

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.





TROPICAL REGION

21st Annual Meeting of the Caribbean Food Crops Society and 32nd Annual Meeting of the American Society for Horticultural Science — Tropical Region



Published by the Caribbean Food Crops Society, Box 506, Isabela, Puerto Rico 00662

#### THE POTENTIAL FOR THE USE OF GIBBERELLIC ACID

#### IN STORAGE OF YAMS, DIOSCOREA ALATA

A. Clarke

CARDI, P.O. Box 346, Roseau, Commonwealth of Dominica

#### and T.U. Ferguson

#### Department of Crop Science, U.W.I., St. Augustine, Trinidad

#### ABSTRACT

Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) may be used to prolong dormancy in yams, *D. alata* and thus extend the shelf-life of treated tubers. The feasibility of using this treatment commercially, was assessed by comparing the break-even cost of treated tubers with the average retail price. Three GA<sub>3</sub> treatments: 50 ppm for 24 hours, 100 ppm for 21 hours and 500 ppm for 9 hours, and two treatment dates: January and April, were considered. The most economic and practical treatment was found to be 100 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> for 21 hours, applied in April. This treatment resulted in an extension of dormancy for 10 weeks, and the tubers were available until July.

#### RESUMEN

Estudios hechos en Trinidad han demostrado que el ácido gibberellico GA3, prolonga el período de inactividad en tubérculos de D. alata por 10 a 17 semanas, dependiendo del tiempo del tratamiento y la concentración de GA3 usada. Aquí se discute el resultado de tratar names en enero y abril. Un análisis breve del costo del tratamiento demuestra que sería económicamente factible tratar tubérculos con 100 ppm GA3 para prolongar su disponibilidad por 10 semanas más.

Keywords: Yam; Dioscorea alata; Storage; Gibberillic Acid

Yam, *Dioscorea spp.*, is an important root crop in the Caribbean. The tubers are grown primarily for local consumption, although a number of the islands export to the United States and United Kingdom. Production of yams in the region was 305,000 metric tons in 1982/83, representing 15% of total root crop production (Ferguson, 1985).

Dioscorea alata is one of the most popular yam species and is widely grown in Antigua, Barbados, Montserrat, St. Kitts and Trinidad (Table 2). Tubers of *D. alata* experience a natural dormancy of 3-4months after which sprouting, weight loss and loss in palatability occurs. Work done at the University of the West Indies by Wickham (1981) and subsequently by Jordan (1984) has shown that it is possible to prolong dormancy in tubers of *D. alata* by the application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>).

The major advantage of using  $GA_3$  to extend shelf-life is that there is no need for any specialised facilities or storage requirements, other than the usual precautions to prevent pest and disease damage. However before  $GA_3$  can be recommended for use in commercial storage, it is necessary to estimate the cost of treatment. The current high cost of  $GA_3$ (TTS4.25/gram) would seem to be a major constraint to its use on a large scale.

This paper examines the feasibility of using  $GA_3$  in yam storage, by comparing the break even cost of treated tubers with the average retail price of yams.

#### Background

Jordan (1984) working with tubers of D. alata cv. 'White Lisbon' has shown that the extension of dormancy obtained by treatment with  $GA_3$  is a function of concentration, and duration of exposure to the treatment solution. In addition, response to a given treatment varied depending on the date of application. Thus tubers treated in April (on breakage of dormancy) experienced an extension of domancy 1 week longer than tubers treated after harvest in January. Breakage of dormancy was defined as sprouting in 20% of tubers.

Tubers harvested in January experienced a natural dormancy of 13 weeks, and extension of dormancy was defined as the additional dormancy period measured from that time.

Table 1 shows the effect of three  $GA_3$  treatments, applied in January and April, on extension in dormancy and availability of 'White Lisbon' yams. Jordan (1984) also reported that weight loss of dormant tubers was 0.5% per week on a fresh weight basis.

#### Methodology

The final break-even cost of treated tubers was calculated based on the cost of materials, labour and storage. The final cost was adjusted to take into account shrinkage due to weight loss during storage. All prices are quoted in TT dollars, and are prevailing prices in 1982/83.

Table 1.	Effect of GA <sub>3</sub> on extension of dormancy
	and availability of D. alata cv. 'White Lisbon'
	tubers

GA <sub>3</sub> treatment t	Date of reatment	Extension of dormancy	Minimum limit of availability
50 ppm/	Jan	5.5	Turne
24 hrs	Apr	6.5	June
100 ppm/	Jan	9.0	T 1
21 hrs	Apr	10.0	July
500 ppm/	' Jan	13.5	
9 hrs	Apr	14.5	August

Source: Jordan (1984)

Cost of GA<sub>3</sub>

The cost of  $GA_3$  required to treat 1kg of yams was calculated as shown in Table 2. The cost varied between \$0.20 to \$2.00 depending on the concentration of  $GA_3$  used. It was assumed that the  $GA_3$  solution was used only once.

