



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*



JOINT PROCEEDINGS



TROPICAL REGION

**21st Annual Meeting
of the Caribbean Food Crops Society
and
32nd Annual Meeting of the American Society for
Horticultural Science — Tropical Region**

technology for agricultural development

**Hilton Hotel, Port of Spain, Trinidad
8 - 13 September 1985**

Host Institutions

- Caribbean Agricultural
Research and Development
Institute
- Ministry of Agriculture, Lands
and Food Production, Trinidad
& Tobago
- Faculty of Agriculture,
University of the West Indies

Published by the Caribbean Food Crops Society, Box 506, Isabela, Puerto Rico 00662

THE CRUDE PROTEIN AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF SOME TROPICAL GRASSES IN TRINIDAD

F. G. Youssef and R.A.I. Brathwaite

Faculty of Agriculture, University of the West Indies
St. Augustine, Trinidad, W.I.

ABSTRACT

One hundred and six grasses grown in plots 2.4m x 1.2m at the University Field Station, Valsayn, Trinidad were cut twice at six weeks of regrowth, in the rainy season. They were analysed for crude protein (CP), Ca, P, Mg, K, Na; Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn content. The ranges of CP, Ca, P, Mg, K and Na (g kg^{-1} dry matter DM) were 58-141, 2.8 - 12.6, 1.3, 0.7-4.4, 1.1-6.4 and 0.16-6.90, respectively, and for Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn (mg kg^{-1} DM) were 2.2-11.9, 90-1473, 63-983 and 11-59, respectively. The percentages of grasses having concentrations of CP, Ca, P, Mg, K and Na below (g kg^{-1} DM) 100, 3, 2, 2, 5 and 1 were 68.9, 0.9, 71.7, 41.5, 88.7 and 41.5, respectively, and the corresponding values of Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn below (mg kg^{-1} DM) 10, 100, 100 and 30 were 98.1, 0.9, 1.9 and 52.8, respectively. The results are discussed in the light of the requirements of grazing beef and dairy cattle, sheep and goats.

RESUMEN

Se cultivó ciento seis tipos de hierba en semilleros de 2,4m x 1,2m en la Estación Experimental Universitaria en Valsayn, Trinidad y se las cortó dos veces a unas seis semanas del nuevo crecimiento durante la estación lluviosa. Se las analizó para determinar su contenido de proteínas crudas (CP), Ca, P, Mg, K, Na, Cu, Fe, Mn y Zn. Los valores de CP, Ca, P, Mg, K y Na (g/kg de DM - materia seca) eran de 58 - 141; 2,8 - 12,6; 1 - 3; 0,7 - 4,0; 1,1 - 6,4 y 0,16 - 6,90 respectivamente. Los de Cu, Fe, Mn y Zn (mg/kg DM) eran de 2,2 - 11,9; 90 - 1473; 63 - 983 y 11 - 59, respectivamente. Los porcentajes de hierbas con concentraciones de CP, Ca, P, Mg, K y Na inferiores a 100, 3, 2, 2, 5 y 1 (g/kg DM) eran de 68,9; 0,9; 71,7; 41,5; 88,7 y 41,5 respectivamente. Los valores de Cu, Fe, Mn, y Zn inferiores a 10, 100, 100, y 30 (mg/kg DM) eran de 98,1; 0,9; 1,9 y 52,8 respectivamente. Se examina los resultados, teniendo en cuenta los requisitos para el apacentamiento de gando vacuno, tano de engorde como lechero, así como de ovejas y cabras.

Keywords: Tropical grasses; Crude protein; Macro-minerals; Micro-minerals.

The profitability of an agricultural enterprise, whether it is crop or livestock, depends largely on the provision of the nutrient requirements for optimal productivity. To graze livestock, a knowledge of the nutrient composition of forages, i.e. energy, protein, minerals and vitamins, is clearly important for proper supplementation if the desired economical level of productivity is to be achieved.

In Trinidad and, indeed, in all the Commonwealth Caribbean countries, research on tropical forages, in the past three decades, has concentrated on energy and protein utilization (e.g. Butterworth, 1965; Butterworth and Butterworth, 1965; Grieve and Osbourn, 1965). However, information on the mineral composition of forages in the Caribbean, excepting calcium and phosphorus, is very limited (Devendra, 1977; Poland and Schnabel, 1980). Two recent studies in Trinidad have indicated varying degrees of macro- and micro-mineral deficiencies in cattle, sheep and goats; Mohammed (1981) found copper to be deficient as a result of an analysis of blood and liver of cattle and Youssef (1984), analysing blood from sheep and goats, showed possible deficiencies in Ca, P, Mg, K, Na, Cu and Zn.

This paper gives the crude protein (CP), Ca, P, Mg, K, Na, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn contents of 106 tropical grasses when cut at six weeks of regrowth.

