

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

DAMAD

International Journal of Agricultural Management and Development (IJAMAD)

Available online on: www.ijamad.com

ISSN: 2159-5852 (Print) ISSN:2159-5860 (Online) DOI: 10.5455/ijamd.159883

Determinants of Farmers' Participation in Horticulturebased Extension Programs in Iran: Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior

Morteza Akbari 1, Farahnaz Rostami 2* and Abdolmotaleb Rezaei 3

Received: 14 May 2014, Accepted: 13 September 2014

bstract

This paper aims to discover the determinants of farmers' par-Laticipation in horticulture-based Education programs in the Western Azerbaijan province, Iran. Using the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), the study also tries to make a comparison between participants and non-participants, who have achieved in this program. A sample of 231 farmers (137 participants and 94 non-participants) was selected based on a stratified random sampling method. Data gathering instrument was a questionnaire that its validity was approved by a group of experts and its reliability was confirmed by calculating Cronbach's alpha (α =0.71). The results showed that, there were significant differences between participants and non-participants in agricultural education programs in terms of all the TPB contexts. Additionally, the results of the regression analysis showed that attitude to how thinking and decision and subjective norms were the best determinants of farmers' participation.

Keywords: Theory of Planned Behavior, Behavioral Intention, Agricultural Education

Programs, Participation

¹ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Entrepreneurship, University of Tehran, I.R.Iran.

² Assistant Professor, Faculty member of Agriculture, Razi University, I.R.Iran.

³ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Agricultural Economic and Development, University of Tehran, I.R.Iran.

^{*} Corresponding author's email: fr304@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

To improve participation in agricultural education and extension programs, a better understanding of target audiences is essential (Richardson, 2003). A fuller view of the factors determinants of farmers' decisions is likely to lead to more effective programs. That is why extension agents are interested in understanding the most effective factors that help increase the number of people at their programs. But sometimes, they fail to draw a comprehensive picture of what motivates a farmer actually to attend (Jacob and Ferrer, 2000). This probably leads to design incomplete programs which are less comprehensive in diagnosis and accountability. To avoid trial and error interventions which waste valuable time and resources, the factors associated with the success of programs need to be discovered. These factors closely related to the clients and hence should be scrutinized by extension agents deeply. The advantage of such an assessment is that the program planner will learn why farmers participate in programs and how success is governed. But this is not an easy task, because the factors involved in farmers' decisions are complex. The most farmers with whom extension agents must deal have a stereotyped, have a constant image of problem; their beliefs, intentions, and nonverbal behaviors reinforce each other, making any change difficult. Thus, programs that are to succeed must usually be powerful multifaceted ones in order to fulfill a wide range of expectations. Thus far, individual studies and reviews conducted in several domains have examined the determinants of farmers' intention to participate in extension programs. Although several studies have demonstrated the effects of personnel's factors on farmer's intention to perform a specific behavior, there is still a lack of research that can explore a set of variables in a theoretically frame work to combine all kinds of potential influences. Only a small number of studies have tested theoretical models. One of these models in which many identified variables has been reflected is the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), a model that has demonstrated a good explanation for decision making contexts (Armitage and Conner, 2001; Godin and Kok, 1996; Hagger et al., 2002). Accordingly, this

study tries to answer the following key question: which components determine participation of farmers in agricultural education programs?

This study wills first explanation Theory of Planned Behavior theoretical basis, then show materials, afterwards results and finally conclusion.

Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)

The TPB is a framework for understanding the effect of attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control on intention to engage in behavior of interests (Pawlak and Malinauskas, 2008). The TPB is a revision of the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Ajzen and Fishbein, 1980; Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975), which is designed to explain almost any human behavior and has been successfully proven in predicting and explaining human behavior across various application contexts (Davis et al., 1989). The TPB argues that the immediate precursor to behavior is the behavioral intention, which in turn is anteceded by (a) the extent to which individuals hold a favorable attitude toward the behavior, (b) individuals' perceptions of the norms and conventions regarding the behavior (i.e. subjective norms), and (c) the extent to which the individual perceives the behavior at hand to be under his or her personal control (perceived behavioral control). The TPB argues that the most proximal determinant of behavior is intention (Jimmieson et al., 2008).

