

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

The Effects of Tomato Suspension Agreements on Market Price Dynamics

Feng Wu¹, Zhengfei Guan², and Dong Hee Suh³

¹Gulf Coast Research and Education Center, University of Florida
²Food and Resource Economics Department&
Gulf Coast Research and Education Center, University of Florida
³Department of Food and Resource Economics, Korea University

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2017 AAEA Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30 - August 1, 2017

Copyright 2017 by Feng wu, Zhengfei Guan, and Dong Hee Suh. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.



The Effects of Tomato Suspension Agreements on Market Price Dynamics

Feng Wu^a, Zhengfei Guan^b and Dong Hee Suh^c

^a Gulf Coast Research and Education Center, University of Florida

^b Food and Resource Economics Department & Gulf Coast Research and Education Center ,University of Florida ^c Department of Food and Resource Economics, Korea University



Introduction

The production capacity of the U.S. tomato industry has declined significantly in recent years, while the imports from Mexico have been on the rise since the early 1990s. The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) that took effect in 1994 gradually eliminated tariffs, leading to increased imports and market competition (Ghazalian 2014).

In November 1996, the U.S. Department of Commerce (USDC) negotiated an antidumping investigation suspension agreement that set a mandatory reference (floor) price for the imported Mexican tomatoes. The USDC and Mexico renewed the agreement and reference price in 1998 and 2003. The latest suspension agreement was signed in March 2013. This agreement significantly increased reference prices for imported Mexican tomatoes. The reference prices were set at \$0.31 per pound for winter tomatoes, and \$0.2458 per pound for summer tomatoes grown in open fields and adapted environments, which represent a 43% increase for both winter and summer tomatoes.

Objectives

The objective of this study is twofold.

First, this study examines the price linkages of US and Mexican fresh tomatoes to investigate the causal relationship between the prices.

Second, this study simulates price changes with and without the 2013 suspension agreement to examine the impact of the new agreement on the prices of US and Mexican tomatoes.

<u>Data</u>

Figure 1. Mexican and US Tomato Prices and Weeks where Mexican Prices Restrained by Reference Prices



Methods

We use a dynamic Tobit model (Kim and Chavas 2002; Chavas and Kim 2004) to represent Mexican tomato price determination in the presence of price floor s_t :

$p_{mt} = \max\{p_{mt}^*, s_t\},$

 $p_{mt}^* = \beta_{m0} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_{mn} p_{m,t-n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_{m,N+n} p_{u,t-n} + \overline{\beta}_m z_t + \varepsilon_{mt}$, and an autoregressive model to represent US tomato price determination

 $p_{ut} = \beta_{u0} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_{un} \, p_{u,t-n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_{u,N+n} \, p_{m,t-n} + \bar{\beta}_{u} z_{t} + \varepsilon_{ut},$

where p_{mt} and p_{ut} are market prices of tomatoes imported from Mexico and those produced in the US at time t; z_t is selected exogenous variables, including a time trend and seasonality variables. We allow for heteroskedasticity by considering a specification for the error terms. Equations are estimated simultaneously by the maximum likelihood estimation method for three agreement periods with different floor prices.

Estimation Results

Table 1. Parameter estimates for lagged variables over three sample periods

	Coe.	Mexican Tomato Price			Coe	US Tomato Price		
		1998 Agree.	2003	2013 Agree.		1998	2003 Agree.	2013
			Agree.			Agree.		Agree.
$p_{m,t-1} \\$	β_{m1}	1.139***	1.235***	1.295***	β_{u5}	0.228***	0.421***	0.340***
p _{m,t-2}	β_{m2}	-0.514***	-0.666***	-0.697***	β_{u6}	-0.257**	-0.502***	-0.292**
p _{m,t-3}	β_{m3}	0.272*	0.145*	0.192	β_{u7}	0.180*	0.028	0.126
p _{m,t-4}	β_{m4}	0.003	-0.033	-0.046	β_{u8}	-0.051	0.056	0.017
$\mathbf{p}_{u,t-1}$	β_{m5}	0.069	0.098*	0.487***	β_{u1}	0.981***	0.854***	1.346***
p _{u,t-2}	β_{m6}	0.014	-0.014	-0.713***	β_{u2}	-0.292**	0.046	-0.843***
$p_{u,t-3} \\$	β_{m7}	-0.127	0.137**	0.568***	β_{u3}	-0.015	-0.053	0.384**
$p_{u,t-4}$	β_{m8}	-0.062	-0.015	-0.131	β_{u4}	-0.035	-0.030	-0.184*

Estimation results in Table 1 show:

Under each study period, the lagged own-price effects in the markets of both Mexican and US tomatoes are statistically significant, showing statistical evidence that there exist lagged own price effects in the Mexican and US prices.