Material and Methods

Grasses

One hundred and six tropical grasses (See Table 1) were established and maintained over a number of years in plots 2.4m x 1.2m on River Estate loam at

the University Field Station, Valsayn, Trinidad. The plots received a top-dressing of 40 kg ha⁻¹ of N as sulphate of ammonia approximately 4 weeks before they were cut. The grasses were cut twice at 6 weeks of regrowth to approximately 7 cm above the ground, using a grass knife, on 17 November and 29 December, 1983. Representative samples of the grasses were placed in polyethylene bags and at the laboratory they were given a rapid wash with tap water followed by glass-distilled water. This washing procedure was sometimes found necessary in order to remove soil contamination, particularly in the wet season.

Chemical analysis

Grasses were dried at 60°C in a forced-draught oven to a constant weight, and hammer milled in a stainless steel mill to pass through a 1 mm sieve. Total N was determined by the Kjeldahl method so that g N kg^{-1} dry matter (DM) x 6.25 gives gCP kg^{-1} DM. Ca, Mg, K, Na, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn were determined according to Fick *et al.* (1979) using a Pye Unicam SP 2900 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer equipped with a PU 9090 Data Graphics System. P was determined by the method of Cavell (1955) using a Pye Unicam PU 8600 UV/Visible Spectrophotometer. National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., U.S.A., Standard Reference Materials 1571 (orchard leaves) and 1573 (tomato leaves) were used as controls for all minerals analysed. All samples were analysed in duplicate and, therefore, 4240 analyses were carried out.

Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the average CP and macro-mineral contents for each of the 106 grasses investigated, and Table 2 presents the average micro-mineral contents for each grass. It can be seen that there were variations among grasses in the concentrations of the

ten nutrients studied when they were grown on the same soil and cut at the 6-week stage of regrowth. In Kenya, 58 grasses grown on a single soil type showed wide ranges of 0.9-5.5 and 0.5-3.7 g kg⁻¹ DM for Ca and P, respectively (Dougall and Bogdon, 1958). The corresponding ranges in the present study were 2.2-12.6 and 1.0-3.0 g kg⁻¹ DM.

Table 1 The crude protein and macro-mineral content (g/kg dry matter) of some tropical grasses

Botanical name	Common name	Crude Protein	Ca	P	Mg	K	Na
<i>Acroceras macrum</i>	Nyle grass	138	4.1	1.5	2.0	2.1	6.90
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i> (SR. 593) G. Coast	Gamba grass	64	4.5	1.1	1.9	2.6	0.16
<i>Bothriochloa intermedia</i> (SR. 955) U.S.D.A.		78	5.3	1.1	1.5	1.7	0.76
<i>Brachiaria brizantha</i>	Ceylon Sheep grass	86	8.4	1.6	1.7	3.6	1.09
<i>Brachiaria decumbens</i>	Kenya Sheep grass	86	7.6	1.7	2.2	4.1	1.24
<i>Brachiaria dictyoneura</i>	Sheep grass	88	5.8	1.9	1.4	3.1	3.15
<i>Brachiaria platyphylla</i>	Wild Para grass	81	4.5	1.5	3.3	5.0	1.25
<i>Brachiaria ruziziensis</i> (SR.879) Kenya		91	7.2	1.7	1.6	2.2	1.42
<i>Brachiaria</i> sp (SR. 1237 -2) U.S.D.A		103	4.8	2.1	1.8	3.8	4.02
<i>Brachiaria subquadrifera</i>	Lawn Brachiaria	96	5.3	1.5	1.5	3.6	3.15
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1011) Kenya	Masaba Rhodes grass	66	6.6	1.0	3.6	2.1	0.20
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1012) Kenya	Mpwapwa Rhodes grass	91	6.9	1.5	3.7	2.1	0.83
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1013) Kenya	Mbarara Rhodes grass	86	6.2	2.1	1.4	5.5	4.01
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1036) S. Rhodesia	Katambora Rhodes grass	128	6.6	1.7	2.9	6.4	0.48
<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	Buffel grass	119	3.3	1.5	1.5	2.6	5.50
<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i> (SR.942) U.S.D.A.		86	4.3	1.5	1.7	2.3	4.14
<i>Cymbopogon citratus</i>	Lemon grass	108	10.5	1.5	2.1	3.0	0.27
<i>Cymbopogon nardus</i>	Citronella grass	120	6.3	1.2	1.5	1.7	0.48
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Bermuda or Bahamas grass	116	8.2	2.6	2.6	3.8	6.79
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.615) Tanganyika	Star grass	129	6.6	1.8	2.2	2.2	5.64
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.792) Florida	Coastal Bermuda grass	138	7.6	1.8	2.3	1.8	4.77
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.948) Kenya	Giant type Star grass	136	7.0	1.6	2.2	2.0	4.28
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.952) Kenya	Medium type Star grass	139	6.5	1.7	2.4	2.1	4.03
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.954) Kenya	Fine type Star grass	116	5.3	1.5	2.0	2.0	4.56
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.999) Puerto-Rico	Tifgreen Bermuda grass	121	8.3	2.3	3.0	2.4	3.32
<i>Cynodon plectostachyus</i> (SR.955) Tanganyika	Naivasha Star grass	121	6.0	1.7	1.9	2.4	4.74
<i>Digitaria chevalieri</i> (SR.1242-1) U.S.D.A		85	5.3	2.0	1.5	2.6	3.85
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.633) Surinam	Pangola	77	6.6	1.5	1.7	2.2	3.43
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> ch.27 (SR.974) St. Croix	Pangola	101	6.5	1.7	1.7	2.1	3.55
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> ch.30 (SR.975) St. Croix	Pangola	96	5.1	1.5	1.6	1.5	3.48
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1028) Taiwan	Pangola A.24	77	6.5	1.7	2.0	2.5	3.46
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-5) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	95	4.6	1.6	1.6	1.4	3.01
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-6) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	81	5.8	1.7	1.8	2.1	3.67
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-7) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	81	5.1	1.8	1.7	2.6	4.68
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-13) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	89	4.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	3.68
<i>Digitaria eriantha</i> (SR.1229-5) U.S.D.A		79	4.9	1.7	2.8	3.3	2.91
<i>Digitaria grazensis</i> (SR.1248-2) U.S.D.A		98	5.0	1.8	2.6	1.9	2.85
<i>Digitaria longiflora</i> (SR.1232-1) U.S.D.A		83	4.8	2.4	1.3	3.3	3.14
<i>Digitaria macroglossa</i> (SR.1236-1) U.S.D.A		118	4.3	1.5	1.6	2.3	3.73
<i>Digitaria milanjana</i> (SR.1225-4) U.S.D.A		127	6.5	2.1	4.2	4.9	0.53
<i>Digitaria milanjana</i> (SR.1225-8) U.S.D.A		109	7.2	2.0	4.0	4.3	0.64
<i>Digitaria milanjana</i> var <i>eyesiana</i> (SR.1226-4) U.S.D.A		98	5.6	1.8	3.4	2.7	0.65

