



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Vol XL
No. 4

ISSN 0019-5014

OCTOBER-
DECEMBER
1985

INDIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS



INDIAN SOCIETY OF
AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS,
BOMBAY

CONSUMER PREFERENCES FOR GROUNDNUT QUALITY*

N. V. Narasimham, M. von Oppen and P. Parthasarathy Rao†

Plant breeders working on the selection of high-yielding varieties (HYVs) need also to select for preferred quality characteristics in order to assure good consumer acceptance of the improved variety. What are preferred quality characteristics? In this paper we derive information on the preference for groundnut qualities from their market prices.

There are substantial price variations across different lots of groundnut pods transacted in any assembling market on any market day. These variations are due to differences in the quality mix, *i.e.*, the combination of relevant quality characters from one lot to another. Some of these quality factors have a positive and others a negative influence on price. If these quality characteristics are identified and their contribution to price estimated quantitatively, the qualities with high or low customer preference would be known. This information can be used by breeders to assess new varieties of groundnut for customer preferences in terms of market value. This paper is a first attempt to identify and quantify the relevant quality characteristics of groundnuts, and to prepare a groundnut quality index based on these identified characteristics. Such a quality index can be used to predict the customer preferences of newly bred groundnut varieties. The methodology applied here for the first time on groundnuts was developed earlier for sorghum [von Oppen 1976]. Experience with the development of a sorghum quality index [von Oppen (1978), von Oppen and Jambunathan (1978), Bapna and von Oppen (1980), von Oppen and Rao (1982), and Rao and von Oppen (1983)] indicates that further studies of this kind are required to confirm our present results.

METHODOLOGY

In this study we formulated and tested the following hypothesis: "Variability in groundnut prices is a function of customer preferences for various quality characteristics."

Quality Characteristics

Since most groundnuts are finally consumed in the form of oil, their demand is for oil extraction purposes. Groundnuts are also used for confectionery purposes in marginal quantities. Groundnut buyers always prefer characteristics re-

* Presented at the Twenty-Third Indian Econometric Conference, Department of Economics, Osmania University, Hyderabad-7, Andhra Pradesh, India, January 3-5, 1985.

† Research Fellow, Principal Economist and Research Associate, respectively, Economics Program, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, (A.P.). The authors are thankful to the ICRISAT Groundnut and Biochemistry Programs for laboratory analysis of the samples. The authors acknowledge the critical comments received from reviewers of this paper which have helped to improve it. The views expressed do not necessarily represent ICRISAT's position.

Submitted as Journal Article No. 521 by the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT).

flecting better oil quality, and quantity. They judge these criteria based on apparent quality characteristics.

Given our experience with groundnut markets, and after discussions with traders and breeders of groundnuts, the following quality characteristics have been identified.

1. Shelling percentage;
2. Hundred seed weight;
3. Moisture content;
4. Percentage of shrivelled seeds;
5. Percentage of damaged seeds;
6. Extent of pod scarification/damage;
7. Oil content.

The first three characteristics are related to the quantity of oil out-turn and the next three are related to the quality of oil. These aspects are discussed below in detail.

1. Shelling percentage: Higher shelling percentage (percentage of seed weight in pod weight) implies more kernels and less waste. The higher the shelling percentage, the higher is the out-turn of final products, *i.e.*, oil and cake. Therefore, we hypothesize that prices increase with an increase in shelling percentage. An experienced buyer can estimate the shelling percentage by taking a handful of pods, throwing them up and judging their impact when they fall back into the palm; and by opening a few pods and examining the extent of seed development.

2. Hundred seed weight: Seed size and weight vary from cultivar to cultivar. Within the same cultivar, seed size and weight depend upon the extent of seed maturity. It is a general feeling among traders that the oil content is higher in fully developed seeds compared to under-developed seeds. It is hypothesized that the buyers prefer lots with higher seed weight to those with lower seed weight. Hence we expect prices to increase with an increase in hundred seed weight. The buyer estimates the seed weight by examining the seeds.