The further causality analyses in Table 2 reveal:

- US tomato price has a positive impact on the Mexican tomato price, but there is no such positive effect of the Mexican tomato price on the US tomato price during the 2003 agreement period (2003-2013)
- > The 2013 suspension agreement has supported the US tomato price by raising the Mexican tomato price.

Table 2. Granger-Causality Tests and Tests of Positive Causal Effects							
Hypothesis	1998 Agree.	2003 Agree.	2013 Agree.				
H ₀ : US tomato price does not Granger-cause Me tomato price($\beta_{m5} = \beta_{m6} = \beta_{m7} = \beta_{m8} = 0$)	xican 4.396	32.856***	15.943***				
H ₀ : US tomato price has no positive effect on Me tomato price($\beta_{m5}+\beta_{m6}+\beta_{m7}+\beta_{m8}=0$)	xican	17.175***	4.056**				
H ₀ : Mexican tomato price does not Granger-cau tomato price($\beta_{u5} = \beta_{u6} = \beta_{u7} = \beta_{u8} = 0$)	se US 8.219*	63.337***	9.030*				
H ₀ : Mexican tomato price has no positive effect to tomato price($\beta_{u5}+\beta_{u6}+\beta_{u7}+\beta_{u8}=0$)	on US 2.406	0.002	4.797**				

Simulation

Table 5. Effects of the 2015 Agreement on Fiftes and Farm Revenues									
Price without	Price with	Price	Revenue						
Agreement(\$/lb)	Agreement(\$/lb)	Change	Change						
			(\$/acre)						
0.469	0.497	5.5%							
0.444	0.489	10.1%	2,470						
0.480	0.495	3.1%	850						
0.469	0.475	1.3%							
0.391	0.394	0.8%	95						
0.506	0.514	1.6%	220						
	Price without Agreement(\$/Ib) 0.469 0.444 0.480 0.469 0.391 0.506 0.506	Price without Price with Agreement(\$/Ib) Agreement(\$/Ib) Agreement(\$/Ib) 0.469 0.497 0.480 0.495 0.469 0.475 0.391 0.394 0.506 0.514	Price without Agreement(\$/Ib) Price Agreement(\$/Ib) Price Change 0.469 0.497 5.5% 0.444 0.489 10.1% 0.480 0.495 3.1% 0.469 0.475 1.3% 0.391 0.394 0.8%						

We used the simulation method to examine the effects of the 2013 agreement on the paths of expected prices. We compared the expected prices under two scenarios. In the baseline scenario, the reference prices in the 2013 agreement period (Mar 9, 2013 - Sep 10, 2016) are assumed to be the same as those in the 2003 agreement period, whereas in the second scenario, the reference prices are changed to the current levels under the 2013 agreement. Simulation results in Table 3 show:

- In the baseline scenario, the average Mexican expected price is \$0.469/lb, while it increases to \$0.497/lb under the 2013 agreement, resulting in a 5.5% increase.
- For the winter season, the average Mexican expected price increases to \$0.495, up 3.1%; for the summer season, the Mexican price is \$0.489, up 10.1% compared to the baseline scenario.
- The average US prices with and without the agreement are \$0.469/lb and \$0.475/lb, respectively, which represents an increase of 1.3%,
- Winter US prices are 1.6% higher while summer US prices are 0.8% higher compared to the baseline.
- Because of the 2013 suspension agreement, farm revenue of Florida growers has increased by \$220 per acre.
- Mexican grower in the major winter production area would have an extra revenue of approximately \$850 per acre due to the 2013 agreement.

Conclusion

The study detected changing dynamics of Mexican and US tomato prices resulting from the suspension agreements; reference prices changed the own- and cross-price dynamics. In particular, the latest 2013 agreement with substantially higher reference prices have made the markets of Mexican and US tomatoes more closely integrated and resulted in bidirectional causal relationship.

The agreement effectively boosted the US tomato prices and lowered the pressure of competition. An increase in Mexican tomato prices will push US prices higher under the 2013 agreement. Such price effect did not occur under the previous agreements.

The results suggest the Mexican industry reaped most of the benefits from the elevated prices under the new suspension agreement.

References

Chavas, J.-P., and K. Kim. 2004. A Heteroskedastic multivariate Tobit Analysis of Price Dynamics in the Presence of Price Floors. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 86(3): 576–593. Ghazalian, P.L. 2014. The New Tomato Suspension Agreement: What are the Implications for Trade Flows? *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics* 63(3): 359-380. Kim, K., and J.-P. Chavas. 2002. A Dynamic Analysis of the Effects of a Price Support Program on Price Dynamics and Price Volatility. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* 27(2): 495-514.