

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



JOINT PROCEEDINGS



21st Annual Meeting of the Caribbean Food Crops Society

32nd Annual Meeting of the American Society for Horticultural Science — Tropical Region

technology for agricultural development

Hilton Hotel, Port of Spain, Trinidad 8 - 13 September 1985

Host Institutions

- Caribbean Agricultural Research and Development Institute
- Ministry of Agriculture, Lands and Food Production, Trinidad & Tobago
- Faculty of Agriculture,
 University of the West Indies

QUARANTINE TREATMENT RESEARCH AGAINST THE CARIBBEAN FRUIT FLY IN CITRUS FRUITS

T. T. Hatton and R. H. Cubbedge

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Horticultural Research Laboratory, Orlando, FL. 32803, USA.

ABSTRACT

This review summarizes recent research findings with approved as well as potential quarantine treatments to replace ethylene dibromide (EDB) fumigation in the eradication of the Caribbean fruit fly (Anastrepha suspensa (Locw). Several million boxes of grapefruit (Citrus paradisi Macf.) are shipped annually from Florida to Japan; EDB fumigation and the cold treatment are the only approved methods to disinfest fruit of the Caribbean fruit fly. Limitations were found in the commercial use of the cold treatment, because of the presence of cold injury, in cold-intolerant cultivars such as grapefruit. In domestic shipments methyl bromide (MB) has been used for citrus fruits. To avoid phytotoxicity, citrus fruits fumigated with MB must be stored and handled at temperatures higher than those usually recommended. Gamma irradiation showed potential; presently it is not an approved treatment for fruits and vegetables. Phosphine (PH3) fumigation, which is not approved for citrus fruits, is phytotoxic to grapefruit at conditions required for Caribbean fruit fly mortality.

RESUMEN

Se reportan los resultados de investigaciones recientes que examinaron diferentes tratamientos aprobados y experimentales par remplacer el uso de dibromuro de etileno (EDB) en la eradicación de la mosca del caribe (Anastrepha suspensa Loew.) Cada año se manda varios millones de cajas de toronja (Citrus paradisi Macf.) de Florida a Japón; fumigación con EDB y tratamiento con temperaturas bajas son los únicos metodos aprobados para desinfestar la fruta de la mosca del caribe. El uso comercial de temperaturas bajas es limitado debido al daño causado por el frio en especies susceptibles tales como la toronja. En cargamentos domesticos, se ha usado bromuro de metileno (MB) en cítricos. Para evitar fitotoxicidad se ha tenido que almacenar y manejar las frutas fumigadas con MB a una temperatura mas elevada de lo que se recomienda normalmente. La irradiación con rayos gama es un tratamiento potencial, pero todavía no es un tratamiento aprobado para frutas y verduras. Fumigación con Fosfina (PH3) no es un tratamiento aprobados para cítricos, y es fitotóxico a la toronja en condiciones requeridos para matar la mosca del caribe.

Because of its importance in both domestic and export markets, Florida grapefruit, Citrus paradisi Macf., has been the focus of much of the research effort on quarantine treatment methods. Since 1975 Florida citrus fruits have been fumigated with ethylene dibromide (EDB) to eliminate possible infestations of Caribbean fruit fly, Anastrepha suspensa (Loew), in fruit shipped to Japan. EDB was used as a domestic quarantine treatment for the control of fruit flies in fruits and vegetables until its registration was cancelled for most uses effective September 1, 1984. Subsequent to cancellation, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) restricted the use of EDB to citrus destined for markets outside the U.S. beginning October 1 until January 31 of the following year. In 1985 such use was extended to May 31, 1985.

Although the cold treatment is approved for citrus fruits, its use for grapefruit shipments to Japan during the 1983-84 season proved to be disastrous. Exporters have reported that losses due to excessive cold injury ran into millions of dollars. Cold-tolerant cultivars such as 'Valencia' orange are readily adaptable to the treatment. No grapefruit has been shipped to Japan using the cold treatment since the problem was encountered. Japanese importers have requested U.S. exporters to fumigate grapefruit with EDB and not use the cold treatment.