3. Moisture content: The higher the moisture content, the higher is the loss of weight after processing, a content of less than 5 per cent being acceptable. Lots with higher moisture contents require drying before processing which involves cost. If groundnuts of high moisture content are stored without drying, complications such as seed damage by fungi and mites arise. Therefore, we hypothesize that high moisture contents are associated with low prices. Buyers estimate the moisture content by taking handful of pods and holding it in the palm.

4. Percentage of shrivelled seeds: In trade circles it is believed that the shrivelled seeds, compared with developed seeds, contain less oil and possibly more aflatoxin. Therefore, the higher the percentage of shrivelled seeds, the lower the quality of oil and hence the lower the price. Buyers estimate the percentage of shrivelled seeds by opening a few pods and examining the seeds.

5. Percentage of damaged seeds: The presence of damaged seeds makes the oil rancid and unacceptable to the consumers. The colour and smell changes and the aflatoxin content increases. Therefore, the higher the percentage of damaged seeds, the lower is the customer preference, hence the lower the price. Buyers can

easily estimate the percentage of damaged seeds by inspecting the lot and distinguishing damaged seeds from good seeds on the basis of the seed colour.

6. Pod scarification/damage: Scarification occurs at the later stage of pod maturity as a result of termite damage. The groundnut shell becomes weak as the outer layer of the shell is damaged by the insect, kernels are further damaged during the post-harvesting period. Thus, scarification leads to higher seed damage. It is hypothesized that the higher the scarification level, the lower is the price. Scarification is easily assessed by *visual* examination.

7. Oil content: It is understood that the average oil recovery rate in expeller industry is around 42 per cent (in kernel). Oil content mostly depends on the cultivar, and the quality within the cultivar. Quality characteristics such as shelling percentage and seed weight have a linear relationship with oil content. All other quality characteristics remaining the same, oil content may vary from cultivar to cultivar. It is hypothesized that the higher the oil content, the higher is the price. An experienced buyer is able to identify the cultivar very easily by inspecting the pods. They also know from experience which cultivar has a higher or lower oil content. The reason for introducing oil content as an independent variable is to capture the variation in oil content across cultivars and its impact on price.

Sample Collection

In the first round 166 groundnut pod samples were collected from the Adoni market in Andhra Pradesh on two market days during May 1984. In this primary assembling market, producers sell groundnut pods through commission agents in the market yard. Sellers bring the produce to commission agents situated in the market yard. The commission agent arranges the produce in separate heaps or lots for inspection by buyers who are mostly oil millers. The price is decided through a tender system.¹ It was decided to sample about 50 per cent of the total number of lots arranged for sale. Therefore, each alternative lot or heap arranged for sale was considered as a sample unit. From each sample unit around 200 gms. of produce was collected in polythene bags.

Measurement of Relevant Quality Characteristics

To generate the required information for quality characteristics identified earlier, the following measurements were taken:

1. Hundred grams of pods were shelled and the weights of husks and kernel were taken to derive shelling percentage.
2. Kernels were sorted out into shrivelled, damaged and whole kernels, and their weights were taken to derive their percentage shares in total seed weight.
3. Whole seed weight was measured and the numbers counted to derive hundred seed weight.

1. In tender system buyers come and inspect the produce and quote their price in a tender slip for each and every lot offered for sale during a specified period in the morning. After the time is up, the tender slips are opened by the Market Committee officials and the highest bidder gets the lot.

4. Number of scarified and broken pods were counted to derive the percentage share of scarified and broken pods in total pods.

5. Kernels were analysed for oil and moisture content. Oil content was analysed by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrometer method.

Except for the analysis of oil and moisture content, all the other tests were conducted without any sophisticated equipment.

During this first attempt a few difficulties were encountered which can be rectified in future studies. There was an unnecessary time lag of a couple of months between sample collection and analysis. This time lag posed the following problems:

1. Samples probably lost some of their moisture content, hence there was not much variability among samples in moisture levels at the time of laboratory analysis.
2. Higher levels of pod scarification, moisture and breakage of shell lead to seed damage during storage. So, our data on seed damage include the portion of seed damaged during the period of storage in our laboratory, which may or may not be representative of the levels prevailing at the time of sample collection.

