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#### Abstract

The purpose of this report is to determine the comparative costs of packing apples in modern North Carolina plants for a range of output capacities, lengths of season and technologies. Information on investment and operating costs was obtained from packinghouse operators, equipment manufacturers and published research reports. These data are used to derive fixed and variable costs per unit of output for the different plants.

Building, equipment for either manual or mechanical dumping systems, and operating costs are determined for packinghouses with hourly output capacities of $100,200,300,400,600$ and 800 boxes of 40 pounds each. Total season costs are provided for the 200 - and 400 -box capacities for 300 - and 400 -hour seasons to illustrate length of season effects and procedure.

Results of the study indicate that output increased 100 percent by increasing capacity from 200 to 400 boxes/hour, with a 50 percent increase in capital investment. Annual costs per box decrease $\$ .09$ when capacity is increased from 200 to 400 boxes per hour for a 300 -hour season and $\$ .06$ when length of season is increased from 300 to 400 hours for the 400 -box plant. The cost decrease when both length of season and size of plant are increased is $\$ .15$ per box. This information should benefit the $N$. C. packing industry as it considers future organization of resources for the purpose of maintaining or increasing its competitive position.
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# APPLE PACKING COSTS 

E. A. Proctor and E. A. Jackson*

## Introduction

Economic returns from increasing supplies of North Carolina apples have been adversely affected by the increasing supplies of apples in the United States and in other nations. Prices of North Carolina apples are probably as responsive to changes in United States supplies, particularly in the eastern states, as they are to changes in North Carolina supplies. Since these supply conditions and the resultant prices are expected to prevail for the foreseeable future, the apple industry has requested an analysis of alternatives which might halt or reverse these adverse effects.

## Purpose

The purpose of this study is to provide information which the North Carolina industry can use to improve the efficiency of packing and the quality of apples, and thus partially offset lower prices in order for it to maintain or increase net returns. Size of firm and/or plant and the marketing systems vary considerably in the North Carolina industry. Some relatively small growers pack only the fruit produced on their own orchards and market over a long period to specialized outlets. Some other relatively small to large grower-packers assemble and pack for several other growers and market the fruit through major trade channels.

[^0]Previous studies of the industry which involved the economies-toscale principle indicated that organization of the packing industry into more efficient sized units would provide for better quality fruit packed at lower cost. The remainder of this study reports an analysis of the capital investment and operating costs of a range of packinghouse sizes capable of servicing known trade channels and maximizing packing efficiency.

## Procedure

The building, equipment and operating costs were determined for packinghouses with hourly output capacities of $100,200,400,600$ and 800 boxes of 40 pounds each. ${ }^{1}$ The economic-engineering procedure ${ }^{2}$ was used to synthesize building, equipment and operating costs for each of these packinghouses. An example was also developed to illustrate how total season costs may be determined for given season lengths. For the example, packinghouses with hourly output of 200 and 400 boxes operating for 300and 400-hour seasons were used.

Operating packinghouses were visited and information was obtained on plant construction, equipment, supplies and labor force required for operation of plants incorporating current technology. Prices of inputs were obtained from manufacturers and existing packinghouses. ${ }^{3}$ Input
$1_{\text {The }}$ basic sources of data were: Planning Data for Marketing Selected Fruits and Vegetables in the South, Part III, Fresh Vegetable Packing Handbook, Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin No. 152, May 1970, and Hoy F. Carmon, An Analysis of Apple-Packing Costs in Michigan, Marketing Research Report No. 786, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., March 1967. However, these data were supplemented with data from sources indicated in footnote 3.
${ }^{2}$ This method has been used in many studies, e.g., Gene A. Mathia and Richard A. King, Planning Data for the Sweet Potato Industry, 4 Costs and Returns from Curing, Storing, Grading and Packing Sweet Potatoes, A. E. Information Series 108, and R. J. Peeler, Jr., and Richard A. King, InPlant Costs of Grading and Packing Eggs, A. E. Information Series 106, Department of Economics, N. C. State University, Raleigh, 1963.
${ }^{3}$ The authors are grateful to the following manufacturers, distributors and packinghouse owners for information without which this study would have been more difficult:

FMC Corporation, Lakeland, Florida
Durand Machinery, Inc., LaGrange, Georgia
Nun Henderson \& Son, Hendersonville, N. C.
prices for previous years were adjusted to estimate 1970 prices by comparing with price changes in similar equipment. Land and site preparation costs are not included because of locational variability.

