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# Per Capita Food Spending Up 25 Percent in 4 Years 

James R. Blaylock and David M. Smallwood<br>(202) 219-0862<br>(202) 219-0864

From 1986 to 1990, after-tax household income rose 25 percent. Food spending kept pace, also rising 25 percent. Consequently, the 15 -percent share of af-ter-tax household income allocated to food did not change.

However, spending for some food categories rose faster than for others. For example, spending for cereals and bakery products jumped 34 percent, while beef and pork spending climbed 15 and 14 percent, respectively. Spending for eggs remained level.

## Spending Changes With Income

U.S. per capita food spending rose from $\$ 1,326$ in 1986 to $\$ 1,652$ in 1990. As expected, lower income households spent less on virtually all food items than wealthier households (table 1). In 1990, for example, the lowest income households spent $\$ 894$ per person on food at home and $\$ 440$ on food away from home. The highest income households spent \$1,097 per person on food at home and \$1,131 on food away from home.

[^0]

Annual food expenditures rose to $\$ 1,652$ per person in 1990.

Table 1
Food Spending Increased Fastest for the Poorest Households

| Item | 1986 |  |  |  | 1990 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Poorest 20 percent | Middle 20 percent | Richest 20 percent | All | Poorest 20 percent | Middle 20 percent | Richest 20 percent |
|  | Dollars per household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before taxes Income after taxes | $\begin{aligned} & 25,460 \\ & 23,172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,811 \\ & 3,667 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,534 \\ & 18,140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,477 \\ & 54,857 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,889 \\ & 28,937 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,637 \\ & 5,553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,500 \\ & 22,678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76,660 \\ & 67,835 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average number of persons in household | $\begin{array}{lllll}3.2 & 2.6 & 1.8 & 2.6 & 3.2\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dollars per person |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food expenditures | 1,326 | 993 | 1,251 | 1,829 | 1,652 | 1,334 | 1,484 | 2,227 |
| Food at home | 767 | 654 | 753 | 928 | 956 | 894 | 895 | 1,097 |
| Cereals and bakery products | 106 | 96 | 104 | 129 | 142 | 129 | 130 | 168 |
| Cereals and cereal products | 36 | 38 | 35 | 39 | 50 | 48 | 48 | 56 |
| Bakery products | 70 | 59 | 69 | 89 | 92 | 81 | 82 | 112 |
| Meats, poultry, fish, and eggs | 216 | 194 | 212 | 248 | 257 | 261 | 245 | 276 |
| Beef | 73 | 61 | 72 | 88 | 84 | 89 | 85 | 88 |
| Pork | 45 | 42 | 44 | 48 | 51 | 55 | 49 | 49 |
| Other meats | 30 | 25 | 31 | 32 | 38 | 36 | 37 | 42 |
| Poultry | 33 | 31 | 29 | 38 | 42 | 42 | 37 | 46 |
| Fish and seafood | 25 | 22 | 22 | 31 | 32 | 27 | 26 | 41 |
| Eggs | 12 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 10 |
| Dairy products | 97 | 79 | 95 | 115 | 113 | 107 | 112 | 125 |
| Fresh milk and cream | 47 | 45 | 46 | 49 | 54 | 57 | 55 | 51 |
| Other dairy products | 49 | 35 | 49 | 66 | 60 | 50 | 57 | 75 |
| Fruit and vegetables | 123 | 110 | 118 | 150 | 157 | 151 | 145 | 183 |
| Fresh fruit | 39 | 35 | 36 | 49 | 49 | 46 | 44 | 60 |
| Fresh vegetables | 35 | 32 | 34 | 41 | 45 | 44 | 42 | 53 |
| Processed fruit | 28 | 24 | 27 | 37 | 36 | 33 | 33 | 41 |
| Processed vegetables | 21 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 29 |
| Other food at home | 213 | 168 | 215 | 266 | 287 | 246 | 264 | 345 |
| Sugar and other sweets | 28 | 22 | 27 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 32 | 42 |
| Fats and oils | 20 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 27 |
| Miscellaneous foods | 91 | 62 | 94 | 121 | 129 | 107 | 119 | 161 |
| Nonalcoholic beverages | 74 | 65 | 74 | 87 | 82 | 73 | 78 | 93 |
| Food away from home | 560 | 338 | 498 | 902 | 697 | 440 | 590 | 1,131 |
| Alcoholic beverages | 104 | 72 | 104 | 153 | 113 | 71 | 108 | 173 |

