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## I. INTRODUCTION

Currently, the best known and mostly widely used input-output model within Canada is the National Model developed and maintained by Statistics Canada. This model represents agriculture as a single industrial sector. Having a single sector for agriculture limits the model's usefulness for analyzing policy changes affecting any one type of production in the agriculture sector. Disaggregation of the agriculture sector would make the model more responsive to the types of policy changes which affect the agricultural industry complex. Such a disaggregated model would permit an assessment of the total impact on the economy of a change in policy directed at any of the agriculture sectors incorporated into the model.

The development of the disaggregated agriculture sector input-output model was a joint effort between the Marketing and Economics and Regional Development Branches of Agriculture Canada. Both branches had similar interests in the development of a disaggregated input-output model; however, each placed slightly different emphasis on the applications of the completed framework. Marketing and Economics sought to analyze impacts from a National perspective. Regional Development, while also interested in national analysis, was interested in differentiating regional impacts on agriculture. With the recent reorganization of the Department, the model is now being developed and applied by the newly established Policy Branch.

The first stage of model development had the following objectives:

1. To disaggregate the agriculture industrial sector in the present Statistics Canada National Input-Output Model into a number of sectors. The disaggregation was to be based on farm type information.
2. To provide a working input-output model with a disaggregated agriculture sector at the national level for Canada.

The second stage of the project is the development of an interprovincial input-output model with disaggregated agriculture sectors.

This working paper provides a discussion of the data sources and methods which were used to complete the first stage of model development. Section 1 outlines the accounting framework which is the basis of the input-output model. This section reviews the matrices needed to develop the model, the manipulation of these matrices and the assumptions underlying the model. Section 2 details the redesign of the agriculture sector and the commodities and industries included in the model. The third section outlines the methods and data sources used to disaggregate the agriculture sector in the Use Matrix. The disaggregation of the agriculture sector in the Make Matrix is found in the fourth section. The final section of this working paper reviews the other coefficients used in the model and an application of the model in a sample situation.

### 1.1 Input-Output Models

An input-output model (I-O model) is a general equilibrium model of an economy which can be used to estimate the direct, indirect, and induced effects of a change in the final demand for commodities produced by the economy. This is one of the few techniques which allows the analyst to move away from a partial equilibrium framework when doing his or her analysis. The advantage which I-O models have over other forms of policy analysis is that they possess the capability of estimating the total effect on the economy of different policies among different industries. The Input-Output framework details the linkages between the primary and secondary sectors within agriculture and between agriculture and the rest of the economy. This characteristic is particularly useful when designing policies which have implications throughout interrelated agricultural commodity markets.

The accounting framework used in the model is the rectangular format of commodities and industries. This accounting procedure differs from the traditional square format of industries by industries which is used in most U.S. models. The advantages of the rectangular format are:
(1) it allows greater detail in the accounting framework for the various data sources which are used in the creation of the model,
(2) it provides an easier interpretation of entries made in the accounting framework.

The accounting framework describes the supply and disposition of commodities in the economy during a specific time period. The tables detail in value terms the inputs and outputs of each industry on a commodity basis.

### 1.2 The Accounting Framework

Input-Output models are based on an accounting framework which documents the flow of commodities used in the production process, the consumption of commodities by final demand categories and the output of commodities produced by the industrial sectors in the economy. This framework documents the supply and disposition of commodities in the economy and is composed of five matrices (figure l).
I) the intermediate input matrix by industry $U$
II) the primary input matrix by industry YI
III) the make or output matrix $V$
IV) the final demand matrix $F$
V) the primary inputs going into final demand YF

Figure 1. The Input-Output Tableau


Where: $\mathrm{NC}=$ number of commodities.
$\mathrm{NI}=$ number of industries.
$N Y=$ number of primary inputs.
$\mathrm{NF}=$ number of final demand categories.
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{is}$ a NI * NC order matrix showing the value of gross domestic output of industries by commodities.
$\mathrm{U}=$ is a NC * NI order matrix showing the value of commodities used by industries as current inputs.
$F=\quad$ is a $N C$ * NF order matrix showing the value of commodities used by the final demand categories.
$Y I=$ is a NY * NI order matrix showing the value of primary inputs used by industries.
$Y F=$ is a NY * NF order matrix showing the value of primary inputs used in final demand categories.
$\mathrm{q}=$ is a $N C$ * $l$ vector which shows the values of total commodity outputs.
$g=i s a n I * l$ vector which shows the values of total industrial outputs.

The Use matrix contains all of the intermediate plus primary inputs (matrix U and YI) used by the industries to produce their outputs. This is called the intermediate demand for goods (i.e. the value of goods used in the production process). The vector $g$ ' represents the total cost of producing each industry's output in the economy. Reading across any row in this matrix provides an estimate of the value of a commodity used as an intermediate or primary input in the production process for each industry.

