

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



SELECTED INFORMATION FROM

Eggap-Cost of production

A

#### SURVEY OF COMMERCIAL EGG PRODUCTION

IN

# DERBYSHIRE, NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND LINCOLNSHIRE (KESTEVEN).

JANUARY 1961.

by

MARGARET LOADMAN (B.Sc. Hons.)

UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, Department of Agricultural Economics, St. Michael's House, SUTTON BONINGTON, Loughborough, Leicestershire.

January, 1962.

# <u>CONTENTS</u>

Page

# Foreword

	Introduction	1
1.	Distribution of birds at January, 1961.	. 1
2.	Distribution of flocks at January, 1961.	3
3.	Changes in number of birds kept under different systems; January, 1960 to January, 1961.	4
4.	Producers intending to increase flock size.	4
5.	Producers intending to change their system of housing during 1961.	5
6.	Producers giving up egg production.	5
7.	Average flock sizes at January, 1961.	5
8.	Flock size and acreage of holding.	6
9.	Egg sales during 1960.	6
10.	Flock replacements during 1960.	7
11.	Food for the <u>laying</u> flock during 1960.	8
12.	Labour.	9
13.	Artificial light.	9

Foreword

The figures presented in the following pages have been extracted from the results of research still in progress relating to egg production in the East Midlands. A fuller description of the survey and a report on its findings will be published later.

#### Introduction

The survey sample included 1,044 producers(1), of which 819 (79 per cent) completed questionnaires and 141 (13 per cent) had either given up keeping poultry or had left the holding selected in the sample. Information about flocks affected by fowl pest during 1960 has been excluded.

All percentages in the following tables are "raised" estimates (i.e. they are representative of all poultry keepers in the three counties).

Throughout, "birds" means "fowls six months and over".

D	=	Derbyshire,	N	=	Nottinghamshire,	К	=	Kesteven,
В	=	Batteries,	DL ·	. =	Deep litter,	FR	=	Free range,
PS	=	Packing Station,	R	=	Retail.			•
	=	negligible.						

1. Percentage distribution of BIRDS at January, 1961.

(a) between counties.

D	. N	ĸ
40%	39%	21%

- (b) between systems, by county.
  - (i) including "mixed" systems separately.

	D %	N %	K %	Three counties %
В	12	29	3	17
DL	31	30	44	33
FR	30 -	14	22	22
Mixed(1)	26	25	29	26
Other	1	2	2	2

(1) Holdings with more than one system

(1) 462 in Derbyshire, 337 in Nottinghamshire, 245 in Kesteven.

	D %	N %	К %	Three counties %
В	19	36	11	24
DL	.12	42	59	45
FR	36	18	26	27
Other	3	4	4	4

(ii) birds in "mixed" group have been redistributed to individual systems.

(c) between flock size-groups and systems.

(i) including "mixed" systems separately.

Flock size- groups	All systems %	В %	DL %	FR %	Mixéd systems	Other systems %
1- 150 151- 750 751-2000 2000 +	23 43 21 13	4 6 7	7 19 6 1	13 8 1 -	3 11 8 4	 1 1
All groups	100	17	33	22	26	2

The chief difference between counties was that Kesteven had a higher proportion of large deep litter flocks and Nottinghamshire a higher proportion of large battery flocks.

(ii) birds in "mixed" group have been redistributed to individual systems

Flock size- groups	All systems %	В %	DL %	FR %	Other systems %
1- 150 151- 750 751-2000 2000 +	23 43 21 13	 6 8 10	9 24 9 <b>3</b>	14 11 2	 2 2 
All groups	100	24	45	27	4

- 2 -

#### 2. Percentage distribution of FLOCKS at January, 1961.

(a) between counties,

D	N	К
52%	30%	18%

(b) between systems, by county.

	D %	N %	K %	Three counties %
В	2	6	2	3
DL	25	37	33	30
FR	55	45	48	51
Mixed	17	12	16	15
Other	1	. • •	].	1

(c) between flock size-groups and systems.

