



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Venelin Terziev

*Angel Kanchev University of Ruse
Vasil Levski National Military University – Veliko Tarnovo
Bulgaria*

ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN ORGANIC PRODUCTION – AN INCENTIVE FOR SUSTAINABLE RURAL DEVELOPMENT

The implementation of the strategic goals of economic, social and ecological development should start by entrepreneurship encouragement in rural areas for development of agrarian and related activities. The significance of protection of environmental components (soil, water, air, biodiversity, landscape) in global aspect directs the development towards sustainable agriculture, and organic production in particular, and its integration to other activities as tourism development.

Current paper discusses entrepreneurship in organic production and trade as one of the main drivers of economic growth, productiveness and innovations in rural regions. Organic production is economically effective, ecologically compatible and socially responsible and it occurs to be an effective entrepreneurial strategy for rural development starting from urban areas demand and embracing not only food or other products but also places for rest, tourism, landscape preservation, protection of nature, culture, infrastructure development, etc., aiming at increase in competitiveness by applying an ecological technology using a new approach – planning, management and control over the production process.

Key words: *organic farming, sustainable development, entrepreneur, entrepreneurship.*

Introduction and review of literature. In contemporary globalizing world one of the most prominent and mutually connected goals, governments and international institutions have, are those of sustainable development, knowledge-based economy and transfer of innovation. The main characteristics of the changing world in XXIth century is the knowledge and knowledge-based economy – favorable economic and institutional environment, entrepreneurship development and management, information infrastructure, human resources and innovation systems [7].

The main goal in agriculture's development in the EU is the achievement of high levels of food safety, economic, ecological and social sustainability. Agriculture in the process of its development reflects historical, cultural and social values of human kind. Sustainable agriculture integrates three main objectives – healthy environment, economic effectiveness and social justice [3].

The choice of consumers in the EU is directed towards healthier and tastier food with high nutritional values produced by environmentally friendly methods - organic farming in particular [4]. The leading principle in this development is the quality. Consumers now search not only for the 'rude' quality first – easily determined facts in relation to safety and hygiene but for the 'soft' one too where traditions, heritage and sustainable production give added value to the products. A market-oriented farmer / producer should respond to consumers' demands in order to sell the produce,

especially in respect to quality and safety. The motivation for organic farming varies from own health anxiety to planet ecological balance concern [27]. So it can be examined at different levels starting from the separate farm till global level's policies [32].

The purpose of the article is to analyze current studies on entrepreneurship development in the organic sector as an instrument for sustainable rural development through the example of Bulgaria. It is based on investigations of the links of organic production to sustainability pillars of economic, social and ecological effectiveness that provide implications on the potentials that could be used considering the notion of accountability and active involvement of key stakeholders.

Results and discussion. In Bulgaria there are 20 rural areas, 7 intermediate and 1 urban (the capital). Rural and intermediate areas cover 98,8% of the territory of the country and 84,3% of its population [17]. Thus sustainable rural development is one of the priorities for future development. The question how this can be achieved is one of the most pressing in the last few years bearing in mind the processes of globalization and urbanization which have great negative impacts on rural areas development [28]. The hard competition on global markets for agricultural produce on one hand and the unattractiveness of rural areas for young people on the other impose the need of seeking for new ways, approaches, technology, products, services, etc. to foster rural development [33]. The process is influenced both by the EU, state and other policies and support and by people's motivation too [30].

Europe 2020 Strategy of intelligent, sustainable and inclusive growth aims at encouragement of the competitive economy effectively using the resources in environmentally friendly ways. EU policies have an accent on organic production as a way of achieving sustainable development.

Organic production follows the same principles in their essence in the whole world but in accordance to local social, economic, cultural and other characteristics. The aims of organic farming are to protect: (a) the environment, by using organic management practices that do not have adverse effects of conventional practices, and (b) the health of consumers, by the provision of organic products [9].

