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## Economic and Demographic Factors Affecting the Demand for Fluid Milk Alternative Beverages in the United States

Jing Li and Senarath Dharmasena

Department of Agricultural Economics, Texas A\&M University

## Background

Fluid milk alternative beverages are plant-based products extracted through grains, nuts and seeds. Unlike the regular fluid milk, they have low cholesterol and fat content. With the increase in awareness, rising health concerns, and the increasing vegan population, in the United States, the demand for fluid milk alternative beverages has been increasing over the past decade. Currently, calcium and vitamin fortified fluid milk alternative beverages are entering the market to
compete with fluid milk, providing consumers an compete with fluid milk, providing consumers an
alternative, specifically for those who are lactose alternative, specifically for those who are lactose
intolerant (Dharmasena and Capps, 2014; Copeland and intolerant (Dnarmasena and Capps, 2014; Copeland and
Dharmasena, 2015). The fluid milk alternative beverage market can be segmented into four divisions: soymilk, almond milk, coconut milk, and others (rice milk, hazelnut milk, hemp milk, and oat milk). In the past, soymilk used to dominate the fluid milk alternative beverage market. However, in recent years, consumer migrated from soymilk to other fluid milk alternatives such as almond milk and coconut milk, probably due to such as amond miken and calories counts. It is
taste, health concerss and estimated that sales of soymilk in U.S. declined $5.8 \%$ from $\$ 981$ million in 2009 to $\$ 924$ million in 2010 , and another $8.5 \%$ in 2010 reaching $\$ 846$ mili ion in 2011 . in become America's most popular plant-based milk become America's most popular plant-based milk
alternative accounting for $4.1 \%$ of total milk sales (KCT. org, 2014). In 2014, almond milk took the top spot of U.S. fluid milk alternative beverages market with $65.5 \%$ of the market share, which puts soymilk in the second spot with a $30 \%$ share. Other fluid milk alternative beverages like coconut milk also show great potential for growth. According to data from Information Resources Inc. (IRI), Chicago, refrigerated coconut milk dollar sales grew by $9.2 \%$ in the 52 weeks ending May 2015. Coconut milk took the fourth-largest part of the fluid milk alternatives segment, with $3 \%$ market share in 2015. While the fluid milk alternative beverage market is
growing in the United States, the traditional fluid milk growing in the United States, the traditional fluid milk market has been decreasing during past two decades. Per
capita fluid milk consumption has been falling for years: it dropped $25 \%$ from 1975 through 2012. Fluid milk's rate of decline in 2011 and 2012 was the highest in more than a decade (Star Tribune reports, 2014). Consumers want variety and convenience in their beverages, as well as healthier refreshment. As a result, most traditional beverage categories continue to struggle and lose ground to newer niche products, such as fluid milk alternative beverages.

Objectives
The general objective of this study is to determine demand interrelationships between fluid milk and fluid
milk alternative beverages using $C$ COUAIDS estimated ming semiparametric procedure suggested by Sam and Zheng (2010).

Specific objectives are to:

1) estimate compensated and uncompensated own-price and cross-price elasticities, and expenditure elasticities for fluid milk alternative beverages (soymik, almond flavored fluid milk and unflavored fluid milk:
(2) determine demographic factors affecting the purchase of aforementioned fluid milk and fluid milk alternative beverages.

Data and Methodology
We use quantity, expenditure and household demographic characteristics with respect to purchase of fluid milk and fluid mikk alternative beverages obtained from
Homescan scanner panel. This panel consists of approximately 65,000 representative households from across the United States. Given the censoring nature of data, this paper uses a two-step semi-parametric approach suggested by Sam and Zheng (2010) for the estimation of censored demand system. This is exempt from distributional misspecification (does not assume a normally
distributed error in th first-stage equation) and distributed error in the first-stage equation) and
accommodates a certain form of heteroskedasticity. We us the Klein and Spady (1993) semi-parametric single-index
model instead of the conventional probit model used in model instead of the conventional probit model used in
alternative two-step estimators such as shonkwiler and Yen alternative two-step estimators such as Shonkwiler and Yen
(1999) in the first-stage equation to model the decision to purchase any beverage. The advantage of the Klein and Spady (1993) model is that, without relying on distributional assumptions, this method generates consistent and efficient estimates and furthermore accommodates heteroskedasticity of a certain form in the error term. In the second stage, the QUAIDS (Banks et al, 1997) is used to model the conditional demand for fluid milk and fluid milk alternative beverages.

Preliminary Results/Discussion/Conclusions
We will be in position to estimate own-price, cross-price and expenditure elasticities for the separable group of goods, expenditure elasticities for the separable group of goods,
namely fluid milk and fluid milk alternative beverages. Also, namely fluid milk and fluid milk alternative beverages. Also,
we will be profiling demographic characteristics of consumers with regards to these beverage groups. Preliminary analysis of data reveal that the own-price elasiticity of demand for almond milk, soymilk, coconut milk, fluid milk and lactose free milk in the United States is $-0.50,-0.41,-0.46,-0.63$, and -0.50 respectively. Fluid milk is found to be a substitute for coconut milk, while almond milk and soy milk are substitutes for fluid milk. Income, age, employment status, education level, race, ethnicity, region and presence of children in a almond milk, coconut milk, and fluid milk. almond milk, coconut milk, and fluid milk.


