

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

## This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

## Assurance Payments for Threshold Public Goods Provision: Theory and Lab Experiment

**Pengfei Liu,** Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of Connecticut, 1376 Storrs Road, Unit 4021, Storrs, CT, 06269. pengfei.liu@uconn.edu

**Zhi Li,** School of Economics, Wang Yanan Institute for Studies in Economics, Xiamen University. <u>geoleepku@gmail.com</u>

**Stephen K. Swallow,** Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of Connecticut, 1376 Storrs Road, Unit 4021, Storrs, CT, 06269. <u>stephen.swallow@uconn.edu</u>

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1

Copyright 2017 by Pengfei Liu, Zhi Li and Stephen Swallow. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Pengfei Liu (pengfei.liu@uconn.edu) Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of Connecticut. Zhi Li (geoleepku@gmail.com) School of Economics & Wang Yanan Institute for Studies in Economics (WISE), Xiamen University. Stephen Swallow (<a href="mailto:stephen.swallow@uconn.edu">stephen.swallow@uconn.edu</a> ) **HEALTH AND NATURAL** RESOURCES Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of Connecticut. Doculto Introduction We explore a new approach for threshold pu goods provision through contract. This contract offers, to donors who agree to c minimum price, an assurance payment as compensation in the event that the group fai achieve the cost threshold. We conduct lab experiments to assess the effectiveness of the new approach relative to standard provision point mechanism (PPM). **Theoretical Background** Notes: Two-factors Random Effects Model results indicate significant treatment effects except for He-AP4.3. Provision Point Mechanism • Social Surplus  $\pi_{i} = \begin{cases} v_{i} - b_{i}, if \sum b_{k} \ge C \\ 0, if \sum b_{k} < C \end{cases}$ 

where  $\pi_i$  is individual i's profit,  $v_i$  is the induced  $b_i$  is the contribution. A public good is provided if group contribution  $\sum b_k$  is higher than the threshold Otherwise, the public good is not provided and in get zero profits.

Assurance Contract

$$\pi_{i} = \begin{cases} v_{i} - b_{i}, if \sum b_{k} \geq C\\ AP, if \sum b_{k} < C, b_{i} \geq MP\\ 0, if \sum b_{k} < C, b_{i} < MP \end{cases}$$

where MP is the minimum price and AP is the assurance payment. Compared to the PPM, one receive an assurance payment if her contributes at least MP and the group fails to provide the public good.

## Assurance Payments for Threshold Public Goods Provision: Theory and Lab Experiment

	Experiment	Parameter an	d Treatment			
ublic	Variable	Homogeneous Induced Value	Heterogeneous Induced Value			
donate a	Number of Groups	2	1			
	Group Size	5	10			
ails to	Endowment	15	15			
	Provision Point (PP)	30	30			
to the	Induced Value	10	{3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 7, 8, 8, 10, 12}			
	PP/(Group Induced Values)	60% (=30/50)	46.875% (=30/64)			
ed value, f the total old cost, C. individuals	AP(= MP)	6	{6=30/5, 4.3=30/7, 7.5=30/4}			
	# of Periods	25	25			
	Minimum Contribution Unit	0.1	0.1			
	<ul> <li>Treatments:</li> <li><u>Ho-PPM</u>: Homogenous induced value, PPM.</li> <li><u>Ho-AP6</u>: Homogenous induced value, where Assurance Payment and Minimum Price are equal to 6.</li> <li>He-PPM: Heterogeneous induced value, PPM</li> </ul>					
e e will	<ul> <li><u>He-PPM</u>: Heterogeneous induced value, PPM.</li> <li><u>He-AP6</u>: Heterogeneous induced value, where Assurance Payment and Minimum Price are equal to 6.</li> <li><u>He-AP4.3</u>: Heterogeneous induced value, where Assurance Payment and Minimum Price are equal to 4.3</li> <li><u>He-AP7,5</u>: Heterogeneous induced value, where Assurance Payment and Minimum Price are equal to 7.5</li> </ul>					

Each treatment is replicated by 60 subjects.



Kesuits							
Provision Success (Group Level Observations)							
Treatment	0	ided	Provision	Total			
	0		Rate				
He-AP4.3	82	68	0.45	150			
He-PPM	77	73	0.49	150			
Ho-PPM	150	150	0.5	300			
He-AP7.5	55	95	0.63	150			
He-AP6	46	104	0.69	150			
Ho-AP6	210	90	0.7	300			





