

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Joint symposium on maize and peanut. Held in Suriname on behalf of the 75th Anniversary of The Agricultural Experiment Station of Paramaribo.

November 13 - 18, 1978



Proceedings of the Caribbean Food Crops Society, Vol. XV, 1978

CITRUS PLANTMATERIAL IMPROVEMENTS W.E. Fung-Kon-Sang and J.A. Kromodimedjo* Agricultural Experiment Station, Paramaribo

INTRODUCTION

Research has been aimed at raising production capacity of high quality fruits by combining genetic potentials and by application of disease-free (especially virus-free) and footrot-tolerant plantmaterial. Rootstock trials and extensive evaluation of clones and/or cultivars that have been conducted disclosed some dramatic potentials of different stock-scion combinations. Soil type imparts a significant role on yield and fruit quality. With respect to production and fruit quality, the clay soils are preferable to sandy soils; however tree life is believed to be shorter on clay soil, due to poor drainage conditions.

ROOTSTOCKS FOR ORANGES

With regard to rootstock effect, a distinction should be made between combinations with a virus-free and combinations with a virus-infected top. When budded with virus-free Alidjan budwood, Rangpur lime induced the highest (accumulative) yield, followed by Troyer and Rough lemon, while Sour orange was the least productive, (fig. 1). In the combinations with virus-infected Kwata budwood, the relatively highest productions were totalled by King, Surino and Cleopatra. Moreover these stocks attain the same level of production either with virus-free or virus-infected budwood as top. Consequently, these stocks are tolerant to the virus-complex and can be used with either type of budwood.

The sandy soils of the interior are being explored, for their potentials. Both table 1 and 2 display preliminary results of rootstock performance in that area. The Rough lemon types induced the largest trees, but also low fruit quality with low percentages of juice. Yuzu is a recently imported stock and performs almost equal to Rangpur lime. Soil moisture retention of these sandy soils is low and probably resulted in bad performance of Troyer in contrast to its behaviour in the coastal clays. (Fung-Kon-Sang, E. and T. Nanden Amattaram, 1975).

Production has been discontentedly low in 1978 after a good start in the previous year, for reasons unknown.

^{*} Landbouwproefstation (Agricultural Exp. Stat.) P.O. Box 160




Fig. 1, Accumulated production of different orange combination

Citrus plantmeterial improvements

Table 1. Relative tree-size of Valencia (47-14 ex BH) in combination with different rootstocks in sandy soil at Coebiti, Planting date: jan. 1972, Observation: Jan. 1978.

| Valencia budded on: | Trunk circumf. (cm) | | Tree height | Fruits p. tree | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------|----------------|------|
| | stock | scion | (cm) | (1977) | 1978 |
| Volkameriana | 51 | 50 | 451 | 123 | 11 |
| Red Rough lem. | 44 | 43 | 433 | 47 | 12 |
| Estes Rough lem. | 45 | 42 | 431 | 48 | 5 |
| Rough Iem, Fla | 45 | 44 | 424 | 68 | 3 |
| Rough lem, -A | 42 | 39 | 407 | 51 | 6 |
| Rangpur lime | 44 | 41 | 384 | 49 | 8 |
| Yuzu | 41 | 39 | 398 | 6 6 | 10 |
| Orlando tangelo | 41 | 39 | 375 | 20 | 2 |
| Sunki mand. | 39 | 36 | 353 | 41 | 3 |
| Troyer citr. | 34 | 29 | 286 | 16 | 2 |

Table 2. Fruit characteristics of Valencia (47 - 14 εx 8H) in combination with different rootstocks in sandy soil at Coebiti.

Observation: Sept. 1977

| Valencia | Fruit wt. | juice | acid | brix | seeds |
|------------------|-----------|-------|------|------|--------|
| budded on: | (g) | (%) | (%) | (0) | p.fru. |
| Volkameriana | 208 | 46.0 | 1,70 | 9.2 | 7.0 |
| Red Rough lem. | 206 | 48.3 | 1,33 | 9.1 | 6.9 |
| Estes Rough lem. | 194 | 50.1 | 1.52 | 9.2 | 6.6 |
| Rough lem. Fla | 228 | 42.7 | 1.59 | 8.8 | 6.1 |
| Rough lem, -A | 238 | 42.3 | 1.25 | 8.9 | 5.5 |
| Rangpur lime | 221 | 48.1 | 1.43 | 9.2 | 6.6 |
| Yuzu | 212 | 51.3 | 1.56 | 8.8 | 6.6 |
| Orlando tangelo | 246 | 48.3 | 1.20 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| Sunki mand, | 235 | 48.9 | 1.35 | 9.5 | 4.9 |
| Troyer citr. | 283 | 51.0 | 1.02 | 10.0 | 4.9 |

Rootstocks for grapefruit

Sunki, King and Troyer were the most productive stocks for Hooghart grapefruit in clay soil, when expressed in number of fruits. (Fung-Kon-Sang, E, 1977).

Relatively, Cleopatra induced the lowest yield, but when given sufficient time this stock

might have gained. After 10 years in the field, this experiment was discontinued after that excessive rainfall followed by extreme drought in 1976 caused the death of many trees. Since 1973 a larger number of stocks have been put under test in sandy soil, of which update results are presented in table 3. Planted in 1973 these trees still produced no substantical amount of fruits. Volkameriana, a Rough lemon type induced the largest trees, while Sour orange again occupied the last place.