While there is no Federal quarantine on the Caribbean fruit fly, the other citrus-producing states require treatment of Florida citrus fruits to rid the fruit of the fly as a condition of entry into their states. Methyl bromide (MB) fumigation has been used with limited success. Phytotoxicity problems have been encountered with oranges and specialty citrus fruits, but grapefruit shipments have been successful as long as temperatures above usual storage temperatures were used. Research data have been

provided to the Government of Japan on the effectiveness of MB for the Caribbean fruit fly; additional approval has been received provided commercial tests are conducted. The future use of EDB as a fumigant continues to be uncertain, although low-dose fumigation was found to be effective for the control of Caribbean fruit fly in grapefruit; such doses also resulted in low residue levels (39). It is imperative that modifications of present treatments or new alternate treatments be found to replace EDB.

Phosphine (PH3)

PH3 fumigation is used commercially to fumigate against weevils in grain. In Hawaii, PH3, effectively eliminated the Oriental and Mediterranean fruit flies from fruits other than citrus, and time influenced efficacy more than concentration (35). Studies (37, 38) showed that PH3 generated from magnesium phosphide FUMI-CELS® could possibly be used as a quarantine fumigant for grapefruit. The tests showed that PH3 gave 99 to 100% mortality to Caribbean fruit fly after 24-hr exposure to a concentration of 300 to 600 ppm for the first 6 to 8 hr, 73 to 200 ppm concentration during the remainder of the 24-hr period (38). Other tests indicated that Caribbean fruit flies infesting 'Marsh' grapefruit were controlled when fruit were fumigated at 13°C for 96 hr or fruit were fumigated at ambient temperature for 48 hr (37)

In further tests (26) dosages of PH3 required to eradicate the Caribbean fruit fly caused phytotoxic effects to Florida grapefruit. These efforts were manifested as various forms of rind injury, of which the most serious was rind breakdown (Table 1). Rind breakdown includes pitting and aging; pitting is commonly manifested as dark, sunken, surface

Table 1. Rind breakdown and subsequent decay of 'Marsh' grapefruit fumigated with phosphine² (26).

	Phosphine ^y	Rind breakdown after Decay after holding		
	concentration	storage (10°C) 28 days	(21°C) 7 days	
Treatment	ppm	%	%	
Refrigeration (ambient 24 hr +				
4 days at 10°C)	734	20.0a ^x	14.5a*	
Ambient (ambient				
for 3 days)	325	16.8a	8.6ab	
Control	0	2.5b	4.9b	

² Each numerical value represents 12 boxes of fruit (480 fruit), 6 boxes from each of

lesions, whereas aging is found at the stem end around the button in the form of wilt, shrivel, and collapse. Fruit fumigated under ambient conditions had less rind breakdown than similar fruit fumigated under refrigerated conditions. Rind breakdown did not appear until the fruit had been in refrigerated storage at 10°C. During holding for 7 days at 21° significantly more decay was found in fruit fumigated under refrigerated conditions; the extent of decay appeared to be proportional to the amount of rind breakdown that occurred during storage (26).

The phytotoxic effects appear to preclude any possibilities of PH3 as a practical, commercial fumigant for Florida grapefruit. Also, the length of the PH3 fumigation period is a major impediment to its use (48 to 72 hr minimum exposure above 20°C).

Methyl bromide (MB)

California grapefruit were reported to be uninjured by MB applied at rates of 2.5 lb/1000 ft³ at 27°C and 3 lb/1000 ft³ at 21°(1). Grapefruit were marked and discolored by high rates, and 'Washington' navel oranges (Citrus sinenis (L.) Osbeck) were spotted or discolored at all rates of MB application. Another report from California indicated that a 2-hr treatment with 2 lb of MB was an injurious concentration to fumigate citrus fruits for insect infestations, although lemons grown in the interior or California that were fumigated at this rate were uninjured (29).

