

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

THE STATA JOURNAL

Editors

H. JOSEPH NEWTON Department of Statistics Texas A&M University College Station, Texas editors@stata-journal.com

Associate Editors

CHRISTOPHER F. BAUM, Boston College NATHANIEL BECK, New York University RINO BELLOCCO, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden, and University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy MAARTEN L. BUIS, WZB, Germany A. COLIN CAMERON, University of California-Davis MARIO A. CLEVES, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences WILLIAM D. DUPONT, Vanderbilt University PHILIP ENDER, University of California-Los Angeles DAVID EPSTEIN, Columbia University Allan Gregory, Queen's University JAMES HARDIN, University of South Carolina BEN JANN, University of Bern, Switzerland STEPHEN JENKINS, London School of Economics and Political Science ULRICH KOHLER, University of Potsdam, Germany

Stata Press Editorial Manager LISA GILMORE NICHOLAS J. COX Department of Geography Durham University Durham, UK editors@stata-journal.com

FRAUKE KREUTER, Univ. of Maryland-College Park PETER A. LACHENBRUCH, Oregon State University JENS LAURITSEN, Odense University Hospital STANLEY LEMESHOW, Ohio State University J. SCOTT LONG, Indiana University ROGER NEWSON, Imperial College, London AUSTIN NICHOLS, Urban Institute, Washington DC MARCELLO PAGANO, Harvard School of Public Health SOPHIA RABE-HESKETH, Univ. of California-Berkeley J. PATRICK ROYSTON, MRC Clinical Trials Unit, London PHILIP RYAN, University of Adelaide MARK E. SCHAFFER, Heriot-Watt Univ., Edinburgh JEROEN WEESIE, Utrecht University IAN WHITE, MRC Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge NICHOLAS J. G. WINTER, University of Virginia

JEFFREY WOOLDRIDGE, Michigan State University

Stata Press Copy Editors DAVID CULWELL and DEIRDRE SKAGGS

The Stata Journal publishes reviewed papers together with shorter notes or comments, regular columns, book reviews, and other material of interest to Stata users. Examples of the types of papers include 1) expository papers that link the use of Stata commands or programs to associated principles, such as those that will serve as tutorials for users first encountering a new field of statistics or a major new technique; 2) papers that go "beyond the Stata manual" in explaining key features or uses of Stata that are of interest to intermediate or advanced users of Stata; 3) papers that discuss new commands or Stata programs of interest either to a wide spectrum of users (e.g., in data management or graphics) or to some large segment of Stata users (e.g., in survey statistical properties of new or existing estimators and tests in Stata; 5) papers that could be of interest or usefulness to researchers, especially in fields that are of practical importance but are not often included in texts or other journals, such as the use of Stata in managing datasets, especially large datasets, with advice from hard-won experience; and 6) papers of interest to those who teach, including Stata with topics such as extended examples of techniques and interpretation of results, simulations of statistical concepts, and overviews of subject areas.

The Stata Journal is indexed and abstracted by CompuMath Citation Index, Current Contents/Social and Behavioral Sciences, RePEc: Research Papers in Economics, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch), Scopus, and Social Sciences Citation Index.

For more information on the Stata Journal, including information for authors, see the webpage

http://www.stata-journal.com

Subscriptions are available from StataCorp, 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, Texas 77845, telephone 979-696-4600 or 800-STATA-PC, fax 979-696-4601, or online at

http://www.stata.com/bookstore/sj.html

Subscription rates listed below include both a printed and an electronic copy unless otherwise mentioned.

U.S. and Canada		Elsewhere			
Printed & electronic		Printed & electronic			
1-year subscription	\$ 98	1-year subscription	\$138		
2-year subscription	\$165	2-year subscription	\$245		
3-year subscription	\$225	3-year subscription	\$345		
1-year student subscription	\$ 75	1-year student subscription	\$ 99		
1-year institutional subscription	\$245	1-year institutional subscription	\$285		
2-year institutional subscription	\$445	2-year institutional subscription	\$525		
3-year institutional subscription	\$645	3-year institutional subscription	\$765		
Electronic only		Electronic only			
1-year subscription	\$ 75	1-year subscription	\$ 75		
2-year subscription	\$125	2-year subscription	\$125		
3-year subscription	\$165	3-year subscription	\$165		
1-year student subscription	\$ 45	1-year student subscription	\$ 45		

Back issues of the Stata Journal may be ordered online at

http://www.stata.com/bookstore/sjj.html

Individual articles three or more years old may be accessed online without charge. More recent articles may be ordered online.

http://www.stata-journal.com/archives.html

The Stata Journal is published quarterly by the Stata Press, College Station, Texas, USA.