The Make or V matrix accounts for the value of goods produced by each industry in the economy. The value of each commodity produced by the industries can be found in the columns of this matrix. The vector $q^{\prime}$ provides an estimate of the total value of each commodity produced in the economy. Reading across any row will account for the value of each commodity produced by an industrial sector. In the input-output accounting framework the total cost of an industry's production has to be equal to the total value of the products produced by that industry. This means that vector g is equal to g '. similarly, the total demand for commodities, both intermediate and final demand use, is equal to the total supply of commodities; $q=q$ '.

The accounting framework measures the value of commodities in producer prices. Producer prices are the price of a commodity at the boundary of the producing establishment. These prices differ from the more commonly found purchaser prices because they do not include margins for wholesale and retail trade, transportation, storage, or commodity taxes. Each of these margins is placed in the model as a separate row or column in the accounting framework.

## Notation:

The following notation will be used for the model derivation.

1. : indicates transposition. (unprimed vectors are considered column vectors).

- : indicates diagonalization.
i : is a vector whose elements are all equal to unity. These vectors are used to accomplish matrix row and column summation: (ie. i'x is a vector of the column sums of $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{Xi}$ is a vector of the row sums of $x$. The length of the vector is assumed to be consistent with the matrix which the operation is being performed.


### 1.3 Manipulation of the Accounting Framework

The accounting framework provides a number of relationships which can be used to estimate the total impact on the economy of a change in demand for the goods produced in the economy. The first relationship outlines the disposition of commodities by industries in the processing process or by categories of final demand.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (1) } \begin{array}{l}
\text { The Value of } \\
\text { Total Commodity }
\end{array}=\begin{array}{l}
\text { Value of Intermediate } \\
\text { Demand for Commodities }
\end{array}+\begin{array}{l}
\text { Value of Final } \\
\text { Demand For } \\
\text { Outputs Commodities }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second relationship outlines the domestic supply of commodities by industry.
(2) The Total Value of Industrial Outputs
$=$ The Summation of the Value of the Industrial Outputs by Commodity

Vi

Taking these two relationships and making a number of assumptions, the accounting framework can be used to provide an estimate of the total impact of changes in the demand for commodities in the economy. The model makes two assumptions about the industrial technology or industrial processes used in the economy. The first is that the current intermediate inputs into each industry are proportional to the output produced by that industry. In matrix notation:
(3) $U=B \hat{g} \quad$ Where: $B$ is a NC $* N I$ matrix of technical coefficients.

The second industry technology assumption assumes that the primary inputs into each industry are proportional to the output of that industry. In matrix notation:
(4) $Y I=H \hat{g} \quad$ Where: $H$ is a NY * NI matrix.

The model also assumes that the demand for domestically produced commodities is allocated among industries according to fixed market shares. This can be defined by:
(5) $V=D \hat{q} \quad$ Where: $D$ is a NI * NC matrix of market share coefficients.

Using these relationships a model can be developed which will estimate the direct and indirect impact of changes in the final demand for commodities in that economy.

From equation (1) $q=U i+F i$
Substituting for $U$, equation (3):
(6) $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{Bg}+\mathrm{Fi} \quad$ (note: $\mathrm{Ui}=\mathrm{Bg}$ )

Substituting for $g$, equation (2):
(7)

$$
q=B V i+F i
$$

Substituting for Vi, equation (5):
(8) $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{BDq}+\mathrm{Fi}$
(9) $q-B D q=F i$
(10) $q=(I-B D)^{-1} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{Fi}}$ Where: I is a NC * NC identity matrix.

Equation 10 estimates the direct and indirect impact on the economy of a change in final demand. The estimates are in terms of the value of commodity output which would have to be produced in order to satisfy the final demand.

The above result, while being important, does not provide an estimate of the industrial sector output needed to satisfy the final demand. This type of estimate would provide a much more useful estimate of the impact of the final demand vector since this is the information which is generally more available in a macroeconomic sense in order to place the impact into a context which would be useful to the decision-maker. To estimate the industrial sector impacts:
equation (2): $\quad g=V i$
Substituting for vi, equation (5):
(11) $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{Dq}$

Substituting for $q$, equation (1):
(12) $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Ui}+\mathrm{Fi})$

Substituting for $U$, equation (3):
(13) $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Bg}+\mathrm{Fi})$

Rewriting:
(14) $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{DBg}+\mathrm{DFi}$
(15) $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{DBg}=\mathrm{DFi}$
(16) $\mathrm{g}=(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{DB})^{-1} \mathrm{DFi}$ Where: I is a $\mathrm{NI} * \mathrm{NI}$ identity matrix.

Equation 16 provides an estimate of the industrial sector's output needed to satisfy the final demand.

### 1.4 Leakages in the Economy

In the above development of the model, the final demand for commodities is treated as a single matrix. This matrix can be disaggregated into a number of sectors.
(17) $F=f+E+X-M-A-N$
where:
$\mathrm{f}=$ is $\mathrm{a} N \mathrm{~N}$ * 1 vector of the values of final demand excluding exports, re-exports, imports, government production and withdrawals from inventory.
$E=$ is a NC * 1 vector of the value of re-exports.
$X=$ is $a N C * 1$ vector of the value of commodity exports.
$M=$ is a $N C$ * 1 vector of the value of commodity mports.
$A=$ is a NC * $l$ vector of the value of government production of commodities.
$N=$ is a $N C * 1$ vector of the value of inventory withdrawals.

