



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

***Invited presentation at the 2018 Southern Agricultural  
Economics Association Annual Meeting, February 2-6, 2018,  
Jacksonville, Florida***

*Copyright 2018 by Author(s). All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.*

# **DETERMINANTS OF FOOD SECURITY AMONG SMALLHOLDER FARMERS IN KENYA.**

---

Patrick K Rono, Shaikh Rahman, and Stephen Devadoss

# INTRODUCTION

---

- Food security exists when all people, at all times, have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life (FAO, 2006).
- In 2016 Chronically undernourished people in the world was estimated to be 815 million (FAO, 2017).
- Many countries has failed to achieve millennium development goal of halving extreme poverty and hunger by 2015.

# INTRODUCTION

---

- Sub Saharan Africa especially horn of Africa leads with number of people suffering from hunger (Sasson et al., 2012)
- The global population is expected to reach at least 9 billion by the year 2050, requiring up to 70 percent more food, and demanding food production systems and the food chain to become fully sustainable (King et al., 2017).

# FOOD SECURITY IN KENYA

---

- As of 2017 the number of people that were acutely food insecure in Kenya was 3.4 million
- Kenya faces the same problems of hunger and poverty like any other developing country in sub-Saharan Africa.
- Poor agriculture performance is at the heart of the problem- Misallocation and underinvestment in the sector, disengagement of government support to agriculture, poor infrastructure, limited access to credit, the high cost of farm inputs, and the lack of a land policy and framework
- Determinants of food security has been investigated in in many countries .In Kenya (Kassie et al., 2014) studied determinants of food security from gender perspective, however a study examining determinants of food security missing, therefore this study aim to fill this gap.

# LITERATURE REVIEW

---

- (Abdullah et al., 2017)

Factors affecting household food security in rural northern hinterland of Pakistan using a binary logistic regression technique

- Results
- Age, gender, education, remittances, unemployment, inflation, assets, and disease are important factors determining household food insecurity.

# LITERATURE REVIEW CONT.

---

- (Magaña-Lemus et al., 2016)
- Determinants of household food insecurity in Mexico using a ordered probit
- Results
- Households more likely to be food insecure include those with younger, less-educated household heads
- Households headed by single, widowed or divorced women,
- household with lower-income.



# LITERATURE REVIEW CONT.

---

- (Tefera & Tefera, 2014)
- Determinants of Households Food Security and Coping Strategies for Food Shortfall in Mareko District, Guraghe Zone Southern Ethiopia using Logistic regression model
- Results
- Age of household head, level of education, household size, size of cultivated land, use of improved seed, number of contact with development agents, size of credit received, size of livestock owned, and off-farm income per adult equivalent were found to be significant and influence food security.

# OBJECTIVES

---

The overall objective;

- Evaluate the impact of socio-economic factors on food security in Kenya.

The specific objective:

- Examine the socio-economic factors that influence food security in Kenya.

# DATA

---

- The Tegemeo Agricultural Policy Research Analysis (TAPRA) rural household survey data 2014 was used
- It included assessment of food security during the 30 days
- Sample size 6512 households.

# EMPIRICAL MODEL

---

- Food security was categorised into three categories: High food security, Low food security and very low food security
- Ordered probit was used to examine the probability of a household falling into one of the above identified categories of food security.
- $y^* = X'\beta + \varepsilon$  1
- Where  $y^*$  is the latent variable that can take on three values corresponding to three levels of food security.
- $x$  is a vector of socio-economic characteristics entering the equation
- $\varepsilon$  refer to error term which is assumed to be normally distributed across observations

# EMPIRICAL MODEL CONT.

---

Highfoodsecurity  $y = 1$  if  $y \leq \alpha_1$

- Lowfoodsecurity  $y = 2$  if  $\alpha_1 < y \leq \alpha_2$

2

Very lowfood security  $y = 3$  if  $\alpha_2 < y$

- The  $\alpha$ 's indicate the cut-points or thresholds to be projected for each level
- The formulas for the probabilities with the three observed outcomes for the ordered probit will be;

# EMPIRICAL MODEL CONT.

---

$$Prob(y = 1 | X) = 1 - \Phi(X'\beta)$$

- $Prob(y = 2 | X) = \Phi(\alpha_1 - X'\beta) - \Phi(-X'\beta)$

4

$$Prob(y = 3 | X) = 1 - \Phi(\alpha_2 - X'\beta)$$

- **Marginal effects**

- $\frac{\partial Pr[y=1|x]}{\partial X} = -\Phi(X'\beta)\beta$

- $\frac{\partial Pr[y=2|x]}{\partial X} = [\Phi(\alpha_1 - X'\beta) - \Phi(-X'\beta)]\beta$