One of the most accepted definitions is that of International federation of organic agriculture movements (IFOAM) along with its principles of health, ecology, fairness and care: *"Organic Agriculture is a production system that sustains the health of soils, ecosystems and people. It relies on ecological processes, biodiversity and cycles adapted to local conditions, rather than the use of inputs with adverse effects. Organic Agriculture combines tradition, innovation and science to benefit the shared environment and promote fair relationships and a good quality of life for all involved"* [15].

According to Codex Alimentarius' definitions: *"Organic agriculture is a holistic production management system which promotes and enhances agroecosystem health, including biodiversity, biological cycles, and soil biological activity. It emphasizes the use of management practices in preference to the use of off-farm inputs, taking into account that regional conditions require locally adapted systems. This is*

accomplished by using, where possible, cultural, biological and mechanical methods, as opposed to using synthetic materials, to fulfil any specific function within the system” [13].

Organic production is a production method which in the greatest extent puts the accent on environmental protection, health and safety. That way it appears to be the right and the most direct way for a farm/plant to respond to all legislative requirements in those fields [3]. On the other hand, the organic sector proves to be one with the biggest potentials for achievement of sustainable economic and social growth, as well as for supporting rural areas development [5]. The key difference between intensive agriculture and organic farming turns to be that the first one is oriented towards world market while the second one towards ecosystems. A commonly accepted notion is that one of the indicators of society viability and sustainability is the food system's long term health impact in which sustainable agriculture is of particular importance. Thus, from its advent as a way of thinking and agricultural practice at the beginning of 20th century organic farming has been expanding and embracing more and more countries and areas. Last years' sector growth is assessed as permanent and stable.

The organic production method as a multifunctional system integrating economic and social issues with those of environmental protection, is an appropriate alternative for the operators (producers, processors and traders) to find the best solution for organization, management and development of agricultural holdings, processing or trade companies and to find markets for their produce. In that connection, encouragement and development of organic production and its integration with other economic branches is one of the alternatives for future sustainable development [7].

Organic production is economically effective, ecologically compatible and socially responsible and it occurs to be *“an innovative solution for creating entrepreneurial initiatives in rural regions”* [20] aiming at increase in competitiveness by applying an ecological technology using a new approach – planning, management and control over the production process [7].

Current paper presents a desktop study and analyses of previous research on organic production development in Bulgaria as an instrument of sustainable development. It examines entrepreneurs' qualities and the significance of entrepreneurship encouragement from the point of view of organic sector management and development.

“Organic” entrepreneurs and “organic” entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurship is considered a central force of economic development, as it generates growth and serves as a vehicle for innovation and change [18]. Entrepreneurship has been recognized as a major conduit for sustainable products and processes, and new ventures are being held up as a panacea for many social and environmental concerns. However, there remains considerable uncertainty regarding the nature of entrepreneurship's role and how it may unfold [14]. It can be assumed that sustainable entrepreneurship, in its essence, is not different from other types of

entrepreneurship, but it takes into consideration the social and environmental issues together with economic ones; thereby sustainable entrepreneurs are more responsible [18]. There is growing recognition that firms' long-term success depends on *strategic entrepreneurship* – simultaneously exploiting current domains while exploring for new domains [35].

When discussing business, management and economics, some of the most used words are 'entrepreneur' and 'entrepreneurship'. However, very often different meanings are implied in them. From the etymological point of view that is an intermediary between two parties. Historically viewed this is one of the most inherent characteristics – bigger profit because of the undertaken risk. And the risk is determined as one of the most important and essential characteristics of the entrepreneurship. Later, entrepreneurship is assigned to those who need capital (the production factors – land, capital or labor) differentiating them of those who possess it [1]. Thus, the peculiarities in entrepreneurship are determined to be discovery and innovations when following plans in conditions of risk [7]. Innovations could embrace something that was unknown till the moment – raw materials, products or services, transfer of new technology, entering new market, organizational innovations, etc.

Human history evidences show that in entrepreneurship theory and practice there are many tricky questions impeding general conclusions and common recommendations. It is necessary to make analyses in the concrete sector of study or economic activity in order to summarize the necessary characteristics of the entrepreneur, generation of ideas, entrepreneurial strategies, ways of encouragement of entrepreneurial activities, etc.