Leakages in the economy will occur when imports, government production, and inventory withdrawals are used to supply commodities into the intermediate inputs and final demand of the economy. In order to take these leakages into account the following assumption was made: that the amount of commodity imports, government production, and withdrawals from inventories are a fixed proportion of the domestic commodities demanded. Putting this assumption into matrix notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (18) } M=\hat{P}(B g+f+E) \\
& \text { (19) } N=\hat{J}(B g+f+X) \\
& \text { (20) } A=\hat{T}(B g+E+X)
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$\hat{\mathrm{P}}=$ is a NC * NC diagonal matrix of coefficients whose elements are a ratio of imports to commodity use.
$\hat{J}=$ is a NC * NC diagonal matrix of coefficients whose elements are a ratio of inventory withdrawals to commodity use.
$\hat{\mathrm{T}}=$ is a $\mathrm{NC} * \mathrm{NC}$ diagonal matrix of coefficients whose elements are a ratio of government production to commodity use.

It should be noted that the commodity use is defined by the terms in the bracket.

It is now possible to determine the commodity and industry impacts of changes in the demand for commodities produced in the economy taking into account the leakages which occur. The commodity impacts would be derived as follows:

$$
\text { From equation (6) } \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{Bg}+\mathrm{Fi}
$$

Substituting for $F$, equation (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=B g+E+E+X-M-N-A \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $M, N$, and $A$, with equations (18), (19), and (20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=B g+f+E+X-\hat{P}(B g+f+E)-\hat{J}(B g+f+X)-\hat{T}(B g+f+X) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting and substituting for $g$, with equation (ll)
(23) $q-B D q+\hat{P} B D q+\hat{J} B D q+\hat{T B D q}=(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) f+(I-\hat{P}) E+(I-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) X$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[I-(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) B D] q=(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) E+(I-\hat{p}) E+(I-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) X}  \tag{24}\\
& \quad q=[I-(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) B D]-1[(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) E+(I-\hat{P}) E+(I-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) X] \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 25 provides the estimate of commodity output which would be required to satisfy the final demand specified for the economy.

To determine the industry impacts:
From equation (11), $\quad g=D q$
Substituting for $q$, equation (22)
(27) $g=D[B g+f+E+X-\hat{P}(B g+f+E)-\hat{J}(B g+f+X)-\hat{T}(B g+f+X)]$

Rewriting:
(28) $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{DBg}+\mathrm{D} \hat{\mathrm{PB}} \mathrm{g}+\mathrm{D} \hat{J} B g+\mathrm{D} \hat{\mathrm{TB}} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{D}[(\mathrm{I}-\hat{\mathrm{P}}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) \mathrm{f}+(\mathrm{I}-\hat{\mathrm{P}}) \mathrm{E}+(\mathrm{I}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) \mathrm{X}]$
$[I-D(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) B] g=D[(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) f+(I-\hat{P}) E+(I-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) X]$
$g=[I-D(I-\hat{P}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) B]^{-1} D[(I-\hat{p}-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) E+(I-\hat{P}) E+(I-\hat{J}-\hat{T}) X]$

Equation 30 estimates the industrial output which would be required to satisfy the final demand specified for the economy.

### 1.5 Input-Output Assumptions and Their Implications

Prior to going into the disaggregation of the agriculture sector in the model a quick review of the model's assumptions and the implications of these assumptions on the model's results is in order.

One of the major assumptions of the model deals with the industrial technology used in the model. As noted by Gigantes, an input-output model can be developed with either industrial technology or commodity technology. The industrial technology assumes that the inputs going into the industrial production are the same irrespective of the outputs being produced. The commodity technology assumption implies that each commodity has a specific input structure and the industrial sectors are linear combinations of the input structures of the commodities which they produce. The assumption used in the present model is that of industrial technology. It is important to realize that this assumes that production technology does not change irrespective of the demand for that industry's output. Along with this, it is assumed that the relative prices of inputs remain the same and that there is no substitution of inputs as prices change. Finally, it is assumed that there are no constraints on the supply of inputs to satisfy the final demand.

The second major assumption is that the market share of commodity output is fixed among industries. This implies that as the final demand for a commodity increases, the output of that commodity will be produced by those industries in their appropriate market share proportions.

When taking into account the leakages in the economy, it is assumed that the industrial sector will use a fixed proportion of leakages (i.e. imports, government production and inventory adjustments) in their production process. This implies that the purchasing patterns of firms do not change between foreign and domestic suppliers. Similarly on the demand side, it is assumed that these leakages will occur in fixed proportions.

As was mentioned earlier, the model is a general equilibrium model. The model assumes that the economy is in equilibrium prior to any changes in final demand and the estimated impacts which the model gives are the results of a change in final demand once the economy has again returned to equilibrium. There is no timeframe in which this new equilibrium position is assumed to occur and therefore it is not possible to determine how long it will take to arrive at this new equilibrium position. Furthermore, it is not possible to determine disequilibrium solutions to the model.