Table 1 continued

Botanical name	Common name	Crude Protein	Ca	P	Mg	K	Na
<i>Digitaria milanjana</i> var <i>eyesiana</i> (SR.1226-7) U.S.D.A		84	7.1	2.0	3.9	2.7	0.95
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.908/1) Pretoria		96	6.0	1.8	2.5	2.0	2.18
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.989) Pretoria		93	5.9	1.7	3.5	2.1	1.13
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.1231-2) U.S.D.A		99	6.6	2.0	2.8	2.5	1.98
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.1231-10) U.S.D.A		111	4.6	1.5	1.7	2.3	3.38
<i>Digitaria polevansii</i> (SR.1234-1) U.S.D.A		68	3.3	1.2	1.4	2.8	5.90
<i>Digitaria scalarum</i> (SR.1243-1) U.S.D.A		86	4.6	1.7	1.7	2.2	3.37
<i>Digitaria setivalva</i> (SR.1227-2) U.S.D.A		81	5.1	1.7	1.4	2.2	3.84
<i>Digitaria setivalva</i> (SR.1227-5) U.S.D.A		72	4.5	1.3	1.3	2.5	3.34
<i>Digitaria smutsii</i> (SR.903/3) Pretoria		133	7.9	1.8	4.4	1.1	2.00
<i>Digitaria smutsii</i> (SR.934) Guncon S.A.		118	5.8	1.6	4.0	2.4	1.88
<i>Digitaria smutsii</i> (SR.1224-1) U.S.D.A		111	7.1	1.7	4.4	3.0	3.99
<i>Digitaria</i> sp ((296210) SR.1253-1) U.S.D.A		91	5.1	2.1	1.7	2.4	4.91
<i>Digitaria swazilandensis</i> (SR.1233-1) U.S.D.A		96	5.0	2.1	1.4	2.1	3.23
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.976) St.Croix		97	5.3	1.8	1.9	5.1	4.36
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.983) Pretoria		83	6.1	2.1	1.9	3.5	3.34
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.1230-8) U.S.D.A.		86	5.1	1.9	1.8	2.3	2.63
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.1230-15) U.S.D.A.		74	6.9	2.0	1.9	2.6	4.03
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.1230-16) U.S.D.A.		81	7.9	2.1	2.3	3.0	5.08
<i>Echinochloa pyramidalis</i> (SR.602) Pretoria		95	6.4	1.6	1.9	3.7	5.67
<i>Echinochloa pyramidalis</i> (SR.847) Uganda		93	7.1	1.8	2.9	4.4	4.24
<i>Eriochloa polystachya</i>	Malojilla grass	129	5.0	1.7	2.7	4.7	5.82
<i>Eragrostis curvula</i> (SR.935) Gunson	Weeping Love grass (Am. leafy)	76	3.1	1.1	0.9	2.4	0.33
<i>Eragrostis curvula</i> (SR.951A) Pretoria	Weeping Love grass (wide leaf sel.)	64	2.8	1.4	0.7	2.4	1.94
<i>Hemarthria altissima</i> (SR.1238 -a) U.S.D.A.		58	3.9	1.5	1.1	2.7	0.64
<i>Hyparrhenia rufa</i>	Jaragua grass	82	7.7	1.5	2.5	1.9	0.21
<i>Ischaemum aristatum</i>	Toco grass	99	6.9	2.0	1.9	2.8	4.67
<i>Ischaemum aristatum</i> Fiji	Batiki grass	86	7.0	1.5	3.6	3.0	0.33
<i>Ixophorus unisetus</i>	Mexican grass	74	7.4	1.9	2.9	3.0	0.26
<i>Leptocoryphium lanatum</i>		108	3.7	1.4	2.5	4.2	0.36
<i>Melinis minutiflora</i>	Molasses grass	98	3.9	1.9	2.3	4.1	0.18
<i>Panicum antidotale</i>		101	12.6	2.3	3.9	2.1	1.10
<i>Panicum coloratum</i> (SR.899) Kenya	Coloured Guinea grass	69	6.5	1.1	1.9	2.0	3.06
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	Colonial Guinea grass	96	9.0	1.5	3.8	2.2	0.58
<i>Panicum maximum</i> (SR.890) Jamaica	Cow Guinea grass	95	9.8	1.7	3.9	2.3	0.40
<i>Panicum maximum</i> (SR.891) Jamaica	Silk Guinea grass	97	9.2	1.3	3.5	2.0	0.58
<i>Panicum purpurascens</i>		107	5.4	1.5	1.9	4.5	6.07
<i>Panicum maximum</i> var. <i>trichoglume</i> (SR.892) Kenya	Slender Guinea grass	78	9.6	1.5	2.9	2.1	0.76
<i>Paspalum commersonii</i> (SR.1041) Australia		81	5.0	2.3	2.1	4.7	0.23
<i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>	Dallis grass	81	4.8	2.3	2.6	4.4	0.16
<i>Paspalum notatum</i>	Bahia grass	99	6.9	2.1	1.8	4.6	0.30
<i>Paspalum notatum</i> (SR.926) U.S.D.A.	Argentina grass	110	6.5	2.4	2.1	5.0	0.34
<i>Paspalum notatum</i> (SR.927) Maryland	Tifhi	111	5.4	2.5	2.1	5.0	0.26
<i>Paspalum notatum</i> (SR.928)	Wilmington	109	7.4	2.4	2.3	4.2	0.34
<i>Paspalum paniculatum</i>		94	9.2	3.0	3.2	5.8	0.45
<i>Paspalum plicatulum</i>	Crown Land grass	81	9.1	2.3	2.7	5.3	0.41

