

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

## This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. A multi-regional input-output linear programming model of water allocation in the Southeastern US

### **Di Sheng**

Graduate Assistant Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Tennessee <u>dsheng@vols.utk.edu</u>

### Lixia He Lambert

Research Scientist Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Tennessee <u>llamber3@utk.edu</u>

### **Dayton M. Lambert**

Professor Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Tennessee <u>dlamber1@utk.edu</u>

### **Burton C. English**

Professor Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Tennessee <u>benglish@utk.edu</u>

### Jamey Menard

Research Leader Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Tennessee <u>rmenard@utk.edu</u>

### **Christopher D. Clark**

Professor Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Tennessee <u>cdclark@utk.edu</u>

### David W. Hughes

Professor Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Tennessee dhughe17@utk.edu

### Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1

Copyright 2017 by Di Sheng, Lixia He Lambert, Dayton M. Lambert, Burton C. English, Jamey Menard, Christopher D. Clark and David W. Hughes. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

## A multi-regional input-output linear programming model of water allocation in the Southeastern U.S.



United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture Di Sheng, Lixia He-Lambert, Dayton M. Lambert, Burton C. English, Jamey Menard, Christopher D. Clark, and David W. Hughes Department of Agricultural & Resource Economics, University of Tennessee

- North Carolina

- and without interregional industry transactions
- the marginal value of water of the economy



Gross	Regional	Prod	uct
Di	fferent V	Vater	Sup

SE Water Availability	SE Gross Regional Product	Marginal Value of Water
(acre-feet)	(million \$)	(\$/acre-feet)
82,825,409	2,940,626	367
78,684,139	2,937,180	836
74,542,868	2,933,629	877
70,401,598	2,929,996	879
66,260,327	2,926,315	906
62,119,057	2,922,515	1,031
57,977,786	2,910,783	4,837
53,836,516	2,858,003	27,256
49,695,245	infeasible	/

## Conclusion

- feet in total
- Transactions among regions can help to reduce water stress
- The marginal value of water increases dramatically when restricted regional final demand is posed.

## References

IMPLAN Group, LLC, IMPLAN System (2013 data and software), 16740 Birkdale Commons Parkway, Suite 206, Huntersville, NC 28078 U.S. Department of the Interior – U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Water Information System – 2010 Water Use Data for Tennessee Owen, S. (2016). Estimating the Economic Value of Water for Agriculture and Other Industries in Tennessee. University of Tennessee. (MS thesis).

### Acknowledgement and Contact

This project was supported by the USDA-NIFA Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive Grant No. #2014-08253. Contact: Christopher D. Clark, cdclark@utk.edu, (865)-974-7471

# AGRICULTURAL & **ESOURCE ECONOMICS**

## and Water Marginal Value at oply Levels (MRIO\_WIT\_P)

• The southeastern U.S. is able to meet its final demand without inputs from outside of the southeastern U.S. until water availability decreases to 60% of its current withdrawal level, which is around 50 million acre-