It is further assumed that each industrial sector is well defined and represents all the industries contained in that sector. This is an important assumption because as the outputs of any one sector changes, the necessary inputs for that sector have to be identified and their outputs have to be adjusted correctly as the indirect effects work through the model. The reason for the disaggregation of the agricultural sector was to address this question of industrial sector representation when looking at agricultural policy questions. A single agricultural sector does not adequately reflect the industrial structure necessary to do agricultural policy analysis with respect to the needs and interest of Agriculture Canada.

Finally, when estimating the induced effects on the economy brought about by consumer purchases a simplified assumption had to be made. The model assumes that the household sectors average propensity to consume is equal to its marginal propensity to consume. This will result in an overestimation of the induced effects since the marginal propensity to consume will be less than the average propensity to consume.

### 1.6 Summary

The input-output model is based on the accounting framework for the economy which is being modelled. The model which has been developed is for the Canadian economy for the year 1981. This model is a modification of the most up to date version of the Nation Input-Output Model developed by Statistics Canada. The model has been modified by disaggregating the existing agriculture sector into a number of sectors in order to enhance the models ability to estimate the impact of policy changes which effect the final demand for agriculture and agricultural related products. This modification required the estimation of the accounting framework for the new agricultural sectors (i.e. the Make and Use matrices). The remaining sections of the documentation will review the design of the new agricultural sectors, the data sources used in the disaggregation and the values used in the Use and Make matrices.

## II. DISAGGREGATION OF THE NATIONAL I-O MODEL

The development of the Agriculture Canada model required the design of an expanded agriculture sector. Section 2.1 will review the fundamental definitions which were used to redesign this sector. This section reviews the alternative technology ssumptions which could of been used in the model and the reasons why the industrial technology was chosen. Section 2.2 reviews the commodities and industries which are found in the model. The definitions and description of the twelve agricultural sectors are given. The available data sources are reviewed in Section 2.3. Each of these data sources are evaluated for their possible use in this and future input-output models developed by Agriculture Canada. Finally, Section 2.4 outlines the data sources which were used to develop the present model.

### 2.1 Redesign of the Agriculture Sector

The design and development of a disaggregated National I-O Model of Canadian Agriculture (Agriculture Canada Model) involved many interrelated steps and the cooperation of Statistics Canada, Input-Output Division staff, on whose national model of the Canadian economy, the disaggregated model is based. The Agriculture Canada Model retains the same commodity and industry structure as the Statistics Canada Model. The commodity and industry structure is based on the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) and Standard Commodity Codes (SCC) developed by Statistics Canada.

The structure and definitions of the disaggregated agriculture sector depends upon the conceptual framework which the model is intended to describe. This fundamental design decision depends upon three key definitions:

Establishment: The smallest unit of production operating as a separate operating entity, capable of reporting all elements of basic industrial statistics.

Industry: Establishments engaged in the same or similar types of economic activities.

Activity: Activities are associated with single industries or single establishments which produce more than one commodity or group of commodities whose output patterns are different.

The structure of the agriculture sector will depend on the industrial or activity framework chosen. The industrial structure uses an industry technology assumption in order to allocate the inputs to the agriculture sectors specified. In this case, each farm would be an establishment and the industry would be defined as the collection of similar farm types. Each such industry would have an industrial structure which would represent the inputs required to produce its output.

The use of an activities framework to model the agriculture sector would require the division of the agriculture sector into a number of activities. Each activity would have its own input structure. This input structure could be developed on a commodity technology basis or further divided into an activity by farm type basis. The problem with using the activities framework with commodity technology occurs with the allocation of
the primary inputs to the specific activities. This is because the allocation of these inputs are usually based on an establishment. The difficulty of using an activity by farm type technology occurs with the number of input estimates which would have to be made. Since this information does not exist at the present time, it was decided to use an industrial structure assuming an industry technology.

Each of the primary agriculture industries found in the industrial structure corresponds to a separate farm type defined in the 1981 Census of Agriculture. There are twelve of these farm types in the disaggregated model, each capable of producing one or more commodities contained in the rectangular accounting framework. The commodity-by-industry framework conforms with the specification used to define the other sectors of the Canadian economy according to the SIC system. Another attractive feature of this framework, is that fewer coefficients in the model have to be estimated from non-published sources, since industry-wide data values could be used as "representative" of revenue and expenditure patterns for a group of establishments.

The Agriculture Canada Model uses the large aggregation structure of the Statistics Canada Model and has the following general characteristics:

1. The current agriculture sector (single industry) in the Statistics Canada model is replaced by 12 farm types, corresponding to the farm type definitions used in the 1981 Census of Agriculture.
2. All agricultural processing/manufacturing industries in the Statistics Canada Large aggregation are retained in the disaggregated model.
3. All other industrial and commodity definitions within the National I-O model remain the same. Thus the overall matrix size in the revised model becomes 595 commodities by 202 industries. This size affords the maximum disaggregation of primary and secondary agricultural activity, while maintaining consistency of definitions for both commodities and industries between both models.

