

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Gender and Willingness to Pay for Insured Loans: Empirical Evidence from Ghana

Khushbu Mishra, The Ohio State University, and mishra.67@osu.edu
Richard Gallenstein, The Ohio State University, Gallenstein.6@osu.edu
Abdoul G. Sam, The Ohio State University, sam.7@osu.edu
Mario J. Miranda, The Ohio State University, Miranda.4@osu.edu

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association

Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1

Copyright 2017 by Mishra et al. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.



Gender and Willingness to Pay for Insured Loans: Empirical Evidence from Ghana



Khushbu Mishra, Richard Gallenstein, Abdoul Sam, Mario Miranda

Department of Agricultural, Environmental, and Development Economics, The Ohio State University

Motivation

- Inefficiencies in Ghanaian agriculture:
- Agriculture is a critical economic sector (23% of the GDP and half of the workforce).
- Share of total public expenditure increased, but the share of GDP decreased during 2007 to 2013
- Large proportion of farmers use traditional rainfall dependent production systems.
- Female farmers lag further behind.
- 13% female farmers vs. 22% male farmers use certified seeds.

Objective and Contributions

- Objective:
- To investigate the demand for index-based drought insurance (IBDI) coupled with agricultural loans by type and gender.
- Contributions:
- Add to the limited literature on index-insurance and gender.
- First study to estimate the demand for IBDI across four innovative insured products by gender.
- Value gender differentials in basis risk and in insurance through groups.

Data

- Part of the Ghana randomized control trial project: 258 farmer groups with 779, 777, and 777 farmers in each of the three panels.
- Insured loan products:
 - Product 1: micro-insured loans with payouts given to the farmers
 - Product 2: meso-insured loans with payouts given to the banks
 - Product 3: micro-insured loans without basis risk
- Product 4: uninsured loans
- Product 5: micro-insured loans with payouts given to the farmer groups.

Methodology

- Collected data on a set of variables that are potential determinants of demand and willingness to pay for IBDI
- farmer's income and asset ownership, financial access and familiarity, attitude towards risk, and additional household and individual characteristics.
- To determine the valuation of the five loan products, we use a contingent valuation method
 - Utilizes precisely defined survey questions to determine Willingness to Pay (WTP) for a hypothetical product.
- Single bounded dichotomous choice WTP questions as opposed to a double bounded dichotomous choice method since the latter is prone to anchoring bias on responses to subsequent WTP questions.
- The bid values employed in the WTP questions represent total loan repayment amounts which includes loan plus interest rate (23%) and insurance premium (10%).
 - Generated six additional bid values by employing a uniform distribution of \pm 5%, \pm 15%, and \pm 25% around the market value.
- To reduce hypothetical bias and ordering bias, we used:
 - Cheap talk
 - Certainty scale adjustment
 - Randomized question order

Empirical Estimation

- To estimate WTP demand and calculate WTP estimates, we use a parametric model of WTP, following the random utility framework.
- Using the marginal effects from the Probit model, we identify demand determinants and calculate individual and mean WTP estimates for the five products.
- Using the WTP estimates, we also calculate the market-viability of the different products via percentage of population willing to pay above the market repayment rate for an insured loan.
- Market repayment rate: Repayment = (Principle +

Results

- Demand decreases in price and risk aversion while increases in education, remittances, irrigation, and experience with borrowing for females.
- Demand for group insurance increases with risk aversion and decreases with education for females.
- There is no significant design effect for females in terms of demand but basis risk reduces demand for males.
- The WTP estimates for each of the five products are significantly lower for females than males at 5% level.
- For a subsample with lower trust in their banks, micro-insured loans hold a higher value than meso-insured loans.
- The market-viability of these products are lower for females than for males.

	Product 1	Product 2	Product 3	Product 4	Product 5
Panel A – Mean WTP Estimates					
Females	474	472	480	462	479
Males	516	507	543	480	493
Difference	42**	35**	63**	18**	14**
Panel B – Percent of population with WTP above market price					
Females	45.7	43.1			47.5
Males	68.1	62.6			56.0

Policy Implications

- A need for a reduction in the premium cost by either providing the more vulnerable farmers (female-headed and/or poorer households) with subsidies to be used towards the insurance premium or by lowering the interest rates which would be compensated for by the insurance protection.
- Policies that build trust between the farmers and financial institutions, increase education and non-farm income for females .

References

• Chantarat et al. (2009); Doss & Morris (2000); Ghana Statistical Survey (2016); Haab & McConnell (2002); Hill et al. (2013); Sarris et al. (2006)