However, after-tax incomes rose faster for the poorest households than any other income group between 1986 and 1990 (table 1). Their after-tax incomes went up 51 percent, while gains for the other income groups ranged from 22 to 30 percent. Despite faster rising incomes, however, the gap between the poorest and richest households widened by $\$ 11,092$ (table 1).

With the fastest rising incomes, the lowest income households also had the greatest percentage jump
in per capita food spending, up 34 percent.

Per capita food spending by other households climbed between 18 and 32 percent. For example, spending on fish increased 45 percent for the lowest income households between 1986 and 1990, but only 32 percent for those with the highest incomes. Poultry spending also rose more in the poorest households, up 35 percent, than in the wealthiest ones, up 21 percent. However, per capita spending for alcoholic beverages declined
slightly in the poorest households but rose 13 percent for their wealthiest counterparts.

For all household income groups except the richest, spending for food at home increased faster than for food away from home. This is especially true for the two lowest income groups. Spending for food at home rose 22 percent faster than for food away from home for the poorest households and 6 percent faster for the next poorest group. For the richest

Table 2
Food Spending Has Increased For Both Blacks and Whites

| Item | 1986 |  | 1990 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Black | White | Black |
|  | Dollars per household |  |  |  |
| Income before taxes Income after taxes | 26,505 | 16,964 | 33,070 | 22,461 |
|  | 24,094 | 15,678 | 29,981 | 20,599 |
|  | Number |  |  |  |
| Average number of persons in household | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
|  | Dollars per person |  |  |  |
| Food expenditures | 1,425 | 893 | 1,775 | 1,159 |
| Food at home | 810 | 615 | 1,011 | 788 |
| Cereals and bakery products | 112 | 83 | 151 | 107 |
| Cereals and cereal products | 37 | 34 | 52 | 44 |
| Bakery products | 75 | 49 | 99 | 63 |
| Meats, poultry, fish, and eggs | 220 | 234 | 264 | 270 |
| Beef | 76 | 65 | 88 | 78 |
| Pork | 44 | 60 | 51 | 65 |
| Other meats | 31 | 29 | 40 | 34 |
| Poultry | 32 | 42 | 42 | 49 |
| Fish and seafood | 25 | 26 | 33 | 31 |
| Eggs | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| Dairy products | 105 | 57 | 123 | 73 |
| Fresh milk and cream | 50 | 33 | 58 | 35 |
| Other dairy products | 54 | 24 | 65 | 37 |
| Fruit and vegetables | 130 | 98 | 165 | 135 |
| Fresh fruit | 42 | 28 | 52 | 39 |
| Fresh vegetables | 38 | 26 | 48 | 37 |
| Processed fruit | 29 | 25 | 37 | 34 |
| Processed vegetables | 22 | 19 | 28 | 24 |
| Other food at home | 230 | 140 | 308 | 203 |
| Sugar and other sweets | 30 | 21 | 38 | 28 |
| Fats and oils | 21 | 16 | 27 | 24 |
| Miscellaneous foods | 99 | 50 | 138 | 91 |
| Nonalcoholic beverages | 79 | 53 | 88 | 58 |
| Food away from home | 615 | 278 | 764 | 371 |
| Alcoholic beverages | 115 | 46 | 124 | 60 |

households, however, spending on food at home grew 28 percent more slowly than that for food away from home.

## Changes in Spending Differed by Race

Between 1986 and 1990, after-tax income for black households rose faster than for white households, 31 percent versus 24 percent (table 2). Blacks also increased their food purchases at a faster rate, 30 percent, compared with 25 percent for whites.

Although food spending increased, there were different growth rates for different commodities. For example, blacks increased per capita spending on fruit and vegetables by 38 percent between 1986 and 1990, while the increase for whites was 27 percent. Spending on food away from home also rose faster for blacks than whites, 33 percent versus 24 percent.