### 2.2 Industry and Commodity Definitions

The farm type categories used to disaggregate the agriculture sector were based upon the farm types outlined in the 1981 Census of Agriculture. There are twelve farm type sectors in the model which are outlined in Table 2.1. Each of the farm types are defined by the source of income which amounts to 51 percent or more of their income from a particular agriculture activity. A list of the remaining 190 industrial sectors in the model can be found in Appendix 2.1.

The commodities used in the model are the same as those used in the Statistics Canada Model. There are 602 commodities of which 97 are agriculture related. A list of the commodities in the model can be found in Appendix 2.2. A more detailed definition of each commodity can be found in the Standard Commodity Classification.

Table 2.1
FARM TYPE CATEGORIES \& CORRESPONDING COMMODITIES

| Farm Types | Kinds of Products Produced |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dairy | Dairy |  |
| 2. Cattle | Cattle (ex.Dairy) |  |
| 3. Hogs | Hogs |  |
| 4. Poultry | Poultry |  |
| 5. Wheat | Spring Wheat |  |
|  | Winter Wheat |  |
|  | Durum Wheat |  |
| 6. Small Grains | Oats | Buckwheat |
|  | Barley | Corn for Grain |
|  | Rye | Soybeans |
|  | Mixed Grains | Mustard Seed |
| 7. Field Crops | Forage Seed Potatoes | Tobacco |
|  |  | Sugar Beets |
| 8. Fruits \& Vegetables | Fruits | Vegetables |
| 9. Miscellaneous Specialty | Sheep | Greenhouses |
|  | Horses | Beeswax |
|  | Honey | Nursery Products |
| 10.Livestock Combinations | Combinations of Dairy, Cattle, Hogs, Sheep, Poultry Production |  |
| 11. Field Crop Combinations | Combinations of the Field Crops mentioned above. |  |
| 12. Other Combinations A Residual G |  |  |
| Note: The definition of the ce of income amounting to $51 \%$ icular agriculture activity. | spective farm more of tota | es was based on th come coming from |

### 2.3 Available Data Sources

The development of a disaggregated agriculture sector in the input-output model is a very data intensive operation. Input and outputs for each of the farm types had to be identified and allocated to the appropriate farm types. This data requirement entailed a thorough review of available data sources in order to estimate their usefulness for input-output purposes. Outlined below are the advantages and disadvantages for each of the major data sources as it relates to the development, maintenance and updating of the disaggregated input-output model. The characteristics are presented in order to identify the relative strengths and weaknesses of each data source.

## 1. Agriculture Census

## Advantage:

i) The 1981 Agriculture Census provides detailed farm expenditures for selected items by farm type for each province.
ii) The census provides a complete sample of the total farm population.
iii) The census provides an estimate of the revenue by farm type for each province.

Disadvantages:
i) Additional processing required to disaggregate the present twelve farm types. The maximum number of farm types from this source is thirty. A user fee is charged for increasing the number of farm types.
ii) Total expenditures are not available by type of farm or by province.
iii) Values which have been suppressed for reasons of confidentially have to be estimated.
iv) Farm types have been imputed using a method which estimates the revenue of a farm by estimating the commodities produced and the price received for those commodities.

Timeframe:

It usually takes approximately 1.5 years to publish the information after the census has been completed.

Model Size:
The number of possible sectors would range from 12 to 30 sectors, with the most likely size being 14 agriculture sectors.
2. National Farm Survey

Advantages:
i) The National Farm Survey is a sample survey of farmers which is done yearly. The sample collects information on all expense items and total revenue.
ii) The survey provides an estimate of total expenditures by item and total revenue by province.
iii) The survey lends itself to the imputation of farm type based on value coefficients applied to acreages and livestock inventories. This farm type disaggregation has already been conducted on the 1983 NFS (1982 data).
iv) This source will be the only estimate of total revenue and total expenditures by item in the Prairie Provinces after 1984.

## Disadvantages:

i) The expenditure part of the National Farm Survey will be discontinued in 1985 for all provinces except the Prairie Provinces.
ii) The National Farm Survey estimate of net farm income by farm type is significantly different from the published series produced by Statistics Canada.
iii) The reconciliation of this data with the published series is an involved process which would require statistics Canada's attention. If Statistics Canada can not reconcile the data then the data would probably not be released.

Timeframe:
Information from the NFS would be available within a year after the survey was conducted.

Model Size:
The number of sectors would range from 14 to 18 agriculture sectors, with the most likely size being 16 sectors.
3. Published Series on Farm Expenditures and Receipts

Advantages:
i) This series provides total expenditure estimates by item for agriculture on a per province basis. It also supplies an estimate of total receipts by item.
ii) It is the basis for determining net farm income.
iii) The series is published on a yearly basis.