## Packinghouse Production Line

The typical packinghouse production line can be represented by the sequence of movements of fruit through work stations as shown in the flow chart. For most efficient operations, the production line should be laid out in either a straight or "L" shaped line to prevent cross traffic patterns. Thus, the design of the equipment line should be determined before a new building is constructed.

This study recognizes the importance of but does not include a detailed discussion of the technology of worker aids and techniques. However, since considerable gains in efficiency are possible with optimum use of technology and plant layout, readers may want to contact the Department of Economics and the Department of Biological \& Agricultural Engineering, N. C. State University, Raleigh, N. C., for assistance. Equipment manufacturers also will provide assistance for planning facilities.

## Buildings and Equipment

Buildings and equipment are classified as fixed costs which must be charged to the cost of packing apples in the long run whether or not the packinghouse is operated. However, these costs should not be included when determining the profitability of operating for any single packing season.

The selection of optimum size buildings and capacity machines or equipment is basic to the objective of operating a packinghouse at the lowest possible cost per packed unit (box, etc.). The information provided here may help accomplish this objective for those who anticipate construction of new buildings or remodeling and adding to existing buildings and for those who may be considering purchase of new equipment or replacement of old.

Mountain Crest, Inc., Hendersonville, N. C.
Leon Stepp, Dana, N. C.
Sugarloaf, Inc., Dana, N. C.
Latham \& Jones Apple Packing House, Edneyville, N. C.
Western N. C. Apple Growers Coop., Hendersonville, N. C.


The shape and size of buildings may vary, depending upon individual circumstances as mentioned earlier. Owners of some existing buildings may find it expedient to add only one or more operating components to expand output or increase efficiency. The information provided in Table 1 attempts to accomodate a variety of such needs by specifying component operational spaces and costs for hourly packout capacities of $100,200,400,600$ and 800 boxes of apples.

The investment cost of each of the selected building capacities in Table 1 has been estimated by multiplying the space times price per square foot of each operating space and summing the results. These computations were performed for each building capacity and the results are presented at the bottom of Table 1 . The per-unit cost advantage gained from increasing the size of the building is discussed in a later section.

## Costs of Equipment

Information about the equipment installed in typical modern North Carolina packinghouses in 1970 and prices paid by operators or quoted by manufacturers was obtained by visits and interview. This information is presented in Table 2 for hourly packout capacities of $100,200,400,600$ and 800 boxes.

The receiving and dumping system specified as Alternate $1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ and C employs typical field boxes, hand truck and manual dumping. Alternate 2A, $B$ and $C$ employs bulk boxes, fork lift truck and powered tilt dumper. Other items in Column A of Table 2 are self-explanatory. Columns B and C present the fruit load handled at different stations of the production line and rated capacities of equipment, respectively. The units in Column $D$ refer to the number of items in Column A needed to obtain the hourly packout specified. Field boxes and bulk pallet boxes have been excluded from the table because of the wide variation in usage among North Carolina packinghouses. One packinghouse reported using 1,200 field and 50 bulk boxes; another reported 600 field and 100 bulk boxes. These boxes are used mainly for temporary storage of utilities. Producers furnish the boxes that are used to transport apples to the packinghouse. A typical cost reported for these boxes was $\$ 1.50$ per field and $\$ 17.50$ per bulk box. Those packinghouse operators who plan to use either box can use these prices