Intentions in turn are proposed to be a function of three independent determinants. The first determinant of intentions is the attitude. The second determinant of intentions is subjective norm. The third determinant of intentions is perceived behavioral control (Ajzen, 1991). Attitude refers to the degree to which a person has favorable or unfavorable evaluation or appraisal of the behavior in question (Ajzen and Fishbein, 1975). Ajzen (1991) further described that a favorable or unfavorable attitude has a direct proportion to the strength of the behavioral beliefs about likely consequences and can be formulated with an expectancy value model. Subjective norm refers to the perceived social pressure to perform or not to perform the behavior. Typical applications of TPB consider subjective norm to include only the normative influence (Liao et al., 2007). Venkatesh and Davis (2000)

found that behavioral intention would positively influence the subjective norm in a mandatory usage context, whereas the effect was insignificant in voluntary contexts. Perceived behavioral control refers to people's perception of ease or difficulty in performing the behavior of interest (Ajzen, 2002a). Thus, control beliefs about resources and opportunities are associated with an underlying perceived behavioral control, which can be formed as the control beliefs are weighted by the perceived power of the control factor (Ajzen, 1991). More formally, perceived behavioral control refers to beliefs regarding the possession of requisite resources and opportunities for performing a given behavior (Madden et al., 1992).

The TPB has been applied to a wide range of behavioral domains (Ajzen, 2002b) including those that are related to agricultural and human issues (Beedell and Rehman, 2000; Bergevoet et al., 2003; Bernat and Roschewitz, 2005; Hattam, 2006; Karami and Mansoorabadi, 2007; Karppinen, 2005; Rehman et al., 2006). Reviews have provided support for the TPB (Blue, 1995; Conner and Sparks, 1996; Godin, 1993; Manstead and Parker, 1995; Sparks, 1994) as have previous meta-analyses (Ajzen, 1991; Armitage and Conner, 2001; Godin and Kok, 1996; Hausenblas et al., 1997; Van den Putte, 1991). Most empirical applications of the TPB try to explain or predict newly introduced behavior (Armitage and Connor, 2001; Davies et al., 2002; Ouelette and Wood, 1998). However, despite general support for the TPB, a limitation in the model has been identified due to the weak support found for the role of subjective norm to predict intentions (Ajzen, 1991). Meta-analyses of TPB research revealed that average regression weights for attitude were consistently higher than for subjective norm in the prediction of intentions (Ajzen, 1991; Armitage and Conner, 2001). Terry and colleagues contended that lack of strong support for subjective norm in TPB studies may be attributable to the fact that the role of norms in this context has not been clearly theorized and that subjective norm is an inadequate construct to capture the impact of social influence on behavior (Terry and Hogg, 1996; Terry et al., 1999; White et al., 1994).

MATERIALS AND METHODES

The main purpose of this study was to application of the TPB in order to understand a specific behavioral intention (participation in agricultural education programs) of gardener the Western Azerbaijan province. A sample of 231 farmers (137 participants and 94 non-participants, Participant farmers had certification letter in horticulture courses but non- Participant farmers hadn't it) was selected based on a stratified random sampling method. Questionnaire designed based on the literature, particularly from a previous study by Richardson in 2003. A panel of experts confirmed the validity of the questionnaire. And reliability measured by Cronbach's alpha coefficient (mean of α in four parts of questionnaire = 0.71). SPSSwin15 was used to analysis the data. Using a stratified random sampling technique, a sample of 231 farmers was selected according Krejcie and Morgan (1970). Main description of the main variables used in this study is presented as follows:

Attitude was measured directly and indirectly based on the behavioral beliefs and outcome evaluations of the respondents (belief-based measures). Eleven behavioral belief questions and outcome evaluation questions were constructed. Subjective norms were also measured. The questions were used to determine the respondent's perception of social pressure regarding attendance at educational programs. Five questions elicited directly and indirectly used to create an index for measuring. Perceived behavioral control was also measured. Four questions were designed to create the index for perceived behavioral control, measuring the respondents' evaluation of how easy or difficult it would be to attend the education programs. Finally, behavioral intent was measured directly via four questions on the instrument. Ajzen (1988) states that behavioral intention of an individual is comprised of motivational factors involved in making the decision to engage in the behavior. The statements were measured on a five-point-Likert-type scale, that ranged from; 1="Strongly Disagree", 2="Disagree", 3="No opinion", 4="Agree" and 5="Strongly Agree".

Description of the study area

This study was conducted in the Western



Figure 1: Location of the study area in Iran.

Azerbaijan province located in Northwest Iran. This province includes 12 counties/township: Boukan, Khoy, Makoo, Mahabad, Meyandoab, Naghadeh, Pyranshahr, Salmas, Sardasht, Shahindej, Takab, and Oromeyeh (Figure 1).

Western Azerbaijan is one of the leading provinces in agricultural production. Crop diversity in this Province, particularly in Urumeh proved the important position to this region. Variety of Grapes and apples with different types is unique in its kind. The area under cultivation is the sixth in the country is allocated to the Province's production and value of these products is equal to 1,123,540 tones out to third be is allocated to (Ministry of Jihad-e- Agriculture, 2008).