However, black households spent considerably less per capita on food than did white households. In 1990, blacks spent $\$ 1,159$ per capita and whites spent $\$ 1,775$
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(table 2). Helping to explain this 53-percent difference is that white households ate out more often and earned higher incomes, $\$ 29,981$ versus $\$ 20,599$.

## Larger Households Able To Economize on Food Purchases

Per capita food spending declines as household size increases,

Figure 1
As Household Size Increases, Per Captia Food Expenditures Decline



Figure 2
Rural Households Have Lower Food Expenditures as They Spend Less Eating Out
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## Percent Of Income Spent On Food Holds Steady

Total U.S. disposable personal income rose 4.1 percent in 1991, while food spending was up 3.7 percent. The share of disposable income Americans spent on food remained at 11.7 percent.

Consumers shifted from eating out to eating at home as they tightened their financial belts. Spending on food for at-home consumption increased 4.1 percent, while prices at grocery stores rose 2.9 percent. At the same time, away-from-home food expenditures rose 2.8 percent and prices went up 3.4 percent.

The 11.7 percent of disposable personal income spent for
food in 1990 differs from the 15 percent of household income reported in this article primarily because the measures of income differ. Personal income includes the incomes of the entire U.S. population, while household income excludes the incomes of persons living in military barracks, prisons, and institutions. Also, personal income counts more items, such as employers' payments for Social Security, health insurance, and retirement, which are not included in household income figures.

For more information, contact Alden C. Manchester at (202) 219-0880.

Food Expenditures by Families and Individuals Rose but Continued To Decline as a Share of Income

Expenditures for food Share of income spent for food

| Year | Disposable personal income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | At home homel | Away from home ${ }^{2}$ | Total ${ }^{3}$ | At home | Away from home ${ }^{2}$ | Total ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | Billion dollars--------- Percent- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 360.5 | 50.6 | 12.6 | 63.1 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 17.5 |
| 1965 | 491.0 | 57.4 | 16.9 | 74.3 | 11.7 | 3.5 | 15.1 |
| 1970 | 722.0 | 74.2 | 26.4 | 100.6 | 10.3 | 3.7 | 13.9 |
| 1975 | 1,150.9 | 115.1 | 45.9 | 161.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 14.0 |
| 1980 | 1,952.9 | 178.5 | 85.4 | 263.9 | 9.1 | 4.4 | 13.5 |
| 1985 | 2,943.0 | 228.4 | 129.5 | 357.9 | 7.8 | 4.4 | 12.2 |
| 1988 | 3,548.2 | 256.7 | 158.1 | 414.7 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 11.7 |
| 1989 | 3,787.0 | 274.0 | 165.6 | 440.5 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 11.6 |
| 1990 | 4,042.9 | 297.3 | 177.4 | 474.7 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 11.7 |
| 1991 | 4,209.6 | 309.5 | 182.4 | 492.2 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 11.7 |

[^1]but larger households have a much larger total food bill (fig. 1). Oneperson households spent $\$ 2,302$ in 1990, while five-person households spent \$5,520.

Nevertheless, household food spending did not increase proportionately with household size, because larger households take advantage of economies of size (such as buying in bulk), have more children (who eat smaller portions), and eat fewer meals away from home.

## Urbanites Spend More

Urban households still spend more per capita on food than rural households, $\$ 1,728$ versus $\$ 1,536$ in 1990 (fig. 2). The higher spending is likely due to higher incomes, greater spending on food away from home, and lower levels of home food production.


[^0]:    The authors are agricultural economists in the Commodity Economics Division, Economic Research Service, USDA.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Food purchases from grocery stores and other retail outlets. Includes purchases with food stamps and food produced and consumed on farms because the value of these foods is included in personal income. Excludes Government-donated foods. ${ }^{2}$ Purchases of meals and snacks by families and individuals. Includes food furnished to employees because it is included in personal income. Excludes food paid for by Government and business, such as donated foods to schools, meals in prisons and other institutions, and expense-account meals. ${ }^{3}$ Totals may not add due to rounding.