Table 1. Building requirements in relation to selected rates of output to receive, grade and pack apples ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Operation | Hourly packout (tray pack and bags) |  |  |  |  | 1970 price per square foot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |  |
| (square feet) |  |  |  |  |  | (dollars) |


| Receiving \& dumping |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | 350 | 400 | 456 | 475 | 500 | 1.90 |
| Main floor | 360 | 450 | 575 | 1,150 | 1,150 | 5.00 |
| Washing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 120 | 150 | 250 | 500 | 500 | 5.00 |
| Waxing \& drying |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 340 | 525 | 725 | 1,050 | 1,450 | 5.00 |
| Sorting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 120 | 200 | 250 | 500 | 500 | 5.00 |
| Packing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 450 | 900 | 1,216 | 1,800 | 2,432 | 5.00 |
| Bagging |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 250 | 300 | 310 | 600 | 620 | 5.00 |
| Container makeup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loft | 350 | 400 | 480 | 600 | 780 | 2.30 |
| Conveying packed boxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 100 | 110 | 126 | 240 | 250 | 5.00 |
| Temporary storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 525 | 840 | 1,680 | 2,100 | 2,520 | 5.00 |

Table 1 (continued)

| Operation | Hourly packout (tray pack and bags) |  |  |  |  | 1970 price per square foot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |  |
|  | (square feet) |  |  |  |  | (dollars) |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 240 | 240 | 240 | 360 | 360 | 5.75 |
| Rest rooms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 12.65 |
| Break area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 100 | 160 | 160 | 200 | 250 | 5.00 |
| Aisles \& unassigned |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main floor | 4,195 | 4,232 | 4,574 | 6,869 | 7,368 | 5.00 |
| Total main floor | 7,000 | 8,307 | 10,306 | 15,619 | 17,700 |  |
| Total cost | \$38,180 | \$44,925 | \$55,210 | \$82,560 | \$93,809 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Concrete and steel construction with wood office and rest rooms installed on main floor and loft above a portion of the floor.
${ }^{b}$ Cost per square foot includes all utilities. This is an estimated average cost. Cost per square foot will vary according to prevailing construction costs in the area.
$\stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{N}}$ Table 2. Equipment inputs in relation to selected rates of output to receive, grade and pack apples ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| A | B C |  | D |  |  |  |  | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operation and equipment item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { packout } \end{aligned}$ | ```Hourly capacity (40# boxes)``` | Hourly packout (tray packs \& bags) 40\# boxes |  |  |  |  | Price per unit |
|  | handled |  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |  |
|  |  |  | (units) |  |  |  |  | (dollars) |

Receiving \& dumping
Alternate 1A - field boxes

| Hand truck | 142 | 145 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dumpledge with aid | 142 | 183 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Receiving belt $\left(30^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{x} 5^{\prime}\right)$ | 142 | 300 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |

Alternate 2A - bulk boxes
Fork 1ift truck

| 142 | 1,000 | - | .5 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 9,610 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Alternate 2A-1
36" float dumper 142

48" float dumper

| 142 | 600 |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | - |
| - | - |

$-\quad 1$

Roller conveyor (10')

-     - 

Roller conveyor (15')
Alternate 2A-2
Tilt type dumper 142360
Receiving belt (36" x 6') 142360
360
700

Small fruit elimination

| 2 | $1 / 4$ | eliminator $24^{\prime \prime} \times$ | $\times$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 142 | 142 | 1 | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $1 / 4$ eliminator $36^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ | 142 | 425 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 335 |  |
| 2 | $1 / 4$ eliminator $48^{\prime \prime} \times$ | $\times 3^{\prime}$ | 142 | 700 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 560 |

Table 2 (continued)

| A | B C |  | D |  |  |  |  | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operation and equipment item | ```Percent of packout handled``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hourly } \\ \text { capacity } \\ \text { (40\# boxes) } \end{gathered}$ | Hourly packout (tray packs \& bags) 40\# boxes |  |  |  |  | Price per unit |
|  |  |  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |  |

Washing
14 roll washer $24^{\prime \prime}$
14 roll washer $36^{\prime \prime}$
23 roll washer $36^{\prime \prime}$
23 roll washer $46^{\prime \prime}$

| 135 | 185 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 135 | 360 |
| 135 | 480 |
| 135 | 660 |


| 1 | - | - | - | - | 1,940 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| - | 1 | - | - | - | 2,276 |
| - | - | - | 2 | - | 2,713 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3,449 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | 1,880 |
| - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2,084 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2,342 |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | 5,934 |
| - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 8,694 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 10,697 |