RESULTS Respondents' characteristics

On average, mean range of participants was 41.8 years old and a bout non-participant was 42.4 years old. 39 percent of participant in the educational programs, and 39 percent of not-participate just, hold elementary school. Participants owned an average of 3.8 ha of land and non-participants owned 6 ha of land. Also, participant's prioritized information and communication channels respectively as: extension experts, educational class, and TV. On the other hand, from non-participants' point of view, extension experts, other farmers and friends are of great importance among information and communication channels.

Comparison between participants and non-participants

For testing is there a difference between participants and non-participants to all of the TPB variables below characteristics, an independent sample t-test was conducted by artificially make a sum up of the items. Results revealed a significant difference between participants and non-participants with regard to all of the TPB variables, i.e. attitude toward behavior, perceived behavioral control, subjective norms, behavioral intention, and perceived level of knowledge. There were significant mean differences in between participants and non-participants in terms of all of the variables (Table 1).

Means and standard deviations for 28 statements of the TPB are reported in Table 2. Three

Table 1: Comparison between participants and non-participants (TPB component).

Variable	Groups	t	Sig.	Mean	SD	
A400 1 4 11 1 1	Participants	4.050	222	45.73	4.78	
Attitude toward behavior	Non participants	4.652	.000	42.27	5.91	
Subjective norms	Participants	2.925	.004	19.62	2.87	
	Non participants			18.42	3.14	
Perceived behavioral control	Participants	2.543	.012	15.41	2.4	
	Non participants			14.60	2.29	
Behavioral intention	Participants	4.397**	.000	21.36	3.05	
	Non participants			19.31	3.70	
Perceived level of knowledge	Participants	3.19*	.002	17.43	3.46	
_	Non participants			15.72	4.18	

^{* (}P< 0.05) and ** (P< 0.01)

International Journal of Agricultural Management and Development, 5(2): 141-151, June, 2015.

Determinants of Farmers' Participation in Horticulture-based Extension Programs / Farahnaz Rostami et al

Table 2: Comparison between variables of participants and non-participants.