Discussing in general qualities needed of young organic entrepreneurs could be pointed as follows: knowledge on production technology, economics and management, environmental protection, skills in making analyses of inner and outer environment, strategy making, communicative skills, decision taking, risk assessment and management, organizational skills, etc. The learned from practice is very valuable but sometimes it is not enough. More specifically the following could be determined: initiative and decisiveness, foresight and flexibility, broad point of view, steady work, life-long learning and improvement, honesty and loyalty to suppliers, customers, competitors, team work ability, etc.

In the process of discovering innovations the importance is put on the personal factor, sources and favourable opportunities. Some group of factors could be formulated:

- basic – genetic factors, family environment, knowledge, skills and competences, experience, situation, motivation, possible collaborators, financing opportunities, access to advisory services, etc.

- unlocking – economic compulsion (need of higher incomes, unemployment), jealousy (success of others), examples from media, wish to put into practice own ideas instead of giving them to someone else, favourable factors (for example contacts), unwillingness to continue current job, etc.

- detaining – uncertainty, prejudices, etc.

In organic sector the bigger part is of innovative and intuitive types of entrepreneurs – full of energy innovators, taking decisions according to the real resources as well as of the analytical types (good organizers, full of ideas, amenable to new information, using integrated approaches). In agricultural sector, and organic farming in particular, the most important decision, is what to produce and in what way. The ideas could be borne by analyses of market niches – a method that is intuitively used in many cases although theoretically complex. Analyses of life styles are applicable too at some extent because of the point of healthy and safe food and living environment. One of the most negative characteristics is the absence of planning in both short and long-term periods. Main mistakes are connected to non-estimated high expenses, lack of managerial and marketing knowledge and skills, lack of integrated knowledge, etc. All above stated as personal qualities, ideas generation, strategies and planning are part of entrepreneurial activities and there should be found ways of improving knowledge, skills and competences in those fields in order to push organic sector development. Starting from the business idea through decision to start organic conversion till extended analyses and planning activities embracing all resources should precede the ‘real start’ and running. On the other hand, a part of the entrepreneurial potential is the intuitive development of small and medium size business in rural areas based on traditions, culture, experience, etc. [7].

Considering entrepreneurial economy as an innovative knowledge-based economy, another important point deserving special attention when discussing entrepreneurship is innovation / novelty / reform. In contemporary societies entrepreneurs are accepted as ‘bearer’ of innovations developing societies. An entrepreneur searches for new knowledge, initiates, organizes and implements new activities. Innovations are connected to the optimal use of resources and hence sustainable development. The relation price – cost (‘the formula of success’) is about economic power of the producer and competitiveness of goods or services. Lowering production and distribution expenditures is one of the main tasks in organic production subject to innovations search. In that relation it is important to notice the opportunities of networking, making associations, cooperations, cooperatives etc. The decision of ‘uniting’ is viewed as part of the entrepreneurial activities and as a result of good entrepreneurial culture / behavior, especially regarding marketing cooperatives in organic production sector and associations of lobbying ‘defending their members’ rights’. The last one as part of the civil society development is very important in the processes of making policies, strategies and plans on different levels in connection to the creation of organizational, financial and law prerequisites for support of small and medium sized enterprises in rural regions for sustainable growth and fair trade.

Not the least is the significance of the so-called virtual entrepreneurship and using the Internet opportunities as a channel of reaching suitable categories of consumers – educated and with good incomes, using every day Internet for

communications, information, work or purchase [1]. Of course, the main threats are the Internet deceptions that are growing and sometimes becoming even more innovative.

So, the significance of the requirements to entrepreneurial ethics is growing – responsibilities: clients: safety, quality and quantity in correspondence to price; suppliers: correct payments; competitors: fair trade: employers: salary, training, social activities, etc. Contemporary entrepreneurs should be more wise and flexible than ever before, but also honest to customers and socially responsible. Organic production concept and methods fully corresponds to the needs of entrepreneurial, knowledge-based and sustainable behavior.

