

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

CANTER

9008V

Department of Economics UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY

CHRISTCHURCH, NEW ZEALAND



OCT 04 1990

INFLATION — UNEMPLOYMENT CHOICES IN NEW ZEALAND AND THE MEDIAN VOTER THEOREM

David J. Smyth and Alan E. Woodfield

Discussion Paper

No. 9008

This paper is circulated for discussion and comments. It should not be quoted without the prior approval of the author. It reflects the views of the author who is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented. Responsibility for the application of material to specific cases, however, lies with any user of the paper and no responsibility in such cases will be attributed to the author or to the University of Canterbury.

Department of Economics, University of Canterbury Christchurch, New Zealand

Discussion Paper No. 9008

September 1990

INFLATION — UNEMPLOYMENT CHOICES IN NEW ZEALAND AND THE MEDIAN VOTER THEOREM

David J. Smyth
(Louisiana State University)
and
Alan E. Woodfield
(University of Canterbury)

INFLATION-UNEMPLOYMENT CHOICES IN NEW ZEALAND AND THE MEDIAN VOTER THEOREM

David J. SMYTH*
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Alan E. WOODFIELD University of Canterbury, Christchurch 1, New Zealand.

Using survey data we show that, at any unemployment rate, the New Zealand median voter is much less averse to inflation than his counterpart in the United States. This result is consistent with the median voter theorem.

Address all correspondence to:

Alan E. Woodfield Department of Economics University of Canterbury Christchurch 1 New Zealand

* The authors thank Larry Hill of the National Research Bureau Ltd for providing the survey data used in this paper and David Giles and Judy Giles for helpful discussions. Smyth's research was aided by an Erskine Fellowship at the University of Canterbury.

1. Introduction

The post-war behavior of the New Zealand economy has differed greatly from that of the United States and other OECD countries. The New Zealand unemployment rate has been lower and the inflation rate higher and more variable than in the U.S. Until 1978 the rate of unemployment never rose above one percent. Since then it has fluctuated about an upward trend and during 1989 and 1990 unemployment exceeded seven percent. Over approximately a generation, from 1961 to 1990, the consumer price index increased twelve-and-a-half fold. The rate of inflation was extremely variable. While in some years the rate of inflation was low, the annualized quarterly inflation rates peaked in 1976, 1980 and 1987 at between 17 and 19 percent.

According to the median voter theorem, the different inflation-unemployment patterns observed in New Zealand and the United States will reflect the different inflation-unemployment preferences of the median voter. In New Zealand the median voter should prefer a higher inflation-unemployment ratio than in the United States. In the present paper we use survey data to examine the inflation-unemployment preferences of New Zealanders. We estimate the trade-off that the median voter will choose at different unemployment rates, and compare it with the US trade-off. We find that New Zealanders have much less aversion to inflation than people in the United States.

2. The Model

In general form the model we estimate is

$$I= f(P, U, K)$$
 (1)

where

I = an index of the relative seriousness of inflation and unemployment,

P = the rate of inflation over the past four quarters,

U = the rate of unemployment,

K = the peak rate of unemployment.

An increase in the index, I, means an increase in the relative seriousness of inflation relative to unemployment so we expect $\partial I/\partial P>0$ and $\partial I/\partial U<0$.

The unemployment rate has trended upwards. As unemployment reaches rates higher than people have previously experienced it is likely that people will adjust their perceptions and become immured somewhat to the higher rates of unemployment. Accordingly, at any inflation-unemployment combination, unemployment will be viewed less seriously than in the lower unemployment days in the past. Thus there will be a ratchet effect. The higher the level of unemployment that people have experienced, the higher the index of the seriousness of inflation at any unemployment rate. This ratchet effect may be modelled by including the variable K, the peak value of the unemployment rate. If the current value of unemployment is greater than any previous value, then K takes the

current value. Otherwise, it takes the previous peak value. We expect $\partial I/\partial K < 0.1$

The inflation rate is calculated from the New Zealand consumer price index, all items, published quarterly, as the percentage change in the price index over the corresponding quarter of the previous year.

Unemployment rates from surveys that are reasonably comparable to US unemployment rates are available only from the last quarter of 1985. Thus we have to construct an unemployment rate series. We base our estimates on the number of persons registered as unemployed with the New Zealand Department of Labour as a percentage of an estimated labor force series. For several reasons the survey series exceeds our estimated series for the period of overlap. Accordingly, we multiply our estimates by 1.246, the average ratio of the two measures during the overlap. The resulting series is used throughout this paper.²

¹ For the specification and estimation of ratchet models see Jackson and Smyth (1985).

