

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search. 

## Help ensure our sustainability. Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from AgEcon Search may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

THE WEST OF SCOTLAND AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE

PROBLEM MARGINAL LAND IN THE SLAMANNAN AREA OF STIRLINGSHIRE

By

## ROBERT M. PATTERSON

Agricultural Adviser, Stirling and Clackmannan

BLYTHSWOOD SQUARE, GLASGOW, C.2.

# PROBLEM MARGINAL LAND IN THE SLAMANNAN AREA OF STIRLINGSHIRE 

By

## ROBERT M. PATTERSON

Agricultural Adviser, Stirling and Clackmannan

## PROBLEM MARGINAL LAND IN THE SLAMANNAN AREA OF STIRLINGSHIRE.

There are many acres of poor wettish land in East Stirlingshire. Much of this land had at one time been ploughed, since riggs and furrows are commonly seen. Many of the older people in the locality speak of the old " government" drainage systems, which apparently were quite common throughout the area. Those field drains were laid considerably deeper than is now customary. The tiles were much smaller than our normal present-day ones, being only approximately I inch in diameter. Those old drains are now of little or no value. Much of the land in the area, however, has never been drained at all.

Prior to World War II., very little ploughing was done. The dominant herbage was moor-mat grass (Nardus stricta), and the grazing value poor. Those tough, wiry plants carried stock without severe poaching of the land. Limited numbers of well-grown cattle were summered on big acreages. Very young cattle did not do well on those grazings, since the feeding value was accepted as being inadequate to meet the needs of rapidly-growing stock.

During the war, land had to be ploughed, and winter stock-feeding produced. In most cases, drainage was not possible. The normal cropping was oats for one or two years and then seeds hay followed by grazing. While in "lea" oats, the underturned "mat" seemed to act temporarily as a kind of drainage system. Given a normal dressing of compound corn fertiliser, and a reasonably good harvest, a satisfactory oat crop could be expected. The succeeding, stubble, sow-out oats were usually limed and given a normal dressing of compound corn manure. The grass and clover seeds' mixtures sown were reasonably satisfactory. The oat crop was usually moderate. In a wet season, harvesting was difficult. The chances of the undersown seeds were often seriously prejudiced, because of the damage done by harvest "traffic." In addition, much harm was often done to the young grass by poaching with stock in its first autumn and winter, as well as irreparable damage done by wintering sheep. The following year, after a limited dressing of fertiliser, a moderate hay crop was taken; the hay being largely Italian and Perennial Ryegrass. From now on, the land quickly reverted to very inferior herbage, including a vigorous growth of rushes. The land had, by now, become very wet and was easily poached.

Ultimately, the grazing value was less than it had been prior to ploughing.

In conducting its advisory and investigational work, a soil survey of the area was undertaken by the College in 1944, when 204 soil samples from 35 farms were chemically analysed.

Table 1.
SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL DATA.

|  |  |  | Arable Soils. | Young Grassland Soils. | Old Grassland Soils. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available | Low |  | 96.9\% | 96.0\% | 100\% | 97.6\% |
| Phosphate | Medium | ... | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | , | I. $4 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | Satisfactory | $\ldots$ | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | - | I. $0 \%$ |
| Available | Low ... | ... | 52.5\% | 80.0\% | $43 \cdot 6 \%$ | 58.7\% |
| Potash | Medium | ... | 39.0\% | 12.0\% | 49.1 \% | 33.4$8.0 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | Satisfactory | ... | 8.6\% | 8.0\% | 7.3\% |  |
| Acidity | Very Strong |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Strong |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pH 5.1-5.5 Moderate | ... | 40.0\% | 26.0\% | $45 \cdot 4 \%$ | 37-1\% |
|  | pH 5.6-6.0 | ... | 32.0\% | $54.0 \%$ | 34.5\% | 40.2\% |
|  | Slight |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pH over $6 \cdot 0$ |  | 13.0\% | 18.0\% | 3.6\% | II. $5 \%$ |

From Table I, those soils can be taken as having an extreme phosphate deficiency, a low-medium potash content, and a moderate need for lime. Incidentally, the overall organic-matter status of the soils was normal.

It was now obvious, that to establish grass on this land, heavy dressings of phosphate must be applied.

After the War, the Slamannan area had become a definite problem, and in 1948 the College was approached on this matter by the Central Agricultural Executive Committee. This Committee enquired whether experiments could be conducted to demonstrate how this land could be improved.

During 1948, it was decided to experiment, if possible, in establishing grass. It was only possible to do this by the willing co-operation of R. McNee \& Sons, Rottenstocks, Falkirk, on land occupied by them at Auchengean and Loanfoot. There were approximately $19 \frac{1}{2}$ acres in the unit. This land was generally accepted throughout the area as being typical (if not worse) problem land.

It had been ploughed out of old moor-mat grazing in 1942, limed, given approximately 4 cwts . grain manure per acre, and sown direct to grass that Summer, with a good and costly seeds' mixture. The initial take of grass was good, and in the Autumn of 1942 was eaten off with sheep. In 1943, a considerable number of animals were grazed. Rushes were, by now, beginning to appear, and very soon the whole unit had reverted to very poor herbage, including a vigorous growth of rushes.

In 1948，those $19 \frac{1}{2}$ acres were unfit to graze，satisfactorily， 2 horses．

A botanical analysis of the herbage on this unit showed that the plants present were almost entirely undesirable types．It was therefore decided，that to attempt to establish pasture，ploughing was inevitable， and that new grasses and clovers must be sown．As a point of interest， a turf from a nearby unploughed moor－mat area was also examined．

## BOTANICAL ANALYSES OF TURVES．

19⿺辶⿱亠乂冖

Sample I．
Common Rush ．．．40\％． （Juncus communis） Buttercup （Ranunculus repens） Lesser Spearwort （Ranumculus flammula） $30 \%$ Bents and Fiorin
（Agrostis grasses） Moss
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Moss ．．．} & \ldots \\ \text { Bare ground } & \ldots\end{array}\right\}^{22 \%}$
$100 \%$

Sample II．
Nearby Unploughed Moor－Mat Grass Area． Sample III．
Moor－Mat Grass 85\％
（Nardus stricta）

（Potentilla erecta）
Moss...

．．． | Bare ground | $\ldots$ | $6 \%$ | Moss | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Moss | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $10 \%$ | Bare ground | $\ldots$ |

PLAN OF $19 \frac{1}{2}$ ACRE UNIT．


The $19 \frac{1}{2}$ acre unit can be divided into two areas which，incidentally， were not fenced separately．（See above plan．）

Field＂A，＂of approximately $8 \frac{1}{2}$ acres，was to be seeded direct to grass with long ley seeds＇mixtures，and was the actual College experi－ ment．Part of the cost was borne by the farmer and part by the College．

Field＂B，＂of approximately II acres，was to be seeded temporarily to Rape and Italian Ryegrass，and the entire cost was borne by the farmer． Four acres were tile－drained prior to ploughing．

During the winter of 1948, a crawler tractor and special ploughs were hired to do the ploughing. The land was wet, and the smallamount of ploughing attempted was unsatisfactory. As a result, work was stopped. Fortunately, by the late Spring of 1949, when the land had become drier, the ploughing was satisfactorily done by the farm staff and the normal farm machinery. It was subsequently disced until a fine seed bed had been obtained.

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF SOILS.



For obvious reasons, it was decided to fertilise the land generously, and.leave nothing to chance as far as plant foods were concerned. The following are details of lime and fertilisers applied.

## MANURING PER ACRE.

Field "A."
3 tons ground lime.
14 cwts. basic slag (8\%).
8 cwts. superphosphate.
2 cwts. muriate of potash.
2 cwts. nitro-chalk.

Field "B."
2 tons ground lime.
8 cwts. superphosphate.
$\mathrm{I}_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{cwts}$. sulphate of ammonia.

The fertilisers were applied just prior to seeding.
FIELD "A."

In Field "A," it was decided to sow 3 different seeds' mixtures. One mixture was to have a proportion of Aberystwyth S. strains as well as commercial types, another commercial types only, and yet another was to contain a proportion of its grasses as Meadow Foxtail and Red Fescue. The Aberystwyth S. strains were thought to be more persistent and also later growing. The commercial types were accepted as being earlier. The first mixture was therefore intended to have the advantages of the Aberystwyth S. strains and commercial types dovetailing into each other. The second mixture was purely on established lines. The third mixture included Meadow Foxtail and Red Fescue, in the hope that those species might do better on this wet land.

In prescribing the actual mixtures other decisions were made. A generous seeding of 50 lbs . per acre was given in each case. Neither cocksfoot nor red clovers were sown, since their establishment was considered uncertain. Meadow Fescue was included as a substitute for Cocksfoot in each of the non-Meadow Foxtail/Red Fescue mixtures. Crested Dogstail was included in each mixture, because of its hardiness and likelihood of persisting in those difficult conditions.

## SEEDS' MIXTURES IN FIELD "A."

|  | Commercial Aberystwyth Mixture. | Commercial Mixture. | Foxtail/ Red Fescue Mixture. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | lbs. per acre. | lbs. per acre. | lbs. per acre |
| Italian ryegrass | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Perennial ryegrass ... | 10 | 12 | 16 |
| S. 23 perennial ryegrass | 10 | - |  |
| Scotch Timothy ... | . 2 | 6 | 4 |
| S. 48 Timothy ... | $\cdots$ | - |  |
| Meadow Foxtail | . - | - | 12 |
| Meadow Fescue ... | . 8 | 14 | - |
| Rough Stalked Meadow Grass | - 2 |  | - |
| Crested Dogstail ... | . 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Red Fescue ... ... |  |  | 5 |
| Alsyke Clover ... | - I 咼 | $1{ }_{2}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1} 1$ |
| New Zealand wild white clover |  | I | I |
| Kent wild white clover ... | . | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  | = |  |
| FIELD " B." |  |  |  |

A straightforward mixture of Rape and Italian Ryegrass was sown in Field "B." There was too big a proportion of Rape included in the mixture. As a result, the Italian Ryegrass had not such a good chance as it might have had.

All the seeds were finally sown on 28th June, 1949. In August, there was an excellent growth of nutritious feeding available. It was decided to eat it off with sheep. Lambs were brought in, and by the end of the year close on 250 had been graded fat, direct off this land, without any additional feeding whatever. The established practice in the area was to purchase poor hill lambs, since bigger and quickermaturing types did not "do." It is interesting to note that the only batch of cross lambs (B.F. x B.L.) left more profit per lamb than any of the other lots.

During 1950, the entire $19 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ acres were grazed as one unit. No fertilisers of any kind were applied, except 2 cwts. of nitro-chalk on an area of just over I acre on Field "A." The numbers of stock carried were satisfactory, and the animals throve well. While being grazed by the milking herd, approximately 70 gallons of milk were produced daily. Grazing commenced on 6th April and ended on 14th December.

## SIMPLIFIED 1950 STOCK-GRAZING RECORD PER ACRE.

$$
\text { Field "A" }+ \text { Field " } \mathbf{B . "}
$$

| I Cow | for | $56 \cdot 74$ days. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I Heifer | for | $37 \cdot 28$ days. |
| I Calf | for | $2 \cdot 46$ days. |
| I Sheep | for | $322 \cdot 10$ days. |

Details of the production from each of the long ley mixtures in Field "A" were obtained by caging. The cages were first placed on those mixtures in late summer, 1950. In addition, for comparative purposes, cages were placed on the nearby moor-mat grass area which had not been ploughed in recent years (and of which a typical botanical analysis has already been given).

ESTIMATED PRODUCTION BY CAGING-PER ACRE.

| Period 2/8/50-12/9/50. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial/ Aberystwyth Mixture. | Commercial Mixture. | Foxtail/ Red Fescue Mixture. | Old <br> Moor-Mat Grass Area |
| Cwts. Green |  |  |  |  |
| Matter | 65.140 | $76 \cdot 275$ | $41 \cdot 175$ | $4 \cdot 725$ |
| Cwts. Dry |  |  |  |  |
| Matter | 12.233 | 12.357 | 7-968 | 2.243 |
| Cwts. Crude |  |  |  |  |
| Protein | 1.783 | $2 \cdot 154$ | I. 076 | -266 |

The area caged was clipped bare when the cages were placed in position. The recorded production (from which the above records were prepared) was therefore the growth from a known area, within the period of caging. This initial clearing of the thick, wiry, moor-mat grass promoted fresh young shoots. The production, therefore, from the old unploughed area would in actual practice have been less than that recorded.