Waxer 24"
135
Waxer 36
135
Waxer 48" 135
Dryer 30' x $14^{\prime}$
135
Dryer 48" x 18' 135
Dryer 48' x $26^{\prime \prime}$
135

Sorting
Float roll sorting table

| $24^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ | 135 | 135 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ | 135 | 270 |
| $36^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ | 135 | 405 |
| $48^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ | 135 | 540 |


| 1 | - | - | - | - | 1,420 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | 1 | - | - | - | 1,938 |
| - | - | - | 2 | - | 2,330 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2,708 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | 80 | 120 | $2 @$ | $2 @$ | $800+12$ |
|  |  |  | 100 | 120 |  |

## ( A Table 2 (continued)

| A | B | C | D |  |  |  |  | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operation and equipment item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { packout } \\ \text { handled } \end{gathered}$ | Hourly capacity (40\# boxes) | Hourly packout (tray packs \& bags) 40\# boxes |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \text { per unit } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |  |

Packing culls and juice
Alternate 1B
Automatic field box filler
Alternate 2B
Automatic bulk box filler 20
20240

| 360 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1,320 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1,730 |
| 360 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1,320 |
| 100 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4,679 |
| 200 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 8,748 |
| 300 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 10,505 |
| 400 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 11,954 |
| 200 | b | b | 2 | b | 4 | 2,500 |
| - | 4 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 31 | 11 |
| 50 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 1,244 |
| - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 600 |
| - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 700 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1,790 |
| - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 575 |

Table 2 (continued)

| A | B C |  |  | D |  |  |  | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operation and equipment item | ```Percent of packout handled``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hourly } \\ \text { capacity } \\ \text { (40\# boxes) } \end{gathered}$ | Hourly packout (tray packs \& bags) 40\# boxes |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \text { per unit } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |  |
|  |  |  | (units) |  |  |  |  | (dollars) |
| Conveying packed boxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conveyor belt 50' | 100 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1,018 |
| Conveyor belt 73' | 100 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1,865 |
| Skate conveyor $10^{\prime}$ |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 30 |
| Alternate 1C - hand truck |  | 200 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 72 |
| Alternate 2C - fork lift truck |  | 800 | - | . 5 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 9,610 |
| Pallets |  | - | - | 50 | 100 | 130 | 150 | 7 |

${ }^{a}$ Assumes a packout of 70 percent with 25 percent culls and utilities and 5 percent under $21 / 4$ inches. of packed apples, up to 75 percent may be tray packed and up to 50 percent may be bagged.
$b_{\text {Packing belts included with sizer. }}$
to estimate cost and then add to other costs in the table to estimate total cost.

The initial investment cost of equipment for packinghouses with hourly output (packout) capacities of $100,200,400,600$ and 800 boxes was derived from the data in Table 2 and is presented in Table 3. Table 3 also shows the difference in initial investments for building and equipment combined for each of the packinghouse sizes. The reader will note by scanning the equipment items and prices that larger capital outlays are needed for the larger size units in order to mechanize the operation. The remaining difference in investments is due to the difference in size of buildings. For example, the total equipment and building investment for the 200 -box house is $\$ 103,871$ and for the 400 -box house it is $\$ 150,721$, a difference of $\$ 46,850$. This implies a favorable substitution of capital for labor in addition to a lowering of unit costs by increasing volume. The larger investment includes machines which displace labor at a lower cost/output ratio. It is worth noting at this point that output was increased 100 percent with an increase in capital outlay of approximately 50 percent. Both capital substitution and volume effects on unit costs are discussed later.

## Operating Requirements

Operating inputs are those supplies and services required only when the packinghouse is operating. The typical inputs used in this study are presented in Tables 4 and 5 and are described as labor, utilities, supplies and services. Wage rates are not shown because of the wide range existing in the apple packing area. However, selected wage rates are used in examples in a later section.