Disadvantages:
i) This series is not disaggregated by farm type.
ii) The revenue estimates are determined by either a price times quantity formulation or other institutional information.
iii) The expense and revenue estimates do not measure inter-farm transfers within a province.
iv) Some of the expenditure and revenue estimates are net estimates as opposed to gross estimates as reported in other sources.
v) The interest and depreciation estimates are determined through formulation.

Timeframe:
Preliminary estimates are available by May of the following calendar year. Revised estimates are published 18 months after the calendar year in question.

Model Size:
The number of agriculture sectors would be limited to 12 .
4. Taxfiler Information

Advantages:
i) This source provides a complete expense and revenue profile for the unincorporated farm sector.
ii) This series will be available for two years, starting in 1985, for specific regions in Canada (B.C., Ontario, Quebec and the Maritimes).
iii) This source lends itself to the disaggregation of the data by farm type.

Disadvantages:
i) This source does not include the corporate farm sector.
ii) This source does not collect data on the whole country (all provinces except the Prairies).
iii) Differences occur between the value of expenditures and revenue found in this survey and that of the published sources. The reconciliation of the two series requires Statistics Canada's attention. The farm type estimates may not be released if the reconciliation can not be made.
iv) The sample size of the taxfiler returns may limit farm type disaggregation after the first two years of the series.

Timeframe:
It is estimated to take 15 months to obtain the data after the calendar year in question.

Model Size:
The number of agriculture sectors could range from 12 to 30 , with the most probable size being 16 sectors.

The data source selection depended primarily on the availability of farm type statistics. A number of sources, National Farm Survey and the Taxfiler Data, have been used internally at Statistics Canada for the development of farm type statistics. However, due to problems with the reconciliation of these sources with other published expenditure and revenue data, these sources are not readily available at this time. Given the project timeframe, a decision was made to use the 1981 Census as the major data source. This source provided for a consistent database for both expenses and revenue by farm type for the major input and output commodities in the model.

The best long term source of information for input-output modelling purposes will most likely be the taxfiler database if a number of problems can be overcome. The first is the reconciliation of the data with other published sources. The second will be a means of estimating the expense and revenue patterns of the corporate farm sector. Finally, the sample size needed to make an accurate farm type estimate will have to be determined and continuously collected.

If the problems with the taxfiler database cannot be overcome then the Census is the best long term data source. If this becomes the case then a number of additions to the Census questionnaire would be helpful. These would include an estimate of total farm expenditures and an estimate of the revenue received by commodity from the year in which the expenses occurred. This would increase the usefulness of this data for input-output modelling purposes.

### 2.4 Data Selection

The Statistics Canada Model which was purchased from the Input-Output Division contained data for the agriculture industrial sector in both the Make and Use matrices. The information in these matrices were from the nonconfidential accounting tables. The difference between the nonconfidential and confidential data sources was $\$ 1$ million for the agriculture industry. The confidential estimates had been suppressed in the Use matrix by using an accounting row; 603. The confidential values amounted to less than $0.01 \%$ of the total expenditures in agriculture.

In the original accounting data received from the Input-Output division the agriculture sector spent $\$ 18.7$ billion on inputs going into the production of agricultural commodity outputs. This $\$ 18.7$ billion was allocated to 86 input commodities in the Use matrix. Given the accounting relationships in the model, the agriculture sector produced $\$ 18.7$ billion worth of output. This output was composed of 32 commodities.

In order to disaggregate the agriculture sector it was necessary to allocate these estimates to the twelve farm types. This was done using a variety of published and unpublished data sources. For the input expenditures the following data sources were the principle means of disaggregating the Use matrix:

1. Statistics Canada, Census of Agriculture, 1981, Table 30: Selected Expenditures by Farm Type.
2. Statistics Canada, Agriculture-Population Linkage, Special Tabulation, Census of Agriculture, 1981.
3. Statistics Canada, Input-Output Division, Statistics provided on: Subsidies, Margins and Commodity Taxes.
4. Farm Budgets: Receipts, Expenses and Income by Type and Size of Farm, W.Darcovich and J.Gellner, Agriculture Canada Working Papers, 1974.
5. Farm Credit Corporation, Farm Survey, 1984, Special Tabulation of data concerning borrowings by farm type.

The 32 commodities in the Make matrix were also disaggregated by the twelve farm types. The major data sources involved in this disaggregation were:

1. Statistics Canada, Imputed Sales Classified by Product and Farm Type, Canada and Provinces, Farms with Sales of $\$ 2,500$ or Over, Special Tabulation, 1981 Census.
2. Statistics Canada, Farming Facts 1984, Agriculture Statistics Division, Ottawa, 1984.
3. Statistics Canada, Census of Agriculture -- 1981 Canada, Catalogue Number 96-901, 1982.

A complete description of the data employed from each of these sources, together with the methodology and the commodity to which the methods were applied, is presented in Sections III and IV of this documentation.
III. METHODOLOGY TO DISAGGREGATE THE USE MATRIX

The development of the Agriculture Canada input-output model required the disaggregation of the Use and Make Matrices of the original model to take into consideration the expanded agriculture sectors. The expanded Use Matrix details the input expenditures made by each of the agriculture sectors modelled. Section 3.1 describes the procedure used to disaggregate the Use Matrix and reviews the data of the original single agricultural sector and outlines the commodity expenditures which predominated. Section 3.2 details the derivation of the farm type expenditure patterns. The three major data sources; 1981 Census of Agriculture, Taxfiler Farm Budgets and Other Data Sources, are used to disaggregate the farm type expenditures by identifying those commodities which are best described by a particular data source.