With the Caribbean fruit fly in Florida MB fumigation rates of 40 and 56 mg/liter provided quarantine security for 20 and 80% chamber loading, respectively (3). However, using these rates MB was found to be too phytotoxic to 'March', 'Ruby Red' and 'Thompson Pink' grapefruit; 'Hamlin', 'Pineapple' and 'Valencia' oranges, and 'Temple' (C. reticulata X C. sinensis (?)) (17). Fumigation of grapefruit with MB resulted in peel injury during storage, especially at lowest temperatures (Table 2). The injury was manifested as scald and/or, in a few instances, discolored pitting of the peel. The scaldlike injury became water-soaked in severe instances. Occasionally, an entire lot of fruit would escape MB injury during 4 weeks of storage (simulated transit) but develop excessive decay after holding at 21°C for 7 days.

This was especially the case for 'Hamlin', 'Pineapple' and 'Valencia' oranges and 'Teniple'. With oranges and 'Teniple' the development of symptoms was usually delayed and a general softening of the fruit was detected before symptoms of injury became visible; excessive decay followed.

Recent studies have shown that the combination of MB fumigation and cold storage treatment was effective for controlling infestations of Caribbean fruit fly in grapefruit (4). Commercial scale tests were conducted with MB as a fumigant for Caribbean fruit fly with uniform distribution of MB within a chamber fumigated with 40 g/m³ for 2 hr in 266 m³ (6). Residues of MB in fruit were calculated to reach 10 ppb and 1 ppb after 10 and 14 days, respectively, when fruit were stored at 16°C following fumigation.

Gamma irradiation

Gamma irradiation was proposed as a possible quarantine treatment for fruit infested with fruit flies in 1956 (2). The greatest concern was centered on possible injurious effects of irradiation on the fruit itself. Previous work with grapefruit and other fruit indicated that radiation when followed by cold storage or cold temperature shipment may cause injury to the peel (7, 11, 12, 13, 22). Preliminary investigations showed that 25 to 60 kilorad (krad) increased pitting, scald, aging and decay of Florida grapefruit (8). Additional research confirmed that dosages of 60 and 90 krad caused injury, although 15 and 30 krad dosages were acceptable (24, 25). Scald and, especially, rind breakdown of the peel were the types of injury which developed during the storage period. The magnitude of injury at various months of the season are shown according to dosages (Table 3). Biochemical tests showed an improvement of flavour in grapefruit sections, especially at lower dosages (30). No differences were noted in vitamin C content, sugar or acid levels in juice, nor in essential peel oil composition of volatile constituents from irradiated fruit when compared with those from untreated fruit.

A study of effects of irradiation on the mortality of the Caribbean fruit fly showed that none survived grapefruit irradiated at 60 and 90 krad, whereas one

² grove sources with half of each fumigated at USDA Orlando.

^y Average concentration of phosphine generated after 24 hr of fumigation.

^{*} Mean separation within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, 5% level.

Table 2. Methyl bromide fumigation of Florida grapefruit, 1978-79² (17).

F	umigation ^y		After 28 days in storage ^x	
Date	Ambient temperature	Cultivar	Peel injury	Decay
	(°C)		%	
Nov. 14	29	Ruby Red	0	3
		Marsh	0	0
Dec. 1	29	Thompson Pink	3	9
		Marsh	0	8
Jan. 26	10	Ruby Red	11	1
		Marsh	26	3
Feb. 6	20	Ruby Red	11	12
		Marsh	28	13
Feb. 14	16	Ruby Red	10	0
		Marsh	8	0
Feb. 20	19	Thompson Pink	10	0
Mar. 26	17	Ruby Red	0	0
Apr. 16	22	Thompson Pink	3	0

²Data for control fruit showed that no peel injury was present and decay did not exceed 5% at any insepction. Decay averaged less than 0.5% for all control fruit.