## Labor Requirements

The labor requirements for receiving, grading and packing apples utilizing field boxes (Alternate 1 A ) and bulk boxes (Alternate 2A) for packinghouses with hourly packout capacities of $100,200,400,600$ and 800 boxes are presented in Table 4. The industry generally agrees that Alternate 1 A will be technically infeasible for output capacities in excess of 200 boxes per hour for future operations. Also, all size operations may need to convert to palletizing and fork lift methods to

Table 3. Initial investment cost of packinghouses with selected rates of output

| Item | Hourly packout (tray packs and bags) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |

Equipment

| Receiving and dumping | $997^{\text {a }}$ | 11, $364^{\text {b }}$ | $18,761^{\text {b }}$ | $25,690^{\text {b }}$ | $31,756^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washing | 2,328 | 2,731 | 4,139 | 6,511 | 8,278 |
| Waxing and drying | 9,377 | 12,933 | 15,647 | 25,867 | 31,294 |
| Sorting | 1,704 | 2,326 | 3,250 | 5,592 | 6,499 |
| Conveying culls and utilities | 2,040 | 2,112 | 2,688 | 4,800 | 5,376 |
| Packing culls and juice | 1,584 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2,076 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,076 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,076 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $2,076{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Packing utilities | 1,584 | 1,584 | 1,584 | 1,584 | 1,584 |
| Sizing | 5,615 | 10,497 | 14,345 | 25,212 | 28,690 |
| Packing tray | 53 | 106 | 6,211 | 304 | 12,409 |
| Bagging | 2,903 | 4,516 | 9,649 | 16,313 | 19,298 |
| Conveying packed boxes | $\underline{2,396^{\mathrm{e}}}$ | 8,701 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 17,161 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $\underline{22,130^{\text {f }}}$ | 28,136 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Total equipment cost | 30,581 | 58,946 | 95,511 | 136,079 | 175,396 |
| Total building cost | 38,180 | 44,925 | 55,210 | 82,560 | 93,809 |
| Total initial investment cost ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 68,761 | 103,871 | 150,721 | 218,639 | 269,205 |

Table 3 (continued)
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alternate 1A: Field boxes and hand trucks.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Alternate 2A-1: Bulk boxes, fork lift trucks and float dumper.
${ }^{\text {C Alternate }}$ 1B: Automatic field box filler.
dA1ternate 2B: Automatic bulk box filler.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Alternate 1C: Hand truck.
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {Alternate }}$ 2C: Fork lift truck.
$\mathbf{g}_{\text {Excluding }}$ cost of land. Freight and installation were included in the equipment cost figures at 20 percent.
conform with handling and transportation systems of wholesale and retail distributors. For these reasons, this study does not evaluate the capitallabor substitution rate for converting from Alternate 1 A to 2 A . However, one should note a possible cost saving in that for the 200-box-per-hour house, three fewer workers are employed for the receiving and dumping and transporting for shipment operations with Alternate 2A than for 1A. The difference in labor cost ${ }^{4}$ of $\$ 4.40 /$ hour saved by displacing this labor over a reasonable time span probably would offset the added cost of fork lift machines. Certainly studies of similar operations support this premise.

## Utilities, Supplies and Services

The utilities, supplies and services required for receiving, grading and packing apples in packinghouses with hourly packout capacities of $100,200,400,600$ and 800 boxes and unit prices are presented in Table 5. The hourly cost of any item for any selected hourly packout may be calculated by multiplying units per hour times price per unit. Of course, total hourly cost for the selected operation is determined by summing the computed costs of all the items listed in the table. The requirements in Table 5 assume the plant to be operating at 100 percent capacity. For plants operating at less than 100 percent of capacity, requirements for certain supplies (containers, tray and paper or poly bags, and staples) may be reduced by the same percentage that output is reduced since the quantity of these requirements is directly related to the number of boxes packed.

## Hourly Operating Costs

The hourly costs of operating the packinghouses in this study represent the variable costs which must be paid if the plant is operated any number of hours during one or more seasons. They do not include fixed or investment costs as mentioned earlier. Table 6 presents itemized hourly operating costs for each of the packout capacities. These costs were determined by using the data in Tables 4 and 5 . In order to determine hourly operating costs, certain assumptions must be made. These include

[^1]Table 4. Labor requirements for alternative handling methods in relation to selected rates of output to receive, grade and pack apples ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Operation and job description | ```Percent of packout handled``` | Hourly production standard (40\# boxes) | Maximum hourly packout (tray pack \& bags) 40\\| boxes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |

Receiving \& dumping

| Alternate 1A - field boxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hand truck operator | 142 | 145 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Manual dumper | 142 | 183 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Alternate 2A - bulk boxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fork lift operator | 142 | 1,000 | - | . 5 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Dump operator | 142 | 1,200 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sorting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual sorter | 135 | 63 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| Packing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tray packer | 65 | 17 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 31 |
| Bag filler-closer | 35 | 18 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Bag filler | 35 | 25 | - | - | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| Bag closer | 35 | 310 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Box filler (bags) | 35 | 107 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Lidding \& stamping |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Box lidder (telescope 1id) | 100 | 263 | (.4) | (.8) | (1.5) | (2.3) | (3) |
| Box stamper | 100 | 612 | (.2) | (.4) | (.7) | $(1)$ | (1.3) |
| Crew--1id \& stamp |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Table 4 (continued)

| Operation and job description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { packout } \\ & \text { handled } \end{aligned}$ | Hourly production standard (40非 boxes) | Maximum hourly packout (tray pack \& bags) 40\# boxes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |
|  | (number of workers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container make-up Manual carton maker | 100 | 114 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| Transporting for shipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alternate 1C <br> Hand truck operator | 142 | 200 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Alternate 2C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fork lift operator | 142 | 1,000 | - | . 5 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Hand truck operator | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Tallying Checker | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Miscellaneous 1aborer ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 |

[^2]Table 5. Utilities, supplies, and services in relation to selected rates of output to receive, grade, and pack apples in 40-pound cartons

| Item and description | Unit | Hourly packout (tray pack \& bags) |  |  |  |  | Price per unit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |  |
|  |  | (units per hour) |  |  |  |  | (dol1ars) |
| Fiberboard containers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Each | 101 | 202 | 404 | 606 | 808 | . 38 |
| Tray and paper or poly bags | Lot | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 | . 20 |
| Staples | Lot | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 | . 02 |
| Wax | Gal. | . 2 | . 4 | . 9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.75 |
| Office supplies ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Lot | . 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | . 25 |
| Telephone ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Dollars | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 5.0 | -- |
| Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | KWH | 25 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 | 1.5 |
| Water | M Gal. | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 8 | 1.0 | -- |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Delivered basis.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Assumes 1 percent of cartons damaged.
${ }^{c}$ Source: Planning Data for Marketing Selected Fruits and Vegetables in the South, Part III, Fresh Vegetable Packing Handbook, Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin No. 152, May 1970.

Table 6. Hourly operating costs for packinghouses with selected rates of output ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Item | Hourly packout capacity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 |
|  | $\frac{\text { Hourly cost }{ }^{\text {b }}}{(\text { dollars })}$ |  |  |  |  |

Labor
Receiving and dumping
Sorting
Packing
Lidding and stamping
Container make-up
Transporting for shipment
Tallying
Miscellaneous
Social security

Total labor

| $3.20^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $2.60^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $3.60^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $3.60^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $4.60^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.25 | 8.75 | 12.25 | 15.75 | 19.25 |
| 11.82 | 22.04 | 42.48 | 61.32 | 80.16 |
| 1.60 | 3.20 | 4.80 | 6.40 | 8.00 |
| 1.60 | 3.20 | 6.40 | 9.60 | $11.20{ }_{f}$ |
| $1.60^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $2.60^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $3.60^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $5.20^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $6.20^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
| 1.60 | 3.20 | 8.00 | 11.20 | 17.60 |
| 1.47 | 2.45 | 4.30 | 5.96 | 7.73 |
| 29.74 | 49.64 | 87.03 | 120.63 | 156.34 |

Utilities and supplies
Fiberboard containers
Tray and paper or poly bags
Staples
Wax
Office supplies
Telephone
Electricity
Water
Waste disposal $^{\text {h }}$
Miscellaneous

Total utilities and supplies

| 34.58 | 69.16 | 138.32 | 207.10 | 276.34 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18.00 | 36.00 | 72.00 | 108.00 | 144.00 |
| 1.80 | 3.60 | 7.20 | 10.80 | 14.40 |
| .55 | 1.10 | 2.47 | 3.85 | 4.95 |
| .13 | .25 | .50 | .75 | 1.00 |
| 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.30 | 4.50 | 5.00 |
| .38 | .45 | .60 | .83 | .90 |
| .05 | .07 | .10 | .19 | .04 |
| .03 | .04 | .05 | .10 | .12 |
| .50 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 |