Table 1 continued

Botanical name	Common name	Crude Protein	Ca	P	Mg	K	Na
<i>Paspalum plicatulum</i> (SR. 851) Australia	Crown Land grass	83	8.0	2.2	3.0	5.3	0.30
<i>Paspalum ragassi</i> (SR.1038) S. Rhodesia		84	4.7	2.2	2.1	4.2	0.38
<i>Paspalum urvillei</i> (Sr,981) B.G	Vasey grass	81	7.1	1.8	1.6	5.5	0.49
<i>Paspalum vaginatum</i>	Sand Couch grass	79	6.8	2.1	2.4	6.3	0.36
<i>Paspalum virgatum</i>	Razor grass	83	4.8	2.3	2.2	4.9	0.34
<i>Pennisetum polystachyon</i>	Kyasuwa grass	81	7.8	1.6	3.8	2.3	0.33
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> var <i>merkeri</i>	Merker grass	87	7.9	1.7	3.4	3.0	0.16
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>	Uganda grass	100	8.2	1.6	3.0	2.4	0.20
<i>Pennisetum setosum</i>		94	4.8	1.5	2.2	2.4	3.83
<i>Rottboellia exaltata</i>	Corn grass	76	6.2	2.8	2.9	2.6	0.39
<i>Saccharum ciliare</i>	Montserrat	78	3.6	1.3	1.5	3.9	0.19
<i>Setaria anceps</i> (SR.856) S. Rhodesia	Golden Timothy (Kazungula)	88	4.8	1.6	2.0	3.4	3.96
<i>Setaria anceps</i> (SR.1006) Kenya	Golden Timothy (Nandi)	106	4.9	1.5	2.1	3.3	3.51
<i>Sporobolus indicus</i>	Tapia grass	79	4.1	1.6	1.5	2.2	2.07
<i>Themeda triandra</i> (SR.911) Pretoria		69	7.0	1.7	2.8	3.0	0.30
<i>Tripsacum latiflora</i>		141	3.8	1.8	2.5	3.9	0.32
<i>Tripsacum laxum</i>	Guatemala	134	3.2	1.3	2.2	2.6	0.26
<i>Vetiveria zizanioides</i>	Khus Khus grass	88	4.4	1.3	2.5	5.6	0.32