Address changes should be sent to the *Stata Journal*, StataCorp, 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, TX 77845, USA, or emailed to sj@stata.com.



_

Copyright © 2014 by StataCorp LP

Copyright Statement: The *Stata Journal* and the contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) are copyright (c) by StataCorp LP. The contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) may be copied or reproduced by any means whatsoever, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the *Stata Journal*.

The articles appearing in the *Stata Journal* may be copied or reproduced as printed copies, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the *Stata Journal*.

Written permission must be obtained from StataCorp if you wish to make electronic copies of the insertions. This precludes placing electronic copies of the *Stata Journal*, in whole or in part, on publicly accessible websites, fileservers, or other locations where the copy may be accessed by anyone other than the subscriber.

Users of any of the software, ideas, data, or other materials published in the *Stata Journal* or the supporting files understand that such use is made without warranty of any kind, by either the *Stata Journal*, the author, or StataCorp. In particular, there is no warranty of fitness of purpose or merchantability, nor for special, incidental, or consequential damages such as loss of profits. The purpose of the *Stata Journal* is to promote free communication among Stata users.

The Stata Journal (ISSN 1536-867X) is a publication of Stata Press. Stata, **STATA**, Stata Press, Mata, **MATA**, and NetCourse are registered trademarks of StataCorp LP.

The Stata Journal (2014) 14, Number 1, pp. 218–220

Stata tip 116: Where did my p-values go? (Part 3)

Maarten L. Buis Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB) Berlin, Germany maarten.buis@wzb.eu

In a previous Stata tip (Buis 2007), I discussed how to recover t statistics, p-values, and confidence intervals for regression parameters by using the results that are returned by an estimation command. In a subsequent Stata tip (Buis 2011), I discussed how to recover parameter estimates for parameters that were estimated on a transformed scale. For example, if a likelihood function contains a standard deviation or a correlation, then many Stata commands will maximize the likelihood with respect to ln(standard deviation) and the Fisher's z transformation of the correlation. In this tip, I will discuss how to recover the standard errors for the back-transformed parameters, that is, the standard errors of the standard deviation and the correlation.

Stata often displays the back-transformed parameters and their standard errors, but it leaves behind only the estimates of the transformed parameters and their standard errors. In those cases, the delta method (for example, Feiveson 2005) was used to compute the standard errors of the back-transformed parameters. In its simplest form, the delta method means that if we apply a transformation $G(\cdot)$ to a parameter b, then we can approximate the standard error of the transformed parameter as

$$\operatorname{se}\{G(b)\}\approx\operatorname{se}(b)\times G'\left(\widehat{b}\right)$$

where $G'(\hat{b})$ is the first derivative of G(b) with respect to b evaluated at \hat{b} . If Stata returned ln(standard deviation) and we wanted the standard deviation and its standard error, then $G(b) = \exp(b)$ and $G'(\hat{b}) = \exp(\hat{b})$. If Stata returned Fisher's z transformation of a correlation and we wanted the correlation and its standard error, then $G(b) = \tanh(b)$ and $G'(\hat{b}) = \cosh(\hat{b})^{-2}$. This is illustrated below using a model estimated with heckman (see [R] heckman). This model was chosen because it returns transformed parameters of both types.