Extension and education programs offer up-to-date information to the horticulture on the horticulture of increase their knowledge of new products in the market of the horticulture of increase their knowledge of new products in the market of the horticulture of increase their knowledge of herbicides, pesticides, and fungicides is important to the horticulture to increase their knowledge of herbicides, pesticides, and fungicides is important to the horticulture to increase their knowledge of herbicides, pesticides, and fungicides is important to the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management of the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management of the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management of the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management of the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management of the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management of the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of the horticulture in the horticu	'	•	•				
Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of new products in the market NP 96.68 Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of herbicides, pesticides, and fungicides	Attitude	Mean	SD	Group			Sig.
NP 96.56		4.359	0.726	Р	129.34	4612	0.000
Part Part Part Part Part Part	on the norticulture			NP	96.56	-	
Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of herbicides, pesticides, and fungicides 4.190 0.745 P 124.27 5306 0.012 Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management 3.991 0.875 P 123.83 5048 0.006 Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming 4.026 0.901 P 124.83 5122.5 0.006 Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to obtain continuing education units 4.114 0.876 P 126.72 4729.5 0.000 Extension and education programs are not an effective way to ple in the horticulture to obtain continuing education units 2.278 1.215 P 108.24 5405 0.034 Keeping up-to-date on the horticulture is important to me 4.557 0.601 P 130.74 4320 0.000 Cathering new information about pesticides, herbicides, and fungicides is important to me 4.278 0.815 P 126.19 4671.5		4.372	0.780	Р	129.26	4622.5	0.000
Per International color International	·			NP	96.68	-	
Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management 101.20 101		4.190	0.745	Р	124.27	5306	0.012
ple in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of agricultural management 10^{12} with an anagement 10^{1	•			NP	103.95	-	
Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming $\begin{array}{c} 4.026 \\ \hline 101.20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.901 \\ \hline 0.901 \\ \hline 0.901 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} P \\ 124.83 \\ \hline 0.905 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 122.5 \\ \hline 0.006 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.006 \\ \hline 0.901 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} P \\ 101.99 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 124.83 \\ \hline 0.905 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 101.99 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.901 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} P \\ \hline 0.000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 124.83 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ \hline 0.97.93.46 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline 0.97.85 \\ \hline 0.97.20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ 0.97.20 \\ \hline 0$		3.991	0.875	Р	123.83	5048	0.006
Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to obtain continuing education units 2.278 1.215 P 108.24 729.5 0.000				NP	101.20	-	
Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to obtain continuing education units 4.114 0.876 P 126.72 4729.5 0.000		4.026	0.901	Р	124.83	5122.5	0.006
Extension and education programs are not an effective way to spread information to the horticulture $ - $	in the horticulture to increase their knowledge of organic farming			NP	101.99	-	
Extension and education programs are not an effective way to spread information to the horticulture is important to me 4.557 0.601 P 130.74 4320 0.000		4.114	0.876	Р	126.72	4729.5	0.000
Separable Sepa	ple in the norticulture to obtain continuing education units			NP	97.85	-	
NP 126.00 NP 126.00		2.278	1.215	Р	108.24	5405	0.034
NP 93.46	spread information to the norticulture			NP	126.00	-	
Learning about pesticides, herbicides, and fungicides is important to me 4.278 0.815 P 128.15 0.000 RP 97.20 Gathering new information about business management techniques is important to me 8.0945 P 126.19 A834 0.001 RP 98.93 Obtaining continuing education units is important to me 8.174 0.839 P 126.08 A953 0.002 RP 100.19 Subjective Norms Generally speaking, I do what other important people think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs Generally speaking, I do what other horticulture professionals in my work think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs 4.065 0.882 P 125.83 A986.5 0.002 RP 100.55 Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding attendance at extension and education programs 4.288 0.876 P 118.33 5870.5 0.311	Keeping up-to-date on the horticulture is important to me	4.557	0.601	Р	130.74	4320	0.000
The second regarding attendance in extension and education programs and education programs about business management techniques is important to me $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				NP	93.46	-	
NP 97.20		4.278	0.815	Р	128.15	4671.5	0.000
NP 98.93 NP 98.93 NP 126.08 4953 0.002	tant to me			NP	97.20	-	
NP 98.93 NP 98.93 Obtaining continuing education units is important to me 4.174 0.839 P 126.08 NP 100.19 NP 100.19 NP 100.19 NP NP NP NP NP NP NP		4.035	0.945	Р	126.19	4834	0.001
NP 100.19 NP 100.19	riiques is important to me			NP	98.93	-	
Subjective Norms Generally speaking, I do what other important people think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs Generally speaking, I do what other horticulture professionals in my work think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs 3.522 1.148 P 118.66 5498.5 0.139 It is expected of me to attend as many extension programs as I can that are about horticultural issues 4.065 0.882 P 125.83 4986.5 0.002 RP 100.55 Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding attendance at extension and education programs	Obtaining continuing education units is important to me	4.174	0.839	Р	126.08	4953	0.002
Generally speaking, I do what other important people think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs 3.239 1.187 P 115.65 5876.5 0.538 NP 110.38 Generally speaking, I do what other horticulture professionals in my work think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs 3.522 1.148 P 118.66 5498.5 0.139 NP 106.12 It is expected of me to attend as many extension programs as I can that are about horticultural issues 4.065 0.882 P 125.83 4986.5 0.002 Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding attendance at extension and education programs				NP	100.19	-	
should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs NP 110.38	Subjective I	Vorms					
Generally speaking, I do what other horticulture professionals in my work think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs It is expected of me to attend as many extension programs as I can that are about horticultural issues Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding attendance at extension and education programs NP 118.66 P 118.66 P 125.83 P 125.83 P 100.55 O.002 Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding attendance at extension and education programs		3.239	1.187	Р	115.65	5876.5	0.538
in my work think I should do regarding attendance in extension and education programs It is expected of me to attend as many extension programs as I can that are about horticultural issues A.065 O.882 P 125.83 4986.5 0.002 NP 100.55 Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding attendance at extension and education programs A.085 O.882 P 118.33 5870.5 0.311				NP	110.38	-	
sion and education programs NP 106.12 It is expected of me to attend as many extension programs as I can that are about horticultural issues NP 106.12 P 125.83 4986.5 0.002 NP 100.55 Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding attendance at extension and education programs NP 106.12		3.522	1.148	Р	118.66	5498.5	0.139
as I can that are about horticultural issues NP 100.55 Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do 4.288 0.876 P 118.33 5870.5 0.311 regarding attendance at extension and education programs				NP	106.12	-	
Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do 4.288 0.876 P 118.33 5870.5 0.311 regarding attendance at extension and education programs		4.065	0.882	Р	125.83	4986.5	0.002
regarding attendance at extension and education programs	and the same and t			NP	100.55	-	
		4.288	0.876	Р	118.33	5870.5	0.311
	regarding autoridation at extension and education programs			NP	110.12	-	