Table 2 The trace mineral content (mg/kg dry matter) of some tropical grasses

Botanical name	Common name	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
<i>Acroceras macrum</i>	Nyle grass	11.9	1333	360	59
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i> (SR. 593) G. Coast	Gamba grass	2.2	263	300	34
<i>Bothriochloa intermedia</i> (SR. 955) U.S.D.A.		3.2	630	408	23
<i>Brachiaria brizantha</i>	Ceylon Sheep grass	2.7	450	534	34
<i>Brachiaria decumbens</i>	Kenya Sheep grass	3.5	444	389	35
<i>Brachiaria dictyoneura</i>	Sheep grass	3.4	657	828	33
<i>Brachiaria platyphylla</i>	Wild Para grass	4.6	503	114	24
<i>Brachiaria ruziziensis</i> (SR.879) Kenya		2.9	477	812	36
<i>Brachiaria</i> sp (SR. 1237 -2) U.S.D.A		3.6	670	629	34
<i>Brachiaria subquadrifera</i>	Lawn Brachiaria	3.2	548	501	27
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1011) Kenya	Masaba Rhodes grass	2.7	142	166	27
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1012) Kenya	Mpwapwa Rhodes grass	4.8	377	155	18
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1013) Kenya	Mbarara Rhodes grass	3.1	204	355	26
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (SR.1036) S. Rhodesia	Katambora Rhodes grass	6.0	246	145	23
<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	Buffel grass	4.7	487	533	38
<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i> (SR.942) U.S.D.A.		3.7	350	576	30
<i>Cymbopogon citratus</i>	Lemon grass	4.8	279	359	31
<i>Cymbopogon nardus</i>	Citronella grass	5.1	281	471	20
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Bermuda or Bahamas grass	7.6	531	425	53
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.615) Tanganyika	Star grass	7.3	688	308	40
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.792) Florida	Coastal Bermuda grass	8.3	1084	416	38

Table 2 continued

Botanical name	Common name	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.948) Kenya	Giant type Star grass	8.2	879	255	38
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.952) Kenya	Medium type Star grass	8.6	1157	255	38
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.954) Kenya	Fine type Star grass	6.2	536	270	35
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (SR.999) Puerto-Rico	Tifgreen Bermuda grass	7.1	490	278	40
<i>Cynodon plectostachyus</i> (SR.955) Tanganyika	Naivasha Star grass	5.4	522	374	32
<i>Digitaria chevalieri</i> (SR.1242-1) U.S.D.A		5.2	658	564	33
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.633) Surinam	Pangola	5.2	488	409	37
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> ch.27 (SR.974) St. Croix	Pangola	5.8	665	475	38
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> ch.30 (SR.975) St. Croix	Pangola	5.7	572	428	38
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1028) Taiwan	Pangola A.24	5.2	499	445	38
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-5) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	4.8	399	394	35
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-6) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	5.0	508	410	34
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-7) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	4.3	404	309	32
<i>Digitaria decumbens</i> (SR.1228-13) U.S.D.A	Pangola selection	5.3	290	337	34
<i>Digitaria eriantha</i> (SR.1229-5) U.S.D.A		5.1	618	266	22
<i>Digitaria grazensis</i> (SR.1248-2) U.S.D.A		5.4	870	387	27
<i>Digitaria longiflora</i> (SR.1232-1) U.S.D.A		3.1	520	335	26
<i>Digitaria macroglossa</i> (SR.1236-1) U.S.D.A		6.3	599	361	30
<i>Digitaria milanjiana</i> (SR.1225-4) U.S.D.A		6.0	513	336	24
<i>Digitaria milanjiana</i> (SR.1225-8) U.S.D.A		6.9	983	539	31
<i>Digitaria milanjiana</i> var <i>eyesiana</i> (SR.1226-4) U.S.D.A		4.9	635	318	22
<i>Digitaria milanjiana</i> var <i>eyesiana</i> (SR.1226-7) U.S.D.A		5.6	1162	579	25
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.908/1) Pretoria		6.7	733	443	24
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.989) Pretoria		5.3	756	423	31
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.1231-2) U.S.D.A		6.4	1473	412	34
<i>Digitaria pentsii</i> (SR.1231-10) U.S.D.A		5.5	627	347	33
<i>Digitaria polevansii</i> (SR.1234-1) U.S.D.A		9.9	627	347	35
<i>Digitaria scalarum</i> (SR.1243-1) U.S.D.A		4.3	551	424	25
<i>Digitaria setivalva</i> (SR.1227-2) U.S.D.A		4.5	656	348	26
<i>Digitaria setivalva</i> (SR.1227-5) U.S.D.A		2.6	611	284	12
<i>Digitaria smutsii</i> (SR.903/3) Pretoria		11.2	875	329	41
<i>Digitaria smutsii</i> (SR.934) Guncon S.A.		7.9	750	396	33
<i>Digitaria smutsii</i> (SR.1224-1) U.S.D.A		8.0	1465	390	29
<i>Digitaria</i> sp ((296210) SR.1253-1) U.S.D.A		4.3	429	298	29
<i>Digitaria swazilandensis</i> (SR.1233-1) U.S.D.A		5.1	430	490	26
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.976) St.Croix		4.7	587	470	35
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.983) Pretoria		4.8	554	445	34
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.1230-8) U.S.D.A.		5.3	267	379	43
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.1230-15) U.S.D.A.		4.3	635	437	24
<i>Digitaria valida</i> (SR.1230-16) U.S.D.A.		4.6	570	314	25
<i>Echinochloa pyramidalis</i> (SR.602) Pretoria		5.8	314	379	20
<i>Echinochloa pyramidalis</i> (SR.847) Uganda		6.2	297	282	22
<i>Eriochloa polystachya</i>	Malojilla grass	8.4	557	145	39
<i>Eragrostis curvula</i> (SR.935) Gunson	Weeping Love grass (Am. leafy)	2.9	288	193	16
<i>Eragrostis curvula</i> (SR.951A) Pretoria	Weeping Love grass (wide leaf sel.)	2.4	158	115	11
<i>Hemarthria altissima</i> (SR.1238 -a) U.S.D.A.		3.9	266	214	25
<i>Hyparrhenia rufa</i>	Jaragua grass	3.5	476	481	29
<i>Ischaemum aristatum</i>	Toco grass	4.2	90	347	18