Table 3. Percentage irradiation injury immediately after removal from 28-day storage under optimum conditions ²(25).

	Dosage (krad)						
		0	7.5	15	30	60	90
Test	Date	(%) ^y	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	Oct. 8	1 0.0		_	25.9	43.1	60.9
2	Dec. 8	0.0	_	2.2	6.6	17.7	25.9
3	Feb. 8	2 0.2		0.2	3.9	26.2	39.7
4	Apr. 8	2 3.6	_	7.7	17.3	24.8	35.8
5	May 8	3.6		8.9	9.7	18.8	17.7
6	Sep. 8	2 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.1	0.0
7	Oct. 8	2 1.2	3.6	2.7	3.0	5.3	0.0

²Test 1 used a total of 320 fruit from 2 lots per irradiation level. All other tests used a total of 640 fruit from 4 lots per level, except for the 90-krad level in tests 6 and 7, where only 40 fruit were used per lot for a total of 160 fruit.

^y On each date, cartons of fruit were fumigated with methyl bromide at application rates of 40 or 56 g/m³ for 2 hr, with 20 and 80% load factors (3 and 12 cartons), respectively, in an 0.8 -m³ chamber with continuous gas circulation. Pulp temperatures closely matched ambient temperatures.

^{*} Cartons of fruit were stored at 16°C before January and 10°C thereafter to avoid chilling injury.

y Regardless of severity, all injury combined numerically to compute the percentage of injury.

adult each survived 15 and 30 krad treatments; both died before becoming sexually mature (36).

At present, high costs and lack of assurance concerning consumer acceptance are limiting factors to the use of gamma irradiation.

Cold treatment

Recommended storage temperatures for Florida grapefruit are 10°C for mid - and late-season fruit and 16° for early-season fruit. Grapefruit sustain chilling injury (CI) when exposed to temperatures below 10°, and the susceptibility of CI varies throughout the harvesting season (15, 33). Preharvest conditions in the grove, as well as postharvest handling, may directly affect the extent of CI in stored grapefruit (16). Pre - and postharvest applications of benomyl (41) and postharvest applications of thiabendazole (34) reduced CI. Waxing grapefruit and packaging in film minimized CI (14, 32, 40). Raising the relative humidity to 100% during storage (31), as well as intermittent warming (10, 27), greatly reduced CI.

Temperature preconditioning of grapefruit before low-temperature storage gave some success (15, 23). Constant storage at 1°C for 28 days resulted in excessive CI; however, preconditioning similar fruit for 7 days at 10°, 16° or 21° significantly reduced CI during 21 days of storage at 1° under high humidity conditions (19, 20), and this continued throughout the season for early, midseason and late grapefruit (Table 4). Degreening early grapefruit for long periods of time with ethylene tended to make the fruit more susceptible to CI (18).

Recent research showed that preconditioning grapefruit at 21° and 27℃ for 7 days is significantly less effective than preconditioning for a similar period of time at 16° (21). Grapefruit infested with Caribbean fruit fly and stored for 14 days at 2° resulted in 100% mortality (5). Based on this research, the Government of Japan recently approved a cold treatment schedule for Florida grapefruit that is on a sliding scale beginning with 0.6°C for 10 days and extending to 2.2°C for 17 days with the stipulation that 1,500 fruit be held at 26.7℃ for 10 days and then cut to determine presence of Caribbean fruit fly larvae. Although moisture loss is a contributing factor, it is noted that it does not appear to be the primary factor in CI (32). In Israel, recent studies showed that by combining the fungicide thiabendazole with cooling of grapefruit, susceptibility to CI can be reduced and the cold treatment can be practiced with a low CI risk (9).

