

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



United States Department of Agriculture



Importance of Child Nutrition Programs to Agriculture

Food Assistance Research Brief

Kenneth Hanson, khanson@ers.usda.gov, (202) 694-5427

July 2003

Food Assistance and Nutrition Research Report Number 34-12

Issue: USDA's child nutrition programs generate additional food consumption, which increases production, value added, and jobs on U.S. farms. Farm "value added" is a measure of labor earnings and the returns to farm ownership. This research brief estimates program-specific impacts for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), the Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) and a combined group of "school programs" (the National School Lunch, School Breakfast, Special Milk, and Summer Food Service Programs).

Findings: Estimated impacts depend in part on the program's additionality—the amount by which a dollar of program spending results in additional food consumption. Two cases are examined that differ in their treatment of additionality in WIC. Case 1 uses a value of zero, based on a single study for WIC that did not find any additionality. Case 2 adopts an alternative figure of 26 percent, based on additionality values for the Food Stamp Program. A program's estimated additional food expenditures, reported in the first row of table 1, depends on its additionality and its 2001 funding level. Table 1 also reports the estimated impacts.

Under Case 1, child nutrition programs increase farm production by \$1,035 million (0.4 percent of farm cash receipts). Farm value added—labor earnings and the returns to farm ownership—increases by \$318 million. Additional farm labor is roughly 9,200 jobs; in comparison, there were about 2 million farm jobs in 2001.

Table 1. Farm sector impacts from child nutrition programs, \$ millions and number of jobs

| | Program specific additional food expenditures | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Case 1 | | | Case 2 | | |
| | School Programs ¹ | CACFP ² | WIC Zero add | Case 1 Total | WIC 26% add | Case 2 Total |
| Additional food expenditures, \$ million | 1,969 | 376 | 0 | 2,344 | 782 | 3,126 |
| Farm production (cash receipts), \$ mil. | 870 | 166 | 0 | 1,035 | 435 | 1,470 |
| Livestock and poultry | 284 | 54 | 0 | 338 | 40 | 377 |
| Dairy | 222 | 42 | 0 | 264 | 224 | 488 |
| Crops | 364 | 70 | 0 | 434 | 172 | 605 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 130 | 25 | 0 | 155 | 13 | 168 |
| Other crops (mostly food and feed grains) | 235 | 45 | 0 | 279 | 158 | 437 |
| Farm value added (inc. depreciation), \$ mil. | 267 | 51 | 0 | 318 | 128 | 446 |
| Livestock and poultry | 48 | 9 | 0 | 57 | 7 | 64 |
| Dairy | 36 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 37 | 80 |
| Crops | 183 | 35 | 0 | 218 | 84 | 302 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 68 | 13 | 0 | 81 | 7 | 88 |
| Other crops (mostly food and feed grains) | 115 | 22 | 0 | 137 | 77 | 214 |
| Farm jobs, number of jobs | 7,738 | 1,476 | 0 | 9,214 | 3,870 | 13,084 |
| Livestock and poultry | 2,524 | 483 | 0 | 3,007 | 352 | 3,359 |
| Dairy | 1,972 | 375 | 0 | 2,346 | 1,992 | 4,338 |
| Crops | 3,242 | 619 | 0 | 3,861 | 1,527 | 5,387 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 1,154 | 221 | 0 | 1,375 | 120 | 1,495 |
| Other crops (mostly food and feed grains) | 2,087 | 398 | 0 | 2,485 | 1,407 | 3,892 |

Data source: USDA-ERS calculations.

¹School Programs include National School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, Summer Food Service Program, and Special Milk Program ²Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)

Table 2. Child nutrition program additional food expenditures in 2001

| 1 0 | • | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Total cost ¹ | Food cost ² | Case 1 ³ | Case 2 ⁴ | | |
| | \$ million | | | | | |
| Child Nutrition Programs | 14,101 | 7,784 | 2,344 | 3,126 | | |
| School Programs | 8,213 | 3,942 | 1,969 | 1,969 | | |
| National School Lunch Program (NSLP) | 6,475 | 3,108 | 1.399 | 1,399 | | |
| School Breakfast Program (SBP) | 1,450 | 696 | 508 | 508 | | |
| Summer Food Service Program (SFSP) | 272 | 131 | 59 | 59 | | |
| Special Milk Program (SMP) | 16 | 7 | 3 | 3 | | |
| Child/Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) | 1,739 | 834 | 376 | 376 | | |
| WIC | 4,150 | 3,008 | 0 | 782 | | |

¹Total program expenditures: USDA-FNS program data, www.fns.usda.gov/pd/annual.htm

²Food cost is the program expenditures cober no program state, internet and commodities, estimated. ³Additionality by program is: 0.45 for NSLP, SFSP, SMP, and CACFP, 0.733 for SEP, 0.0 for WIC. ⁴26 percent additionally for WIC, plus the additionality for other programs from case 1.