Competitiveness raising through innovations

The economic development of a country or a region is strictly linked to the innovation process. Four types of innovation are considered[22]: product innovation (which involves a good or service that is new or significantly improved); process innovation (which involves a new or improved production or delivery method); marketing innovation (which involves a new marketing method, including significant changes in product design or packaging, product placement, product promotion or pricing); and organisational innovation (introducing a new method in the firm's business practices, workplace organisation or external relations).

Raising competitiveness could be reached not only through huge investments but also through creation and distribution of knowledge among stakeholders and networking [7]. Those questions are of extreme importance for agricultural sector reflecting historical and cultural characteristics of a region and influencing the production structure, and especially of organic production combining traditions and innovations and 'engulfing' high production expenditures. In the process of its development agriculture has been forced to provide produce in enough quantities and at affordable prices which has imposed many unsustainable methods and many debates about ecological and social impacts of agricultural systems. The whole development leads to enormous growth in yields and decrease in production costs. On the other hand, agriculture uses resources that are accepted as enough – water and energy. World population growth and the processes of urbanization presume that the demands towards agriculture will increase. The need of establishment of a new connection between urban and rural areas is implemented in the concept of sustainable development [25].

Successful entrepreneurial strategies in agriculture, applying innovative approaches, add value in new activities and contribute to sustainable development [23, 24]. They include new connections of agriculture with other sectors and mutual use of 'waste' products. The need of sharing responsibilities between the stakeholders imposes the establishment of new innovation systems. 'Non-financial' goals have started to be put in the strategies on the level of organizations. The eco-marketing includes all activities involved in obtaining social acceptance of environmental ideas, the public and private conduct appropriate environmental requirements [12]. There is a need of establishing a technology development system that incorporates three

elements (measurement of inputs in space and time, market-focused technology development and a self-teaching information system for farmers) and that could be used in rural development, primarily in the area of agricultural production [11]. Knowledge and innovation are keywords in a context of resource scarcity and sustainable intensification of agriculture. But in order to fully use the knowledge potential and to transform research results into innovative practices, there is a need for an adequate configuration of the agricultural knowledge and innovation system (AKIS). This configuration should be considered in relation to its own specific context and history [34]. Agricultural knowledge and information system (AKIS) aims at knowledge exchange between producers, researchers and scientists, institutions and organizations, which create and distribute knowledge and information in support of production, marketing and processing of agricultural products, as well as natural resources management [29]. AKIS is the framework embracing all the actors and their interactions in creation and transfer of knowledge in new and effective ways (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. A model of production with the market-focused technology development system [11, with modifications]

The agricultural and food sectors face a huge challenge to boost production without exceeding the world's ecological boundaries. Research and innovation are hereby of crucial importance as sustainable intensification will largely depend upon the increase of productivity (instead of farming more land) [34]. Farmers must continuously adapt production and management systems in order to maintain and

enhance the competitiveness and sustainability of their businesses [26]. The development and implementation of innovations require both information and the farmers' willingness to change daily work routines. Learning and knowledge transfer among farmers, technology developers, experts and university teams ensure the development and application of innovative ideas which are crucial for a sustainable growth in food (and non-food) production [19]. Recently, the transition from traditional scientific approaches of creation and transfer of innovations by linear approaches (scientists create innovations, consultants transfer innovations to farmers) towards systematic approaches lead to the understanding that "innovation emerges from networks of actors as a social (and institutional) as well as a technical, nonlinear and interactive learning process [16]. The use of group processes to encourage innovation and to transfer best practice is relatively novel in the agricultural sector. The establishment of small, close knit groups with a dedicated experienced facilitator and utilising Action Learning methodology can result in extremely effective and sustainable innovation and knowledge transfer [21].