### 3.1 The Approach to Disaggregation

Once the commodity and industry framework for the model had been established, data from the Statistics Canada National Model was used as the basis for re-allocating the single-sector values for agriculture among the newly designated farm types in the disaggregated I-O tableau. Of the \$18.7 billion spent on inputs into the agricultural sector in 1981, 24 commodities accounted for more than $90 \%$ of these costs. These commodities had expenditures of $\$ 100$ million or more (Table 3.1). The remaining commodities were broken into two groups: those with expenditures of between $\$ 10$ and less than $\$ 100$ million and those with expenditures of less than $\$ 10$ million. Considering the data and time limitations on the project, emphasis was placed on the disaggregation of the commodities which account for the greatest proportion of the expenses.

The data source which was used extensively in the disaggregation of the input-output model was the 1981 Census of Agriculture, since this is one of the few sources which allocates expenses by farm type. The same definition of farm type was used in our model as is found in the Census. It should be noted that the Census farm type designations are imputed designations which were determined through a price times quantity determination from other information collected in the Census. The Census information on expenditures are for the year 1980, and therefore, it was necessary to index the expenditure items to 1981.

The first step in the disaggregation of the agriculture sector was to compare the expense items which were found in the Census to similar items in the input-output table. If the Census total were comparable to the I-O values then it was assumed that the distribution of these expenditures by farm type were also correct. In order to make this comparison it was necessary to index the Census expense items to reflect 1981 prices and to change the input-output model producer's prices into purchaser's prices. Table 3.2 provides a comparison of the values found in both sources. The input-output values had to be aggregated in order to account for similar items in the Census source. As shown in the Table, the percentage difference between the two sources varied depending upon the item examined. For items such as: feed and supplements, fertilizers, machine rental and custom work, fuel, oil and lubrication, and electricity used; the difference was less than 12 percent between the two sources. These values accounted for 27 percent of the total
expenditures on agricultural inputs. The difference between the two sources for the following items were within 25 percent: seeds and seedlings, agriculture chemicals, and repair and maintenance of farm buildings and fences. The following items had totals greater than 25 percent: cash rent, cash wages, and repair and maintenance of farm machinery. The greatest discrepancy in this last group occurred in the cash rent item. This difference was decreased substantially when shared rent was also included into the total (i. e. to 17 percent). The problem with using the combination share rent and cash rent to describe other rent in the input-output model was due to the interpretation of shared rent on the Census for provinces east of Ontario. For these provinces, the share rent component was not recorded due to translation and other problems. This fact was thought to bias the combined distribution (i.e. share rent + cash rent) and therefore was not used.

It is important to note that differences between the input-output values and the Census values will occur for a number of reasons. First, it is assumed that the expenditure made in 1981 were not significantly different from those in 1980. Given this, it is assumed that the index number used accurately estimate the change in prices for expenditure items from 1980 to 1981. Second, the categories in the Census and the input-output model are not completely comparable. Differences may result due to classification problems. For example, a chemical which may be classified in the Census as an agricultural chemical could be classified as an "other chemical product" in the input-output model. Finally, errors may occur in the estimated margins for the commodities identified.

Once the Census and input-output totals were deemed comparable, the Census values were converted into a percentage distribution by farm type. For example, electricity use in the Census of Agriculture was indexed to 1981 values and converted to a percentage distribution by farm type. This distribution was then applied to the value of electric power in the Use matrix in the current National I-O model. This approach was applied to each of the 86 items in the Statistics Canada Use Matrix.

Data limitations prevented the application of specific distributions for each commodity as defined in the Use Matrix. Such cases required the use of the general Census expense category distribution to be used for a number of specific input-output expenditure items. For example, fuel, oil and lubrication represented a single expense item in the Census. However, this distribution was applied to the individual commodities in the Use Matrix for motor gasoline, fuel oil and lubricating oils-greases. This approach implies that each of these three input items are employed in the same proportion amongst the twelve farm types in the disaggregated model.

### 3.2 Derivation of Farm Type Expenditure Patterns

A complete listing of the 86 commodities utilized by agricultural industries as inputs in the production process is provided in Appendix Table A-3.1. In this table each commodity is itemized and the corresponding distribution of the expenditures by farm type is shown. These percentage values are then converted to dollar values by farm type in Appendix Table A-3.2. Finally, the distribution within farm type is given in Appendix Table A-3.3. This distribution is the " $B$ " matrix for the agriculture sectors, which was used to determine the impact matrix in the model.

