

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Vol XXI No. 1

JANUARY-MARCH 1966 ISSN

0019-5014

# INDIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS





INDIAN SOCIETY OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS, BOMBAY

# LABOUR UTILIZATION PATTERNS AND EMPLOYMENT POTENTIALS OF PUNJAB FARMS: A CASE STUDY

S. S. JOHL

Professor of Economics and Sociology

AND

# A. S. KAHLON Dean

College of Basic Sciences and Humanities Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana

Efficient production primarily assumes a judicious balance in the supply of various farm resources. Whereas an under-supply of a resource would not permit maximization of returns, its over-supply might push its use to a point where marginal returns to the factor will fall very low and might even go down to zero or negative.

Agricultural labour, as an important input factor of agricultural production, is generally considered to be in over-supply on Indian farms. This creates an imbalance in farm resource availabilities and introduces inefficiencies in labour use, which has a depressing effect on agricultural wage rates. In the face of this general over-supply of agricultural labour, there sometimes occur acute shortages of labour in peak work periods and wage rates shoot high. In the interest of efficient utilization of farm labour contributing to the productivity in agriculture and its regular and gainful employment, it is essential to analytically examine the existing level and patterns of labour utilization and potentialities for its gainful employment on Indian farms.

This article attempts to describe the present availability and utilization of farm labour within a framework of given resources of some selected farms in the Ludhiana district of Punjab. The farm labour availability, utilization and balances are first analysed with the existing techniques of production used by the cultivators. The impact of improved techniques is also examined on labour use and employment potentials of the farms. Specifically, the study aims at providing answers to the following questions:

- (i) What is the labour availability, its utilization and its excess or shortage in peak work periods on different sized farm situations in the study area ?;
- (ii) What would be the impact of rationalisation of resource use on labour utilization within the framework of existing production techniques of the cultivators?; and
- (iii) How would the utilization and employment potentials be affected by the introduction of improved production techniques ?1

<sup>1.</sup> Improved production techniques considered here are of the nature of recommended inputs such as fertilizer, insect and pest controls, improved seed, enhanced irrigation facilities, etc., and not labour saving devices, such as introduction of improved implement and mechanization.

### Methods and Material

The study was located in one of the development blocks (Pakhowal) of the I.A.D.P., Ludhiana. It was confined to one synthetic farm situation of 14.15 acres (average of 20 modal sized operational holdings selected at random from four villages) and two actual farm situations, i.e., a small farm of 9.40 acres and a large farm of 21.75 acres. Data at existing level of production techniques were obtained through group meeting with the cultivators. The input-output coefficients at improved techniques of production were developed based on the recommendations of the I.A.D.P., Ludhiana. Existing production plans of the cultivators were studied for labour utilization and normative optimum production plans were developed both at the levels of existing technology and improved technology. Labour use patterns, excess and shortage were studied for these optimum production plans of the three situations. Technique of analysis used is linear programming. The analysis has been made in respect of only three farming situations. Although one situation, i.e., synthetic situation was fairly broad based to represent conditions on modal sized farms in the study area, the results would carry more of a qualitative significance than quantitative exposition.

### Results and Discussion

Under the conditions prevailing in the study area, peak work periods limiting agricultural production were obtained as under:

- (i) Mid-October—Mid-November: During this period labour is scarce because of harvest of *Kharif* crops and sowing of *Rabi* crops.
- (ii) Mid-November—Mid-March: During this period irrigation, hoeing, weeding, after-care operations of wheat and other *Rabi* crops are done and sugarcane is crushed.
- (iii) Mid-March—Mid-April: This is the period when preparations for harvest of wheat are made and some of the *Rabi* crops such as gram, oilseeds and barley are harvested.
- (iv) Mid-April—End April: During this period there is a heavy demand on labour for harvest of wheat.