Table 2. Cost of GA<sub>3</sub> required to treat 1.0 kg of yam with a solution containing 50 ppm, 100 ppm or 500 ppm GA<sub>2</sub>

\*Volume of treatment solution 1/1.05 = 0.95 litres Quantity of GA<sub>3</sub> required to prepare 0.951 of 50 ppm solution = 0.0475 g

Cost of GA,		=\$4.25 per g
Cost of GA, requ	ired to treat 1 kg of	
yams with a solut	tion of:	
50 ppm GA <sub>3</sub>	$= 0.0475 \times 4.25$	
	=\$0.20	
100 ppm GA <sub>3</sub>	=\$0.40	
500 ppm GA <sub>3</sub>	=\$2.00	

\*Calculated as volume of water displaced by 1 kg of yam of density 1.05 kg/l.

#### Initial cost of yams

It was assumed that the yams were purchased prior to treatment at the prevailing farm-gate price. Thus tubers treated in January cost \$1.66 per kg and the cost of tubers treated in April was \$0.93 per kg (Table 3).

Table 3. Average farm price per kilogram of 'White Lisbon' Yam in 1981

White Eiseen		
 Month	Price per kg.	
January	1.66	
February	1.91	
March	2.09	
April	0.93	
May	0.99	
June	0.88	

Source: Central Statistical Office, Index of Retail Prices, Quarterly Agricultural Report, 1977-1981.

Ministry of Agriculture, Planning Division, Republic of Trinidad and Tobago.

#### Labour

The cost of labour required to treat 1.0 kg of yams was \$0.18, calculated as shown in Table 4. The duration of the various procedures was estimated based on experience gained conducting experiments.

- Table 4. Cost of labour required to treat one kilogram of yam with GA<sub>3</sub>
- <sup>1</sup> Time required to treat 225 kg<sup>2</sup> of yams

Operation	Duration	n (hrs)
Wash tubers	2	<u> </u>
Mix chemical	1/2	
Immerse tubers	1/2	
Remove tubers	1	
Pack	1	
Unpack	1	
TOTAL	6 hours	5
Time required to treat 1 kg		$=\frac{6}{225}$ hours
<sup>3</sup> Cost of labour		=\$6.77 per hr
Cost of labour per kg of yar	m treated	$=\frac{6.77 \times 6}{225}$
		=\$0.18

- <sup>1</sup> Does not include time for which tubers are immersed in treatment solution
- <sup>2</sup> Minimum weight of yam treated in experiments

<sup>3</sup>Hourly wage of male casual worker at the University Field Station, 1981.

#### Storage costs

The cost of warehouse storage was 0.2¢ per kg per week. Tubers treated in January had to be stored 13 weeks longer than tubers treated in April.

#### Shrinkage

Average weight loss during storage was 0.5% per week on a fresh weight basis. Tubers treated in January lost 6.5% more weight than tubers treated in April.

#### Break-even cost

The break-even cost of treated tubers was calculated as shown in Tables 5 and 6. The final cost shown here is the cost of tubers towards the end of the period of their availability. The break-even cost of tubers treated with 500 ppm was \$5.09 per kg and \$3.67 per kg for tubers treated in January and April respectively.

Tubers treated with 100 ppm  $GA_3$  cost \$3.05 per kg and \$1.80 per kg, and those treated with 50 ppm  $GA_3$  cost \$2.69 and \$1.49 per kg, for January and April – treated tubers respectively.

#### Discussion

The break-even cost of tubers treated with 500 ppm  $GA_3$  was substantially greater than the cost of tubers treated with 50 or 100 ppm  $GA_3$ . The extension of shelf life achieved by treatment with 500 ppm  $GA_3$  was 4 weeks longer than that obtained by the next best  $GA_3$  treatment. However, the cost of that extra 4 weeks of storage increased the break-even cost by 67% and 104% in January- and April-treated tubers respectively. In both cases the break-even price exceeded the maximum price paid for yams during

