

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Vol XX No. 1 ISSN

0019-5014

CONFERENCE NUMBER

JANUARY-MARCH 1965







INDIAN SOCIETY OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS, BOMBAY

## ON SOME ASPECTS OF LIVESTOCK ECONOMY WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO CATTLE AND BUFFALOES IN RURAL INDIA

A. CHATTERJI

AND

S. K. Goswami

Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

### INTRODUCTION

The major source of income in agriculture next to cultivation is animal husbandry and dairying which contributes about seven per cent of total national income. Though one-third of total world's cattle population is located in India, the value of production of the cattle industry is not commensurate with such large size of cattle population. This is due to the tropical climate and the uneconomic condition of the enterprise. But it is a fact that the potential value of the cattle products in India is very great.

The uneconomic condition of the cattle industry in India, which has a direct impact on national economy, is caused by the existence of aged male animals incapacitated for work, and of female animals with very low milk yield. Besides, factors like late maturity and parturition, delayed oestrus cycle, resulting in long calving intervals also contribute to the uneconomic condition of the enterprise. Bad management and poor feeding are the main causes for the uneconomic nature of the livestock enterprise. With better management and balanced feeding India's milk yield can be raised by 50 per cent according to some experts in animal husbandry. Since only 5 per cent of India's total bovine population is located in urban areas, the remaining 95 per cent of rural bovine population belonging to the rural area of the country has the major share in the contribution of livestock to national economy. A study has therefore been made on national investment in livestock, household expenditure on feeding the livestock and services rendered in the rural area of the country with particular reference to cattle and buffaloes.

The data generally used in this study are obtained from National Sample Survey (NSS) through household in rural areas of the country. Two types of households have been considered, viz., cultivator households and non-cultivator households. Households having "cultivable" lands are classified under the former type and other households under the latter.

## NATIONAL INVESTMENT IN LIVESTOCK WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO BOVINE

Table I shows that the NSS estimate of national investment in livestock is Rs. 276.8 crores per year, which is nearly 39 per cent of total investment in all goods in the country. Out of the total investment, Rs. 244.6 crores are on account of purchase of livestock and rest is on account of addition by births. The estimate obtained per household in rural areas of the country is Rs. 97.3 thousand per year which is composed of Rs. 86 thousand and Rs. 11.3 thousand due to purchase and addition by birth respectively.

| TABLE 1—NATIONAL INVESTMENT IN LIVESTOCK IN RURAL AREAS OF THE COUNTRY DURING |
|---|
| July 1958—June 1959   |

|                       | Value and Percentage of Investment |                 |       |  |       |                 |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|--|-------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Items                 | Ву ри                              | rchase          | Ву    | birth                                  | Total |                 |  |  |  |
|                       | Value                              | Percent-<br>age | Value | Percent-<br>age                        | Value | Percent-<br>age |  |  |  |
| . National Investment | (in crores of rupe                 | es)             |       | ************************************** |       |                 |  |  |  |
| All goods             | 440.9                              | 100             | 269.0 | 100                                    | 710.8 | 100             |  |  |  |
| Livestock             | 244.6                              | 55.5            | 32.2  | 61.9                                   | 276.8 | 39.0            |  |  |  |
| Per Household Estin   | nate (in thousand                  | rupees)         |       |  |       |                 |  |  |  |
| All goods             | 155.0                              |                 | 94.6  |  | 249.6 |                 |  |  |  |
| Livestock             | 86.0                               |                 | 11.3  |  | 97.3  |                 |  |  |  |

Source: NSS Draft Report No. 104—Tables with Notes on Capital Formation.

An estimate of household expenditure on account of maintenance of livestock population in rural areas of the country is provided by the National Sample Survey in NSS Report No. 65. The total expenditure in rural areas of the country has been worked out to be Rs. 832.4 crores (Table II) during the year (July 1955-June 1956). Out of this, the share of the cultivator households is estimated at Rs. 779 crores and that of non-cultivator households at Rs. 53.5 crores. Thus 94 per cent of the expenditure on cattle feed is incurred by the cultivator households.

Table II—Annual Expenditure for Maintenance of Livestock in Rural Areas of the Country: July 1955—June 1956

| ype of household | Aggregate cost<br>of feed<br>(lakhs of rupees) | Percentage |  |  |
|------------------|--|------------|--|--|
| Non-cultivator   | 53,47.6  | 6          |  |  |
| Cultivator       | 778,96.3                                       | 94         |  |  |
| All Rural        | 832,43.9                                       | 100        |  |  |

Source: The National Sample Survey: Eleventh Round: August 1956—January 1957, No. 65—Tables with Notes on Animal Husbandry, Cabinet Secretariat, Government of India, 1962.