Determinants of Farmers' Participation in Horticulture-based Extension Programs / Farahnaz Rostami et al

Attitude	Mean	SD	Group	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney	Sig.
The opinions of horticulture professionals in my work are important to me	4.004	0.810	Р	125.25	4793.5	0.001
portant to me			NP	98.60	-	
Behavioral I	Intent					
For me to attend one extension and education programs re-	3.782	1.020	Р	127.63	4742.5	0.000
lating to the horticultural work in the next year would be			NP	97.95	-	
For me to attend more than one extension and education program relating to the horticultural work in the next year	3.969	0.934	Р	127.21	4528	0.00
would be			NP	95.72	-	
I intend to attend extension and education programs relating	3.416	1.142	Р	129.28	4518.50	0.00
to the horticultural work next year			NP	95.57	-	
I will try to attend extension and education programs relating	3.939	0.939	Р	128.45	4631	0.000
to the horticultural work next year			NP	96.77	-	
I intend to become more aware of extension and education pro-	4.043	0.886	Р	124.96	5105	0.00
grams offered relating to my work			NP	101.81	-	
Perceived Behavio	oral Co	ntrol				
It is mostly up to me whether or not I attend extension pro-	4.178	0.861	P	128.68	4498	0.000
grams relating to the horticulture work						0.00
			NP	95.35	-	0.00
I feel in complete control over whether I attend an extension	4.148	0.823	NP P	95.35 120.98	5538	
I feel in complete control over whether I attend an extension and education program relating to the horticultural work	4.148	0.823			5538 -	
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and		0.823	Р	120.98	5538	0.07
and education program relating to the horticultural work			P NP	120.98 106.41	-	0.07
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year If I wanted to, I could attend an extension and education pro-			P NP P	120.98 106.41 117.74	-	0.07
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year	4.252	0.728	P NP P NP	120.98 106.41 117.74 113.46	6200	0.07
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year If I wanted to, I could attend an extension and education pro-	4.252 5.251	0.728	P NP P NP	120.98 106.41 117.74 113.46 118.02	6200	0.07
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year If I wanted to, I could attend an extension and education program relating to the horticultural work	4.252 5.251	0.728	P NP P NP	120.98 106.41 117.74 113.46 118.02	6200	0.620
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year If I wanted to, I could attend an extension and education program relating to the horticultural work Perceived level of	4.252 5.251 knowle	0.728 1.735 edge*	P NP P NP NP	120.98 106.41 117.74 113.46 118.02 110.58	6200 - 5913	0.62
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year If I wanted to, I could attend an extension and education program relating to the horticultural work Perceived level of My knowledge of the horticulture management is my knowledge of the horticultural extension and education	4.252 5.251 knowle	0.728 1.735 edge*	P NP P NP P P	120.98 106.41 117.74 113.46 118.02 110.58	6200 - 5913	0.07 0.62 0.37
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year If I wanted to, I could attend an extension and education program relating to the horticultural work Perceived level of My knowledge of the horticulture management is	4.252 5.251 knowle 5.865	0.728 1.735 edge* 1.982	P NP P NP P NP NP	120.98 106.41 117.74 113.46 118.02 110.58	6200 - 5913 - 4759	0.07 0.62 0.37
and education program relating to the horticultural work If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year If I wanted to, I could attend an extension and education program relating to the horticultural work Perceived level of My knowledge of the horticulture management is my knowledge of the horticultural extension and education	4.252 5.251 knowle 5.865	0.728 1.735 edge* 1.982	P NP P NP P NP P P P	120.98 106.41 117.74 113.46 118.02 110.58 121.22 98.38 118.25	6200 - 5913 - 4759	0.078 0.620 0.378 0.000 0.053

^{1=&}quot;Strongly Disagree", 2= "Disagree", 3= "No opinion", 4= "Agree" and 5= "Strongly Agree". *1= "Very low", 2= "low", 3= "medium", 4= "high", and 5= "very high"

of the 28 statements have a mean value of over 5.00 indicating "agreement." The highest mean estimated for the statement knowledge of the horticulture management is high (M=5.86; SD=1.98). The other four statements had mean score closer to 4.00 indicating "agreement". Extension and education programs offer updated information on the horticulture work (M=4.35); Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticultural issues to increase their knowledge about new products on the market (M=4.32); Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture work to increase their knowledge of herbicides, pesticides and fungicides (M=4.19); Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture work to increase their knowledge of organic farming (M=4.02); Extension and education programs offer an opportunity for people in the horticulture to obtain continuing education units (M=4.11); Keeping updated on the horticulture is important to me (M= 4.55); Learning about pesticides, herbicides and fungicides is important to me (M=4.27); Gathering new information about business management techniques is important to me (M=4.03); Obtaining continuing education units is important to me (M=4.17); It is expected of me to attend as many extension programs as I can that are about horticultural issues (M= 4.06); Generally speaking, I do what my coworkers think I should do regarding participation in extension and education programs (M=4.28); The opinions of horticulture professionals in my work are important to me (M=4.00); I intend to become more aware of the extension and education programs offered relating to my work (M=4.04); It is mostly up to me whether or not I attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural activities (M=4.17); I feel in complete control over whether I attend an extension program relating