Table 3. Relative tree size of Marsh grapefruit in combination with different rootstocks in sandy soil at Coebiti

Planting date: May 1973; Observation: May 1978.

| Marsh | Trunk g | Tree height | | |
|--------------|---------|-------------|------|--|
| budded on: | stock | scion | (cm) | |
| Volkameriana | 51 | 48 | 465 | |
| Rangpur time | 49 | 48 | 463 | |
| Sunki | 41 | 40 | 409 | |
| Amblycarpa | 42 | 38 | 381 | |
| Yuzu | 38 | 36 | 377 | |
| Cleopatra | 38 | 35 | 376 | |
| Troyer | 37 | 32 | 368 | |
| Caipira | 35 | 34 | 347 | |
| Surino | 37 | 33 | 346 | |
| (Rode) King | 35 | 30 | 340 | |
| Citrumelo | 30 | 22 | 293 | |
| Sour prange | 25 | 23 | 265 | |

Scion varieties

Oranges

Quite a number of orange cultivars have been observed especially with regard to fruit quality. Locally selected Kwata orange was solely propagated, because sufficient knowledge of the potentials of other cultivars was lacking. In general, fruits of early cultivars are susceptible to granulation and they lose their flavour when stored too long on the tree. Hamlin orange for example is totally unacceptable under all soil conditions for it even granulates when the fruits are still greenish. Navel orange performs slightly better but suffers moreover from oversized fruit when kept too long on the tree. Parson Brown is not ideal but is now considered the most acceptable early cultivar.

The mid-season and late varieties are better suited to the tropics. The first mentioned group is represented by Kwata orange, while Valencia will be added in order to extend the season. Some recently imported varieties (e.g. Westin) will be observed for their potentials.

Grapefruits

Since almost all grapefruit is cultivated for export where Marsh seedless is preferred, there is little or no need for varietal tests. Multi-year comparison of Marsh and a local selection called Hooghart proved the latter to be indistinguishable from Marsh. However there are indications, that red-fleshed grapefruit is gaining popularity, reason why recently the Star Ruby grapefruit was acquired from Texas. An observation plot will be established soon.

Citrus plantmaterial improvements

Miscellaneous

The local market has shown trends toward a diversification and especially toward mandarins and their hybrids. 'Gele King' tangor 'Curacaosche oranje' mandarin and 'Minneola' tangelo are already high in demand; all with excellent flavour and easy peelable.

Some new introductions as Ponkan, Dancy, Clementine, Mexerica de Rio and Robinson mandarins, Ortanique and Murcott tangors are being observed and await fruit analysis. Ortanique looks promising, while Mexerica de Rio, Robinson and Murcott, although delicious, have small fruit sizes. Also attention is given to the so-called 'pompelmoes' (Citrus maxima), a variety with high heat requirement and thus almost confined to the warm and humid tropics.

REFERENCES

Fung-Kon-Sang, W.E. & T. Nanden-Amattaram. Citrus rootstock performance with old and nucellar orange tops on heavy clay soils of the coastal plain in Surinam. De Surinaamse Landbouw, vol. 23 (1975): 109 – 119.

Fung-Kon-Sang, W.E. Rootstocks for grapefruit in the coastal clays of Surinam. De Surinaamse Landbouw, Vol. 25 (1977): 14 - 20.

Miscellaneous - Cultivation and production

NAME OF PAPER:

Citrus Plantmaterial Improvements

(Fung Kon Sang)

Questions by: \$. Hilario Country: Dominican Rep.

QUESTIONS:

1. To what do you attribute the low yield of the sour oranges

here?

2. Of what origin is the used material in sour oranges?

ANSWERS:

1. Low production is because of drainage problem, diseased

plant material and poor cultivation methods.

2. Orange material of sour orange probably from South Europe.

Questions by: Ferdinand Klas

Country: Suriname.

QUESTIONS:

 Neither from your paper nor from your presentation it became clear what virus you were talking about in the case

of virus infected kwata or virus free Alidjan?

2. How have you proved that the kwata material was really

infected with any viruses?

3. Have you conducted those experiments with virusfree kwata. Did you compare production of virusfree kwata on the men-

tioned rootstocks with virusfree Alidian on these rootstocks?

ANSWERS:

1. Kwata is infected with psorosis, exocortis and xyloporosis

(cachexia).

2. That Kwata is infected with viruses, was proved by:

Kraayenga D.A. See: De Surin. Landb. 1963 11 - (page 46-

51) for exocortis.

For tristeza see: Kraayenga, D.A. In: Congress Agric.

Research in the Guiana's 1963 bull. 82 (1964) 65 - 69.

For psorosis: idem as with triseza.

For xyloporosis. See: Childs, J.F.L. Observations on citrus

culture and problems in Surinam.

Dutch Guyana II. De Surin. Landb. 14 (1966): 136 - 142.

Citrus plantmaterial improvements

 Experiments with virusfree Kwata are running now.
 Differences between virusfree Alidjan and virusinfected Kwata are probably not varietal, since production on King and Cleopatra stock were similar with either type of budwood.

Questions by: C, C. Weir

Country: Jamaica

QUESTIONS:

- 1. What was the source of the Nucellar planting material you used?
- 2. Is the quality of the Ortaniques grown in Suriname good? What areas in Suriname are best suited for ortanique growing?

ANSWERS:

- 1. The source of the nucellar planting material was a seedling tree and very similar to Valencia orange.
- External quality of Ortaniques in Suriname is not too good, because of scab.

We have not enough experience with different areas for Ortanique growing.