During the 1981–82 season 4 relatively large-scale grapefruit tests were conducted at a commercial storage facility in Florida. Only 1% CI was observed for the entire season on fruit that had been preconditioned at 16°C for 7 days and stored at 1° for 21 days; a slight increase in CI occured during a 7-day holding period at 21° (Table 5). These tests were followed late in the 1982–83 season with an experimental shipment of 16,000 boxes of grapefruit to Japan (unpublished data). Sixty boxes of fruit representing 15 separate lots were retained in Tokyo for study; less than 0.4% of the preconditioned fruit showed CI while the fruit that was not preconditioned showed 2.2%. The following season, 1983–84, excessive losses were sustained in commercial ship-

Table 4. Chilling injury of	preconditioned early, midsea-
son, and late Flor	ida grapefruit ² (19).

	Chilling injury after storage			
Preconditioning and storage treatment ^y °C	Early (%)	Midseason (%)	Late ^x (%)	
28 days at 1 °	17.2a	 17.7a	6.1a	
28 days at 16°	0.0b	0.1b	0.0b	
7 days at 16°+	-			
21 days at 1°	0.1b	0.6b	0.4b	
28 days at 10°		0.1b	0.0b	
7 days at 10°+				
21 days at 1 °	-	0.9b	0.1b	

² Each value represents 1,400, 1,320 and 720 fruit from early-, mid- and late-season harvests, respectively. Mean separation of columns by Duncan's multiple range test, 5% level.

y Relative humidity ranged from 88 to 92% for fruit at 1° to 10° C, and from 80 to 92% for those at 16°C.

^{*}Controls for late fruit were held for 25 days instead of 28 and preconditioned fruit were held for 18 days instead of 21 days at 1*.

Table 5. Chilling injury of preconditioned Florida grapefruit in a large-scale commercial facility² (unpublished data).

Deconditioned and	Number of	Chilling injury		
Preconditioned and storage treatment ^y	Number of fruit	After storage	After holding (7 days at 21°C)	
°C		(%)	(%)	
28 days at 1°	4,4125	14a*	17a*	
28 days at 16°	4,431	0ь	0b	
7 days at 16°+				
21 days at 1°	13,232	1b	1b	

²Each value represents 4 separate tests from 8 to 11 different lots. Tests were conducted in November 1981, December 1981, February 1982 and April 1982.

ments to Japan. The cause for such losses cannot be fully explained. Proper temperatures and humidity levels were not maintained for the prescribed periods of time and this possibly relates to the large density and mass of thousands of boxes of fruit and the inadequate refrigeration equipment.

Additional research is needed to solve the problem. One approach will be to determine the safety of the fruit in van containers where a relatively small mass of approximately a thousand boxes of fruit is involved. Four citrus tests in California demonstrated that van containers maintained fruit with temperature uniformity throughout the load of 2.0 or 2.2°C for 14 days (28). Use of the cold treatment to Japan compared to EDB fumigation would result in treatment cost increases estimated at 25 to 40 cents per box.

References

- Armitage, H.M. and J.B. Steinweden. 1946. Tolerance of citrus fruits to methyl bromide as a fumigant. Calif. Dept. of Agr. Bul. No. 35 (1):21-29.
- Balock, J.W., L.D. Christenson, and G.O. Barr. 1956. Effect of gamma rays on immature stages of the Oriental fruit fly (Dacas dorsalis Hendel) and possible application to commodity treatment problems. Proc. Hawaii Acad. Sci. 31:18.
- Benschoter, C.A. 1979. Fumigation of grapefruit with methyl bromide for control of Anastrepha suspensa. J. Econ. Entounol. 72:201-402.
- Benschoter, C.A. 1982. Methyl bromide fumigation followed by cold storage as a treatment for Anastrepha suspensa in grapefruit. J. Econ. Entomol. 75:860-862.
- Benschoter, C.A. 1983. Lethal effects of cold storage temperatures on Caribbean fruit fly in grapefruit. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 96:318-319.
- Benschoter, C.A., J.R. King and P.C. Witherell. 1984. Large chamber fumigations with methyl bromide to destroy Caribbean fruit fly in grapefruit. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 97:123-125.
- Beraha, L., G.B. Ramsey, M.A. Smith, and W.R. Wright. 1959. Factors influencing the use of gamma radiation to control decay of lemons and oranges. Phytopathology 49:91-96.