Table 2 continued

Botanical name	Common name	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
<i>Ischaemum aristatum</i> Fiji	Batiki Grass	4.1	102	207	29
<i>Ixophorus unisetus</i>	Mexican grass	3.0	346	269	33
<i>Leptocoryphium lanatum</i>		4.3	392	253	28
<i>Melinis minutiflora</i>	Molasses grass	3.2	190	837	18
<i>Panicum antidotale</i>		5.5	271	153	20
<i>Panicum coloratum</i> (SR.899) Kenya	Coloured Guinea grass	5.4	270	194	25
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	Colonial Guinea grass	4.7	409	418	24
<i>Panicum maximum</i> (SR.890) Jamaica	Cow Guinea grass	4.6	440	393	30
<i>Panicum maximum</i> (SR.891) Jamaica	Silk Guinea grass	5.0	520	444	28
<i>Panicum purpurascens</i>		9.5	338	118	34
<i>Panicum maximum</i> var. <i>trichoglume</i> (SR.892) Kenya	Slender Guinea grass	4.3	404	374	25
<i>Paspalum commersonii</i> (SR.1041) Australia		4.6	271	197	14
<i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>	Dallis grass	5.4	300	229	16
<i>Paspalum notatum</i>	Bahia grass	5.6	648	287	27
<i>Paspalum notatum</i> (SR.926) U.S.D.A.	Argentina grass	5.5	564	194	29
<i>Paspalum notatum</i> (SR.927) Maryland	Tifhi	6.0	601	130	31
<i>Paspalum notatum</i> (SR.928)	Wilmington	6.0	974	292	21
<i>Paspalum paniculatum</i>		8.9	702	115	24
<i>Paspalum plicatulum</i>	Crown land grass	6.1	668	879	33
<i>Paspalum plicatulum</i> (SR. 851) Australia	Crown land grass	6.4	805	983	36
<i>Paspalum ragassi</i> (SR.1038) S. Rhodesia		4.9	321	171	14
<i>Paspalum urvillei</i> (Sr,981) B.G	Vasey grass	5.2	447	267	20
<i>Paspalum vaginatum</i>	Sand couch grass	4.9	466	204	22
<i>Paspalum virgatum</i>	Razor grass	4.6	272	161	15
<i>Pennisetum polystachyon</i>	Kyasuwa grass	3.7	310	437	32
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> var <i>merkeri</i>	Merker grass	3.7	316	340	29
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>	Uganda grass	4.3	418	354	29
<i>Pennisetum setosum</i>		4.0	252	514	35
<i>Rottboellia exaltata</i>	Corn grass	4.2	442	63	30
<i>Saccharum ciliare</i>	Montserrat	4.2	135	92	14
<i>Setaria anceps</i> (SR.856) S. Rhodesia	Golden Timothy (Kazungula)	5.7	373	408	45
<i>Setaria anceps</i> (SR.1006) Kenya	Golden Timothy (Nandi)	6.1	117	287	44
<i>Sporobolus indicus</i>	Tapia grass	2.9	332	778	24
<i>Themeda triandra</i> (SR.911) Pretoria		2.9	248	149	23
<i>Tripsacum latiflora</i>		4.7	205	211	25
<i>Tripsacum laxum</i>	Guatemala	4.0	190	136	18
<i>Vetiveria zizanooides</i>	Khus Khus grass	4.8	137	279	12

Devendra (1977) reported Ca, P and Mg concentrations of 101 grasses of unknown age of regrowth collected mainly from Trinidad; the Ca levels were clearly lower and those of Mg were very much higher than the respective levels reported in the present study. Also P values were higher than those presently reported. The high levels of 5.8g kg⁻¹DM in the majority of the grasses reported by Devendra (1977) could not be confirmed from the analysis of more than 2,000 grass samples collected from Trinidad (F. G. Youssef, unpublished data).

The concentration of K in most of the grasses studied was low when compared with other values reported for tropical grasses (Long *et al.*, 1969; Poland and Schnabel, 1980). As the level of P was also low in most of the grasses, there is a possibility that the application of P and K fertilizers would raise their P and K levels. The values of Na varied greatly with a range of 0.16-6.90 g kg⁻¹DM. Griffith and Walters (1966) indicated that the level of Na in grasses can establish differences between species more than any other constituent.

In the Caribbean there is very little information on the trace mineral content of forages. In the present study the Cu and Zn levels were lower, and those of Fe and Mn higher than the corresponding values of Latin American forages (McDowell *et al.*, 1977). In Jamaica, Poland and Schnabel (1980) found that the ranges for Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn were 2.9-8.4, 98-144, 41-344 and 25-125 mg kg⁻¹DM, respectively, in *Digitaria decumbens* and *Brachiaria decumbens*.

Crude protein

The CP values of the grasses confirm earlier results from this University (Grieve and Osbourn, 1965). The range was 58-141 g CP kg⁻¹ DM. The level of 100g CP kg⁻¹DM would satisfy the maintenance requirements of beef and dairy cattle, and sheep and goats, and produce some beef, milk and mutton respectively. Sixty-nine per cent of the grasses had CP concentrations below 100g kg⁻¹ DM and for 19 per cent it was below 80g kg⁻¹ DM.

Calcium

The range of the Ca content was 2.8 – 12.6 g kg⁻¹ DM, and only *Eragrostis curvula* (SR. 950A) had a concentration below 3g Ca kg⁻¹. The ranges for the requirements of beef cattle, dairy cattle and sheep are 1.8– 5.3, 4.3– 6.0 and 2.1– 5.2 g kg⁻¹DM, respectively (National Research Council, 1984; 1978; 1975). It is clear that the Ca content of most of the grasses would satisfy the requirements of these three classes of livestock.

Phosphorus

P values ranged from 1 to 3g kg⁻¹DM, and the percentage of grasses having a level below 2g P kg⁻¹ was 71.7. The P requirements of beef and dairy cattle and sheep are 1.8-4.7, 3.1– 4.0 and 1.6– 3.7g kg⁻¹DM, respectively (National Research Council, 1984; 1978; 1975). Thus P content of 75 percent of the grasses would at least provide the maintenance requirements of the three classes of ruminants.

Magnesium

The range for Mg was 0.7– 4.4g kg⁻¹DM, and the percentage of grasses having concentration below 2g Mg kg⁻¹ was 41.5. The beef and dairy cattle and sheep requirements of Mg are 0.5– 2.5, 2 and 0.4– 0.8g kg⁻¹DM, respectively (National Research Council 1984; 1978; 1975). Therefore, the Mg content of the majority of the grasses would satisfy the requirements of beef and dairy cattle and sheep.

Potassium

The K level in the majority of the grasses was low with a range of 1.1– 6.4g kg⁻¹DM. The percentage of grasses which contained below 5g K kg⁻¹DM was 88.7. The K requirements of beef and dairy cattle and sheep are 5-7, 8-12 and 5g kg⁻¹ DM, respectively (National Research Council 1984, 1978, 1975). Clearly the majority of the grasses would not satisfy the requirements of these animals.

Sodium

The concentration of Na in the grasses varied from 0.16 to 6.90g kg⁻¹DM. The percentage of grasses with a level below 1g Na kg⁻¹ was 41.5. The beef and dairy cattle and sheep requirements of Na are 0.6– 1.0, 1.8 and 0.4– 1.0g kg⁻¹DM, respectively (National Research Council 1984; 1978; 1975). Approximately 51 percent of the grasses would provide the dairy cattle requirement of Na, whilst, about 75 percent would satisfy the beef cattle and sheep in this respect.

Copper

The range of Cu in the grasses was 2.2– 11.9mg kg⁻¹DM, and the percentage of grasses with a concentration below 10mg Cu kg⁻¹ was 98. The Cu requirements of beef and dairy cattle and sheep are 4-10, 10 and 5 mg kg⁻¹DM, respectively (National Research Council, 1984; 1978; 1975). Accepting the requirement of beef cattle to be 8mg Cu kg⁻¹ DM (McDowell *et al.*, 1983), approximately 9 percent of the grasses would be satisfactory. In the case of dairy cattle all the grasses except *Acroceras macrum*, *Digitaria smutsii* (SR. 903/3) and *Panicum purpurascens* would be considered deficient in Cu. As for sheep, 49 percent of the grasses would provide their Cu requirements.

