

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

#### This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



Selected Poster/Paper prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2017 AAEA Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1, 2017

Copyright 2017 by [authors]. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

# Climate Change and Energy Production: The Effect of Drought on Electricity Prices

# Objectives

This research focuses on two main objectives:

- Determining the effect that drought has on wholesale electricity prices
- Determining the mechanisms of price changes, namely whether electricity price changes are the consequence of: **1** changes in production costs 2 changes in production capacity
- **3** a combination of both

# Introduction

Electricity sales in Texas spiked during a prolonged heat wave and subsequent severe drought in the summer of 2011, and electricity prices increased across the state [1, 2]. The simultaneous supply and demand shocks led to extremely high prices, increased electricity demands, and increased water demands |3|.

This paper presents an empirical test of the effect of drought on electricity grid outcomes in the Electricity Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) area. We test whether drought conditions have a significant effect on electricity price and quantity bids of electricity plants for the years 2010–2016 using hourly ERCOT data.



Figure 1: US Drought Monitor Conditions for Texas, September 27, 2011

# Gregory L. Torell and Reid Stevens

AgriLife Research and Deptartment of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M University

#### Data

The following data were used to complete the re- search:	Q
<ul> <li>ERCOT price and quantity bid data</li> <li>EIA Form 860 data</li> <li>US Drought Monitor data</li> </ul>	A tit ea in
These data inform:	$q_{it}$
<ul> <li>Difference used to morm cooling types and plant type</li> <li>US Drought monitor data was used to inform drought conditions</li> </ul>	Q m ity bc

### Important Result

Drought causes higher electricity price bids and lower electricity quantity bids. The effect varies by plant cooling type. Dry cooling avoids both of these effects.

# Mathematical Section

Price Regressions	Table 1: Regression Results:	Average W	eighted Offer	Prices
We use the quantity-weighted average hourly offer prices. These are the price weighted by the share		ττ.		ר י <del>ר</del>
prices. These are the price weighted by the share of total electricity offered by producer. Changes in prices explained by drought describe shift in stair- step height in a stair-step electricity dispatch curve. $\overline{p}_{it} = \alpha_t + \alpha_i + \beta \text{Drought}_{it} + \gamma \text{Drought}_{it} \times \text{Cool}_i + \beta X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$ where, • $\alpha_t$ , time fixed-effects • $\alpha_i$ , generator fixed-effects • $X_{it}$ , exogenous weather effects • Drought <sub>it</sub> , weekly measure of extreme drought severity • Drought <sub>it</sub> $\times$ Cool <sub>i</sub> , interaction term between plant cooling type and the drought indicator	Dependent Variable: Av Explanatory Variables Dr Dr $\times$ DC Dr $\times$ OC (w/ ponds) Dr $\times$ OC (w/ o ponds) Dr <sup>2</sup> Dr <sup>2</sup> $\times$ DC Dr <sup>2</sup> $\times$ OC (w/ ponds) Dr <sup>2</sup> $\times$ OC (w/ ponds)	verage Wei (1) 1.131*** -1.133 -3.599** 4.619*	(2) 0.998*** -1.323 -3.615** 4.625	r Price (3) 1.279 -10.27** -13.46** -3.585 -0.00296 0.0931** 0.108* 0.0917**
	Weather Hour-of-Day FE Day-of-Week FE Month, Year FE R-squared Observations Sample	NO YES YES 0.150	YES YES YES YES 0.155 36,284,885 2010-2017	YES YES YES 0.155

# Mathematical Section, Cont'd

### Quantity Regressions

similar model is run for quantities offered. Quanity is sum of all quantities in the offer curve for each ach generator. Changes in quantity describe a shift stair-step length for an electricity dispatch curve.

 $t = \alpha_t + \alpha_i + \beta \text{Drought}_{it} + \gamma \text{Drought}_{it} \times \text{Cool}_i + \beta X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$ 

### Quadratic Terms

Quadratic terms in precipitation, minimum and naximum temperature temperature, and a humidy measure (dewpoint temperature) are tested for oth price and quantity specifications.

# Results

[1] Energy Information Administration. EIA-923 monthly generation and fuel consumption time series file, 2016 November. Database, January 2017.

[2] Energy Information Administration. EIA-826 monthly electric utility sales and revenue report with state distributions, 2016 November. Database, January 2017.

[3] Bridget R Scanlon, Ian Duncan, and Robert C Reedy. Drought and the water-energy nexus in Texas. Environmental Research Letters, 8(4):045033 (14 pp), 2013.

Torell Stevens



#### Figure 2: Effect on Average Weighted Price by Percent of County in Drought

# References

#### **Contact Information**

• Email: gregory.torell@ag.tamu.edu • Phone: +1 (915) 859 9111

• Email: stevens@tamu.edu • Phone: +1 (979) 847 5805