STATISTICAL MODEL AND VARIABLE SPECIFICATION

Our hypothesis is

$$P_{it} = f(Q_{rit}) \dots(1)$$

which implies that the price of *i*th sample on *t*th day is a function of *r* qualities contained in that sample. The methodology developed by von Oppen (1976) for sorghum has been adopted to test this hypothesis. Our samples were collected on different days, and levels of prices and qualities vary generally from day to day. Therefore, they have to be standardised for comparability over days by determining a reference value. The daily average of prices and average of each of the quality characteristics is considered as reference value. In the model developed by von Oppen (1976), reference values for price and quality characteristics are specified as below:

The reference price (\bar{P}_t) in logarithmic form for *t*th day with sample size *n_t* is computed as

$$\log \bar{P}_t = \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \log P_{it} \dots(2)$$

Similarly, the reference value for *r*th quality character for *t*th day (\bar{Q}_{rt}) in linear form is

$$\bar{Q}_{rt} = \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} Q_{rit} \dots(3)$$

Reference value for *s*th quality character for *t*th day (\bar{Q}_{st}) in logarithmic form is

$$\log \bar{Q}_{st} = \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \log Q_{sit} \dots(4)$$

The difference between the actual observation and the reference value creates a set of new variables which are used for the analysis. Thus, the new variables are:

$$\tilde{P}_{it} = \log P_{it} - \log \bar{P}_t \text{ (for price)} \quad \dots (5)$$

$$\tilde{Q}_{sit} = \log Q_{sit} - \log \bar{Q}_{st} \text{ (for quality in logarithmic form)} \quad \dots (6)$$

$$\tilde{Q}_{rit} = Q_{rit} - \bar{Q}_{rt} \text{ (for quality in linear form)} \quad \dots (7)$$

Now equation (1) can be specified as under

$$\tilde{P}_{it} = f(\tilde{Q}_{rit}, \tilde{Q}_{sit}) \quad \dots (8)$$

The term \tilde{Q}_{rit} refers to variables like damaged seed weight, shrivelled seed weight, and percentage of scarified pods which are measured in per cent to total seeds/pods and for practical reasons these are included in linear form. Taking deviations from the mean as observations implies that the regression equation passes through the origin. The variables included in the estimation of the model are presented below; their means and variability are shown in Table I.

Dependent variable

$$\tilde{P}_{it} = \text{Difference between the logarithms of the price (in Rs.) per quintal of the individual sample lot and reference price.}$$

Independent variables

$$\tilde{HS}_{it} = \text{Difference between the logarithms of the hundred seed weight of the individual sample and reference hundred seed weight.}$$

$$(\tilde{HS}_{it})^2 = \text{Squared logarithms form of the above variable.}$$

$$\tilde{SH}_{it} = \text{Difference between the logarithms of the shelling percentage of the individual sample and reference shelling percentage.}$$

$$(\tilde{SH}_{it})^2 = \text{Squared logarithms form of the above variable.}$$

$$\tilde{O}_{it} = \text{Difference between the logarithms of the oil contents in the individual sample and reference oil percentage.}$$

$$(\tilde{O}_{it})^2 = \text{Squared logarithms form of the above variable.}$$

$$\tilde{M}_{it} = \text{Difference between the logarithms of the moisture levels in the individual sample and reference moisture level.}$$

$$(\tilde{M}_{it})^2 = \text{Squared logarithms form of the above variable.}$$

$$\tilde{SC}_{it} = \text{Difference between the percentage of scarification in the individual sample and reference scarification level.}$$

$$\tilde{BK}_{it} = \text{Difference between the percentage of broken pods in the individual sample and reference level of broken pods.}$$

$$\tilde{SS}_{it} = \text{Difference between the percentage of shrivelled seeds in the individual sample and reference level of shrivelled seeds.}$$

$$\tilde{DS}_{it} = \text{Difference between the percentage of damaged seed in the individual sample and reference level of damaged seed.}$$

TABLE 1 MEANS AND VARIABILITY OF UNTRANSFORMED VARIABLES IN ADONI MARKET

Variables	Combined			
	Mean	CV ^a (per cent)	Minimum	Maximum
Price (Rs./100 kg.)	446.0	9.4	236.9	487.3
Hundred seed weight (gms.)	29.6	14.8	17.8	38.6
Shelling rate (per cent)	69.6	7.1	44.4	83.0
Oil content (per cent in seed)	45.08	4.9	36.7	50.5
Moisture content (per cent in seed)	6.2	8.3	3.9	9.7
Percentage of shrivelled seed (in total seed weight)	5.2	78.0	0.8	20.2
Percentage of damaged seed (in total seed weight)	2.5	206.2	0.0	29.4
Percentage of whole seed (in total seed weight)	92.4	7.5	59.0	99.3
Percentage of scarified pods (in total number of pods)	1.4	317.8	0.0	32.8
Percentage of pods bored (in total number of pods)	0.4	254.6	0.0	7.5
Percentage of pods broken (in total number of pods)	4.3	113.5	0.0	24.4

^a. CV = Coefficient of variation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The correlation matrix of the above variables is presented in Table II. As expected, shelling percentage, hundred seed weight and oil percentage show a positive correlation, while damaged seed weight, shrivelled seed weight, number of scarified pods, broken pods and moisture content show a negative correlation with price. However, simple correlation coefficients can only explain the direction of association and do not measure the exact magnitude of the association. Secondly, our hypothesis is that price is a function of all quality characteristics taken into consideration simultaneously. A multiple regression analysis is an appropriate tool to analyse the problem, and the ordinary least squares method is used to estimate the regression equation. At the initial run, all the variables were included in the analysis one by one. Despite linear relationship between the independent variables, all the variables included were contributing to price variation. However, variables like broken pods and moisture content were excluded since they were insignificant.

2. Although there is linear relationship between the explanatory variables, r values are not very high, indicating the absence of multicollinearity among the explanatory variables. It is argued by Klein (1965, pp. 64 and 101) that collinearity is harmful if $r^2_{x_i x_j} \geq R^2_{y \cdot x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k}$ where $r^2_{x_i x_j}$ is the simple correlation between any two explanatory variables (X_i and X_j) and R^2 is the overall (multiple) correlation of the relationship. This study also fulfills this requirement.

TABLE II. CORRELATION MATRIX OF GROUNDNUT PRICE AND RELEVANT QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS (TRANSFORMED VARIABLES)

Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1. Oil content	1.00								
2. Hundred seed weight	0.07	1.00							
3. Shrivelled seed weight	-0.20	-0.34**	1.00						
4. Damaged seed weight	-0.04	0.05	0.11	1.00					
5. Pods scarified	-0.15	0.05	0.06	0.69**	1.00				
6. Pods broken	-0.20**	0.06	-0.09	0.56**	0.36**	1.00			
7. Price	0.19*	0.32**	-0.42**	-0.61**	-0.48**	-0.33**	1.00		
8. Shelling rate	0.08	0.51**	-0.57**	-0.35**	-0.10	-0.10	0.59**	1.00	
9. Moisture content	-0.42**	-0.12	0.16	0.29**	0.06	0.12	-0.27**	-0.18*	1.00

* Significant at 5 per cent probability.