Flock size- groups	All systems %	В %	DL %	FR %	Mixed systems %	Other systems %
1- 150 151- 750 751-2000	68(1) 28 4	 2 1	17 12 1	44 7	7(3) 7(4) 1	·· ·· 1
2000 +	(2)	••			••	••
All groups	100	3	30	51	15	1

- About half of these, i.e. about one third of <u>all</u> producers, had less than 75 birds.
- (2) The 41 flocks in this group represented less than one per cent of the total number of flocks in the Province.
- (3) 70 per cent of mixed flocks in this size-group were DL/FR, 25 per cent were B/FR flocks.
- (4) 56 per cent of mixed flocks in this size-group were DL/FR,22 per cent were B/DL flocks.

3. Percentage changes in number of birds kept under different systems, January, 1960 to January, 1961.

В		% +11
DL		+ 6
FR		- 3
A11	systems	+ 5

#### 4. Producers intending to increase flock size.

The proportion of producers intending to have at least 60 per cent more birds in January, 1962 than in January, 1960, in the different flock sizegroups and systems within flock size-groups, are given below.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Flock size- groups	System	Percentage of flocks which are likely to be increased
1- 150	DL FR Mixed	22 8 22(1)
	All systems	13(2)
151- 750	DL FR Mixed	9 12 27(1)
	All systems	16(3)
751-2000	B DL Mixed	45 21 17
	All systems	24
2000 +	B DL Mixed	20 - 27
	All systems	20

- The majority of these were DL/FR flocks; 90 per cent in 1-150 flock size-group and 80 per cent in 151-750 flock size-group.
- (2) 87 per cent of these flocks will have less than 400 birds by January, 1962.
- (3) 41 per cent of these flocks will have less than 400 birds by January, 1962.

• 4 -

Percentage of producers intending to change their system of housing 5. during 1961, in the different flock size groups.

5

Flock size- groups	Percentage of producers				
1- 150	5				
151- 750	6				
751-2000	20				
2000 +	26				
All groups	6				

Producers giving up egg production. 6.

Flock size-	Percentage of producers in the different flock size-groups who gave up, or intended to give up keeping any poultry between -					
groups	June, 1959 and	January, 1961 and				
	January, 1961(1) %	January, 1962(2) %				
1- 100 101- 200 201- 500 501-1000 1000 +	15 5 5 5 3	11 6 3 3 2				
All groups	11	8				

(1) For reasons other than death or retirement. Flock size group refers to June, 1959.

- (2) For reasons which may include retirement. Flock size group refers to January, 1961.
- Average flock sizes at January, 1961. 7.

	Average number of birds in flock
B	1,120
DL	237
FR	93
Mixed	336
All flocks	214

#### 8. Flock size and acreage of holding.

There was no close association between flock size and acreage of holding; the distribution of birds between holdings of various acreages was aimilar to that of producers. About a quarter of producers were on holdings of less than 10 acres, and about half on holdings of less than 50 acres.

#### 9. Egg sales during 1960.

# (a) Percentage of producers selling eggs through various outlets by flock size-groups.

		Flo	ck size-gro	ups	
	1 <b>-</b> 150 %	151 <b>-7</b> 50 %	751-2000 %	2000 +	All groups %
Selling to hatcheries	-	2	8	27	1(1)
Selling to packing stations (% of producer's total egg sales) 0-30 31-60 61-90 90 +	31 7 15 47	15 16 21 46	12 6 13 61	4 2 13 54	25 10 17 47

(1) Three per cent of all eggs were sold for hatching.

(b) <u>Percentage distribution of eggs(1) sold to packing stations and retail</u>, by county and flock size-group.

Flock size-	I	D		1	k	۲	Three counties		
groups	PS %	R %	PS %	R %	PS %	R	PS %	R %	
1- 150 151- 750 751-2000 2000 +	61 64 67 88	39 36 33 12	69 70 83 92	31 30 17 8	85 92 88 95	15 8 12 5	67 72 80 91	33 28 20 9	
All groups	65	35	78	22	· 90	10	75	25	

(1) Hatching eggs excluded.