By applying Gadgil's<sup>1</sup> cattle equivalent scale of livestock feeding in a slightly modified way, the total cost of feeding is allocated to all types of livestock and estimates of annual cost of feed per cattle and per buffalo are obtained as shown in Table III.

TABLE III—ANNUAL COST OF MAINTENANCE OF FEED PER CATTLE AND PER BUFFALO IN RURAL AREAS OF INDIA: JULY 1955 — JUNE 1956 (in Rupees)

 Type of Bovine

 Type of household
 Cattle
 Buffalo

 Non-cultivator
 251·8
 294·6

 Cultivator
 187·7
 219·6

 All Rural
 190·8
 223·6

Source: NSS Report No. 65-Tables with Notes on Animal Husbandry, Op. cit.

<sup>1.</sup> D. R. Gadgil: Economic Effects of Irrigation, Gokhale Institute of Politics & Economics, 1948, as quoted by Central Statistical Organisation in National Income Statistics.

It is seen that in the non-cultivator household sector expenditure incurred on account of feed is higher by Rs 64.1 per cattle and Rs. 75.0 per buffalo respectively than those incurred by cultivator household. The reason may be that a cultivator household gets more grazing facilities than a non-cultivator household. Moreover non-cultivator households generally possess milch animals which are fed and managed better than those belonging to cultivator households which possess more of draught animals than milch animals, maintained under poor condition of feeding and management on account of other economic factors in agriculture.

Assuming that there is not much of difference in the quantity of feed taken by different types of large animals, an estimate has been obtained (Table IV) of annual quantity of feed taken per livestock (excluding small animals like goats, sheep and calves). Though the estimate as presented in Table IV is for the total cost of maintenance of all large animals including horses, donkeys, camels, etc., the estimate gives a rough idea of the average intake per cattle and buffalo in rural areas of the country in a year, as the households maintain a negligible number of horses, donkeys and camels. It is seen that on an average a livestock (say, one cattle or one buffalo) takes 236.25 maunds of feeds consisting of green fodder, straw, dry fodder, gram, bran, oilcakes, seeds and oilseeds, salt and concentrates in non-cultivator household sector as against 168.83 maunds in cultivator household sector. This lower

Table IV—Quantity of Feed Taken per Livestock (large head) Annually in Rural Areas of India: July 1955 — June 1956

(in maunds)

|    | Items of Feed   |      |  |  |  |  |       | Household Type     |                 |              |  |
|----|-----------------|------|--|--|--|--|-------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
|    | items of reed   |      |  |  |  |  | _     | Non-<br>cultivator | Cultiva-<br>tor | All<br>Rural |  |
| 1. | Green Fodder    |      |  |  |  |  | • • • | 131 · 16           | 78.76           | 81 · 15      |  |
| 2. | Straw           |      |  |  |  |  |       | 55.34              | 50.86           | 50.97        |  |
| 3. | Fodder          |      |  |  |  |  |       | 37.10              | 32.43           | 32.64        |  |
| 4. | Gram            |      |  |  |  |  |       | 3.70               | 1.09            | 1.21         |  |
| 5. | Bran            |      |  |  |  |  |       | 1.61               | 1.84            | 1.83         |  |
| ó. | Oilcakes        |      |  |  |  |  |       | 4.60               | 1.60            | 1 · 74       |  |
| 7. | Seeds and Oilse | eeds |  |  |  |  |       | 1.62               | 1.07            | 1.09         |  |
| 3. | Salt            |      |  |  |  |  |       | 0.26               | 0.27            | 0.27         |  |
| 9. | Cencentrates    | • •  |  |  |  |  |       | 2.86               | 0.91            | 0.22         |  |
| 0. | Total           |      |  |  |  |  |       | 236.25             | 168.83          | 171 · 12     |  |

feed quantity is due to poor economic conditions of cultivators in India. In non-cultivator households a cattle or a buffalo is fed with 131.16 maunds of green fodder, 4.60 maunds of oilcakes and 2.86 maunds of concentrates as against 78.76 maunds, 1.60 maunds and 0.91 maund respectively in cultivator households. In the case of other items it is more or less the same. In other words, a cattle or a buffalo gets 25.60 seers of feed per day in a non-cultivator household, and 18.40 seers per day in a cultivator household. According to the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, a cattle or a buffalo should take 20 to 25 seers of feed per day. Thus the quantity of feed given to a cattle or a buffalo is much below the standard in cultivator households.

<sup>2.</sup> Harbans Singh: A Hand Book of Animal Husbandry for Extension Workers.