- Burditt, A.K. Jr., M.G. Moshonas, T.T. Hatton, D.H. Spalding, D. L. von Windeguth and P.E. Shaw. 1981. Low-dose irradiation as a treatment for grapefruit and mangoes infested with Caribbean fruit fly larvae, USDA, ARS, ARR-S-10. 9 p.
- Chalutz, E., J. Waks and Mina Schiffman-Nadel. 1985.
 Reducing susceptibility of grapefruit to chilling injury during cold treatment. Hort. Science 20 (2): 226-228.
- Davis, P.L. 1973. Intermittent warming of grapefruit to avoid rind injury during storage. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 86:280-283.
- Dennison, R.A., W. Grierson, and E.M. Ahmed. 1966. Irradiation of Duncan grapefruit, Pineapple and Valencia oranges and Temples. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 79: 285-292.
- Dollar, A.M., M. Hanaoka, G.A. McClish, and J.H. Mog. 1971. Semicommercial-scale studies on irradiated papaya, p. 137-156. In: Disinfestation of fruit by irradiation. Proc. panel on the use of irradiation to solve quarantine problems in the Intl. Fruit Trade, Honolulu. 1970. Intl. Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.
- Farooqi, W.A., M. Ahmed, A. Hussain and M.H. Naqvi. 1974. Effect of gamma irradiation on Kinnow mandarin during storage. The Nucleus 11:25-29.
- Grierson, W. 1971. Chilling injury in tropical and subtropical fruit IV. The role of packaging and waxing in minimizing chilling injury of grapefruit. Proc. Trop. Reg. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 15:76-88.
- Grierson, W. 1974. Chilling injury in tropical and subtropical fruits V. Effect of harvest date, degreening, delayed storage and peel color on chilling injury of grapefruit. Proc. Trop. Reg. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 18: 66-73.
- Grierson, W. and T.T. Hatton. 1977. Factors involved in storage of citrus fruits: a new evaluation. Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture 1:227-231.
- Hatton, T.T. and R.H. Cubbedge. 1979. Phytotoxicity
 of methyl bromide as a fumigant for Florida citrus fruit.
 Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 92:167-169.
- 18. Hatton, T.T. and R.H. Cubbedge. 1981. Effects of ethylene on chilling injury and subsequent decay of conditioned early 'Marsh' grapefruit during low-temperature storage. HortScience 16(6):783-784.

yRelative humidity ranged from 88 to 92% for fruit at 1°C and from 80 to 92% for those at 16°.

^{*}Mean separation of columns by Duncan's multiple range test, 5% level.