Iron

The concentration of Fe in the grasses ranged from 90 to 1473mg kg⁻¹DM, and only *Ischaemum aristatum* grass had a level below 100 mg kg⁻¹. As the requirement for Fe of ruminant livestock is below 100mg kg⁻¹DM, all the grasses are considered adequate. The maximum tolerable levels of dietary Fe are 1000mg kg⁻¹ for cattle and possibly 500mg kg⁻¹ for sheep (National Research Council, 1980). The high Fe content of some of the grasses would be detrimental to cattle and sheep. Indeed, the very high levels of Fe might interfere with Cu metabolism.

Manganese

The range of Mn found in the grasses was 63-983mg kg⁻¹DM, and only *Rottboellia exaltata* and *Saccharum ciliare* had levels below 100mg kg⁻¹. All had adequate levels of Mn for ruminants.

Zinc

The level of Zn in the grasses studied varied from 11 to 59mg kg⁻¹DM. The percentage of grasses with a concentration below 30mg kg⁻¹ was 53. The Zn requirements of beef and dairy cattle and sheep are 20-40, 40 and 35-50mg kg⁻¹DM, respectively (National Research Council, 1984, 1978, 1975). If the Zn requirement of beef cattle is taken as 30 mg kg⁻¹ DM (McDowell *et al.*, 1983), 48 percent of the grasses would provide their requirement. However, only about 20 percent of the grasses would satisfy the requirement of dairy cattle and sheep.

Conclusion

Variations existed among the grasses in the concentrations of the ten nutrients studied. Values of P, Mg, and K were generally lower and those of Ca higher than those previously reported. Levels of trace elements Cu and Zn were lower and those of Fe and Mn higher than the values cited in the literature. The data reported are a useful guide for the proper supplementation of forages to desired and economic levels of productivity in different species of livestock. The effects of fertilizer, stage of regrowth and date of harvesting on the mineral profile of some of the grass species are currently under study.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago for partial financial support. Mr. S. Harrydam maintained the grass plots and Mr. S. Deosaran, Mr. H. Rodrigues and Miss M. John skillfully carried out the chemical analysis.

References

- Butterworth, M.H., (1965) Some aspects of the utilization of tropical forages 1. Green elephant grass at various stages of growth, *J. Agric. Sci., Camb.* 65 233-239.
- Butterworth, M.H. and Butterworth, J.P. (1965) Some aspects of the utilization of tropical forages 2. Pangola and coastal Bermuda hays, *J. Agric. Sci., Camb.* 65 389-395.
- Cavell, A.J. (1955) The colorimetric determination of phosphorus in plant materials, *J.Sci. Fd Agric.* 6 253-256.
- Devendra, C. (1977) The mineral content of Caribbean feedingstuffs. *Trop. Agric. (Trinidad)* 54 29-38.
- Dougall, H.W. and Bogdon, A.V. (1958) The chemical composition of the grasses of Kenya. *E. Afr. Agric. For. J.* 24 17-23.
- Fick, K.R., McDowell, L.R., Miles, P.H., Wilkinson, N.S., Funk, J.D. and Conrad, J.H. (1979) *Methods of mineral analysis for plant and animal tissues*, 2nd edn., University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.

Grieve, C.M. and Osbourn, D.F. (1965) The nutritional value of some tropical grasses. *J. Agric. Sci., Camb.* 65 411-417.

Griffith G. and Walters, R.J.K. (1966) The sodium and potassium content of some grass genera, species and varieties. *J. Agric. Sci., Camb.* 67 81-89.

Long, M.I.E. Ndyababo, W.K., Marshall, B. and Thornton, D.D. (1969) Nutritive value of grasses in Ankola and the Queen Elizabeth National Park. Uganda IV - Mineral content, *Trop. Agric. (Trinidad)* 46 201-209.

McDowell, L.R., Conrad, J.H., Ellis, G.L. and Loosli, J.K. (1983) *Minerals for grazing ruminants in tropical regions*, Bulletin, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.

McDowell, L.R., Conrad, J.H., Thomas, J.E. and Harris, L.E. (1977) Nutritional composition of Latin American forages. *Trop. Anim. Prod.* 2 273-279.

Mohammed, R. (1981) *The status of certain trace elements in cattle in Trinidad*, M.Sc. Thesis, University of the West Indies Library, (Unpubl.)

National Research Council (1975) *Nutrient requirements of sheep, 5th edn.*, National Academy of Sciences Washington, D.C.

National Research Council (1978) *Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle 5th edn.*, National Academy of Sciences Washington, D.C.

National Research Council (1980) *Mineral tolerance of domestic animals*, National Academy of Sciences Washington, D.C.

National Research Council (1984) *Nutrient requirements of domestic animals. Nutrient requirements of beef cattle 6th edn.*, National Academy of Sciences Washington, D.C.

Poland, J.P. and Schnabel, J.A. (1980) Mineral composition of *Digitaria decumbens* and *Brachiaria decumbens* in Jamaica. *Trop. Agric. (Trinidad)* 57 259-264.

Youssef, F.G. (1985) A preliminary mineral blood profile of tropical goats and sheep in Trinidad. In C.F. Mills, I. Bremner and J.K. Chester (eds.), *Trace elements in man and animals* FEMA5. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal pp 857-859.