** Significant at one per cent probability.

The results are presented in Table III, equation 1. All the variables have the expected signs, *i.e.*, expected relationship with market price, and are significant at 5 per cent probability level, except for oil content which is significant at 20 per cent probability level. These estimates reveal that groundnut consumers have a strong preference for groundnuts having larger percentage of whole seeds, *i.e.*, mature and undamaged seeds. As the percentage of shrivelled seed or damaged seed to whole seed increases, groundnut prices decline significantly. The magnitude of the decline is presented graphically in Figure 1. It shows that, keeping other quality characteristics constant, if the percentage of damaged seed increases from a reference average of 2.5 per cent to 15 per cent, the price index drops from 1 to 0.91, and if it further increases to 25 per cent, the price index declines to 0.84. Similarly, if the percentage of shrivelled seed to whole seed increases from a reference average of 5 to 15 per cent, the price index declines from 1 to about 0.97, and it declines further upto 0.93 if the percentage of shrivelled seed goes upto 25 per cent. The negative influence of damaged seed on price is about twice that of shrivelled seed.

The percentage of scarified pods to total pods also significantly influences groundnut price. The magnitude of its influence on price is similar to that of shrivelled seed.

TABLE III. MARKET PRICE AS A FUNCTION OF QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS OF GROUNDNUT IN ADONI MARKET IN 1983 — MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS

Variables	Equation 1		Equation 2	
	Regression coefficient	T-value	Regression coefficient	T-value
Log hundred seed weight	0.111	2.58	-2.795	-2.52
Log hundred seed weight squared	—	—	0.439	2.64
Log shelling rate in per cent	0.396	3.58	11.446	3.52
Log shelling rate in per cent squared	—	—	-1.323	-3.40
Log oil content in per cent	0.190	1.66	-22.569	-2.40
Log oil content in per cent squared	—	—	3.005	2.43
Shrivelled seed weight (per cent in total seed weight)	-0.368	-2.22	-0.369	-2.36
Damaged seed weight (per cent in total seed weight)	-0.818	-4.85	-0.758	-4.63
Scarified pods (in per cent to total pods)	-0.424	-2.37	-0.45	-2.58
R ²	0.59		0.66	
Number of observations	163		163	

Hundred seed weight, shelling percentage, and oil content show the expected positive influence on price. These are graphically presented in Figures II, III and IV. From Figure II, we find that, *ceteris paribus*, if shelling percentage is decreased from an average of 70 per cent to 60 per cent, the index price declines to 0.94, and if it is increased to 80 per cent, the index price increases to 1.06.

Figure 1. Price as a function of changes in shrivelled seed and damaged seed (Based on regression estimate).





Figure II. Price as a function of shelling percentage (Based on regression estimate).







Figure III shows that with an increase in oil percentage from a reference average of 45 per cent to 52 per cent, the price index increases from 1 to 1.03.

Figure IV indicates that with a decline in hundred seed weight from a reference average of 30 to 25 grams, the price index decreases from 1 to 0.98.

It was felt that some variables such as hundred seed weight, shelling percentage and oil content, price may increase at a decreasing rate with an optimum level, *i.e.*, a linear relationship may not give the best fit. Consequently, these variables are also included in quadratic form and the results are presented in Table III, equation 2.

In this regression all the variables including the squared terms are significant at one per cent probability level and R^2 shows that 66 per cent of the variation in prices is explained by the explanatory variables (compared to 59 percent in equation 1, Table III). The coefficients of the linear variables such as shrivelled seed weight, damaged seed weight, etc., are the same as in equation 1. Among the variables entered in squared form, only shelling percentage has the expected signs, *i.e.*, the linear term is positive and the squared term is negative, and both are significant. This relationship is graphically presented in Figure II along with the curve derived from the linear equation discussed earlier. The optimal shelling rate is 75.6 per cent at which the price is 1.04. After this point, the shelling rate does not appear to have much influence on price. On the contrary, the price tends to fall slightly if we move much further from the optimum towards the right. However, considering that our data range from 65 per cent to 75 per cent, the log-linear and the log-squared estimates gave consistent results.

For hundred seed weight and oil content, the signs are not as expected. The linear term is negative and the squared term positive, indicating that prices fall initially, reach a minimum, and start rising. They are graphically presented in Figures III and IV. The decline in price with an increase in oil content upto 44 per cent is difficult to explain, particularly when the mean oil content in our sample is 45 per cent. Probably, at low levels, *i.e.*, below 40 per cent, oil content is not considered in price determination; but as oil content increases, prices increase at an increasing rate.

