AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

The Impact of Climate Change on Developed Economies

Ding Du

The W. A. Franke College of Business

PO Box 15066

Northern Arizona University

Flagstaff, AZ 86011, United States

Email: Ding.Du@nau.edu

Xiaobing Zhao

The W. A. Franke College of Business

PO Box 15066

Northern Arizona University

Flagstaff, AZ 86011, United States

Email: Xiaobing.Zhao@nau.edu

Ruihong Huang

Department of Geography, Planning, and Recreation

College of Social and Behavioral Sciences

Northern Arizona University

Flagstaff, AZ 86011, United States

Email: Ruihong.Huang@nau.edu

***Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association
Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1***

The Impact of Climate Change on Developed Economies

Ding Du, Xiaobing Zhao, and Ruihong Huang

Northern Arizona University

Introduction

A consensus from the research based on **national** data is that climate change **does not negatively** affect developed economies.

Burke et al. (2015) find: “Europe could **benefit** from increased average temperatures” (p. 3).

However, recent research based on **sub-national** data challenges this consensus.

Deryugina and Hsiang (2014) find that increases in **daily** temperature above 15 °C significantly reduce personal income at the county level in **the United States**.

In this paper, we revisit the relationship between temperature and growth.

1. To understand if adaptation over long run makes developed countries not negatively affected by climate change, we focus on **five-year** average temperatures, not daily or annual temperatures.
2. To understand if it is the United State or developed economies in general that are not negatively affected by climate change, we study **the United States** as well as **the European Union**.

Objective

In this paper, we use **sub-national** data to examine the **long-run** relationship between temperature and growth within **the United States and the European Union**.

Data

There are two potential issues with using national data.

1. As Nordhaus (2006) points out, “for many countries, averages of most geographic variables (such as temperature or distance from seacoast) cover such a huge area that they are virtually **meaningless**” (p. 3511).
2. If there is a nonlinear relationship between temperature and growth within a country, the impact at the average national temperature will be a biased estimate of the average impact across the country, due to **Jensen’s inequality**.

We therefore follow Nordhaus (2006) and use the G-Econ data. **G-Econ** estimates real gross output at a **1-degree longitude by 1-degree latitude** resolution at a global scale.

Empirical Methodology

Our base **linear spline** model is derived from a Cobb-Douglas type production function:

$$y_{it} = \mu_i + \theta_t + \sum_m \tau^m T_{it}^m + \sum_m \rho^m P_{it}^m + \lambda_{it} + \eta_{it}$$

where y_{it} is the five-year growth rate in GCP in cell i , μ and θ are cell and time fixed effects, T_{it}^m ’s are the linear spline of the five-year average temperature, P_{it}^m ’s are the linear spline of the five-year average precipitation, and λ_{it} is the five-year growth rate in population.

We use **3 °C-wide** temperature bins. For the US sample, m is set to 12, and the knots are -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, and 21 (i.e., the first temperature bin is $T < -9$ °C, the second one is $-9 \leq T < -6$, and so on). For the EU sample, m is set to 7, and the knots are 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15 (because few cells have average temperatures below -3).

The mean impact of temperature on economic growth is $f(T_{it}) = \sum_m \tau^m T_{it}^m$.

With the parameter estimates based on our linear spline regression models, we compare the economic growth under two scenarios. One is the “**no warming**” scenario in which temperatures are assumed to stay at their 1995 levels (“counterfactual”), and the other is the “**warming**” scenario in which temperatures increase.

Empirical Results

Temperature and growth with the **country-level** data

Growth peaks at an annual average temperature of about **15 °C** in the G-Econ sample, if we use the national data.

Temperature and growth in the US with the **cell-level** data

Growth increases with temperature, but only until **6 °C**, well **below** the optimal temperature based on national data.

Temperature and growth in the EU with the **cell-level** data

Growth increases with temperature, but only until **6 °C**, well **below** the optimal temperature based on national data.

Heterogeneous temperature increases across cells in the US and the EU

Warming is not homogenous. Thus, we would misestimate the impact of climate change on economic growth if we used national-level temperature projections.

This motivates us to use the **grid-level temperature projections** downscaled from CMIP5 (IPCC, 2014) by Hijmans et al. (2005). More specifically, we focus on the climate projections for **2050**, which consist of a total of **63** projections and are derived from **19** Global Climate Models (GCM) combined with **four** Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP).

Heterogeneous distribution of production in the US and the EU

Production occurs mainly in cells with temperatures **above the optimal temperature**, suggesting that temperature increases projected by IPCC (2014) could have **significantly negative impact** on economic growth.

Impact of climate change on US economic growth

By 2050, with IPCC projected warming, the annual economic growth in the US would be **1.90%** lower relative to the counterfactual case in which temperatures stay at their 1995 levels.

Impact of climate change on EU economic growth

By 2050, with IPCC projected warming, the annual economic growth in the EU would be **2.17%** lower relative to the counterfactual case in which temperatures stay at their 1995 levels.

Conclusions

In this paper, we extend Deryugina and Hsiang (2014) and Colacito et al. (2016), and find that long-run temperature increases significantly negatively affect the US and the European Union.

Our results suggest that mitigation over long run does not make developed economies not negatively affected by climate change.

Therefore, our results suggest more proactive climate policy.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a FGP grant at Northern Arizona University.

Du, D., Zhao, X., & Huang, R. (2017). The Impact of Climate Change on Developed Economies, *Economics Letters* 153, 43–46.