to the horticultural work (M=4.14), and if I wanted to, it would be easy for me to attend extension and education programs relating to the horticultural work within the next year (M=4.25). In addition, the Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine the differences between participants in extension and education programs and those who did not participate in extension and education programs and the attitude, subjective norms, PBC, intention, and perceived level of knowledge of horticulture farmers. Overall, Mann-Whitney U- test results showed significant differences between the attitude, behavioral intention, and perceived level of knowledge of those farmers who had participated and those who did not participated in terms of all of the variables. Furthermore, there were significant differences in terms of subjective norm and PBC. There were differences in; it is expected of me to attend as many extension and education programs as I can that are about horticultural issues (sig=0.002); The opinions of horticulture professionals in my work are important to me (sig= 0.001), and it is mostly up to me whether or not I attend extension and education programs relating to the horticulture work (sig=0.000).

Factors influencing the participants and Nonparticipants' attend Extension and Education Programs: Regression Analysis

As shown in Table 3, variables were entered in the regression through the "stepwise" method include the standard TPB variable, i.e. attitude toward behavior, into Step 1 of the equation accounted for a significant amount of variance in intentions, $R^2 = 0.519$.

The information in Table 4 indicates that among independent variables that had significant correlation with the dependent variable (farmers' intention to participate in extension

Table 3: Regression analysis- non-participants.

Steps	Independent variable	В	SEB	Beta	t	p-value	R	R ²
1	Attitude toward behavior	0.46	0.061	0.726	11.186	0.000	0.723	0.519
,	Constant	0.33	1.003		0.172	0.863	-	

Equation (1) Y=0.33+0.46 Attitude

Steps	Independent variable	В	SEB	Beta	t	p-value	R	\mathbb{R}^2
1	Attitude	0.433	0.50	0.689	8.59	0.000	0.474	0.468
_	Constant	1.004	2.156		0.465	0.672	-	
2	Attitude	0.309	0.068	0.492	4.56	0.000	0.516	0.504
-	Subjective norms	0.349	0.132	0.283	2.63	0.010	-	
_	Constant	-0.108	2.124		-0.051	0.959	-	

Table 4: Regression analysis-Participants.

and education programs), Considering the previous steps, entry of the two aforementioned variables in Step 2 of the equation explained an increment of variance in intentions,

 $R^2=0.504$.

Here, attitude had the greatest influence on intention to participate in extension and education programs, followed by subjective norms. The following model is estimated to explain the variations in intention to participate in extension and education programs:

Equation (2) Y = -0.108 - 0.309 + 0.349

CONCLUSION

Extension agents are interested in understanding the most factors that help increase the number of people in their programs. The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is a general, briefly model of cognitive determinants of behavior that has successfully been proven in predicting behavior in a variety of contexts. Therefore, the main purpose of this study was to explore the use of the Theory of Planned Behavior to assess intentional predictors of farmers' participation in agricultural extension and education programs. This research indicated that Ajzen's theory of planned behavior performs well across behavioral categories with respect to explaining intention. For the prediction of behavior, however its efficiency varies (Beedell and Rehman, 2000).

Results showed that, there were significant differences between participants and non-participants in agricultural extension and education programs in terms of all of the TPB variables. Additionally, the results of the regression analysis showed that attitude and subjective norms were the best predictors' behavior. Attitudes toward participating in agricultural extension and education programs were slightly more impor-

tant than subjective norms in predicting intention. In turn, intention was strongly related to farmers, and subjective norms were independently associated with farmer's participation. This result gives clear evidence that positive attitudes alone are not sufficient to encourage farmers for participation in agricultural education programs. They are driven by a perceived inability to convert successfully and social pressures from important referent groups. Psychological factors are therefore significant barriers to in agricultural education programs.