This suggested that although labour in total, over the year might be abundant in supply, yet there are some peak work periods when labour actually is scarce relative to demand. Normal wage rates (mostly paid in kind) during these peak work periods in the area worked out as under:

(i)	Mid-October-Mid-November	Rs. 4.00 per man-day of 8 hours.
(ii)	Mid-November—Mid-March	Rs. 3.50 per man-day of 8 hours.
(iii)	Mid-March—Mid-April	Rs. 4.50 per man-day of 8 hours.
(iv)	Mid-April—End April	Rs. 7.00 per man-day of 8 hours.

For the rest of the period the wages ranged between Rs. 2.00 to Rs. 2.50 per man-day.

(in man-hours) Table I—Labour Utilization on Different Sized Typical Farms in Peak Work Load Periods, under Existing Production Plan, Ludhiana District, Punjab

Crop Enterprises			S	mall F	arm (9.	Small Farm (9.40 acres)	(8	Me	Medium (Synthetic) Farm (12.76 acres)	nthetic)	Farm	(12.76		Large	Large Farm (21.75 acres)	(21.75 acres)	(sa)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Crop Enterprises	a Ac		Mid- farch mid- t	Mid- April o end April t	Mid-Octo-ber o mid-Nov-ember	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March	Acre-			Mid- Octo- ber o mid- Nov-	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March	Acre-	Mid- March to mid- April	Mid- April to end April	Mid- Octo- ber to mid- Nov- ember	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1. Commercial Enterprise :	-															
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wheat—Fallow	4,	8.8	0	8	96	256	3.25	o o	53	89	211	9.00	0	192	44.	504
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wheat Inirrigated	C	3	o	90	<del>7</del> 0	\$ c	3.70	00	<del>4</del> %	30	30	<b>-</b>	00	0	0	00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Gram Irrigated	; ;	90.	30,	0	0	0	0.76	0	12	0	0	5.5	4.	0	0	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•	o :		0	0	0	0	0.58	0	6	0	0	3.0	96	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		:	0.	0	0	∞	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	16	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maize—Wheat	:	, ?	0	0;	0	0	1.9	0	0	46	0	0 (	0 (	٥,	0	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	American Cotton	c :	22,	5	20	0	0	0.65	010	90	0	0	20	<del>5</del> c	47 0	00	00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sugarcane	: :	.50	22	4	S	250	0.32	4	2	9	131	1.5	72	18	48	825
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Groundnut Irrigated	:	0.	0	0	84	0	0.52	0	0	15	0	2.0	0	0	32	0
and jobs $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Groundnut Unirrigated	o :	_	0	0	<b>•</b>	0	0.57	)	0	16	0	3.0	)	0	84	>
ind jobs 140 74 207 780 — 180 98 240 840 840 190s   13.00 231 204 404 1494 18.43 240 282 497 1572   410 240 424 1195 664 35.02 19.21 38.42 144.16   31.54 18.46 32.61 91.92 35.02 19.21 38.42 144.16   179 36 20 —299 424 74 211 1085   179 36 20 —299 23.00 4.02 11.45 58.87	Z. FOUGET TOT FAITH ATHINGS  Kharif  Rabi	::	\s. 0.	24	16	16	144	2.07		24	24	200	3.00	09 {	50	50	300
13.00 231 204 404 1494 18.43 240 282 497 1572 17.77 15.69 31.08 114.92 13.02 15.19 26.97 85.29 410 240 424 1195 664 356 708 2657 31.54 18.46 32.61 91.92 35.02 19.21 38.42 144.16 179 36 20 299 424 74 211 1085 179 2.77 1.53 -23.00 23.00 4.02 11.45 58.87	Tending of Farm Animals a other miscellaneous farm		١	140	74	207	780	1		86	240	840	1	210	120	270	930
410 240 424 1195 664 356 708 2 31.54 18.46 32.61 91.92 35.02 19.21 38.42 1. 179 36 20 299 424 74 211 1.33 23.00 4.02 11.45	4. Labour Utilized Total Per acre	. : 13		231	204 15.69				240 13.02	282 15.19	497 26.97	1572 8 <b>5</b> .29		31.50 622 19.74	404 12.83	608 19.30	2559 81.24
.: 179 36 20 —299 424 74 211 13.77 2.77 1.53—23.00 23.00 4.02 11.45	S. Labour Available Total Per acre	::		410 31.54	240 18.46				664 35.02	356 19.21	708 38.42			481 15.27	240 7.62	474 15.05	1488 47.24
	6. Shortiall () or Excess (+-) Total Per acre	::		179	36 2.77		299 23.00		424 23.00	-				—141 —4.47	—164 —5.21	-134— $1071$ $-4.25$ — $34.0$	-134— $1071$ $-4.25$ — $34.00$

TABLE II—LABOUR UTILIZATION PATTERNS ON DIFFERENT SIZED TYPICAL FARMS, UNDER NORMATIVE OPTIMUM PLANS WITH EXISTING TECHNIQUES OF PRODUCTION, LUDHIANA DISTRICT, PUNIAB (in man-hours)

			Small	Small Farm (9.40 acres)	.40 acre	(83		Medium	Medium (Synthetic) Farm (12,70 acres)	etic) Fa			Large Farm (21.75 acres)	arm (21	.75 acres)	(S)
Crop Enterprises	prises	Acre-	Mid- March to mid- April	Mid- April to end April	Mid- Octo- ber to mid- Nov- ember	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March	Acre- Nage to	Mid- March to mid- t	Mid- April to end April t	5 4 d - 6 d	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March	Acre-	Mid- March to mid- April	Mid- April to end April	Mid-Octo-ber to mid-Nov-ember	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March
Rabi Wheat Irrigated after Fallow Wheat Irrigated after Kharif Wheat Unirrigated after Fallow Wheat Unirrigated after Fallow Wheat Unirrigated after Kharif Gram Irrigated Gram Unirrigated	after Fallow after Kharif after Afte	3.22	00	94	48	128 200	2.48 1.52 1.25 0 0	000006	27.7.2 0 0 0 0 0	25 27 0 0 0 0	651 664 600 000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 192 96	0 0 0 0	131	457 0 0 0 0
Maize after Wheat Maize after Fallow American Cotton—Fallow American Cotton—Wheat	at	. 1.32	3000	000	=00	000	$\frac{1.22}{3.45}$	00200	0000	v000	0000	141	0	1011	32	0
Sugarcane Sugarcane Groundnut Irrigated Groundnut Unirrigated	ated	0.68	8 30 7 0	900	7 0 0	340 0 0	1.09 1.46 1.61	8 <del>4</del> 0 0	000	20 44 45	447 0 0	3.0	00	00	84 8 8 8	00
Founder  Kharif  Rabi Tanding of Earn Animals and		. 1.0	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.00 \\ 1.50 \end{bmatrix}$ 24	16	16	144	$\frac{2.07}{1.46}$	. 36	24	24	200	$\frac{3.00}{4.00}$	09 {	50	50	300
other miscel	other miscellaneous jobs		140	74	207	780		180	86	240	840	-	210	120	270	930
	Total Per acre	. 13.87	7 224 16.15	200	456 32.88	1592	18.37	345 18.78	224 12.19	483 26.29	1769 96.29	34.94	558 17.97	344 10.70	579 16.57	1687 48.28
Availability	Total Per acre		410 29.56	240	424 30.57	1195		664 36.15	354 19.27	708 38.54	2657 144.64		481 13.77	240 6.87	474 13.57	1488 42.59
Balance	Total Per acre		186	40		-32 $-397$ $-2.31 - 28.62$		319 17.37	130	225 12.25	888 48.35			-104 2.98	3 -3.00	—199 —5.69

Overall utilization of labour for different peak periods under the existing production plans of the cultivators was obtained as in Table I. The table showed that in general the farm labour supply was in excess on modal sized operational holdings during all the four periods. Small sized holdings also did not experience any shortage except in November-March period. But, large sized holdings experienced shortage of labour in all the four periods. This indicated that the existing production organizations of the small and medium sized farms did not permit hiring in of additional seasonal labour even during the peak work periods. They were rather suffering from over-supply of labour resource most of the time. This surplus labour needed off the farm employment, which would add to the farm family income.

The situation brought out serious imbalances in labour employment on the farms in the study area. Whereas some farms (small and medium) suffered from over-supply of labour, thereby creating conditions of under-or disguised employment even in peak work periods, large farms suffered a shortage of labour in these periods. This shows, if surplus labour of small and medium farms gets employment on large farms, this would correct the imbalances to a considerable extent. But, due to immobility of family and permanent farm labour, the adjustments in labour supply between surplus and deficit farms do not take place. This situation sustains the casual labour force in the villages. However, the casual labour force also suffers acute under-employment, especially in slack season. Due to the availability of the casual labour in the villages, oftentimes, the small and even medium sized farms, use less of the family labour and employ the casual labour in peak periods, especially for odd and difficult jobs such as harvest of wheat and crushing of sugarcane. This explains for the high wage rates during some of the peak work periods.

Rationalisation of resource use which would maximize the returns to fixed farm resources with the existing techniques of the cultivator gave labour use pattern as in Table II. Labour was better utilized in the situation, but even at this normative optimum, medium farm and small farm had surplus labour supply and only large farm indicated shortage in all the four periods. Overall labour surpluses in all the situations rather increased as is shown in Table III.

TABLE III—PERMANENT LABOUR BALANCES IN EXISTING PRODUCTION PLANS AND OPTIMUM PRODUCTION PLANS WITH EXISTING PRODUCTION TECHNIQUES OF THE CULTIVATOR

Deal-Wests		Ва	alance of	Man-hoi	urs			erall
Peak Work Periods	Small	farm	Mediu	n farm	Large	e farm	Ба	lance
	Far- mer's plan	Opti- mum plan	Far- mer's plan	Opti- mum plan	Far- mer's plan	Opti- mum plan	Far- mer's plan	Opti- mum plan
Mid-March—Mid-April	179	186	424	319	141	— <b>7</b> 7	462	428 (27·52%)
Mid-April—End April	36	40	74	130	—164	104	-54 -6.47%)	66
Mid-October-Mid-Novem	ber 20	—32	211	225	—134	-105	97 (6·04%)	88
Mid-November—Mid-Marc	ch -299	—397	1085	888	—1071	-199	285	292 ) (5·47%)

TABLE IV—LABOUR UTILIZATION ON DIFFERENT SIZED TYPICAL FARMS DURING PEAK WORK LOAD PERIOD, IMPROVED TECHNIQUES OF CULTIVATION, LUDHIANA DISTRICT, PUNJAB (in man-hours)