- $\frac{\partial Pr[y=3|x]}{\partial X} = \Phi(\alpha_2 - X'\beta)\beta$

5

# RESULTS

## DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

---

| <b>Variable</b>            | <b>Frequency</b> | <b>Percentage</b> |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Food secure                | 5,110            | 78.47             |
| Low food secure            | 972              | 14.93             |
| Very Low food secure       | 430              | 6.60              |
| <b>Household Gender</b>    |                  |                   |
| Male HH                    | 4,996            | 76.72             |
| Female HH                  | 1,516            | 23.28             |
| <b>Land tenure</b>         |                  |                   |
| Own land                   | 6,115            | 94.29             |
| Doesn't own land           | 370              | 5.71              |
| <b>Livestock ownership</b> |                  |                   |
| Own                        | 6,000            | 92.14             |
| DO not own                 | 512              | 7.86              |

|                  |                | Marginal Effects   |                   |               |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Variable         | Parameters est | High food security | Low food security | Food insecure |
| Age              | 0.0078***      | -0.0021***         | 0.0014***         | 0.0007***     |
| Gender HH Head   | -0.1761*       | 0.0500*            | -0.0331*          | -0.0166*      |
| Land size        | -0.0061**      | 0.0016**           | -0.0011**         | -0.0005**     |
| Group Member     | -0.0010        | 0.00020            | -0.0002           | -0.0001       |
| Saving account   | -0.2616***     | 0.0700***          | -0.0477***        | -0.0222***    |
| Distance to Mkt  | 0.0053         | -0.0015            | 0.0010            | 0.0005        |
| LnAsset value    | -0.2159***     | 0.0581***          | -0.0400***        | -0.0185***    |
| Lnoff farminc    | -0.0711***     | 0.0191***          | -0.0131***        | -0.0061***    |
| Primeducation    | -0.2056***     | 0.0564***          | -0.0381***        | -0.0183***    |
| Seceducation     | -0.3563***     | 0.0872***          | -0.0615***        | -0.0247***    |
| Colleguniversity | -0.4580***     | 0.1006***          | -0.0733***        | -0.0273***    |
| Land tenure      | -0.0941        | 0.0263             | -0.0176           | -0.0087       |
| Household size   | 0.0912***      | -0.0246***         | 0.0168***         | 0.0080***     |
| Livestock own    | -0.1467*       | 0.0416*            | -0.0276*          | -0.0140*      |
| Seed subsidy     | 0.2511***      | -0.0737***         | 0.0479***         | 0.0258***     |
| Fert subsidy     | -0.3950***     | 0.0903***          | -0.0651***        | -0.0252***    |
| Soilmgtprac      | -0.1380*       | 0.0381*            | -0.0256*          | -0.0125*      |



# DISCUSSION

---

- Increase in income increases likelihood of being food secure- consistent with (Magaña-Lemus et al., 2016)
- Increase in asset value increases likelihood of household being food secure, reduces likelihood of household being low food secure and likelihood to be very low food secure
  - Consistent with Abdullah et al., 2017 and Gezimu Gebre, 2012.
- Increase in age of household head reduces likelihood of household being food secure
- Consistent with Bashir et al., 2012 but Magaña-Lemus et al., 2016 and Abdullah et al., 2017 reported a positive relationship.
- Increase in household size reduces likelihood of household being food secure.
  - Consistent with most studies reviewed.

# CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

---

- Income, assets, age, gender, and household size significantly influenced food security status of households.
- Government and all stakeholders should address gender parity so that women headed household can access resources.
- Interventions should target women, the elderly, adolescence, and children under five.
- Therefore, interventions focused on these factors need to get priority in order to improve food security status in Kenya.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

---

- Michigan State University and Tegemeo Institute of Agricultural Policy and Development for providing data for this study.
- This study is based upon work supported by the United States Agency for International Development, as part of the Feed the Future initiative, under the CGIAR Fund, award number BFS-G-11-00002, and the predecessor fund the Food Security and Crisis Mitigation II grant, award number EEM-G-00-04-00013.
- Texas Tech University

## REFERENCES

---

- Abdullah, Zhou, D., Shah, T., Ali, S., Ahmad, W., Din, I. U., & Ilyas, A. (2017). Factors affecting household food security in rural northern hinterland of Pakistan. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2017.05.003>
- FAO. (2006). Food Security. *Policy Brief*, (2), 1–4.
- FAO. (2017). *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World*.
- King, T., Cole, M., Farber, J. M., Eisenbrand, G., Zabaras, D., Fox, E. M., & Hill, J. P. (2017). Food safety for food security: Relationship between global megatrends and developments in food safety. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 68, 160–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.08.014>
- Magaña-Lemus, D., Ishdorj, A., Rosson, C. P., & Lara-Álvarez, J. (2016). Determinants of household food insecurity in Mexico. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 4(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-016-0054-9>
- Sasson, A., Eeckhout, L. Van, Eeckhout, L. Van, Sasson, A., Cypel, S., Hervieu, S., ... Clavreul, L. (2012). Food security for Africa: an urgent global challenge. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 1(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2048-7010-1-2>
- Tefera, T., & Tefera, F. (2014). Determinants of Households Food Security and Coping Strategies for Food Shortfall in Mareko District, Guraghe Zone Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Food Security*, 2(3), 92–99. <https://doi.org/10.12691/jfs-2-3-4>

---

**THANK YOU**