The table also distributes total farm-sector effects across commodities. For example, in Case 1 school programs and CACFP generate production of \$602 million for dairy and meat producers (combined) and \$155 million for fruit and vegetable producers. Two factors help explain why the impact on dairy and meat producers is relatively high. First, dairy and meat products account for 44 percent of food purchases for school meals while fruit and vegetables account for 24 percent. Second, dairy and meat products have relatively high farm cost shares while the cost of farm commodities account for a relatively small share of the cost for processed fruits and vegetables, and processed fruits and vegetables account for 75 percent to 80 percent of all fruits and vegetables.

Under Case 2 with 26 percent additionality for WIC, the child nutrition programs increased farm production by \$1,470 million, or \$435 million more than under Case 1. Added farm jobs rise by nearly 4,000 to a total of 13,084. WIC's biggest impact is on dairy production (\$224 million, over half of \$435 million) because dairy accounts for close to 60 percent of WIC food purchases either directly or indirectly (through infant formula). WIC's effect on other crops is due primarily to feed grains.

Background and Methods: Table 2 reports that 2001 expenditures by child nutrition programs were \$14,101 million, of which an estimated \$7,784 million were for food purchases assuming 48 percent of total school program expenditures were for food, including donated commodities (USDA-FNS, 1994). For WIC, food costs are reported in the program data (USDA-FNS, October 2002). Each program's additional food expenditures are the product of food expenditures and a program's additionality-a measure of added food consumption generated by a program after netting food that would have been consumed anyway. A review of the literature suggests an additionality of 45 percent for NSLP, 73 percent for SBP, and zero for WIC (Devaney and Fraker, 1989; USDA-FNS, 1987a,b). Given an absence of studies for the other school programs, we assign them the 0.45 percent additionality of the NSLP.

It was supposed that the Special Milk Program purchases fluid milk only. Food item purchases by the other school programs and CACFP were derived from the School Food Purchases Study (USDA-FNS, 1998). WIC food expenditures were taken from the FY 2000 WIC Food Package Cost Analysis (USDA-FNS, 2002).

Given the additional food purchases by the child nutrition programs, we estimate the farm impacts in terms of production (cash receipts), value added and jobs. We assume the food purchases are at wholesale prices, which are lower than the retail prices households pay for the same food items. The farm cost share for each food item is the direct farm impact from the additional food demand. The cost shares are derived from the input-output accounts. Input-output analysis estimates the indirect impact on farms, taking into account that the production of farm commodities involves the use of other farm commodities, e.g., feed grains are used in livestock and dairy production. For more information on the use of the input-output analysis, see USDA-ERS Briefing Room: Food and Nutrition Assistance Program and the General Economy.

Information Sources:

Devaney, Barbara, and Thomas Fraker. "The Effect of Food Stamps on Food Expenditures: An Assessment of Findings from the Nationwide Food Consumption Survey." American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 71(1), February 1989, 99-104.

USDA-ERS. "Briefing Room: Food and Nutrition Assistance Program and the General Economy." Available at: www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/ GeneralEconomy/index.htm

USDA-FNS. "Program Data: Annual Summary." October 2002. Available at: www.fns.usda.gov/pd/annual.htm

USDA-FNS. "FY2000 WIC Food Package Cost Analysis." 2002. Available at: www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/WICFoodCosts/ FY00Report.pdf

USDA-FNS. "School Food Purchases Study." 1998. Available at: www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/CNP/FILES/sfps-pt3.pdf

USDA-FNS. "School Lunch and Breakfast Cost Study, Summary of Findings." 1994. Available at: www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/ Published/CNP/FILES/Lunch&BreakfastCostSum.pdf

USDA-FNS. "National WIC Evaluation." Vol. 3. Ch. 7. 1987a.

USDA-FNS. "Impact of School Nutrition Programs on Household Food Expenditures." 1987b.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture is an Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer