

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Vol. XX



Sociedad Caribeña de Cultivos Alimenticios Association Caraîbe des Plantes Alimentaires

PROCEEEEBDINGS-ST. CROIX, U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS-OCTOBER 21-26, 1984





Development of the Sweet Potato for Increased Usage in the Caribbean

Franklin W. Martin Tropical Agriculture Research Station Southern Region, ARS/USDA Mayaguez, Puerto Rico

Inexpensive, efficient food crops that are attractive, tasty, nurritious, and versarile are needed in the Catibbean. The sweet potato has the advantage that it can be produced and harvested throughout the year. Usage of the sweet potato can be increased by breeding better varieties, especially those with little or no sweetness and bland flavors, and by hetter methods of preparation. A diffusion process was developed, which improves the acceptability even of the worst sweet potatoes. A

"Our daily bread" is a beautiful fragment of a prayer which expresses a rrurh: mosr people in this world rend to fill a great part of their food needs with a single sraple food. Staple foods are great foods. If you begin to enumerate the great foods of the world, the list, in order of importance, would be wheat, rice, corn, potaroes, barley, and tied in sixth and seventh places, cassava and sweet potatoes. Note that four of these crops are cereal grains, and three are roots or rubers. This paper concerns the sweet poraro. Two-thirds of the world's sweet poraroes are grown in China where sweet potato is a great food. In very few other places in the world, however, can sweet potato be said ro be "Our daily bread."

The sweet potato is a crop of the Caribbean. While its origin is somewhere in the American tropics, we cannot be sure how it originated and spread. But a significant fact is clear: sweet potato was in the Caribbean before Columbus arrived. It was carried from place to place by the original peoples, and it is highly prohousehold type process for making flour was developed; flours can be used in partial substitution for wheat flour. Insect resistance and stress tolerances are needed. Progress has been rapid, using mass selection as a tool. Varieries with new characteristics are available and need regioual testing. Progress is being made in developing improved horticultural techniques and short cuts for testing adaptability.

bable that it continued to evolve in the Caribbean. It is still an important food, and still represented by hundreds of ancient varieties.

For just a moment let us contrast the sweet potato to other starchy crops which are its rivals in the rropics (Table 1). Its season is quite short, just a little longer than that of potatoes, and it can be planted or harvested any time of the year. The propagating material is the stem, useful as feed but nor as food, and thus the principal edible portion is not sacrificed when a new crop is planted. Its level of culture is relatively simple, and it can be produced in anyone's backyard. But the crop can also be mechanized. Its food value (chiefly in terms of calories, vitamin C, and in yellow-fleshed types, vitamin A) is medium to high, somerimes a little better, sometimes a little less than other root crops. Finally, all parts of the sweet porato plant can be used as feed for animals. It appears that the combination of favorable characteristics of the sweet poratoes give it an appreciable edge over other crops thar

TABLE 1. Principal starchy crops of the tropics (excluding grains) and some of their characteristics.

CROP	MINIMUM TIME TO PRODUCE (MONTHS)	PROPAGATING MATERIAL	RELATIVE EASE OF CULTURE	NUTRITIONAL	RESIDUES AS FEED
SWEET POTATO	4	STEM	EASY	MEDIUM~HIGH	YES
POTATO	3	EDIBLE PORTION	DIFFICULT	MEDIUM-HIGH	NO
CASSAVA	10	STEM	EASY	LOW	LIMITED
YAM	8	EDIBLE PORTION	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	NO -
TARO	10	VARIOUS PARTS	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	LIMITED
TANIER	10	VARIOUS PARTS	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	LIMITED
BANANA	10	RHIZOME	EASY	LOW-MEDIUM	LIMITED
PLANTAIN	12	RHIZOME	MEDIUM	LOW-MEDIUM	LIMITED

TABLE 2. Current and potential use of sweet potato in tropics.

USE	RELATIVE POTENTIA
FARINACEOUS VEGETABLE FOR	
BOILING, EVERYDAY USE	VERY HIGH
COMMERCIAL SOURCE OF CHIPS AND	
FRENCH FRIES	H1GH
FLOUR TO SUBSTITUTE IN PART FOR	
WHEAT FLOUR	HIGH
FRESH, CANNED OR DRIED PRODUCT	
TO MAKE DESERTS (ICE CREAM, MILK	
SHAKES, PIE)	MEDIUM
SWEET, MOIST ROOT TO MAKE DESERT-	
LIKE VEGETABLE	MEDIUM
ANIMAL FEED	MEDIUM
LEAFY VEGETABLE	LOW
SOURCE OF STARCH	LOW
SOURCE OF ALCOHOL	UNKNOWN

TABLE 3. TARS sweet potato breeding program.

	INTRODUCTION OF VARIALIES AND SLLDS, MAINTENANCE					
OF A COLLECTION						
	SCREENING OF SEEDLINGS FOR ACTIVITY					
. ↓						
	PRI	NCIPAL BREEDING A	CTIVITIES			
		↓ ↓				
		FOR INDUSTRIAL				
TROPICAL SOILS	RESISTANCE	USE, FROM SLEDS	IN SHADE	IN MULTIPLE CROPPING		
30113		SLEDS		CROFF ING		
BRIENI	BRLEDING, IN ORDER, FOR PRINCIPAL SITUATION (ABOVE)					
FOR PESIS AND DISCASES						
FOR POTENTIAL USE TYPE						
SFLECTION						
arterion .						
WIDE TESTING						
VARIED VARIETIES						
VIRILU VIRIETTES						
FOR VARIED USES						

TABLE 4. Four types of sweet potatoes for the tropics.

NAME	COLOR		MOUTHFEEL
DESSERT	ORANGE	H1GH	MOIST
TROPICAL	WHITE TO YELLOW	MEDIUM	INTERMEDIATE. To DRY
STAPLE	WHITE	VERY LOW	INTERMEDIATE
HIGH NUTRITION	YELLOW TO ORANGE	VERY LOW	INTERMEDIATE

might substitute for it in the diet. Furthermore, the current and future potentials of the sweet potato far surpass current usage (Table 2).

If the sweet potaro has the advantages that I have described, why has it not become a more important crop? I believe that the sweet potato is not a more important crop because people do not want to eat it every day. Fot most of us, a sweet can never be a staple. For many, sweet potato is a good crop for a special dish or a desserr, but a tiresome crop for every day. Yet, it is not just sweetness; not everyone likes the taste of sweet potatoes. Thus, if sweet potato is going to tealize its potential, it will have to be made over to bettet fit what people want.

The sweet potato must also conform to farmers' needs. An easy crop to grow, the sweet potato has one very serious disadvantage. It is susceptible to the sweet potato weevil (*Cylas formicarius elegantulus*), an insect which tunnels into the storage toot, resulting in off-color and bad taste. If this pesr is not controlled the farmer can say goodbye to the sweet potato, for what he harvests will not be fit for man or animal.

Improving the Sweet Potato-

The Challenge and the Technique The sweet potato poses a special challenge to the person who

would improve it. While a perennial, ptopagated vegetatively and thus easy to pteserve as a variety, the sweet potato is a difficult crop to bteed. It is self-incompatible by nature, and this restriction is complicated by sterility, probably due to hybrid otigin. Furthermore, the sweet potato is a hexaploid and thus has six instead of two sets of chromosomes. Hand pollinations are so unfruitful that they average less than a tenth of a seed per pollinated flower. Sweet potato cross-bteeding has traditionally been labor intensive.

A new technique, mass selection, developed and demonstrated in South Carolina by Alfred Jones (Jones et al., 1976) has made sweet potato breeding easier (Table 3). Vety effective for weakly expressed characteristics, the technique nevertheless is very simple. For any ttait that can be measured or tated accurately, plants are selected that display rhe highest values seen of the ttait. These are planted in an isolated location during the season of shotr days, stimulated to flower by tying the vines ro uprighr poles, and cross-pollinated by bees. The seeds are planted and seedlings are selected again. While any seedling can become a variety, the population itself tends to change with each cycle of selection in two ways, towards increased flowering and towards an increased frequency of genes determining the desired charactetisric. The chromosomes containing favorable genes are selected first, and with time unfavotable linkages are bloken, and progress continues.

Program and Progress at the Tropical Agriculture Research Station

The sweet potato breeding project at the Tropical Agriculture Research Station, named "The Development of Stress-Resistant Sweet Potatoes for the Tropics," was officially begun October 1, 1981, but plans and activities were initiated nine months before that time. Supported by permanently assigned funds from the socalled Section 406 program, the sweet porato project is aimed at the tropics in general, yer spin-off is expected to rouch the tempetate zone as well. It is staffed by one scientist and three technicians, each dedicating two-thirds of his time to this effort. Informally speaking, the objective is to make the sweer potato into the most important farinaceous crop of the tropics. This objective does not depreciate any other crop, but tests on the belief that the sweet potato, and no other ctop, can fill that role. However, in a broader sense, the objectives are to develop sweet potatoes that are so attractive to the palate that people will want to eat them every day, and so toletant of stresses, diseases, and pests that fatmers will be able to produce them easily, even on

soils or in ecological conditions that are not today considered favotable for sweet potato. Where feasible, we also wish to improve the production systems, whether in mono- or multiplectopping, in order to reduce risk to farmers. Finally, the objective is to broaden the use of sweet potatoes so that the impact of the crop is realized.

In order to accomplish these goals we are breeding sweet potato by mass selection. Our mass selection program requires a full year fot each cycle. We plant seeds in January to April, transplant them to the field, screen for characteristics desired either in field ot greenhouse, and then select plants to be used, together with the best of previous selections, in poly-crosses that will flower and bear seed during the dry season.

Getting a good sample of getmplasm was out problem at the beginning. Now, having introduced about 40,000 seeds from the USA, West Africa, the French West Indies, Central America, and the South Pacific, we have all of the germplasm that we need. Please nore that the clonal varieties of sweer potato cannot be legally imported into the USA or Puerto Rico, unless they pass thtough rigid quarantine procedures at Glenn Dale, Maryland. Within the getmplasm available there is a broad scope for selection, and new variation is readily produced following hybridization. Germplasm improvement is accomplished through up ro 15 poly-crosses each year. We now have seeds to spate for other investigators.

Closely related to the question of introduction of germplasm, is that of the evaluation of germplasm alteady here in Puerto Rico. In fact, this emphasis cannot be separated from the testing and evaluation of new varieties of our own ptogram In order to evaluate varieties we had to develop standards. We did this for what we consider four types (Table 4). The *dessert* type is that most appreciated in the southern USA, orange, moist and very sweet. The *tropical* type, ranging from white to yellow, from low to high in sweetness, and from intermediate to dry in the mouth, is already found throughout the Caribbean. The *staple* type is what we are now beginning to produce, white, without sweetness, hopefully neither too dty nor too moist, and with a bland flavor suitable fot everyday use. The *high nutrition* type, which could contain large amounts of vitamin A in a non-sweet type, is still only a dream, although we have seen prototypes.

We have finished a series of tests for out first ten varietal selections of the tropical and dessert types and have seen that some are well adapted, even to heavy, poorly drained soils and acidic conditions. At this early stage of our breeding program, I alteady believe we have significant new varieties. However, we have just begun to test a second set of varieties. These are our staple and substaple varieties, and I am confident that rhey are going to be better than the first set, at least in table quality.

By developing our sweet potatoes in heavy, acidic, poorly drained, infertile soils, we are subjecting them to stresses of several kinds. The Mayaguez soils (Catalina clay, Cialitos clay) are not very well suired to sweet poratoes, but they are representative of the tropics. We have seen that sweet potatoes that ptoduce well in these soils, also tend to ptoduce well in other soils. On the other hand, varieties that are well adapted to, and productive in other soils are not necessarily adapted to Mayaguez soil. Thus, our aim to breed stress-resistant sweet potatoes is met in part by breeding in the Mayaguez soil where the most important stresses seem to be high acidity, toot flooding, and low fertility.

However, breeding for stress resistance also involves the use of greenhouse tests where large numbers of plants can be screened in a small space. Since sweet potatoes will produce a small storage root even when cuttings are planted in 3-inch pots, stress factors impeding production can be studied in the greenhouse. The stress tests that we have developed and standardized include root flooding, shading, soil acidity, poor fertiliry, drought, and competition. With each of these tests, we are finding that seedlings vary in their reaction to stress. We rest single cuttings of seedlings and if stress tolerances are found, we rest again on a triplicate basis. Stress resistant seedlings then are poly-crossed to produce the next generation.

What we do not yet know is whether the stresses imposed in an artificial situation, the greenhouse, bear any relationship to stresses in the field; yet, we suspect that they do. In our first selecrion for shade resistance, all 38 selections our yielded all 18 standard vatieties in a field plot beneath trees. Furthermore, in a test of 100 seedlings selected at random, subjected to greenhouse tests but also grown in three situations in the field, stress rest results, especially for tolerance of acidity, were partially correlated with yield performance in the field. In addition, using only stress test results and selecting the top 15% of the seedlings, we would have been able to select nine out of the top ten yieldets in Mayaguez fields.

With this kind of research, we are trying not only to develop new sweet potatoes, but also to determine something about their ability to adapt to other environments different from those of Mayaguez.

As a prominent factor influencing yields, we have identified the stem cutting and its treatment before and after planting as being of crucial importance in yield. For example, healthyappearing cuttings from old plantings do not produce as well as similar cuttings from younget and more vigorous plantings. The nutritional status of the cutting can tange from dismal to promising. Furthermore, the cutting may suffer from distinct levels of virus charge. More exact information on the influence of pre- and posr-planting procedures will permit the development of better sweet potato production systems.

In the tropics the sweet porato is frequently produced in multiple cropping systems. Our answer to the special needs these systems require is the development of climbing sweet potatoes to be combined with corn, and development of shade tolerant sweet potatoes to grow under trees such as coconuts. We have tapidly produced climbing varieties using selection and poly-crossing, but these sweet poratoes are different and require much study before they can be released. For example, they have small stems and are difficult to root. They may be more sensitive to photoperiod, and may accumulate virus charge more readily. Thus, breeding has been very successful in producing a new kind of plant, but one which we do not know yet how to handle.

The search for shade tolerance is not difficult, but results so fat suggest that the level of tolerance is low. It may take many years of mass selection to develop a truly useful level of shade tolerance.

I would like to be able to report progress with respect to the sweet porato weevil, but like all fatmers who know this pest, I have no sweet words. We think we have a good methodology for searching for weevil resistance so that it can be strengthened by mass selection. Now, with almost four yeats of field experience behind us, we feel that weevil resistance is uncommon and weak, thus hard to find, and hardet yet to strengthen. Yet we feel that the search fot weevil resistance is vety necessary, and that the germplasm under development may as yet be the most important contribution we can make. We urge farmers to use those few controls that can permit reasonable production in spite of weevils.

I have not touched on the subject of processing, but wish to mention two contributions we have made. One is the development of diffusion-processed sweet potato pulp through a simple process that makes any sweet potato taste better. With this process sweet potato could be used as a staple food in any household. The second contribution is the development of a precooked, nonsweet flour from one variety of sweet porato that would petmit excess from the harvest to be stored for future use. In order to develop a process suitable for small scale use, we have had to develop technical solutions suitable for the average household. It appears that sweet potato flour could substitute for a good proportion of the wheat flour now imported in the Caribbean.

Future Prospects, Plans, and Needs

The greatest need for the future is to get people excited about the sweer potato. If others can share our dreams they need not establish rival, duplicative, or competitive programs. In place of this, all comers are welcome to try our plant materials and our processes. Furthermore, we are convinced that our own findings on production techniques, the techniques developed by our sister institutions, and the advances in weevil control developed and tested in AVRDC, Taiwan can make it possible to produce better sweet potatoes.

We especially need serious cooperators who will plant our varieties side-by-side with those already available, and who will help others to understand and to try the new sweet potatoes. This process must be a continuous efforr. We expect to be developing and releasing new varieties continuously over a long period of time. Some of our goals will be easily reached, including increased developing tolerances to some common stresses. On the other hand, I am not convinced that we shall succeed in obtaining weevil-resistant varieties.

In order to fulfill the potentials of the sweet potato we need entrepreneurs as well, large and small, who will look to the sweet potato as a source of income. This is difficult since the sweet potato is not a glamorous food, nor is it pushed by large food chains nor supported by a well developed marketing system. Yet, sweet potato can fill new roles, and people now can define these roles.

It is only through an extension of the work already successfully begun that sweet potato can become what its nature suggests, "our daily bread."

References

1. Jones, A., P.D. Dukes, and F.P. Cuthbert, Jr. 1976. Mass selection in sweet potato: breeding for resistance to insects and diseases, and for horticultural characteristics. Jour. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 101:701-704.

Contributions to the Literature at TARS, Mayaguez, Puetto Rico 1. Martin, F.W 1982. Technique for rooring sweet porato leaves. Hort. Sci. 17:395-396

- 2. Martin, F.W. 1982. Analysis of the incompatibility and sterility of sweet porato. Proc. Intni. Symp. Sweet Potato. AVRDC, 1981. pp. 275-283.
- 3. Martin, F.W., and R. Ruberté. 1982. Preparation of diffusion-processed sweet potato at home. Mimeugraphed at TARS, 1 p.

 Martin, F. W., and R. Ruberté. 1982. Preparación de batatas por difusión. Muneografiado en TARS, 1 p.

5. Martin, F.W., R. Ruberté, and J. Santiago. 1982. La batata desde la mesa comedor (abstract). Mem. SOPCA (Puerto Rico). 1 p.

6. Martin, F.W. 1983 Variation of sweet potatoes with respect to effects of waterlogging. Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad) 60:117-121.

7. Martin, F.W., and A.M. Rhodes. 1983. Correlations and characteristics of sweet potato roots, and intraspecific grouping. Euphytica 32:453-463.

8. Martin, F.W. 1983 Carotenoid pigments of white fleshed sweet poratoes. J. Agric. Univ. Puerto Rico 67:494-500.

9. Martin, F.W. 1983. Differences in yield between sweet potato seedlings and their derived clones. Tropical Root and Tuber Crops Newsletter 14:41-43.

10. Martin, F.W. 1983. Goals in breeding the sweet porato forthe Caribbean and Latin America. pp. 66-71. In: Martin, F.W. (Ed.), Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science, Tropical Region 27(B).

11. Martin, F.W., and R. Ruberté. 1983. Sugars in staple-type sweet potatoes as influenced by cooking technique. pp. 136-138. *Int* Martin, F.W. (Ed.), Proc. of the Amer. Soc. for Hort. Sci., Tropical Region 27(B).

12. Santiago, J.A., and F.W. Martin. 1983. Field techniques in breeding sweet potato. pp. 76-80. In: Martin, F.W. (Ed.), Proc. of the Amer. Soc. for Hort. Sci., Tropical Region 27(B).

13. Bravo, R., J.A. Santiago, and F.W. Martin. 1983. Techniques for developing resistance to weevil, *Cylas formicarius elegantulus*, in sweet potato. pp. 93-94. *In:* Martin, F.W. (Ed.), Proc. of the Amer. Soc. for Hort. Sci., Tropical Region 27(B).

14. Ruberté, R., and F.W. Martin. 1983. Laboratory evaluations of sweet potato for quality characteristics. pp. 119-123. *In:* Martin, F.W. (Ed.), Proc. of the Arner. Soc. for Hort. Sci., Tropical Region 27(B).

15. Martin, F.W. 1984. Differences in stress resistances of rooted sweet potato leaves. J. Agr. Univ. P.R. 68:235-242.

16. Martin, F.W., and A.M. Rhodes. 1984. Variability in sweet potatoes of boiled slices and fried chips. J. Agr. Univ. P.R. 68:223-233.

17. Martin, F.W. Un factor crítico en la producción de la batata, elhabana y su tratamiento. Revista del Colegio de Agrónomos de Puerto Rico.