(c) Only a minority of producers (two per cent) intended making any change during 1961 in their method of egg disposal.

#### 10. Flock replacements during 1960.

	Flo	Flock size-groups					
(a) Percentage of producers who :	1-750 %	750 + %	All groups %				
made no flock replacements hatched own replacements purchased day-old chicks only purchased "growers"(1) only	13 2 52 29	2 12 75 6	13 2 53 28				
purchased day-old chicks and growers	4	5	4				

(1) Includes all birds of more than three weeks old.

- (b) 67 per cent of all "growers" were purchased at less than 18 weeks of age.
- (c) Six per cent of all producers in Derbyshire and one per cent in Kesteven reared chicks for sale as "growers". (Information on the sale of "growers" was not asked from Nottinghamshire producers).

## (d) Date of purchase of day-old pullet chicks.

- 64 per cent were bought from January to April.
- 18 per cent were bought from May to September.
- 18 per cent were bought from October to December.
- (e) In the three counties of the survey, a much higher proportion of chicks were purchased in February and March than was the case in England and Wales as a whole :-

Estimates of day-old pullet chicks in 1960			Mar. (each									Dec.
- placed by hatcheries in England and												
$W_{ales}(1)$	12	13	15	15	8	4	4	3	4	7	7	8
- purchased in survey coun-			.* .									
ties	12	17	21	14	7	4	3	2	2	5	6	7

(1) Farmers Weekly, June 16th, 1961.

# (f) Percentage distribution of producers according to period of purchase of day-old pullet chicks, by flock size-groups.

Percentage of	Flock size-groups								
producers purchasing during	1 <b>-</b> 150 %	151 <b>-75</b> ບ %	751 <b>-</b> 2000 %	2000 + %	All groups %				
- only one season of year	93	57	18	3	76				
- more than one	, 7	37	66	42	21				
season - throughout year		6	16	55	3				
Percentage of producers who avoid rearing May - Sept.	75	71	67	21	74				

#### 11 Food for the laying flock during 1960.

- (a) 88 per cent of all producers fed some purchased compound food, and threequarters of these also fed some whole grain. Of these 88 per cent
  - 60 per cent fed a nationally advertised compound.
  - 40 per cent fed a local merchant's compound.
- (b) Five per cent of producers fed only whole grain; these all had flocks of less than 150 birds.
- (c) Nice per cent of producers mixed all or some of their poultry food, but proportions varied with flock size :-
  - 8 per cent of producers with flocks of lessthan 750 birds.
  - 24 per cent of producers with flocks of 751-2000 birds.
  - 44 per cent of producers with flocks of more than 2000 birds.

(Two per cent also fed some purchased compound food).

Similar distributions occurred in each county.

- 8 -

# 12. Labour.

1 ---- 4

Percentage of flocks employing different types of labour during 1960, by flock size-group and county.

9

	Flock size-groups											
Type of labour	<b>I-</b> 150		<b>I-</b> 150 151-750			751-2000			2000 +			
	D %	N %	К %	D %	N %	К %	D %	N %	K %	D %	• N %	К %
Family labour only Family and hired Hirgd general workers only	96 2 2	95 - 5	89 11	85 10 5	77 16 7	76 6 18	61 16 2	66 13 8	33 42 18	36 36 9	4 22 17	- 11 11
Flocks employing special poultry staff	-		-	-	-	-	21	13	7	19	57	78

## 13. Artificial Light.

Percentage of flocks receiving and not receiving artificial light, by flock size-groups during 1960.

Flock size-	Re	ceiving art light	ificial	Not receiving artificial light				
groups	FR	Other systems	All systems	FR	Other systems	All systems		
<b>1-10</b> 0 101-200 201-500	20 14 17	21 39 59	41 53 76	53 43 21	6 4 3	59 47 24		
All groups with less than 500 birds	18	31	49	46	5	51		

JANUARY, 1962.