The graph for hundred seed weight shown in Figure IV behaves similar to the one for oil content. However, in this case the range where most of our data fall, represents the rising part of the curve, thus indicating prices to increase with hundred seed weight at an increasing rate.

CONCLUSION

The study is a first attempt to explain market price of groundnuts as a function of quality characteristics. The results indicate that between 60 per cent and 65 per cent of the variation in price in an assembling market in Andhra Pradesh can be explained by a set of six relevant quality characteristics, *i.e.*, hundred seed weight, shelling percentage, oil content, percentage of damaged seeds, percentage of shrivelled seeds, and percentage of scarified pods. Although the breeders are aware of these quality characteristics, the results of this study will enable them to choose an optimal mix of these quality characteristics. However, more studies of this type are required to verify the results in other areas of the country before general conclusions are drawn and recommendations to breeders made.

REFERENCES

1. S. L. Bapna and M. von Oppen: Preferences for Sorghum Qualities among Village Consumers, Unpublished ICRISAT Economics Program Report, Patancheru (A.P.), 1980 (mimeo.)
2. R. L. Klein: An Introduction to Econometrics, Prentice-Hall of India, New Delhi, 1965, pp. 64 and 101.
3. P. Parthasarathy Rao and M. von Oppen: Village Consumers Preference for Sorghum Varieties and Its Relationship to Sorghum Preferences Index, Unpublished ICRISAT Economics Program Progress Report 50, Patancheru (A.P.), 1983.
4. M. von Oppen, "Consumer Preferences for Evident Quality Characters of Sorghum", Presented at the Symposium on Production, Processing and Utilization of Maize, Sorghum and Millets, Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore, India, December 27-29, 1976.
5. M. von Oppen: A Preference Index of Foodgrains to Facilitate Selection for Consumer Acceptance, Unpublished ICRISAT Economics Program Discussion Paper 7, Patancheru (A.P.), 1978.
6. M. von Oppen: An Attempt to Explain the Effects of Consumer's Quantity Preferences on Prices of Foodgrains at Different Levels of Productivity, Unpublished ICRISAT Economics Program Discussion Paper 8, Patancheru (A.P.), 1978.
7. M. von Oppen and R. Jambunathan, "Consumer Preferences for Criptic and Evident Quality Characters of Sorghum and Millet", Presented at the Diamond Jubilee Scientific Session of the National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, October 23-27, 1978.
8. M. von Oppen and P. P. Rao, 1982. "A Market-derived Selection Index for Preference of Evident and Cryptic Quality Characteristics of Sorghum", ICRISAT Conference Paper No. 84, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Sorghum Grain Quality, October 28-31, 1981, ICRISAT, Patancheru (A.P.), 1982, pp. 354-364.

Concept Titles in Agriculture

Situation of Agriculture Food and Nutrition in Rural India

Ali Mohammad
xvi+229p; Tables; Figs.

Rs. 50 \$ 10

Soil Productivity and Crop Potential: A Case Study (District Alwar, Rajasthan)

Pratibha Mishra
xxi+274p; Plates; Figs.; Tables

Rs. 125 \$ 25

State and Market: State Intervention in Agricultural Exchange in a Dry Region of Tamil Nadu: South India

Barbara Harriss
xvi+360p; Maps; Tables

Rs. 130 \$ 26

Thirty Years of Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics: Cumulative Index to Volume I--XXX (1946-1975)

R. N. Sharma
257p

Rs. 200 \$ 40

Twentieth Century of Soil Salinity Research in India: An Annotated Bibliography

I. C. Gupta; K. N. Pahwa and J. S. P. Yadav
xvi+750p

Rs. 350 \$ 70

CONCEPT PUBLISHING COMPANY

H-13 Bali Nagar
NEW DELHI-110 015

Phone : 503967