phi(x, y, \rho) d y d x$, we can use numerical integration or Monte Carlo simulation to obtain the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of the normal distribution needed for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$. This results in

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} & \rho_{14} \\
\rho_{21} & 1 & \rho_{23} & \rho_{24} \\
\rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & 1 & \rho_{34} \\
\rho_{41} & \rho_{42} & \rho_{43} & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0.6104 & 0.4599 & 0.2589 \\
0.6104 & 1 & 0.5598 & 0.4014 \\
0.4599 & 0.5598 & 1 & 0.5246 \\
0.2589 & 0.4014 & 0.5246 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

To save time, the command rbinary uses presimulated joint binary probabilities that were previously produced by Friedrich Leisch (Leisch, Weingessel, and Hornik 1998) (for program R) via Monte Carlo simulation (within R function simul.commonprob).

### 2.3 Step 3: Draw a sample from the multivariate normal distribution

With the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and correlation matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ that we determined in step 1 and step 2, we can use the Stata built-in command drawnorm to draw a sample, $X$, from the multivariate normal distribution.

### 2.4 Step 4: Transform normal distribution to binary distribution

We can easily transform the multivariate normally distributed sample drawn in step 3 to binary values by setting

$$
Z_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
=1, & \text { if } & x_{i}>0 \\
=0, & \text { if } & x_{i} \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This transformed multivariate binary $Z$ should have the approximate mean vector $\boldsymbol{p}$ and the correlation structure $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ needed.

## 3 The rbinary command

### 3.1 Syntax

rbinary newvarlist, means(vector) corr(matrix|vector) $\mathrm{n}(\#)[\operatorname{seed}(\#)]$

### 3.2 Options

means (vector) specifies the mean of each variable, up to six variables. means() is required.
corr (matrix $\mid$ vector) specifies the correlation matrix. corr () is required.
n (\#) specifies the number of observations to be generated. n() is required.
seed (\#) specifies the initial value of the random-number seed used by the command drawnorm.

### 3.3 Stored results

rbinary stores the following in $r()$ :
Matrices
$\begin{array}{ll}r \text { (mean) } & \text { means vector that was used in drawnorm } \\ r \text { (sigma) } & \text { correlation matrix that was used in drawnorm }\end{array}$

### 3.4 Examples

Here we generate a sample of 2,000 observations from a bivariate binary distribution with desired marginal probabilities at 0.05 and 0.10 and correlation coefficient at 0.3 .

```
. rbinary x y, means(0.05,0.10) corr(1,0.3\0.3,1) n(2000) seed(12345)
. correlate
(obs=2000)
\begin{tabular}{r|rr} 
& x & y \\
\hline x & 1.0000 & \\
y & 0.3227 & 1.0000
\end{tabular}
. summarize
\begin{tabular}{r|rrrrr} 
Variable & Obs & Mean & Std. Dev. & Min & Max \\
\hline x & 2000 & .049 & .215922 & 0 & 1 \\
y & 2000 & .105 & .3066301 & 0 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

Here we generate 4 -dimensional binary data with 2,000 observations, with the following desired mean vector and correlation structure:

$$
\boldsymbol{p}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.05 \\
0.10 \\
0.15 \\
0.20
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{b}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
0.3 & 1 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
0.2 & 0.3 & 1 & 0.3 \\
0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

```
. rbinary x1 x2 x3 x4, means(.05,.10,.15,.20)
>corr(1,.3,.2,.1\.3,1,.3,.2\.2,.3,1,.3\.1,.2,.3,1) n(2000) seed(12345)
. correlate
(obs=2000)
\begin{tabular}{r|rrrr} 
& x 1 & x 2 & x 3 & x 4 \\
\hline x 1 & 1.0000 & & & \\
x 2 & 0.3227 & 1.0000 & & \\
x3 & 0.1918 & 0.2980 & 1.0000 & \\
x4 & 0.1104 & 0.2349 & 0.3361 & 1.0000
\end{tabular}
. summarize
\begin{tabular}{r|rrrrr} 
Variable & Obs & Mean & Std. Dev. & Min & Max \\
\hline x1 & 2000 & .049 & .215922 & 0 & 1 \\
x2 & 2000 & .105 & .3066301 & 0 & 1 \\
x3 & 2000 & .143 & .3501604 & 0 & 1 \\
x4 & 2000 & .195 & .3962998 & 0 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

In the second example, we get empirical probabilities and correlation of

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.049 \\
0.105 \\
0.143 \\
0.195
\end{array}\right), \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{b}}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0.3227 & 0.1918 & 0.1104 \\
0.3227 & 1 & 0.2980 & 0.2349 \\
0.1918 & 0.2980 & 1 & 0.3361 \\
0.1104 & 0.2349 & 0.3361 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

### 3.5 Application

Suppose the smoking abstinence rate difference between two treatment groups is constant at each time point, with an increase in abstinence of $5 \%$ across adjacent time points moving from the 6 - to 12 - to 18 -month follow-ups. Researchers want to calculate the power to detect a $10 \%$ difference on abstinence rate with a specified within-subject correlation structure. To achieve this goal, researchers should do the following: 1) use rbinary to simulate random correlated binary data for each group with specified sample size, mean, and correlation structure; 2) combine the groups' data; 3) run the command xtgee on the randomly generated combined data; 4) obtain the $p$-value for the overall contrast of groups; 5) perform steps $1-4 N$ times and save the $p$-values in a file with $N$ observations. The estimated power for an alpha 0.05 test is simply the proportion of observations (out of $N$ ) for which the $p$-value is less than 0.05 .

```
quietly {
    tempname pow
    tempfile result data
    postfile 'pow` sample p using `result`, replace
        *try different sample size per group below until desired level power reached
    local nsize=90
        *specify alpha level here, e.g. 0.05
    local alpha=0.05
        *specify # bootstrap replications
    local reps=500
        *set seed to replicate result
    local seed=10000
    local N=1
    while 'N'<=`reps' {
        clear
        *specify mean vector in means(), and within-subject correlation matrix ///
                in corr()
        rbinary t1 t2 t3, means(0.05,0.10,0.15) corr(1,.2,.1\.2,1,.2\.1,.2,1) ///
                n(`nsize`) seed(`seed`)
        generate group=1
        save 'data`, replace
        rbinary t1 t2 t3, means(0.15,0.20,0.25) corr(1,.2,.1\.2,1,.2\.1,.2,1) ///
                n(`nsize`) seed(`seed`)
            generate group=2
            append using 'data`
            generate id=_n
        reshape long t, i(id) j(time)
        xtgee t i.time i.group, i(id) t(time) family(binomial) link(logit) ///
                corr(unstructured) vce(robust) nolog
        contrast group, overall
        matrix p=r(p)
        scalar p=p[1,1]
        post 'pow' (`N`) (scalar(p))
        local N=`N}\mp@subsup{}{}{-}+
        local seed=`seed`+1
    }
    postclose 'pow'
    use 'result', clear
    count if p<`alpha`
    local num=r(N)
    noisily display "Power=" round(`num`/`reps`, 0.001)
}
```

To determine the sample size needed to achieve a desired level of power, we can adjust the values of $n()$ until we have the desired power. For example, with the above mean and correlation structure and with a sample size of 90 per group, the above syntax yields power $=0.96$. This indicates that we should be able to reject the null hypothesis ( $H_{0}$ : there is no smoking abstinence rate difference between the groups) $96 \%$ of the time when it is false. Further, through trial and error, we can determine that a sample size of 65 yields power $=0.804$, which may be adequate for the researchers' purposes and provide a more efficient use of limited resources.
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