M. L. Buis

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/womenwk

. heckman wage educ, select(married children educ) nolog

Heckman selection model (regression model with sample selection)				Number Censore Uncenso	d obs	= = =	2000 657 1343
Log likelihood = -5250.348			Wald ch Prob >		=	403.39 0.0000	
wage	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Con	f.	Interval]
wage							
education	1.099506	.0547435	20.08	0.000	.9922102		1.206801
_cons	7.042147	.8423253	8.36	0.000	5.39122		8.693074
select							
married	.5420304	.0657798	8.24	0.000	.4131044		.6709564
children	.4409418	.0276093	15.97	0.000	.3868286		.495055
education	.0722993	.0105096	6.88	0.000	.0517007		.0928978
_cons	-1.473038	.1465476	-10.05	0.000	-1.760266		-1.18581
/athrho	.8081049	.1108545	7.29	0.000	.5908341		1.025376
/lnsigma	1.807547	.0291035	62.11	0.000	1.750506		1.864589
rho	.6685435	.061308			.5304953		.772047
sigma	6.095479	.1773995			5.757513		6.453283
lambda	4.075093	.4690025			3.155865		4.994321

LR test of indep. eqns. (rho = 0): chi2(1) = 47.02 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```
. tempname gprime
. scalar `gprime` = exp(_b[lnsigma:_cons])
. display "se of sigma = " _se[lnsigma:_cons]*`gprime`
se of sigma = .17739954
. scalar `gprime` = cosh(_b[athrho:_cons])^-2
. display "se of rho = " _se[athrho:_cons]*`gprime`
se of rho = .06130802
```

Alternatively, one can use nlcom (see [R] nlcom) to compute these standard errors, as follows:

. nlcom (rho: rho: sigma:		hrho:_cons])	exp(_b[lr	nsigma:_cons]))
wage	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
rho sigma	.6685435 6.095479	.061308 .1773995	10.90 34.36	0.000	.548382 5.747782	.788705 6.443175

Notice that the confidence intervals do not correspond with those in the output of heckman. This is because heckman first computes the bounds of the confidence intervals for the transformed parameters and then back-transforms those bounds to the original metric, while nlcom uses the standard errors for the back-transformed parameters for computing these bounds. In most cases, computing the bounds on the transformed scale

and then back-transforming those bounds to the original scale results in somewhat better bounds. This is because the sampling distribution of the transformed parameters is likely to be better approximated by a normal distribution than is the sampling distribution of the back-transformed parameters. For more discussion, see Sribney and Wiggins (2009). You can use the techniques discussed in Buis (2007) to recover the confidence intervals reported by heckman.

```
. display "confidence interval for rho: ["
> tanh( _b[athrho:_cons] - invnormal(.975)*_se[athrho:_cons] ) ", "
> tanh( _b[athrho:_cons] + invnormal(.975)*_se[athrho:_cons] ) "]"
confidence interval for rho: [.53049526, .77204703]
. display "confidence interval for sigma: ["
> exp( _b[lnsigma:_cons] - invnormal(.975)*_se[lnsigma:_cons] ) ", "
> exp( _b[lnsigma:_cons] + invnormal(.975)*_se[lnsigma:_cons] ) "]"
confidence interval for sigma: [5.7575126, 6.4532831]
```

Also note that nlcom returns the z statistic and p-value for the test of the null hypothesis that the standard deviation and the correlation are 0, which were not reported by heckman. This test is problematic in the case of the standard deviation, because this is a test "on the boundary of the parameter space". A standard deviation can only take values larger than or equal to 0. Thus the hypothesis that the standard deviation, and standard tests do not tend to behave well in this extreme area (for example, Gutierrez, Carter, and Drukker [2001]).

References

Buis, M. L. 2007. Stata tip 53: Where did my p-values go? Stata Journal 7: 584–586.

— 2011. Stata tip 97: Getting at ρ 's and σ 's. Stata Journal 11: 315–317.

- Feiveson, A. H. 2005. FAQ: What is the delta method and how is it used to estimate the standard error of a transformed parameter? http://www.stata.com/support/faqs/statistics/delta-method/.
- Gutierrez, R. G., S. Carter, and D. M. Drukker. 2001. sg160: On boundary-value likelihood-ratio tests. Stata Technical Bulletin 60: 15–18. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 10, pp. 269–273. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Sribney, W., and V. Wiggins. 2009. FAQ: Standard errors, confidence intervals, and significance tests for ORs, HRs, IRRs, and RRRs. http://www.stata.com/support/faqs/statistics/delta-rule/.

220