															-	(GIR)
Cron Enterprises	j	Sr	nall Fa	rm (9.4	Small Farm (9.40 acres)		N	Medium (Synthetic) Farm (12.70 acres)	Synthet acres)	ic) Fan	E	Lar	ge Farn	Large Farm (21.75 acres)	acres)	
	<b>₹</b> "	Acre- Nage to	Mid- March to mid- t April	Mid- April to end April	Mid- Octo- ber to mid- Nov- ember	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March	Acre- Nage to	Mid- March to mid- to April	Mid- April to end April to	Mid- Octo- ber to mid- t Nov- ember	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- March	Acre- N	Mid- March to mid- to April	Mid- April to end April to	Mid- Octo- ber to mid- to Nov-	Mid- Nov- ember to mid- Marcn
Commercial Crops Wheat after Fallow Wheat after Kharif Wheat Unirrigated—Fallow Wheat Unirrigated—Kharif Gram Irrigated Gram Unirrigated	:::::	3.26	000000;	55 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000	209 209 0 0 0	0.66	000000	27 20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	45 00 00 00 00	60 278 20 20 0	2.84	000000	91 251 0 36 0	25 0 0 0 0 0	203 565 0 64 0
Berseem (commercial)  Senji (commercial)  Kharif Season	::	0.69 44	0	0	4=	1.83	$0.87 \\ 0.83$	31	0	10	<del>2</del> 4	3.34 0.16	0 0	000	£ 4	361 8
Maize after Berseem Maize after Wheat American Cotton—Fallow American Cotton—Wheat	::::	1.69 0.63 2.13	0000	0000	450 00 00	0000	9.66 1.70	0000	0000	21 16 0 0	0000	3.34 2.84 3.5	0000	0000	21 0 0	0000
Dest Cotton Sugarcane Groundnut Irrigated—Fallow Groundnut Unirrigated—Wheat Groundnut Unirrigated—Fallow	::::	1.33	0%0000	0=000	022400	0 0 0 0 0	2.49 0.73 0.81	00000	0,000	53 53 58 58 58 58	0 1140 0 0 0 0	0.48	02000	00000	36 36 36 36 36	080000
2	: : ½	$0.75 \ 1.25$		16	16	144	$\frac{1.03}{1.82}$	. 36	24	24 70	200	3.00	9	20	20	300
	:		140	74	207	780		180	86	240	840		210	120	270	930
Labour Utilized Total Pet acre	::	16.26	273 16.79	224 13.78	569 34.99	2055 126.38	17.91	357 19.93	246 13.73	569 31.77	2672 149.19	36.84	413 11.21	634 17.20	809 21 96	2611 70.87
Total Per acre Shortage () or Evess ()	::		410 25.21	240 14.76	42 <b>4</b> 26.08	1195 3 73.49		664 37.07	354 19.76	708 39.53	2657 148.35		481 13.06	240 6.51	474 12.87	1488 40.39
Diotugo ( ) or taxes ( ) Total  Per acre	::		137 8.42	16 0.98	—145 —8.91	—860 —52.89	68	307	108 6.03	139 7.76	—15 —0.84		68 1.85	-394 -10.69	-335 <u>-</u>	—1123 9—30.48

This indicated that in the existing production plans of the cultivator, more labour intensive enterprises were included than what was economically justified.

This means, rationalisation of resource use would further release labour, which would require gainful employment outside the farm industry.

The opportunity for creating employment potential was then examined in the adoption of improved technology. The labour use patterns of the same farms, when normative optimum production plans were developed with recommended improved techniques of production of I.A.D.P., Ludhiana, were obtained as in Table IV. Here, on medium (model) sized holdings, although the employment potential increased, yet no serious shortage was felt. But, labour shortage occurred in two peak work periods on small farm and the deficit increased on large farm. It was apparent that large farms had to be mechanized to solve the problem of labour shortage at peak periods. This would also help increase the production potential in their farm organization.

The overall impact of improved technology on employment potential is summed up in Table V. The table shows that except in the period of Mid-March—Mid-April, the improved technology increased the overall employment potential and also on almost all categories of farms. Increase in employment potential was quite substantial and suggested that as the improved techniques of production are adopted by the cultivator more and more employment would be created.

Table V—Impact of Improved Technology on Employment Potential of Different Sized Holdings

Deals Wests	Per	centage I	ncrease	(+) or <b>D</b> 6	ecrease (	—) in Em	ploymen	t
Peak Work —— Periods	Ove	er farmer	's plans			optimum xisting tec		ith
	Small farm	Medium farm	Large farm	Overall	Small farm	Medium farm	Large farm	Overall
Mid-March-Mid-April	18.18	48.75	-33.60	-4.57	21.88	3.48 -	-25.99	<b>—7.4</b> 5
Mid-April—End April	9.80	)—12.7 <b>7</b>	56.93	24.04	12.00	9.82	84.30	43.75
Mid-OctoberMid-November	40.84	14.49	33.06	29.03	24.78	17.81	39.72	28.26
Mid-November—Mid-March	37.55	69.97	2.03	30.45	29.08	51.05	54.77	45.36

The analysis in a nutshell indicated that there existed serious imbalances in agricultural labour supply and its use on the farms in the study area. These imbalances are likely to increase if resource use is rationalised through judicious farm management decision at the existing level of production technology. But, improved techniques of production accompanied by rational farm management decisions would improve labour utilization and generate more gainful employment potential, so badly desired at this stage of economic development.