A similar argument applies to the inflation rate. The higher the recent rate of inflation, the more people will have become accustomed to high inflation and the less seriously they will view a particular rate of inflation at any unemployment rate. When we included the peak value of the inflation rate it was insignificant, presumably because peak values of inflation rose very little over the sample period.

² Because of possible different cyclical behavior of the two unemployment measures [see Scollay (1988)], we did not attempt to splice the two series but instead used our series (multiplied by 1.246) throughout. Further information on how the registered unemployment and survey unemployment series differ and on how we constructed the series used is available from Alan Woodfield.

We construct the index I from a summary time series of responses to a question asked by the National Research Bureau Ltd in the Bureau's quarterly opinion surveys. The question is: "What is the single most important problem facing New Zealand right now?" The index is the ratio 100MSI/(MSI+MSU) where MSI and MSU are the percentage of respondents giving answers coded as "Inflation" and "Unemployment" respectively.

Economic theory does not provide us with much guidance for the particular functional form of the general relationship in (1). Inspection indicates that the relationship between the ratio I and the independent variables is clearly not linear. A simple specification that works well is a semi-log one of the form $\ln(I) = a_0 + a_1P + a_2U + a_3U^2 + a_4K + \epsilon \tag{2}$ where ϵ is the disturbance term. This is the equation we estimate. The estimation period is 1978, first quarter, to 1990, second quarter.

Because I is a ratio bounded by zero and 100, we expect heteroskedasticity of the residuals. Accordingly, we estimated (2) using OLS with a heteroskedastic-consistent covariance matrix. The resulting estimates are reported in Table 1. The model fits well. All the t-statistics are highly significant. The m-statistic does not indicate serial correlation. When the fitted values of I are calculated from the fitted values of ln(I), all fall within the range zero to 100.

³ The estimates were made using the HETCOV option in SHAZAM6.2 [White (1990)]. This uses White's (1980) heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator.

The position of the median voter may be represented by a ratio of I = 50. If I = 50, there are as many respondents saying that inflation is the most serious problems as there are saying that unemployment is the most serious problem. Substituting $\ln(50) = 3.912$ for $\ln(I)$ enables us to solve for the combinations of inflation and unemployment that generate I = 50 for any particular value of K. This yields

$$\hat{P}$$
 = 6.638 + 9.075U - 0.379U² - 2.201K (3) where \hat{P} is the predicted value of inflation.

Table 2 gives the values of P generated by equation (3) for alternative values of K and U. The gaps in the table arise because of the constraint that the only feasible values of U are those that are equal to or greater than K. The table clearly shows that as peak unemployment has increased over time, the rate of inflation accepted by the median voter at any unemployment rate has decreased markedly. Even so, the inflation rates that New Zealanders are prepared to accept are very high. To date the peak unemployment rate attained is 7.8 percent. The current unemployment rate is of the order of seven percent. So presently the median voter would not view inflation as more serious than unemployment unless the inflation rate were in excess of 36 percent. Even if the unemployment rate were to fall two percentage points to five percent, unemployment would still be viewed as more serious than inflation as long as the inflation rate were below 25.4 percent.

The last column in Table 2 gives estimates for the United States. Even at high unemployment rates, citizens in the United

States are still concerned about inflation. The results for the two countries are consistent with the median voter theorem. New Zealand voters are much less concerned about inflation relative to unemployment than United States' voters and New Zealand politicians have given them much higher inflation-unemployment ratios.

Our results suggest that a more detailed study of New Zealanders' social preference function between inflation and the implications that this poses for the implementation of macroeconomic policy is likely to be worthwhile. Such an analysis is underway.

References

Jackson, J.J. and D.J. Smyth, 1985, Specifying differential cyclical response in economic time series; capacity utilization and demand for imports, Journal of Economic Modelling 2, 149-161.

Scollay, R., 1988, Recent movements in New Zealand's unemployment by the registered and household labour force measures, University of Auckland, Department of Economics Working Papers in Economics #45, 1-23.

Smyth, D.J. and P. Dua, 1986, Inflation, unemployment and the median voter, Economic Letters 32, 181-186.

White, H., 1980, A heteroscedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroscedasticity, Econometrica 48, 817-838.

White, K. J., 1990, SHAZAM User's Reference Manual: Version 6.2, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Table 1
Estimates of equation (2), 1978 (quarter 1) to 1990 (quarter 2).

Variable	Coefficient	t-statistic*	
Constant	3.2515	15.43	
P	0.0995	9.17	
U	-0.903	- 5.89	
U^2	0.0377	3.21	
к	0.219	2.54	
$\bar{\mathbf{R}}^{2}$	0.923		
m-statistic	0.278		

^{*}Based on White's heteroskedasticity-consistent estimate of the covariance matrix.