The results of nonparticipant regression indicated that attitude is the key factor for predicting participation. Attitudes drive participation levels, and the perceived image of extension is important for promoting future participation. Considering that attitudes are the main issue with non-participation, according analysis of attitudes as well as the beliefs to create particular attitudes among extension clientele are needed. Attitudes about horticulture-based extension and education programs are extremely important to this group of people and, therefore, should be closely monitored by the extension service. Several studies have shown that the higher the level of contact with the extension and education service and agents, the higher the satisfaction level of the farmers. Therefore, a need may be felt for the extension and education service to re determine audiences and re-evaluate the attitudes and beliefs of those audiences. Also the results of participants' regression indicated that attitude is the key factor for predicting participation. This suggests that the TPB model was appropriate for use in predicting the participation of farmers in extension and education programs; therefore, based on this research and the literature involved, the extension service has a

responsibility to its audience to provide educational programs that are timely and up-to date. It has the responsibility of understanding the knowledge, skills and, most importantly, attitudes of the clientele in order to maintain these programs. In addition, the extension service must do the research required for re-discovering existing audiences and exposing new ones. Then, the many valuable extension agents can ultimately help people help themselves.

Several months after attending courses the participants reported how often they had performed each behavior in the preceding several months. Behavioral beliefs were found to partition into beliefs about affective reactions and beliefs about costs and benefits. Participation in agricultural courses was influenced by these affective and instrumental beliefs, as well as by normative beliefs about the expectations of important others and by control beliefs about required resources and other factors that impede or facilitate education participation.

Researchers encouraged policy makers in agricultural education planning courses to promote farmers positive norms and attitude through creating participatory atmosphere in agricultural educations. Because it's to become more motivated to learn, take responsibility for their learning as suggested by Armitage and Conner (2001). Where learners are encouraged to work with group of peers and referent groups with whom they can share their experiences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the scientific board members of the Department of Agricultural Extension and Education, University of Tehran for their valuable insights and guidance for carrying out this study and compiling the questionnaire of the study.

REFERENCES

- 1-Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process*, 50, 179-211.
- 2- Ajzen, I. (2002a). Perceived behavior control, self-efficacy, locus of control, and the theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 32, 1–20.
- 3- Ajzen, I. (2002b). The theory of planned behavior:

- A bibliography. Retrieved January 14, 2003, from: /http://www.people.umass.edu/aizen/tpbrefs.htmlS. 4- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to Theory and research.* Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- 5- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 6- Armitage, C.J., & Conner, M. (2001). Efficacy of the theory of planned behavior: A meta-analytic review. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 40, 471-499.
- 7- Beedell, J., & Rehman, T. (2000). Using Social-Psychology Models to Understand Farmers' Conservation Behavior. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 16, 117-127.
- 8-Bergevoet, R. H. M., Ondersteijn, C. J. M., Saatkamp, H. W., Van Woerkum, C. M. J., & Huirne, R. B. M. (2004). Entrepreneurial behavior of Dutch dairy farmers under a milk quota system: goals, objectives and attitudes. *Agricultural Systems*. 80 (1), 1-21.
- 9- Bernat, K., & Roschewitz, A. (2005). Recreational benefits of urban forests: explaining visitors' willingness to pay in the context of the theory of planned behavior. In Asona, Switzerland Centro Stefano Franscini, Monte Veritàmay, *Our Shared Landscape Integrating Ecological, Socioeconomic and Aesthetic Aspects in Landscape Planning and Management.* Swiss Federal Institute of Technologyeth Zürich. Nsl City and Landscape Network.
- 10- Blue, C.L. (1995). The predictive capacity of the theory of reasoned action and the theory of planned behavior in exercise research: An integrated literature review. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 18, 105–121.
- 11- Conner, M., & Sparks, P. (1996). *The theory of planned behavior and health behaviors*. In M. Conner and P. Norman (Eds.), Predicting health behavior (pp. 121–162). Buckingham: Open University Press. 12- Davies, J., Foxall, G. R., & Pallister, J. (2002). Beyond the intention behavior mythology: an integrated model of recycling. *Marketing Theory*, 21(1), 29–113.
- 13- Davis, F.D., Bagozzi, R.P., & Warshaw, P.R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35, 982–1002.
- 14- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory

Determinants of Farmers' Participation in Horticulture-based Extension Programs / Farahnaz Rostami et al