		GA, treatme	ent
<b></b>	50 ppm/	100 ppm/	500 ppm/
	24 h <b>r</b>	21 hr	9 hr
<sup>1</sup> Cost of yams	1.66	1.66	1.66
Cost of labour	0.18	0.18	0.18
Cost of GA <sub>4</sub>	0.20	0.04	2.00
Minimum shelf-life			
(wks from date of			
treatment)	19.5	23	27.5
<sup>2</sup> Cost of storage			
(2¢ per week)	0.39	0.46	0.55
%weight loss during			
storage (0.5% per			
wcck)	9.75	11.5	13.75
Break-even cost at			
the end of the			
storage period	\$2.69	\$3.05	\$5.09
-			

## Table 5. Estimated break-even cost of 1 kg of yam treated with $GA_3$ in the second week of January (at harvest)

<sup>1</sup>Farmgate price of 1.0kg 'White Lisbon' tubers in January 1981

<sup>2</sup> 1981 cost of warehouse dry storage

#### Table 6. Estimated break-even cost of 1 kg of yam treated with GA<sub>3</sub> in the last week of April (on breakage of dormancy)

		GA, treatme	nt –
	50 ppm/	100 ppm/	500 ppm/
	24 hr	21 hr	<u> </u>
<sup>1</sup> Cost of yams	0.93	0.93	0.93
Cost of labour	0.18	0.18	0.18
Cost of GA,	0.20	0.04	2.00
Minimum shelf-life			
(wks from date of			
treatment)	6.5	10	14.5
<sup>2</sup> Cost of storage			
(2¢ per week)	0.13	0.20	0.29
%weight loss during			
storage (0.5% per			
wcek)	3.25	5.0	7.25
Break-even cost at			
the end of the			
storage period	<u>\$1.49</u>	<u>\$1.80</u>	\$3.67

<sup>1</sup> Farmgate price of 1kg 'White Lisbon' tubers in April 1981

<sup>2</sup> 1981 cost of warehouse dry storage

the year (Table 7). It is clear that the high cost of  $GA_3$  makes treatment with 500 ppm  $GA_3$  uneconomic, in spite of the extension in shelf life.

Treatment with 100 ppm  $GA_3$  extended the shelf life of tubers for an additional 3.5 weeks, compared to the extension obtained by treatment with 50 ppm  $GA_3$ . The difference in the cost of the two treatment was \$TT 0.36¢ and \$TT 0.31¢ in the January- and April-treated tubers respectively. However, at both dates, the break-even cost of tubers in both treatments remained below the maximum price paid for yams during the year. A study of Table 7 shows that the additional cost of treatment with 100 ppm  $GA_3$  would be offset by the increased price paid for yams stored for an extra 3.5 weeks.

Table 7. Avera	age farm price per kg of 'White Lisbon'	
yam	in 1981	

Month	Price per kg
January	2.47
February	2.20
March	2.20
April	2.42
May	2.20
June	2.34
July	3.00
August	2.84
September	3.20
October	2.89
November	2.76
December	2.42

Source: Central Statistical Office, Index of Retail Prices, Quarterly Agricultural Report, 1977-1981.

### Ministry of Agriculture, Planning Division, Republic of Trinidad and Tobago.

Treatment in April resulted in a lower break-even cost than treatment in January, and the availability of the tubers was extended for an additional week. The reduced cost of tubers treated in April was due primarily to the low farm price of yams at the time of treatment. In addition, the period of storage required, and the weight loss experienced were much less than that encountered by tubers treated in January.

It is possible that the break-even costs estimated here may be reduced by economies of scale, once the treatment is done commercially. By using the solution to treat several batches of tubers the cost of treatment would be further reduced. Jordan (1984) has shown that it is possible to use a given  $GA_3$ solution for up to three times with no reduction of effectiveness.

#### Conclusions

Treatment with 100 ppm  $GA_3$  would seem to be an economic and practical method of extending the period of availability of *D. alata* yams on the market. Such a treatment would guarantee that farmers would be able to market their yams at a reasonable price instead of being forced to dispose of the surplus rapidly and at a low price once dormancy is broken. In fact, production of *D. alata* could be increased in order to take advantage of the extended marketing period.

The potential for the treatment is assessed based on Trinidad prices in a Trinidad market. The analysis would have to be repreated in other countries in order to estimate the cost of treatment under different conditions.

#### References

Ferguson, T.U. (1985). Root crop production in the Caribbean: An analysis of need. Caribbean Collaborative Agricultural Research Network Workshop on Tropical Root Crops. Guadeloupe, July 9-10, 1985.

Jordan, A. (1984). The effect of gibberellic acid on the storage of tubers of *D. alata*. M. Phil. Thesis. U.W.I. Library, 111 pp.

Wickham, L.D. (1981). The extension of dormancy in tropical yams, *Dioscorea spp.* Ph.D. Thesis, U.W.I. Library, 154 pp.