

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. **Future US Ethanol Transportation Network Design and Performance**

David Ripplinger

North Dakota State University

david.ripplinger@ndsu.edu

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1 Copyright 2017 by David Ripplinger. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Future US Ethanol Transportation Network Design and Performance

ABSTRACT

In this paper, alternative future U.S. ethanol transportation networks including ones with ethanol pipelines are compared. The network is modeled as a cost-minimizing, transportation problem with a linear objective function and linear constraints. The network includes nodes, locations where ethanol is imported, exported, produced and consumed, for each state, Canada, Mexico, Brazil, and the rest of the world. Nodes are connected by capacitated arcs that correspond to existing or potential truck, rail, barge, or pipeline connections. Ethanol production and use by state data is taken from the Energy Information Administration, US ethanol imports and exports by port is taken from the Census Bureau, and transport prices are available from public and private sources. Solutions to the linear program provide understanding of the feasibility and advantages of alternative ethanol transportation networks. Simulation is used to estimate the impact of varying transport costs, and quantities supplied and demanded on network performance including the flexibility of networks to address short- and long-term market conditions. Interpretation of shadow prices and slack variables are used to gauge the sensitivity of solutions. Scenarios including growth in state, domestic, and international ethanol production and consumption; and state or federal mandates, such state low carbon fuel standards or advanced biofuel use mandates are considered.

KEYWORDS

Ethanol, transportation, network economics

1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation of ethanol in the United States has increased dramatically in the last decade as the Renewable Fuel Standard supported the development of the domestic corn-ethanol industry and import of Brazilian sugar cane-ethanol. Currently, interstate transportation of ethanol is dominated by rail, followed by truck and barge (Citation), with each mode having unique attributes and advantages in terms of cost, flexibility, and reliability for different trips.

Despite claims otherwise, ethanol can and is being moved by pipeline. In Brazil, an ethanol pipeline has been in use since 2013 connecting interior ethanol refineries with the Port of Santos and global markets (citation). While an ethanol pipeline is technically feasible in the United States and a project to move ethanol from Midwest biorefineries to the East Coast by pipe have been under consideration for a decade (citation), no projects are currently under development.

In this paper, alternative future U.S. ethanol transportation networks including ones with ethanol pipelines are compared. The network is modeled as a cost-minimizing, transportation problem with a linear objective function and linear constraints. The network includes nodes, locations where ethanol is imported, exported, produced and consumed, for each state, Canada, Mexico, Brazil, and the rest of the world. Nodes are connected by capacitated arcs that correspond to existing or potential truck, rail, barge, or pipeline connections.

Ethanol production and use by state data is taken from the Energy Information Administration, US ethanol imports and exports by port is taken from the Census Bureau, and transport prices are available from public and private sources. Solutions to the linear program provide understanding of the feasibility and advantages of alternative ethanol transportation networks. Simulation is used to estimate the impact of varying transport costs, and quantities supplied and demanded on network performance including the flexibility of networks to address short- and long-term market conditions. Interpretation of shadow prices and slack variables are used to gauge the sensitivity of solutions. Scenarios including growth in state, domestic, and international ethanol production and consumption; and state or federal mandates, such state low carbon fuel standards or advanced biofuel use mandates are considered.

2. METHODS AND DATA

2.1. Network Model

The network consists of nodes: corn ethanol refineries, CER_i , and customers, C_j , of various types including refineries, wholesale racks, retailers with blend pumps, export terminals. Arcs connecting these nodes are highway, railroad, inland waterway, and pipeline networks. It is assumed that trips do not span one at most.

$$\begin{aligned} \max p_{zj} \sum_{n} z_{nj} \\ &- \left[\sum_{i} \sum_{k} c_{ik} x_{ik} + \sum_{i} \sum_{l} c_{il} x_{il} + \sum_{k} \sum_{l} c_{kl} x_{kl} + \sum_{l} \sum_{m} \sum_{t} c_{lmt} y_{lmt} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{l} \sum_{n} \sum_{t} c_{lnt} y_{lnt} + \sum_{m} \sum_{n} \sum_{t} c_{mnt} y_{mnt} + \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \sum_{t} c_{njt} z_{njt} \\ &+ \sum_{k} c_{k} \left(\sum_{l} x_{ik} \right) + \sum_{l} c_{l} \left(\sum_{i} x_{il} + \sum_{k} x_{kl} \right) + \sum_{m} c_{m} \left(\sum_{l} x_{lm} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n} c_{n} \left(\sum_{l} x_{ln} + \sum_{m} x_{mn} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

St

$$\sum_{k} x_{ik} + \sum_{l} x_{il} \le a_{i} \text{ for all } i$$

$$\sum_{l} x_{kl} \le \sum_{i} x_{ik} \text{ for all } k$$

$$\sum_{m} y_{lm} + \sum_{n} y_{ln} \le g\left(\sum_{i} x_{il} + \sum_{k} x_{kl}\right) \text{ for all } l$$

$$\sum_{j} z_{nj} \le h\left(\sum_{l} y_{ln} + \sum_{m} y_{mn}\right) \text{ for all } n$$

$$d_{j} \le \sum_{n} \sum_{t} z_{njt} \text{ for all } j$$

$$\sum_{i} x_{ik} \le c_{k} \text{ for all } k$$

$$\sum_{l} x_{il} + \sum_{l} x_{kl} \le c_l \text{ for all } l$$
$$\sum_{l} x_{lm} \le c_m \text{ for all } m$$
$$\sum_{l} y_{ln} + \sum_{m} y_{mn} \le c_n \text{ for all } n$$

Cij is the cost of transporting a unit of material from node i to node j (arc ij) Xij is the units of material moved from node i to node j G conversion factor from primary biomass to intermediate product

H conversion factor from intermediate product to final product

Consider backhaul

Added transportation option 1 or 2 for truck or rail to final customer.

Pzj is the price of product z in market j

Ck is the cost of piling or processing at node k (or l or m or n)

---Multiple feedstocks, multiple products.

2.2. Data

Ethanol production and use by state data from 2014 is taken from SEDS, the Energy Information Administration US ethanol imports and exports by port is taken from the Census Bureau, and transport prices are available from public and private sources.

2.3. Simulation

Vary production and demand proportionally by consumption by state.

Increase exports.

3. RESULTS

4. FINDINGS

5. REFERENCES

Denicoff, Marina R. Ethanol transportation backgrounder. No. 147607. 2007.

Ekşioğlu, Sandra, Song Li, Shu Zhang, Shahabaddine Sokhansanj, and Daniel Petrolia. "Analyzing impact of intermodal facilities on design and management of biofuel supply chain." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 2191 (2010): 144-151.

Kang, Seungmo, Hayri Önal, Yanfeng Ouyang, Jürgen Scheffran, and Ü. Deniz Tursun. "Optimizing the biofuels infrastructure: Transportation networks and biorefinery locations in Illinois." In *Handbook of bioenergy economics and policy*, pp. 151-173. Springer New York, 2010.

Leal, Ilton Curty, and D'Agosto Márcio de Almeida. "Modal choice evaluation of transport alternatives for exporting bio-ethanol from Brazil." *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment* 16, no. 3 (2011): 201-207.

Searcy, Erin, Peter Flynn, Emad Ghafoori, and Amit Kumar. "The relative cost of biomass energy transport." *Applied biochemistry and biotecnology* (2007): 639-652.

Wakeley, Heather L., Chris T. Hendrickson, W. Michael Griffin, and H. Scott Matthews. "Economic and environmental transportation effects of large-scale ethanol production and distribution in the United States." (2009): 2228-2233.