

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. Gender and Willingness to Pay for Insured Loans: Empirical Evidence from Ghana

Khushbu Mishra, The Ohio State University, and <u>mishra.67@osu.edu</u> Richard Gallenstein, The Ohio State University, <u>Gallenstein.6@osu.edu</u> Abdoul G. Sam, The Ohio State University, <u>sam.7@osu.edu</u> Mario J. Miranda, The Ohio State University, Miranda.4@osu.edu

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1

Copyright 2017 by Mishra et al. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.



Gender and Willingness to Pay for Insured Loans: Empirical Evidence from Ghana

Motivation

- Inefficiencies in Ghanaian agriculture:
- Agriculture is a critical economic sector (23% of the half of the workforce).
- Share of total public expenditure increased, but the GDP decreased during 2007 to 2013
- Large proportion of farmers use traditional rainfall dependence production systems.
- Female farmers lag further behind.
- 13% female farmers vs. 22% male farmers use certility seeds.

Objective and Contributions

- Objective:
 - To investigate the demand for index-based drought (IBDI) coupled with agricultural loans by type and g
- Contributions:
- Add to the limited literature on index-insurance and
- First study to estimate the demand for IBDI across f innovative insured products by gender.
- Value gender differentials in basis risk and in insural through groups.

Data

- Part of the Ghana randomized control trial project: 25 groups with 779, 777, and 777 farmers in each of the panels.
- Insured loan products:
 - Product 1: micro-insured loans with payouts given farmers
 - Product 2: meso-insured loans with payouts given t banks
 - Product 3: micro-insured loans without basis risk
- Product 4: uninsured loans
- Product 5: micro-insured loans with payouts given to the farmer groups.

Khushbu Mishra, Richard Gallenstein, Abdoul Sam, Mario Miranda Department of Agricultural, Environmental, and Development Economics, The Ohio State University

Mothodology

	Ivietnodolo
e GDP and	 Collected data on a set of variable determinants of demand and will
e share of	 farmer's income and asset owners familiarity, attitude towards risk, a and individual characteristics.
pendent	 To determine the valuation of the five contingent valuation method
	 Utilizes precisely defined survey qu Willingness to Pay (WTP) for a hypo
ified	 Single bounded dichotomous choice to a double bounded dichotomous ch is prone to anchoring bias on respons questions.
	 The bid values employed in the WTP of loan repayment amounts which inclue (23%) and insurance premium (10%).
insurance ender.	• Generated six additional bid values distribution of \pm 5%, \pm 15%, and \pm value.
	 To reduce hypothetical bias and order
d gender.	 Cheap talk
four	 Certainty scale adjustment
nce	 Randomized question order
	Empirical Esti
58 farmer three	 To estimate WTP demand and calculate parametric model of WTP, following framework.
	 Using the marginal effects from the F demand determinants and calculate estimates for the five products.
to the to the	 Using the WTP estimates, we also can of the different products via percentan pay above the market repayment rate
	 Market repayment rate: <i>Repayme</i>

- les that are potential llingness to pay for IBDI
- rship, financial access and and additional household
- ve loan products, we use a
- lestions to determine othetical product.
- WTP questions as opposed noice method since the latter ses to subsequent WTP
- questions represent total Ides loan plus interest rate
- by employing a uniform \pm 25% around the market
- ring bias, we used:

imation

- ate WTP estimates, we use a the random utility
- Probit model, we identify individual and mean WTP
- alculate the market-viability tage of population willing to ite for an insured loan.
- ent = (Principle +)

- borrowing for females.
- decreases with education for females.

- than for males.

	Product 1	Product 2	Product 3	Product 4	Product 5		
Panel A – Mean WTP Estimates							
Females	474	472	480	462	479		
Males	516	507	543	480	493		
Difference	42**	35**	63**	18**	14**		
Panel B – Percent of population with WTP above market price							
Females	45.7	43.1			47.5		
Males	68.1	62.6			56.0		

- females.
- Sarris et al. (2006)



Results

Demand decreases in price and risk aversion while increases in education, remittances, irrigation, and experience with

Demand for group insurance increases with risk aversion and

There is no significant design effect for females in terms of demand but basis risk reduces demand for males.

The WTP estimates for each of the five products are significantly lower for females than males at 5% level.

For a subsample with lower trust in their banks, micro-insured loans hold a higher value than meso-insured loans.

The market-viability of these products are lower for females

Policy Implications

• A need for a reduction in the premium cost by either providing the more vulnerable farmers (female-headed and/or poorer households) with subsidies to be used towards the insurance premium or by lowering the interest rates which would be compensated for by the insurance protection.

• Policies that build trust between the farmers and financial institutions, increase education and non-farm income for

References

• Chantarat et al. (2009); Doss & Morris (2000); Ghana Statistical Survey (2016); Haab & McConnell (2002); Hill et al. (2013);