Table 2
Rates of inflation acceptable to the median voter at alternative unemployment and peak unemployment rates

	NEW ZEALAND (K = 17.8)							USA	
Ü	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7.8	
1.0	13.2	11.0	8.8	6.6	4.4	2.2	0	-1.9	0
1.5		15.0	12.8	10.6	8.4	6.2	4.0	2.2	0.2
2.0		18.9	16.7	14.5	12.3	10.1	7.9	6.1	0.4
2.5			20.4	18.2	16.0	13.8	11.6	9.8	0.8
3.0			23.9	21.7	19.5	17.3	15.1	13.3	1.2
3.5				25.0	22.8	20.6	18.4	16.6	1.6
4.0				28.1	25.9	23.7	21.5	19.7	2.1
4.5					28.8	26.6	24.4	22.6	2.6
5.0					31.6	29.4	27.2	25.4	3.1
5.5						31.9	29.7	27.9	3.7
6.0						34.3	32.1	30.3	4.2
6.5							34.2	32.4	4.9
7.0							36.2	34.4	5.4
7.5								36.2	6.1
7.8								37.2	6.4

Source: New Zealand, equation 3; U.S.A., Smyth and Dua (1986, equation 6).

LIST OF DISCUSSION PAPERS*

No.	8501	Perfectly Discriminatory Policies in International Trade, by Richard Manning and Koon-Lam Shea.
No.	8502	Perfectly Discriminatory Policy Towards International Capital Movements in a Dynamic World, by Richard Manning and Koon-Lam Shea.
No.	8503	A Regional Consumer Demand Model for New Zealand, by David E. A. Giles and Peter Hampton.
No.	8504	Optimal Human and Physical Capital Accumulation in a Fixed-Coefficients Economy, by R. Manning.
No.	8601	Estimating the Error Variance in Regression After a Preliminary Test of Restrictions on the Coefficients, by David E. A. Giles, Judith A. Mikolajczyk and T. Dudley Wallace.
No.	8602	Search While Consuming, by Richard Manning.
No.	8603	Implementing Computable General Equilibrium Models: Data Preparation, Calibration, and Replication, by K. R. Henry, R. Manning, E. McCann and A. E. Woodfield.
No.	8604	Credit Rationing: A Further Remark, by John G. Riley.
No.	8605	Preliminary-Test Estimation in Mis-Specified Regressions, by David E. A. Giles.
No.	8606	The Positive-Part Stein-Rule Estimator and Tests of Linear Hypotheses, by Aman Ullah and David E. A. Giles.
No.	8607	Production Functions that are Consistent with an Arbitrary Production-Possibility Frontier, by Richard Manning.
No.	8608	Preliminary-Test Estimation of the Error Variance in Linear Regression, by Judith A. Clarke, David E. A. Giles and T. Dudley Wallace.
No.	8609	Dual Dynamic Programming for Linear Production/Inventory Systems, by E. Grant Read and John A. George.
No.	8610	Ownership Concentration and the Efficiency of Monopoly, by R. Manning.
No.	8701	Stochastic Simulation of the Reserve Bank's Model of the New Zealand Economy, by J. N. Lye.
No.	8702	Urban Expenditure Patterns in New Zealand, by Peter Hampton and David E. A. Giles.
No.	8703	Preliminary-Test Estimation of Mis-Specified Regression Models, by David E. A. Giles.
No.	8704	Instrumental Variables Regression Without an Intercept, by David E. A. Giles and Robin W. Harrison.
No.	8705	Household Expenditure in Sri Lanka: An Engel Curve Analysis, by Mallika Dissanayake and David E. A Giles.
No.	8706	Preliminary-Test Estimation of the Standard Error of Estimate in Linear Regression, by Judith A. Clarke.
No.	8707	Invariance Results for FIML Estimation of an Integrated Model of Expenditure and Portfolio Behaviour, by P. Dorian Owen.
No.	8708	Social Cost and Benefit as a Basis for Industry Regulation with Special Reference to the Tobacco Industry, by Alan E. Woodfield.
No.	8709	The Estimation of Allocation Models With Autocorrelated Disturbances, by David E. A. Giles.
No.	8710	Aggregate Demand Curves in General-Equilibrium Macroeconomic Models: Comparisons with Partial-Equilibrium Microeconomic Demand Curves, by P. Dorian Owen.
No.	8711	Alternative Aggregate Demand Functions in Macro-economics: A Comment, by P. Dorian Owen.
No.	8712	Evaluation of the Two-Stage Least Squares Distribution Function by Imhof's Procedure by P. Cribbett, J. N. Lye and A. Ullah.
	8713	The Size of the Underground Economy: Problems and Evidence, by Michael Carter.
	8714	A Computable General Equilibrium Model of a Fisherine Method to Close the Foreign Sector, by Ewen McCann and Keith Mclaren.
	8715	Preliminary-Test Estimation of the Scale Parameter in a Mis-Specified Regression Model, by David E. A. Giles and Judith A. Clarke.
No.	8716	A Simple Graphical Proof of Arrow's Impossibility Theorem, by John Fountain.
No.	8717	Rational Choice and Implementation of Social Decision Functions, by Manimay Sen.
No	8718	Divisia Monetary Aggregates for New Zealand, by Ewen McCann and David E. A. Giles.
No	8719	Telecommunications in New Zealand: The Case for Reform, by John Fountain.