During the winter of 1950, Field " B," which, as already mentioned, was sown only to a temporary ley, was ploughed. It was dressed with 5 cwts superphosphate plus 1 cwt. sulphate of ammonia per acre, and seeded with 6 bushels of oats per acre in the Spring of i95I. A very good crop of oats was grown. In the bad harvest of 195I, the land became very wet, and great difficulty was experienced in cutting and securing the crop. Certainly, had the crop been undersown with grass seeds, great damage would have been done during harvesting operations.

Since 1949, the 4 acres tile-drained in Field " B" produced the better crops.

Field " A" was now fenced separately and was again grazed during 1951. Since the production in 1950 had been good, a further dressing of fertiliser was justified, and 6 cwts. of superphosphate per acre were applied in the Spring of 1951. The numbers of stock carried during the season were again satisfactory, and again all the animals throve well. Grazing commenced on 14th May and ended on 27th October.

## SIMPLIFIED' 195I STOCK-GRAZING RECORD-PER ACRE. Field " A."

| I Cow | for | $50 \cdot 12$ days. |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| I Heifer | for | 55.06 | days. |
| I Calf | for | 8.24 | days. |
| I Horse | for | 5.53 days. |  |
| I Sheep | for | 91.76 days. |  |

Cage records were also kept, and from those, estimated production was as follows :-
*ESTIMATED PRODUCTION BY CAGING—PER ACRE. Period 12/9/50-2/10/5I.

|  |  | Commercial/ Aberystwyth Mixture. | Commercial Mixture. | Foxtail/ Red Fescue Mixture. | Old <br> Moor-Mat Grass Area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cwts. Green |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matter | ... | $262 \cdot 238$ | $276 \cdot 751$ | $248 \cdot 063$ | $18 \cdot 113$ |
| Cwts. Dry |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matter | ... | $47 \cdot 347$ | $50 \cdot 851$ | 45-221 | $6 \cdot 305$ |
| Cwts. Crude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protein | ... | 7.714 | 8.518 | $7 \cdot 752$ | $\cdot 768$ |
| * Fuller |  | ails of caging | g can be see | in Append |  |

## GENERAL SUMMARY.

The production from the pasture can be considered most satisfactory. There is still no immediate likelihood that rushes will become a serious problem. Any young rush plants that appear are grazed by the stock.

On this problem land, of which there is a very considerable acreage in East Stirlingshire, East Dunbartonshire, North Lanarkshire and West Lothian, the first need is drainage. Without drainage however, great improvements can be effected, as in the case of this experiment.

The first step is to plough. This can best be done in late Spring or early Summer when the land is drier. After generous manuring (particularly phosphate) and adequate cultivations, sow down to grass, using a suitable grass and clover seeds' mixture. Direct re-seeding is preferable to undersowing. Thereafter, when the sward is ready to graze, correct management is very important. Since the land is wet, it can be severely poached (with disastrous results to the sward) if overgrazed with stock in very wet weather. Great harm can also be done if grazed (or poached) with stock of any kind during Winter, when there is little or no "keep" available in any case. It is therefore of the greatest importance, that the much improved sward should be heavily grazed in better weather and "freed" if the land becomes seriously wet. At no time should it be overgrazed. If these rules are strictly observed, there is little doubt that much of the land will become considerably more productive.

A few years later, as a result of increased productivity and consequent profitability, it might be possible to tile-drain some of this problem land. Still better and more permanent results could then be expected. Incidentally, sub-soils from the area have been examined, and as a result of their physical " make-up," the cheaper system of moledraining was considered impracticable.
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Aprendix 1.
ESTIMATED PRODUCTION PER ACRE BY CAGING-FIELD "A."
12/9/50-2/10/51.

N.B.-As shown in Appendix I., there was no cuttable growth on the unploughed moor-mat area, when cage clippings were taken in the period $14 / 8 / 51-2 / 10 / 51$. In the same period, yields varying from approximately $33-42 \mathrm{cwts}$. per acre of nutritious green herbage were being produced from the re-seeded areas. Consequently, where re-seeded, the grazing season had been considerably extended.

