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Motivation and Introduction.

- The 2014 Farm Bill made crop insurance central to “farm safety net.”
- No one has measured the effect of crop insurance on the well-being of farmers in the US.  
  
**Brief literature review**

Our contributions:

- Apply Ligon’s “neediness” measure (IoMUE) to farm-households in the US using ARMS expenditure data.
- Using IoMUE as a “neediness” measure, determine the effect of farm business income on household welfare.
- Using IoMUE as a “neediness” measure, determine the effect of insurance payments on household welfare.
Making an ARMS pseudo-panel.

- The Agricultural Resource Management Survey (ARMS) has been collecting data on farm-household expenditures since 2006. We use data from 2006-2015.
- The survey asks a different subset of farmers each year.
- To use this repeated cross-section as a panel, we create a set of representative farmers each year.
- We combine counties such that each county-group has minimum three farmer responses each year.
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What is $\lambda$?

In the next few slides will describe how we estimate our index of marginal utilities of expenditures (IoMUE) parameter, $\log \lambda$.\(^1\) What is it?

- **Precise definition**: The rate at which a particular cardinalization of household utility would increase if the household’s expenditures received a small increase in a given period.

- **The Lagrange Multiplier on the Budget Constraint**: This is intuitive to economists, but no one else. It can also be thought of as the “neediness” of the household.

\(^1\)These slides follow the argument from a working paper by Ligon (2016): “Estimating household neediness from disaggregate expenditures.”
Estimating log $\lambda$.

Estimation of log $\lambda$ will take several steps.

1. Beginning with our standard household optimization problem, we analytically derive an estimable equation in which log $\lambda$ enters linearly. In this expression we control for relative prices with year-good fixed effects.

2. Regress log household expenditures on household characteristics with good-year fixed effects.

3. Use singular value decomposition of the residual to recover the log lambdas.
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**Table: Regressions on Farm Business Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependent variable:</strong></td>
<td>loglambda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log Income</td>
<td>−0.294***</td>
<td>−0.226***</td>
<td>−0.241***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−35.025***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.111)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group FE</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year FE</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observations</strong></td>
<td>10,171</td>
<td>10,171</td>
<td>10,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R²</strong></td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted R²</strong></td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
**Table: Regressions on Federal Crop Insurance Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dependent variable:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>log lambda</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log Insurance Income</td>
<td>−0.131***</td>
<td>−0.122***</td>
<td>−0.064***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−36.919***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.068)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group FE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year FE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>8,506</td>
<td>8,506</td>
<td>8,506</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
### Table: Regressions on Farm Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>log Insurance Income</td>
<td>0.131***</td>
<td>0.085***</td>
<td>0.113***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>9.516***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.065)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**  
* `p<0.1`; ** `p<0.05`; *** `p<0.01`
Our preliminary results imply that our welfare measure is reasonable.

Future work for this paper:

- Add a measure of federal crop insurance availability to regressions. If insurance is working as it should, we should see that farmers with insured crops have a lower correlation between expenditures and income than do uninsured farmers.
- Improve estimates of $\log \lambda$ by adding household characteristics.
- Run model on disaggregated data.
Thank You!
Estimating log $\lambda$. 

Assume prices are unknown, but all households face the same price. Then for household $j$, good $i$, and time $t$:

$$
y_{it}^j = a_{it} + b_i^T (z_t^j - \bar{z}_t) + c_i w_t^j + e_{it}^j, \tag{1}
$$

where

$$
y_{it}^j = \log x_{it}^j$$

$$
a_{it} = \log \alpha_i + \left[ \log p_{it} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta_{ij} \log p_{kt} \right] - \beta_i \log \lambda_t + \beta_i \bar{e}_{it} + \bar{\xi}_{it}
$$

$$
b_i = \beta_i \delta_i$$

$$
e_{it}^j = \beta_i (e_{it}^j - \bar{e}) + (\xi_{it}^j - \bar{\xi}_{it})$$

$$
c_i w_t^j = -\beta_i (\log \lambda_t^j - \log \lambda_t).$$
Existing research on crop insurance in the US generally focuses on one of three things:

1. the distribution of costs and payments under the program (Babcock 2012, Goodwin et al 2012);

2. farmer demand for crop insurance Goodwin 1993, Sherrick et al 2004, Du et al 2013) (but this work generally focuses on the characteristics of farms that purchase insurance);


Ligon 2016 estimates a similar log \( \lambda \) for households in Uganda.