- Hatton, T.T. and R.H. Cubbedge. 1982. Conditioning Florida grapefruit to reduce chilling injury during lowtemperature storage. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 107: 57-60.
- Hatton, T.T. and R.H. Cubbedge. 1982. Reducing chilling injury in grapefruit by prestorage conditioning. USDA, ARS, AAT-S-25. 6 p.
- 21. Hatton, T.T. and R.H. Cubbedge. 1983. Preferred temperature for prestorage conditioning of 'Marsh' grape-fruit to prevent chilling injury at low temperatures. HortScience 18:721-722.
- Hatton, T.T., L. Beraha and W.R. Wright. 1961. Preliminary trials of gamma radiation on mature Irwin and Sensation mangoes. Proc. 21 Fla. Mango Forum Ann. Mect. p. 15-17.
- Hatton, T.T., R.H. Cubbedge, and W. Grierson. 1975. Effects of prestorage carbon dioxide treatments and delayed storage on chilling injury of 'Marsh' grapefruit. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 88:335-338.
- Hatton, T.T., R.H. Cubbedge, L.A. Risse, and P.W. Hale. 1982. Phytotoxicity of gamma irradiation on Florida grapefruit. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 95:232-234.
- Hatton, T.T., R.H. Cubbedge, L.A. Risse, P.W. Hale, D.H. Spalding, D.von Windeguth and V. Chew. 1984. Phytotoxic responses of Florida grapefruit to low-dose irradiation. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 109(5):607-610.
- Hatton, T.T., R.H. Cubbedge, D.L. von Windeguth and D.H. Spalding. 1982. Control of the Caribbean fruit fly in Florida grapefruit by phosphine fumigation. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 95:221-224.
- Hatton, T.T., P.L. Davis, R.H. Cubbedge and K.A. Munroe. 1981. Temperature management and carbon dioxide treatments that reduce chilling injury in grapefruit stored at low temperatures. Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture 2:728-731.
- Houck, L.G. and R.T. Hinsch. 1983. Evaluation of van containers for cold treatment of citrus fruit for quarantine purposes. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 96:340-344.
- Lindgren, D.L. and W.B. Sinclair. 1951. Tolerance of citrus and avocado fruits to fumigants effective against the Oriental fruit fly. J. Econ. Entomol 44(6):980-990.
- Moshonas, M.G. and P.E. Shaw. 1984. Effects of low-dose gamma irradiation on grapefruit products. J. Agr. & Food Chem. 32(5)1098-1101.

- Pantastico, E.B., J. Soule, and W. Grierson, 1968. Chilling injury in tropical and subtropical fruits II. Limes and grapefruit. Proc. Trop. Reg. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 12:171-183.
- Purvis, Albert C. 1985. Relationship between chilling injury of grapefruit and moisture loss during storage: amelioration of polyethylene shrink film. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 110 (3) 385 - 388.
- Purvis, A.C., K. Kawada, and W. Grierson. 1979.
 Relationship between midseason resistance to chilling injury and reducing sugar level in grapefruit peel. Hort-Science 14: 227 229.
- Schiffman-Nadel, M.E. Chalutz, J. Waks and M. Dagan. 1975. Reduction of chilling injury in grapefruit by Thiabendazole and benoinyl during long-term storage. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 100:270-272.
- Seo. S.T., E.K. Akamine, T.T. S. Goo, E.J. Harris and C. Y. Lee. 1979. Oriental and Mediterranean fruit flies: Fumigation of papaya, avacado, tomato, bell pepper, eggplant, and banana with phosphine. J. Econ. Entomol. 72:354-359.
- von Windeguth, D.L. 1982. Effects of gamma irradiation on the mortality of Caribbean fruit fly in grapefruit. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 95:235-237.
- von Windeguth, D.L., A. Arner, A.K. Burditt, Jr. and D. H. Spalding. 1977. Phosphine as a fumigant for grapefruit infested by Caribbean fruit fly larvae. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 90: 144 147.
- 38. von Windeguth, D.L., A.K. Burditt, Jr. and D.H. Spalding. 1976. Phosphine as a fumigant for grapefruit infested by Caribbean fruit fly larvae. Fla. Entomol. 59:285-286.
- 39. von Windeguth, J.R. King and V. Chew. 1984. Low-dose ethylene dibromide fumigation for quarantine control of Caribbean truit fly in grapefruit. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 97: 120-122.
- Wardowski, W.F., W. Grierson and G.J. Edwards. 1973. Chilling injury of stored limes and grapefruit as affected by differentially permeable packaging films. Hort-Science 8:173-175.
- Wardowski, W.F., L.G. Albrigo, W. Grierson, C.R. Barmore and T.A. Wheaton. 1975. Chilling injury and decay of grapefruit as affected by thiabendazole, benomyl and CO₂. HortScience 10:381-383.