- and Research. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- 15- Godin, G. 1993. The theories of reasoned action and planned behavior: Overview of endings, emerging research problems and usefulness for exercise promotion. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 5*, 141–157.
- 16- Godin, G., & Kok, G. (1996). The theory of planned behavior: A review of its applications to health-related behaviors. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 11, 87–98.
- 17- Hagger, M.S., Chatzisarantis, M.L.D., & Biddle, S.J.H. (2002). A meta-analytic review of the theories of reasoned action and planned behavior in physical activity: Predictive validity and the contribution of additional variables, *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology.* 24, 3–32.
- 18- Hattam, C. (2006). Barriers to the adoption of organic agriculture an investigation using the theory of planned behavior. COR, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, 18-20 September; Published in Atkinson, C; Ball, B; Davies, D H K; Rees, R; Russell, G; Stockdale, E A; Watson, C A; Walker, R and Younie, D.(Eds.) Aspects of Applied Biology 79, what will organic farming deliver? COR 2006(pp. 73-73). Association of Applied Biologists
- 19- Hausenblas, H.A., Carron, A.V., & Mack, D.E. (1997). Application of the theories of reasoned action and planned behavior to exercise behavior: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 19, 36–51.
- 20- Jacob, S., & Ferrer, M. (2000). Program theory for effective extension program planning. EDIS document [on-line], Retrieved from http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/BODY FY031.
- 21- Jimmieson, Nerina, L., Peach, Megan, & White, Katherine M. (2008). Utilizing the Theory of Planned Behavior to inform change management: An investigation of employee intentions to support organizational change. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. 44(2), 237-262.
- 22- Karami, E., & Mansoorabadi, A. (2007). Sustainable agricultural attitudes and behaviors: a gender analysis of Iranian farmers. *Journal of Environmental Development Sustainable*.10, 883-898. DOI 10.1007/s10668-007-9090-7.
- 23- Karppinen, H. (2005). Forest owners' choice of reforestation method: an application of the theory of planned behavior. *Forest Policy and Economics*. 7 (3), 393-409.
- 24- Krejcie, R.V., & Morgan, D.W (1970). Deter-

- mining sample size for research activities, *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607-610. 25- Liao, C.H., Chen, J.L., & Yen, D. C. (2007). Theory of planning behavior (TPB) and customer satisfaction in the continued use of e-service: An integrated model. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23, 2804–2822.
- 26- Madden, T.J., Ellen, P.S., & Ajzen, I. (1992). A comparison of the theory of planned behavior and the theory of reasoned action. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 18(1), 3–9.
- 27- Manstead, A.S.R., & Parker, D. (1995). *Evaluating and extending the theory of planned behaviour*. In W. Stroebe and M. Hewstone (Eds.), European Review of Social Psychology (Vol. 6, pp. 69–95). Chichester: Wiley.
- 28- Ministry of Jihad-e- Agricultur. (2008). The statistic journal of Jihad-e- Agriculture. Retrieved from http://www.maj.ir/portal/Home/Default.aspx?CategoryID=20ad5e49-c727-4bc9-9254-de648a5f4d52. 29- Ouelette, J.A., & Wood, W. (1998). Habit and intention in everyday life: the multiple processes by which past behavior predicts future behavior. *Psychological Bulletin.* 124(1), 54–74.
- 30- Pawlak, R., & Malinauskas, B. (2008). The use of the theory of planned behavior to assess predictors of intention to fruits among 9th-grade students attending two public high schools in eastern eat North Carolina. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*. 37(1), 16-26.
- 31- Rehman, T., McKemey, K., Yates, C.M., Cooke, R.J., Garforth, C.J. Tranter, R.B. Park, J.R., & Dorward, P.T. (2006). Identifying and understanding factors influencing the uptake of new technologies on dairy farms in SW England using the theory of reasoned action. Agricultural Systems. 94 (2), 281-293. 32- Richardson, C.A. (2003). The theory of planned behavior in predicting participation at environmental horticulture extension programs. Unpublished Thesis University of Florida.
- 33- Sparks, P. (1994). Attitudes towards food: Applying, assessing and extending the 'theory of planned behaviors'. In D. R. Rutter and L. Quine (Eds.), Social psychology and health: European perspectives (pp. 25–46). Aldershot: Avebury Press.
- 34- Terry, D.J., & Hogg, M.A. (1996). Group norms and the attitude-behavior relationship: A role for group identification. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 8, 776-793.
- 35- Terry, D.J., Hogg, M.A., & White, K.M. (1999).

Determinants of Farmers' Participation in Horticulture-based Extension Programs / Farahnaz Rostami et al

The theory of planned behavior: Self identity, social identity, and group norms. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 38, 225-244.

36- Van den Putte, B. (1991). 20 years of the theory of reasoned action of Fishbein and Ajzen: A meta-analysis. Unpublished manuscript, University of Amsterdam.

37- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F.D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204.

38- White, K.M., Terry, D.J., & Hogg, M.A. (1994). Safer sex behavior: The role of attitudes, norms, and control factors. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 24, 2164-2192.