(Continued on back cover)

No	. 8801	Workers' Compensation Rates and the Demand for Apprentices and Non-Apprentices in Victoria, by Pasquale M. Sgro and David E. A. Giles.
No	. 8802	The Adventures of Sherlock Holmes, the 48% Solution, by Michael Carter.
No	. 8803	The Exact Distribution of a Simple Pre-Test Estimator, by David E. A. Giles.
No	. 8804	Pre-testing for Linear Restrictions in a Regression Model With Student-t Errors, by Judith A. Clarke.
No	. 8805	Divisia Monetary Aggregates and the Real User Cost of Money, by Ewen McCann and David Giles.
No	. 8806	The Management of New Zealand's Lobster Fishery, by Alan Woodfield and Pim Borren.
No	. 8807	Poverty Measurement: A Generalization of Sen's Result, by Prasanta K. Pattanaik and Manimay Sen.
No	. 8808	A Note on Sen's Normalization Axiom for a Poverty Measure, by Prasanta K. Pattanaik and Manimay Sen.
No	. 8809	Budget Deficits and Asset Sales, by Ewen McCann.
No	. 8810	Unorganized Money Markets and 'Unproductive' Assets in the New Structuralist Critique of Financial Liberalization, by P. Dorian Owen and Otton Solis-Fallas.
No	. 8901	Testing for Financial Buffer Stocks in Sectoral Portfolio Models, by P. Dorian Owen.
No	. 8902	Provisional Data and Unbiased Prediction of Economic Time Series by Karen Browning and David Giles.
No	. 8903	Coefficient Sign Changes When Restricting Regression Models Under Instrumental Variables Estimation, by David E. A. Giles.
No	. 8904	Economies of Scale in the New Zealand Electricity Distribution Industry, by David E. A. Giles and Nicolas S. Wyatt.
No	. 8905	Some Recent Developments in Econometrics: Lessons for Applied Economists, by David E. A. Giles.
No	. 8906	Asymptotic Properties of the Ordinary Least Squares Estimator in Simultaneous Equations Models, by V. K. Srivastava and D. E. A. Giles.
No	. 8907	Unbiased Estimation of the Mean Squared Error of the Feasible Generalised Ridge Regression Estimator, by V. K. Srivasatva and D. E. A. Giles.
No	. 8908	An Unbiased Estimator of the Covariance Matrix of the Mixed Regression Estimator, by D. E. A. Giles and V. K. Srivastava.
No	. 8909	Pre-testing for Linear Restrictions in a Regression Model with Spherically Symmetric Disturbances, by Judith A. Giles.
No	. 9001	The Durbin-Watson Test for Autocorrelation in Nonlinear Models, by Kenneth J. White.
No	. 9002	Determinants of Aggregate Demand for Cigarettes in New Zealand, by Robin Harrison and Jane Chetwyd.
No	. 9003	Unemployment Duration and the Measurement of Unemployment, by Manimay Sengupta.
No	. 9004	Estimation of the Error Variance After a Preliminary-Test of Homogeneity in a Regression Model with Spherically Symmetric Disturbances, by Judith A. Giles.
No	. 9005	An Expository Note on the Composite Commodity Theorem, by Michael Carter.
No	. 9006	The Optimal Size of a Preliminary Test of Linear Restrictions in a Mis-specified Regression Model, by David E. A. Giles, Offer Lieberman, and Judith A. Giles.
No	. 9007	Inflation, Unemployment and Macroeconomic Policy in New Zealand: A Public Choice Analysis, by David J. Smyth and Alan E. Woodfield.
No	. 9008	Inflation — Unemployment Choices in New Zealand and the Median Voter Theorem, by David J. Smyth and Alan E. Woodfield.

^{*} Copies of these Discussion Papers may be obtained for \$4 (including postage, price changes occasionally) each by writing to the Secretary, Department of Economics, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand. A list of the Discussion Papers prior to 1985 is available on request.