The need to recognize individual peculiarities in agricultural production, previous knowledge and experience, social and economic development, etc., along with the notion that training is a social process, effective interactive communications, combination of new technological decisions with management activities, lead to the integrated approach – interdisciplinary forms of training and scientific research and creation of knowledge for practice. As already pointed out, systems of innovations approaches build on networks as social processes encouraging the sharing of knowledge and, notably, as preconditions for innovation. Such approaches, therefore, focus on processes (instead of the emphasis on structures) with knowledge conceived as being constructed through social interaction – i.e. not unproblematically transferred but instead continuously created and recreated. Thus particular attention is given to (social) coordination and networking [16]. Crucial factors for the application of innovations could be different: interactions on the macrolevel; entrepreneurship; innovation capacity; management. Social innovation is a complex and multidimensional concept that is used to indicate the social mechanisms, social objectives and/or societal scope of innovation. The social mechanisms of innovation refer to the fact that the development, diffusion and use of innovations always occur within the context of society and in interaction with social relations, practices and norms and values. Social innovation is often appointed as an essential part of agricultural and rural innovation [10].

Entrepreneurship and innovation networks are the main elements of innovations systems. Networking in educational projects for innovations in organic farming and entrepreneurship encouragement - experience in training, motivation, knowledge transfer, entrepreneurship and networking, is a subject of many projects working on experiences and knowledge in the field of ecologic farm production, specifically in the relation and interaction with and between farmers in the training process using innovative methods and practices [2].

In addition, the connection science-business is a subject of many discussions and

financing schemes in international and national programs, and especially the building of innovation infrastructure. In all these processes the user-centered approach in innovation research is the leading one but the organization and implementation of activities is a tricky task [31]. In modern time the shift from a product-based economy to a user-centered one brought to many challenges before companies concerning innovativeness and flexibility issues in market positioning [6]. The importance of technological factors and users' feedback in innovation processes leads to the development of the living labs concept in recent years as open innovation intermediaries. Among the number of the approaches and types of proinnovation structures the living lab concept gathering momentum in last years is one of the most promising in the processes of developing goods and services fulfilling consumer demands [8]. The active involvement of end-users in research and innovation life-cycle is a prerequisite for raising competitiveness and improving business environment. It is a way of entrepreneurship encouragement and meeting challenges of assuring safety, quality and sustainability in all the spheres of economical life.

Conclusion. Organic production is a specific production method preserving environment and providing healthy food of high quality having the following advantages: production of healthy food with high technological characteristics; increasing demand; new markets; higher prices; less intensive use of land; lower energy consumption; environmental protection; rural development, etc. Organic farming is an overall systematic approach based on a number of processes leading to sustainable development. Increasing employment and decreasing unemployment, reducing the risk of poverty, increasing the attractiveness of some regions or destinations as a result of improvement of ecological conditions of life, attracting direct foreign investments, increasing incomes and employment in rural regions.

The strategic goals of raising competitiveness, human resources development, employment, incomes and social integration along with strategic priorities as infrastructure, entrepreneurship encouragement, favorable business environment, balanced territorial development, etc. consider knowledge and transfer of innovations weaving environmental protection, rational use, conservation and sustainable management of natural resources.

Organic production examined by the traditional pillars of sustainable development provides: economic sustainability – competitiveness increase, strong market orientation and increase in incomes; social sustainability – bigger responsibility towards consumers' needs, improving quality and safety of food, regional development; ecologic sustainability – a common frame, effective application, control, development of standards of environmental protection, health and welfare.

References

1. Arabska, E. (2012), Entrepreneurship encouragement in rural regions, *Proceedings of scientific and applied e-conference with international participation "Agribusiness and rural regions development in Bulgaria and EU – perspectives 2020"*. Varna, pp. 255–264.

2. Arabska, E. (2012), Innovations through networking in educational projects by an example of EDUECO project. *New Knowledge Journal of Science*, year I, no. 4, pp. 72–78.

3. Arabska, E. (2012), Opportunities for organic food production and marketing in Bulgaria – economic, social and environmental aspects. *50 years FoodRDI International Scientific-Practical Conference „Food, Technologies & Health” Proceedings Book*, pp. 73–83.

