

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

#### This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



Nina Jovanovic, Purdue University, <u>njovano@purdue.edu</u>
Bhagyashree Katare, Purdue University, <u>bkatare@purdue.edu</u>
Kar Ho Lim, Tennessee State University, <u>klim@tnstate.edu</u>

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1

Copyright 2017 by Nina Jovanovic, Bhagyashree Katare, and Kar Ho Lim. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

<sup>1</sup>Purdue University; <sup>2</sup> Tennessee State University



# Objective

To study consumer's perception of different expiry labels, and their food consumption and waste behavior with respect to different expiry labels.

## Introduction

- 21% of food available for consumption is wasted during purchase and consumption by the consumers.
- Wilson et al (2015) suggest that people's WTW is dependent on the type of food items, size of products, and the expiry dates.
- Follows and Jobber (2000) show that individuals with environmentally responsible behavior base their food purchase decisions on the evaluation of the environmental consequences.
- We extend the existent literature by unifying three important aspects of consumers' behavior: their attitudes, awareness and opinions towards food waste, their purchasing behavior and their WTW in consumption stage.

# Methodology

- Mixed-design ANOVA model for the choice experiment
- Ordered logit model for the survey to better understand relation between consumers' awareness, attitudes and opinions, and their personal household attributes

#### Data

1,500 American grocery shoppers using Qualtrics Online choice experiment:

- 6 choice sets no opt out option
- Attributes: price, organic produce, different types of expiry dates (best by, use by)
- 2 food products: yogurt and fresh salmon
- 2 choices offered: Eat it and Toss it Online survey:
- Consistently designed to produce comparable results
- Composite scales environmentally responsible behavior
  - Environmental consequences
  - Individual consequences
  - Self-transcendence values
  - Conservation values
  - Self-enhancement values
- Five-point Likert scale
  - Food consumption behavior
  - Attitude, awareness and opinion towards food waste
- Demographics

### Results

- Significant for policy makers, food producers, and marketing managers to efficiently reduce food waste generated in consumption stage.
- Significance in two areas: 1) policies related to labeling and food packaging 2) consumer attitude towards sustainable environment.
- Better understanding the impact of food labeling and consumer attitude in reduction of household level food waste.
- The policy implications.

# An example of a choice set:



#### Conclusions

- Decision makers concerned about this issue cannot make important decisions without additional information – about consumers' attitudes, awareness, and opinions towards food waste, their purchasing behavior, and their WTW in consumption stage.
- An analysis that links the pro-social and purchasing behavior of individuals with their willingness to waste food is crucial for the efficient reduction of food waste.

# Bibliography

- •Buzby, J. C., Farah-Wells, H., & Hyman, J. (2014). The estimated amount, value, and calories of postharvest food losses at the retail and consumer levels in the United States. USDA-ERS Economic Information Bulletin, (121).
- •Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2013). Food Wastage Footprint: Impacts on Natural Resources: Summary Report. FAO.
- •Follows, S. B., & Jobber, D. (2000). Environmentally responsible purchase behavior: a test of a consumer model. European journal of Marketing, 34(5/6), 723-746.
- •Lagerkvist, C.J., Carlsson, F. and Viske, D., 2006. Swedish consumer preferences for animal welfare and biotech: a choice experiment.
- •Sivek, D. J., & Hungerford, H. (1990). Predictors of responsible behavior in members of three Wisconsin conservation organizations. The Journal of Environmental Education, 21(2), 35-40.
- •Qi, D., & Roe, B. E. (2016). Household Food Waste: Multivariate Regression and Principal Components Analyses of Awareness and Attitudes among US Consumers. *PloS one*, 11(7), e0159250.
- •Wilson, N. L., Rickard, B., Saputo, R., & Ho, S. T. (2015). Food waste: The role of date labels, package size, and product category. Package Size, and Product Category (December 8, 2015).
- •News Desk, (2016, December 15). USDA suggests 'Best if Used By' labeling on meat, other foods. Food Safety News.
- •Retrieved from <a href="http://www.foodsafetynews.com/2016/12/usda-suggests-best-if-used-">http://www.foodsafetynews.com/2016/12/usda-suggests-best-if-used-</a> by-labeling-on-meat-other-foods/#.WPev-2nytaQ