

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Vol XXXVI No. 1

ISSN

0019-5014

JANUARY-MARCH 1981

INDIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS





INDIAN SOCIETY OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS, BOMBAY

RESEARCH NOTES

CONTRIBUTION OF HYVS TO CEREAL OUTPUT, YIELD AND AREA IN GUJARAT*

Several micro level studies have substantiated the yield-raising characteristics of new seed varieties (HYVs). They suggest that output per unit of land is higher on the farms which apply HYVs than on those which apply traditional seed varieties. At the same time, some of these studies have also indicated that the yield potential of HYVs has not yet been fully exploited because of the gap between the recommended and the actual application of certain crucial inputs. Wide variations in the extent of adoption across different farm sizes are also highlighted by few of these studies. It could therefore be stated that at the aggregate level the use of HYVs may or even may not prove yield (output or area)-increasing.

Studies are conducted at the macro level to examine the impact of HYVs on yield level (as well as on output and area). These studies attempted to measure the magnitude of change in terms of growth rate of yield with the advent of HYVs. Based on time-series data, these studies tried to test the hypothesis that the growth rate of yield will be higher for the period after its introduction. These studies have shown that considerable improvement has been recorded only in respect of wheat output. Sen observes with Indian data for the period 1949-50 to 1970-71:3 "On the whole, the effects of the green revolution on the output and yield of foodgrain crops have been mixed, spectacular for wheat, some improve-

^{*} The author owes much to Prof. R. Radhakrishna for his valuable guidance and to Shri G. O. Parikh for correcting the first draft of the paper. She is thankful to Shri Narendrasingh Bhushri and Shri N. C. Shah for their help in programming the data. She is also grateful to an anonymous referee of this Journal for his useful comments.

^{1.} S. S. Acharya. "Comparative Efficiency of HYVP—Case Study of Udaipur District", Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. IV, No. 44, November 1, 1969; M.D. Gopalakrishnan, "Profitability and Productivity of ADT-27 in Thanjavur District", Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol. XXIII, No. 4, October-December 1968; D. Gohain, "Economic Aspects of High-Yielding Varieties Programme", Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, October-December 1968, pp. 73-79; G. S. Lavania and R. S. Dixit, "Economics of High-Yielding Varieties in Package District, Aligarh", Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, October-December 1968, pp. 93-103; G. Parthasarathy: Green Revolution and the Weaker Section, Thacker & Co. Ltd., Bombay. 1971.

^{2.} T. N. Srinivasan, "The Green Revolution or the Wheat Revolution", Indian Statistical Institute, Discussion Paper No. 66, 1971, reprinted in Agricultural Development in Developing Countries—Comparative Experience, Indian Society of Agricultural Economics, Bombay, 1972; B. Sen: The Green Revolution in India—A Perspective, Wiley Eastern Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1974; A. S. Patel, "New Technology in Indian Agriculture—Critical Appraisal", Artha-Vikas, Vol. II, No. 2, July 1975; G. A. Patel and J. H. Pathak, "Hybrid Bajra—Cause of Breakthrough in Bajra Productin in Gujarat State", Anvesak, Vol. IV, No. 1, June 1974; Keith Griffin: The Political Economy of Agrarian Change: An Essay on the Green Revolution, The Macmillan Press Ltd., 1974; I. J. Singh, "Analysing Haryana's Wheat Revolution", Agricultural Situation in India, Vol. XXXI, No. 5, August 1976; S. K. Sinha, "Green Revolution and Break-through in Food Production in India", Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol. XXVIII, No. 2, April-June 1973.

ment for bajri and maize and no improvement at all for jowar and rice. In sum, the extent of green revolution in India has been small, both in terms of area covered and impact on output. The future scope too is severely limited." At the macro level thus the yield-raising characteristics of HYV have not been realised fully.