Table V shows that of the important items of feed, viz., green grass, straw and fodder, cultivator households supplied 95 per cent in green grass, 86 per cent in straw and 93 per cent in fodder from home produce whereas the corresponding

| TABLE V—PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SUPPLY OF FEED BY SOURCES (HOME SUPPLY AN | D |
|--|---|
| Purchase) in Rural Households of India: July 1955—June 1956                  |   |

|    | T.                 | Household Type |               |                |               |                |               |  |  |
|----|--------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|
|    | Items              | Non-çu         | ltivator      | Culti          | vator         | All Rural      |               |  |  |
|    |                    | Home<br>supply | Pur-<br>chase | Home<br>supply | Pur-<br>chase | Home<br>supply | Pur-<br>chase |  |  |
| 1. | Green Grass        | 88             | 12            | 95             | 5             | 95             | 5             |  |  |
| 2. | Straw              | 38             | 62            | 86             | 14            | 83             | 17            |  |  |
| 3. | Fodder             | 58             | 42            | 93             | 7             | 90             | 10            |  |  |
| 4. | Gram               | 1              | 99            | 61             | 39            | 52             | 48            |  |  |
| 5. | Bran               | 20             | 80            | 70             | 30            | 68             | 32            |  |  |
| 6. | Oilcakes           | 5              | 95            | 11             | 89            | 10             | 90            |  |  |
| 7. | Seeds and Oilseeds | 0              | 100           | 22             | 78            | 20             | 80            |  |  |
| 3. | Salt               | 2              | 98            | 10             | 90            | 9              | 91            |  |  |
| 9. | Concentrates       | 99             | 1             | 49             | 51            | 79             | 11            |  |  |

Source: NSS Report No. 65-Tables with Notes on Animal Husbandry, Op. cit.

proportions in the non-cultivator households are 88, 38 and 58 per cent. Similarly 65 per cent of total grams, 70 per cent of bran are available from home produce as against 1 per cent and 20 per cent in non-cultivator households. Since the value of feed from home supply is evaluated on the basis of the price quoted by the household it is quite likely that the cultivator's price of home supply is slightly lower than the rate at which purchased by non-cultivator households.

Estimates are obtained from NSS Report No 65 with regard to cost of feed, cost of services rendered for herding and attending animals and cost due to medical services and other services. Cost of milk is obtained by dividing the aggregate of cost of maintenance, cost of depreciation of animals and cost of services per milch animal by quantity of milk produced. Thus

Cost of maintenance of milch animals +

Depreciation of animals +

Cost of services

Cost of milk per seer = ----

## Quantity of milk produced

Depreciation of milch animals is the difference between the value of purchase and sale of an animal during the year. The cost of maintenance is apportioned between cows and buffaloes by applying Gadgil's cattle equivalent scale and the depreciation cost is also evaluated for cows and buffaloes separately but service cost has been assumed to be same. Annual milk production is also estimated for cows and buffaloes separately from the NSS Report No 65. Table VI shows that the cost of cow milk per seer is Re. 0.42 and Re. 0.47 in non-cultivator household and cultivator household respectively. Similarly the cost of buffalo milk is evaluated at Re. 0.32 and Re. 0.20 respectively for the two types of household.

Table VI—Cost of Milk per Seer per Milch Cow and Milch Buffalo in Rural Areas of India: July 1955—June 1965

(in Rupees)

| Itam                |              |   | Don Tuno of |                          | Type of Household  |                    |                    |  |
|---------------------|--------------|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| item                | Item         |   |             | Per Type of<br>Animal    | Non-<br>cultivator | Culti-<br>vator    | All<br>Rural       |  |
| 1 Cost of Feed      | ••           |   |             | Cow<br>Buffalo           | 251.77<br>294.57   | 187.65<br>219.55   | 190.80<br>223.60   |  |
| 2. Cost of Service  | s            |   | • •         | Cow and Buffalo combined | 122.91             | 57.01              | 60.04              |  |
| 3. Depreciation C   | ost of Anima | 1 |             | Cow<br>Buffalo           | 21.20<br>37.21     | 3.87<br>12.76      | 1.01<br>13.25      |  |
| 4. Total Cost       |              |   |             | Cow<br>Buffalo           | 253.48<br>454.69   | 248.53<br>289.32   | 251.85<br>296.89   |  |
| 5. Milk Productio   | n            |   | ٠.          | Cow<br>Buffalo           | 836.52<br>1,109.04 | 527.04<br>1,420.08 | 546.72<br>1,121.78 |  |
| 6. Cost per Seer of | of Milk      |   | ٠.          | Cow<br>Buffalo           | 0.42<br>0.32       | 0.47<br>0.26       | 0.46<br>0.26       |  |
|                     |              |   |             |                          |                    |                    |                    |  |

### CONCLUSION

An analysis of the results presented above will show that the non-cultivator households manage cattle and buffaloes better than the cultivator households in rural areas of India. Cultivator households constitute 80 per cent of rural households in India. Poor feeding and management practices in cultivator households have resulted in an uneconomic growth of cattle and buffalo population in India. Consequently, development of agriculture and animal husbandry suffers for want of hard working draught animals and high yielders.