4. Arabska, E. (2013), Investigation of behaviour, wish and opportunities of operators and consumers for organic production development in Bulgaria. *International conference (ICRAE 2013) „Research and education - challenges toward future”*, University of Shkodra „Luigj Gurakuqi” in Shkodër; 24–25 May 2013, Albania.

5. Arabska, E. (2013), Sustainable rural development through organic production encouragement in state and local strategies in Bulgaria. *4th International Conference of Economic Sciences Quality of Life, Sustainability and Locality*; 9–10 May 2013, Kaposvár University, Hungary, pp. 518–527.

6. Arabska, E. (2014), Marketing Strategies in Organic Production in Bulgaria. *Discourse Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, Vol.2 (2), pp. 76–84.

7. Arabska, E. (2014), *Organic production: innovations and sustainability challenges in development framework and management*. Lambert academic publishing, OmniScriptum GmbH & Co. KG, Germany.

8. Arabska, E., Shopova, I. and Dimitrova, V. (2014), Living labs in integrated agriculture and tourism activities: driving innovations for sustainable rural development. *Innovations in Modern Organizations. Economic and Social Aspects INO-2014*, 26th September 2014 r. Malopolska School of Economics in Tarnow, Poland, Works on Management, Issue 2, vol. 25, pp. 27–35.

9. Argyropoulos, Ch., Tsiafouli, M., Sgardelic, St. and Pantis, J. (2013), Organic farming without organic products. *Land use policy*, no. 32, pp. 324–328.

10. Bock, B. B. (2012), Social innovation and sustainability; how to disentangle the buzzword and its application in the field of agriculture and rural development. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, no. 114, pp. 57–63.

11. Fenyvesi, L. and Szilvia, E. (2012), Boosting the competitiveness of agricultural production in Hungary through an innovation system. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, no. 114, pp. 106–110.

12. Gheorghiu, A., Vidraşcu, P. A. and Niculescu, M. D. (2013), The development of the Eco-marketing, green performance and corporate responsibility in a competitive economy. *Quality – Access to Success*, vol. 14, issue SUPPL. 1, pp. 373–377.

13. *Guidelines for the production, processing, labelling and marketing of organically produced foods*. Adopted by the 23rd Session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission in 1999 and revised by its 24th Session in 2001. Subsequently amended by the 26th and 27th Sessions in 2003 and 2004.

14. Hall, J. K., Daneke, G. A. and Lenox, M. J. (2010), Sustainable development

and entrepreneurship: Past contributions and future directions. *Journal of Business Venturing*, no. 25, pp. 439–448.

15. IFOAM definition of organic farming, available at: <http://www.ifoam.bio/en/our-library/organic-basics>.

16. Koutsouris, A. (2012), Facilitating Agricultural Innovation Systems: a critical realist approach. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, no. 111, pp. 64–70.

17. Krasteva, I. (2012), Prospects for rural development in the context of sustainable development. *Scientific and applied international conference „Development of agribusiness and rural regions in Bulgaria and EU- perspectives 2020”*, University of Economics – Varna, 2012, pp. 409–414.

18. Lordkipanidze, M., Brezet, H. and Backman, M. (2005), The entrepreneurship factor in sustainable tourism development, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, no. 13, pp. 787–798.

19. Munchhausen, S. and Haring, A. M. (2012), Lifelong learning for farmers: enhancing competitiveness, knowledge transfer and innovation in the Eastern German state of Brandenburg. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, no. 114, pp. 86–92.

20. Nikolova, M. (2012), Organic production in Bulgaria – an innovative solution for creating entrepreneurial initiatives in rural regions. *Scientific and applied international conference „Development of agribusiness and rural regions in Bulgaria and EU- perspectives 2020”*, University of Economics – Varna, pp. 231–241.

21. Owen, W. and Williams, E. (2012), The utilization of groups for innovation and knowledge transfer. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, no. 114, pp. 99–105.