Brokerage fee ${ }^{i}$
Other operating costs ${ }^{j}$
Total hourly operating costs

| 58.02 | 114.17 | 226.04 | 338.12 | 449.45 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19.80 | 39.60 | 79.20 | 118.80 | 158.40 |  |
| $\frac{5.38}{}$ | $\underline{10.17}$ | $\underline{19.61}$ | $\frac{28.88}{}$ | $\frac{38.21}{}$ |  |
| 112.94 | 213.58 | 411.88 |  | 606.43 | 802.40 |

Costs per box

| Labor | .33 | .28 | .24 | .22 | .22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Utilities and supplies | .64 | .63 | .63 | .63 | .62 |
| Brokerage fee | .22 | .22 | .22 | .22 | .22 |
| Other | .06 | $\underline{.06}$ | .05 | .05 | .05 |
| Total operating costs per box | 1.25 | 1.19 | 1.14 | 1.12 | 1.11 |

Table 6 (continued)
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Plants are assumed operating at 90 percent of capacity and assumes a packout of 45 percent tray pack, 25 percent bags, 25 percent culls and utilities and 5 percent under $21 / 4^{\prime \prime}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on hourly wages of $\$ 1.60$ except at $\$ 2.00$ for fork lift operators, $\$ 1.75$ for graders and \$ . 12 per box for tray packers.
${ }^{c}$ Alternate $1 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{field}$ boxes and hand trucks.
dAlternate 2 A - bulk boxes, fork lift trucks and float dumper.
${ }^{e}$ Alternate 1C - hand truck.
filternate 2 C - fork lift truck.
$\mathrm{g}_{\text {Based }}$ on rate in effect in 1971--5.2 percent of payroll.
$h_{\text {Based on }}$ a water cost of $\$ .24$ per 1,000 gallons and a cost of waste disposal of $1 / 2$ the water cost.
${ }^{i}$ Calculated at 5 percent of gross sales at an average price of $\$ 4.40$ per box ( $\$ .22$ per box sold).
$\mathrm{j}_{\text {Includes }}$ interest on operating capital, office employees, and other miscellaneous expenses. It is calculated at 5 percent of the total cost of labor, utilities and supplies, and brokerage. Actual costs reported by packing plants indicate that this method will yield a very close approximation of these costs.
the wage rate to be paid and the plant efficiency expected. These assumptions are noted in the footnotes to Table 6. The reader is reminded that changing these assumptions will result in changes in the hourly cost data.

By dividing each of the major items in the operating cost table by the number of boxes packed per hour, the cost per box for each is obtained. It should be noted that most operating cost items are fairly constant across all sizes. The reduction in labor cost is due to the more efficient use of labor and increased mechanization in the larger size packinghouses. The operating cost per box is useful in helping the owner of an existing packinghouse decide if he should operate it for any single season and is the only cost information necessary to make this decision. An owner should operate his facility as long as the operating cost per box is less than it will cost him to have his fruit packed by another packer. This will be true even if total cost per box (annual cost plus operating cost) may be greater than what he would have to pay to have his fruit packed by someone else. The annual cost will be incurred regardless of whether he operates his plant; therefore, these costs are not considered. The same principle is true if he is packing for other growers. He should continue to operate as long as the price he receives for packing is greater than his operating cost per box.

## Total Costs

In the long run, building and equipment investment costs and costs associated with these investments--interest, taxes, insurance, etc.-must be added to operating costs to determine the total cost of packing and selling apples. Table 7 shows the investment costs, both total and as converted to an annual basis, the operating costs and total costs of operating 200- and 400-box-per-hour plants for 300 - and 400-hour seasons.

The hourly costs in Table 6 were used to determine the operating costs in Table 7. The annual cost items were determined as explained in the footnotes to Table 7. This same methodology may be used to determine total costs of operating any of the packinghouses for any desired season length.