At attempt is made in this paper to measure the contribution of HYVs to output, yield and area in Gujarat State. This is done for bajri, jowar, maize, paddy, wheat and total foodgrains. For this purpose, a comparison of growth rates for output, yield and area of the above-mentioned crops is made (i) between period I (1951-52 to 1965-66) and period II (1966-67 to 1977-78) and (ii) between period I and period III (1951-52 to 1977-78). While doing this exercise, it is assumed that the growth rates of gross irrigated area, fertilizer use and crop intensity are

GROWTH RATES OF OUTPUT-YIELD-AREA OF MAJOR CEREALS AND TOTAL FOODGRAINS IN GUJARAT

(per cent) Period Output Yield Area Crop 2.49 6.68 -3.88Bajri 1951-53 to 1963-65 (I) 1966-68 to 1975-77 (II) -0.281.37 -1.855.15 --0.361951-53 to 1975-77 (III) 4.80Jowar 1951-53 to 1963-65 (I) 1.37 2.64 -1.241966-68 to 1975-77 (II) 2.90 7.12 -4.021951-53 to 1975-77 (III) 1.48 3.17 --1.68 Maize 1951-53 to 1963-65 (I) 6.25 4.33 1.77 1.12 0.26 1966-68 to 1975-77 (II) 1.46 1.19 1.74 1951 53 to 1975-77 (III) 2.94 1.39 4.81 3.51 Paddy 1951-53 to 1963-65 (I) 0.99 2.35 -1.631966-68 to 1975-77 (II) 1951 53 to 1975-77 (III) 1.61 2.17 -0.61Wheat 1951-53 to 1963-65 (I) 3.63 3.09 0.01 1966-68 to 1975-77 (II) 6.516.86 2.67 1951-53 to 1975-77 (III) 6.75 5.83 1.26 2.93 3 99 -1.81Total foodgrains 1951-53 to 1963-65 (I) 1966-68 to 1975-77 (II) 1.96 4.08 -1.19 3.13 3.47 -0.761951-53 to 1975-77 (III)

likely to contribute to the growth of output per acre in the same way as in the pre-technology (HYV) period. The index numbers based on the triennium ending 1961-62 as 100 of the output (yield and area) of the cereals under study for the period 1951-52 to 1977-78 are used after adjusting for cyclical variations by taking three-yearly moving average. Semi-log trend equation $Y = ab^t$ is fitted to output (yield and area).

It is noticed that output expanded faster in period II as compared to period I with respect to wheat and jowar only (Table I). A comparison between the output growth rates of period I and period III reveals that the output growth rates of wheat, bajri, jowar and total foodgrains have improved for the latter period which may partly be attributed to the adoption of HYV seeds. The yield growth rates in period II are higher than those in period I for wheat, jowar and total foodgrains. The growth rate of wheat and jowar yield is found to be rising in period III over period I; for all the remaining crops it is declining. The growth rates of area in both period II and period III over period I have increased for bajri, wheat and total foodgrains.

It emerges from this exercise that the influence of HYV seed varieties on output, yield and area for major five cereals is mixed at the State level. The contribution of HYVs to output, yield and area growth for wheat is remarkable. The adoption of HYVs has helped to accelerate the growth rate for output and yield for jowar for the period under study. In the case of bajri and total foodgrains, the increase in growth rate for output and area in period III over period I indicates that the adoption of HYVs on larger area has been instrumental in stepping up the output growth substantially. It is, however, really curious to find that there is no improvement in the rate of growth of yield in bajri despite the fact that its acreage under HYV happens to be quite substantial in the State. The reason as to why the yield of wheat has increased substantially, but same is not the case with bajri, is a matter for further investigation.

USHABEN SHARMA*

^{4.} Government of Gujarat: Socio-Economic Review: Gujarat State for different years, Bureau of Economics and Statistics, Gandhinagar.

^{5.} Government of India: Growth Rates in Agriculture, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, December 1964.

Note:—Y = adjusted three-years' moving average index number of output (yield and area), t = time (1. 2, 25).

^{*} Sardar Patel Institute of Economic and Social Research, Ahmedabad.