22. Rebelo, J. and Muhr, D. (2012), Innovation in wine SMEs: the Douro Boys informal network. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, no. 114, pp. 111–117.

23. Shopova, I. and Arabska, E. (2013), Sustainable tourism development in rural areas. *4th International Conference of Economic Sciences; Quality of Life, Sustainability and Locality*, 9–10 May 2013 – Kaposvár University – Kaposvár – Hungary, pp. 535–546.

24. Shopova, I. and Arabska, E. (2014), Sustainable initiatives for integration of organic agriculture and regional tourist product through the example of Eastern Rhodopes mountains. *Proceedings of Eleventh International Conference 2014 Smart specialization of Bulgaria*, International Business School, Botevgrad traditional international conference, June 2014, pp. 890–906.

25. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2014), Challenges to food safety in the Republic of Bulgaria: recognition of threats in agri-food sector and provision of relevant legislation. *Proceedings of International scientific and applied conference “Theoretical and practical aspects of law relations”*, 29 December 2014, UFA-Aeterna, pp. 3–14.

26. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2014), Innovations in organic agriculture for assuring food quality and safety and healthy living environment. *Proceeding of International scientific and applied conference Role of economic sciences in society development*. UFA, AETERNA 2014, pp. 3–11.

27. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2014), Organic sector increase and impacts on

sustainable development – a myth or a reality? *Proceedings of International scientific and applied conference "Contemporary aspects in the globalization of economic processes"*, 20 December, 2014, UFA-Aeterna, pp. 218–223.

28. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2015), Challenges to organic production development in the Republic of Bulgaria. *5th International Conference of Economic Sciences, 5th CCEDEP of the ACEU*, 7–8 May, 2015, Kaposvar, Hungary, pp. 411–423.

29. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2015), Enhancing competitiveness and sustainability of agri-food sector through market-oriented technology development in Agricultural Knowledge and Innovation System in Bulgaria. *III International scientific and technical congress "Agricultural machinery"*, Varna, 22–25 June 2015, Proceedings Vol. 3, pp. 102–105.

30. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2015), Improvement of national strategic framework in organic production and management in the Republic of Bulgaria. *5th International Conference of Economic Sciences, 5th CCEDEP of the ACEU*, 7–8 May, 2015, Kaposvar, Hungary, pp. 425–438.

31. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2015), Organic production and management in the Republic of Bulgaria contributing to sustainable development and assurance of safe and healthy living environment. *Collective monograph "Socio-economic and law aspects of economy development"*, UFA-Aeterna, pp. 3–32.

32. Terziev, V. and Arabska, E. (2016), Sustainable rural development through organic production and community-supported agriculture in Bulgaria. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural science (BJAS)*, no. 22/4, pp. 527–535.

33. Velikova, M. and Arabska, E. (2015), Opportunities for sustainable rural development in Bulgaria. *International Scientific-Practical Conference "Food, Technologies & Health" – 2015 Proceedings Book*, pp. 169–174.

34. Vuylsteke, A. and Gijseghem, D. V. (2012), Linking the agricultural knowledge and innovation system's subsystems: the case of the Flemish ornamental plant production. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, no. 114, pp. 79–85.

35. Webb, J. W., Ketchen Jr. D. J. and Ireland, R. D. (2010), Strategic entrepreneurship within family-controlled firms: Opportunities and challenges, *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, no. 1, pp. 67–77.

[How to cite this article? Як цитувати цю статтю?](#)

Стиль – ДСТУ:

Terziev V. Entrepreneurship in organic production – an incentive for sustainable rural development [Electronic resource] / V. Terziev // *Agricultural and Resource Economics : International Scientific E-Journal*. – 2016. – Vol. 2. – No. 4. – pp. 30–42. – Mode of access : www.are-journal.com.

Style – Harvard:

Terziev, V. (2016), Entrepreneurship in organic production – an incentive for sustainable rural development. *Agricultural and Resource Economics: International Scientific E-Journal*, [Online], vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 30–42, available at: www.are-journal.com.