## Economies of Scale and Volume Effects

The bottom section of Table 7 shows the annual, operating, and total costs per packed box for the two plant sizes and season lengths. Scale effects are observed by comparing annual costs per box or total costs per box for the two plant sizes for a single length of season. Effects of increasing volume may be observed by comparing these costs for a single plant size for two lengths of season. In the first case, the annual cost per box decreases $\$ .09$ (. $35-.26=.09$ ) when plant size is increased from 200 to 400 boxes per hour operating for 300 hours. In the second case, annual cost per box decreases $\$ .06(.26-.20=.06)$ when length of season is increased form 300 to 400 hours for the 400 -box-per-hour plant. In the case where both length of season and size of plant are increased, the decrease in cost per box is $\$ .15$ (. $35-.20=.15$ ).

Savings of this magnitude, resulting from increasing investment and output capacity, must be considered by North Carolina operators in the future if they are to maintain or increase existing net returns from their apple packing enterprises; otherwise, competing areas may obtain a competitive advantage by implementing similar cost savings. Of course, improved operating efficiency will provide another major means of decreasing total cost per box packed.

Table 7. Total costs of receiving, grading, packing, and selling apples for two plant sizes and two season lengths

| Item | Plant size |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200 boxes per hour 400 boxes per hour |  |
|  |  |  |
| (dollars) |  |  |
| Investment costs |  |  |
| Building costs | 44,925 | 55,210 |
| Equipment costs | $\underline{58,946}$ | $\underline{95,511}$ |
| Total | 103,871 | 150,721 |


| 300 -hour <br> season <br> season <br> season$300-$ hour <br> season <br> season |
| :---: |

Annual and operating costs
Annual costs

| Depreciation ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8,141 | 8,141 | 12,287 | 12,287 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest gn investment ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4,155 | 4,155 | 6,019 | 6,019 |
| Insurance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,039 | 1,039 | 1,505 | 1,505 |
| Repairs and maintenance ${ }^{e}$ | 1,558 | 1,558 | 2,257 | 2,257 |
| Taxes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1,039 | 1,039 | 1,505 | 1,505 |
| Manager's salary ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 3,156 | 4,208 | 3,156 | 4,208 |
| Foreman ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  |  | 1,262 | 1,683 |
| tal annual costs | 19,088 | 20,140 | 27,991 | 29,464 |

Operating costs

| Labor | 14,892 | 19,856 | 26,109 | 34,812 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Utilities and supplies | 34,251 | 45,668 | 67,812 | 90,416 |
| Brokerage fee | 11,880 | 15,840 | 23,760 | 31,680 |
| Other operating costs ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 3,051 | 4,068 | 5,883 | 7,844 |
| Total operating costs | 64,074 | 85,432 | 123,564 | 164,752 |
| tal annual and operating costs | 83,162 | 105,572 | 151,555 | 194,216 |

Costs per packed box

| Annual cost per box | .35 | .28 | .26 | .20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating cost per box | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Total cost per box | 1.54 | 1.47 | 1.40 | 1.34 |

Table 7 (continued)
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Plants are assumed to be operating at 90 percent of the stated capacity.
bepreciation was calculated using the straight-line method assuming a zero salvage value on the basis of 20 years for building and 10 years for equipment.
${ }^{c}$ Interest at 8 percent was calculated on one-half the total investment costs.
${ }^{d}$ Calculated at 1 percent of total investment.
${ }^{e}$ Calculated at 1.5 percent of total investment.
 5.2 percent for FICA tax.
 for FICA tax.
${ }^{h}$ Includes interest on operating capital, office employees, and miscellaneous expenses calculated at 5 percent of the total of labor, utilities and supplies, and brokerage fee.
(2)


[^0]:    *The authors are Extension Professor and Extension Fruit and Vegetable Marketing Specialist, respectively, Department of Economics, N. C. State University, Raleigh, N. C.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Hourly wage rates used as found in footnote of Table 6.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Assumes a packout of 45 percent tray pack, 25 percent bags, 25 percent culls and utilities, and 5 percent under $21 / 4^{\prime \prime}$.
    $\mathrm{b}_{\text {Responsible }}$ for clean-up, maintenance, minor repairs, and assistance wherever needed.

