

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



Modeling Debt Choice in Agriculture: Mixture Models of Operating Margins

Charles B. Moss, Maria Bampasidou, Jacklyn D. Kropp, and Ashok K. Mishra



Remembering the 1980s

The crops we grew last summer weren't enough to pay the loan Couldn't buy the seed to plant this spring and the Farmer's Bank foreclosed Called my old friend Schepman up to auction off the land.

Well there's ninety-seven crosses planted in the courthouse yard
Ninety-seven families who lost ninety-seven farms
I think about my grandpa and my neighbors and my name
And nights I feel like dyin' Like a scarecrow in the rain

John Mellencamp – Rain on the Scarecrow

Theory of Debt

Why do farmers borrow money?

$$r_{E}(t) = \frac{\frac{R_{P}(t)}{A(t)} + \psi(t)i(t) - k(t)\delta(t)}{1 - \delta(t)}$$

$$r_{A}(t) = \frac{R_{P}(t)}{A(t)} + \psi(t) \frac{dp_{L}(t)}{p_{L}(t)} \Rightarrow m(t) = r_{A}(t) - K(t)$$

If there is a positive margin on the investment, borrowing money increases the return on equity

$$\frac{d r_{E}(t)}{d \delta(t)} = \frac{\frac{R_{P}(t)}{A(t)} + \psi(t)i(t) - k(t)}{\left(1 - \delta(t)\right)^{2}} + \frac{\left[\frac{dR_{P}(t)}{dA(t)} - \frac{R_{P}(t)}{A(t)}\right] \frac{1}{A(t)} \frac{dA(t)}{d\delta(t)}}{1 - \delta(t)}$$

Optimal Debt

Holding returns to scale constant, the change in the return to equity gives us the optimal debt expression in the risk-balancing model

$$\delta^{*}(t) = 1 - \frac{(1-b)\sigma_{A}^{2}(t)}{\mu_{A}(t) - k(t)}$$

$$C^{*}(t) = E(t)B(t)$$

$$B(t) = \frac{r - k(t)b}{1 - b} - \frac{b(k(t) - \mu_{A}(t))^{2}}{2(1-b)^{2}\sigma_{A}^{2}(t)}$$

Question of Capital Gains versus Operating Returns

- This specification may be somewhat problematic in that the debt-to-asset ratio may not be controllable (at least in the short-run).
 - Differentiating the debt-to-asset ratio yields

$$d\delta(t) = \frac{A(t)dD(t) - D(t)dA(t)}{A^{2}(t)}$$

$$d\delta(t) = \frac{E(t)}{A(t)} \frac{dA(t)}{A(t)} - \frac{E(t)}{A(t)} \frac{dE(t)}{E(t)}$$
$$= \frac{E(t)}{A(t)} \left[\frac{dA(t)}{A(t)} - \frac{dE(t)}{E(t)} \right]$$

Empirical Analysis

 We use a simple EM [Expectation – Maximization] model to estimate factors affecting the level of debt

$$\delta_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 m_{it} + \alpha_2 \dot{p}_{L,it} + \alpha_3 \hat{V}_{it} + V_{it}$$

- ▶ The data is a panel of the 15 ARMS states.
 - For 1960 through 2003 we use the Financial Condition of the Farm Sector data for each state.
 - For 2004 through 2012 we use the ARMS data
 - The data have been "pasted" together using data from 2003 (which are in both series) and U.S. level data for 1996 through 2003.

Table 1. Finite Mixture Parameters for Return Margin

| | Group | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Parameters Parameters | ī | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| λ_{j} | 0.1942 | 0.0918 | 0.2645 | 0.4495 | | |
| μ_{j} | -0.0858 | -0.0676 | 0.0070 | 0.0468 | | |
| $\sigma_{_{j}}$ | 0.0968 | 0.1813 | 0.0322 | 0.0640 | | |
| Count | 145 | 16 | 286 | 348 | | |

Figure 4. Grouping of the Operating Margin, 1961-2012





Table 2. Finite Mixture Parameters for the Debt to Asset Specification

| | Groups | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Constant (α_{0j}) | 0.0136*** | -0.0705*** | 0.0024 | 0.0128*** | |
| | (0.0013) | (0.0036) | (0.0046) | (0.0030) | |
| Return Margin $(lpha_{1j})$ | 0.0716*** | 0.1816** | -0.4139*** | -0.2371*** | |
| | (0.0226) | (0.0909) | (0.1001) | (0.0560) | |
| Capital Gains ($lpha_{2j}$ | -0.2002*** | -0.1983** | 0.0284 | 0.2325*** | |
| | (0.0312) | (0.0859) | (0.0947) | (0.0777) | |
| GARCH (α_{3j}) | 0.0952*** | -0.1522*** | 0.1731* | 0.1444** | |
| | (0.0244) | (0.0481) | (0.1012) | (0.0623) | |
| Count | 464 | 108 | 74 | 149 | |

Figure 5. Grouping of the Debt to Asset Ratios, 1961-2012



Discussion

- In 71.9 percent of the estimates the debt to asset ratio increases with an increase in the operating margin and declines with an increase in real farmland.
- In 53.4 percent of all observations (i.e., the subset of 71.9) the debt to asset ratio increases with an increase in risk.
- In 13.6 percent of all observations (i.e., the subset of the 71.9) the debt to asset ratio declines with an increase in risk.
- In 28.1 percent of cases the results do not conform to any theoretical expectation.

Paper Online

http://www.charlesbmoss.com:8080/papers/ MossBostonPaper2016.pdf