Table 3.1
INPUT PURCHASES WITH VALUES OF \$100M. OR MORE

| Number | Commodity | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cattle \& Calves | \$364,000,000 |
| 8 | Barley, Oats,Rye Corn, Grain,NES | \$109,000,000 |
| 23 | Serv. INcid. to Ag. \& Forestry | \$270,000,000 |
| 85 | Primary \& Concentrated Feeds | \$198,000,000 |
| 86 | Feed for Commercial Livestock | \$1,606,000,000 |
| 395 | Motor Gasoline | \$306,000,000 |
| 396 | Fuel Oil | \$552,000,000 |
| 397 | Lubricating Oils \& Greases | \$104,000,000 |
| 403 | Fertilizers | \$232,000,000 |
| 469 | Fertilizer Chemicals | \$558,000,000 |
| 481 | Agricultural Chemicals | \$428,000,000 |
| 522 | Repair Construction | \$314,000,000 |
| 546 | Electric Power | \$224,000,000 |
| 550 | Wholesaling Margins | \$506,000,000 |
| 559 | Other Rent | \$613,000,000 |
| 580 | Sp Parts \& Main. Sup. Mach. \& Eq | \$399,000,000 |
| 583 | Transportation Margins | \$190,000,000 |
| 587 | Purchased Rep Serv. for Mach\&Eq | \$191,000,000 |
| 596 | Commodity Indirect Taxes | \$100,000,000 |
| 598 | Other Indirect Taxes | \$712,000,000 |
| 599 | Wages \& Salaries | \$1,278,000,000 |
| 601 | Net Income, Unincorp. Business | \$3,819,000,000 |
| 602 | Other Operating Surplus | \$5,283,000 |
| TOTAL | ODITY INPUT PURCHASES GREATER T | \$18,356,000,000 |
| TOTAL | NDITURES ON COMMODITIES FROM \$1 | \$1,292,000,000 |
| TOTAL | NDITURES ON COMMODITIES OF LESS | \$103,000,000 |
| TOTAL | NSES | \$19,751,000,000 |
| 597 | idies | (\$1,049,000,000) |
| 603 | unting Row | (\$1,000,000) |
| TOTAL OUTPUT |  | \$18,701,000,000 |

Table 3.2



Though the total expenditures by the Agriculture sector came from a single source (Statistics Canada) and was distributed amongst the farm types from secondary sources, the means by which the distribution patterns were determined varied. The means of allocating the expense item depended upon the nature of the commodity in question and the nature of the data available with which to estimate the farm type allocation.

### 3.2.1 Allocations Using Census Data

Much of the estimation is straightforward, involving only the application of the farm type distribution obtained directly from the 1981 Census of Agriculture selected expenditure allocation ( $\%$ 's) multiplied by the Statistics Canada model control totals to derive the Agriculture Canada Model values. This was the case for 35 of the 86 expenditure items in the model. For each of these items the census data provided categories which offered a reasonable means of estimating the input cost distributions. These items account for $39 \%$ of the total expenditures in the model. A list of these 35 items and the corresponding census expenditure categories which were applied to obtain the farm type distribution is presented in Table 3.3. Each of these Census category distributions by farm type can be found in Appendix Table A-3.4.

### 3.2.2 Allocations Using Census Proxies

An additional 18 items were allocated using other information found in the Census of Agriculture (Table 3.4). These proxies were used in cases where the specific input categories was not available. A total of $\$ 1.44$ billion were allocated using these proxies.

## Livestock Expenses

Data on livestock purchases was not available from the selected expenditure items from the Census of Agriculture. As a proxy for these costs the farm type animal population was used. The livestock expenditures were allocated as a percentage of the number of animals found on each farm type. The livestock population distribution is given in Appendix Table A-3.5 for cattle and calves, hogs and poultry.

Farm Equipment Related Expenses
A number of expense items deal with the operation of agriculture machinery (commodities 127, 314, 315, and 483 in Table 3.4). These expense items were estimated using the number of tractors, machinery and automobiles found on each farm type. These distributions can be found in Appendix tables A-3.6 and A-3.7.

Table 3.3
COMMODITY EXPENDITURE PATTERNS ESTIMATED FROM CENSUS SOURCES

## Commodity

Commodity
Census Source
No.

15 16 18 37 39

61 85 86 87 88

100
103
287
288
333
376
394
395
396
397
399
403
423
469
471
481
522
546
549
559
577

Hay, Forage, Straw
Seeds,ex. oil \& seed grade
Oil, seeds, nuts \& kernels
Coal
Natural Gas
Feeds of Animal Origin, nes Primary or Concentrated Feeds
Feed for Commercial Livestock
Feeds, Grain Origin, nes
Feeds, Vegetable Origin, nes
Beet Pulp
Oilseed, Meal \& Cake
Wire \& Wire Rope, Steel
Wire Fencing, Screen, Netting
Modifications, Conversions
Lime
Aviation Gasoline
Motor Gasoline
Fuel Oil
Lubricating oils \& Grease
Butane, Propane \& Oth.Liq.Pet. Products
Fertilizer
Ammonia, Anhydrous \& Aqua
Fertilizer Chemicals
Antifreeze Compounds
Agricultural Chemicals
Repair Construction
Electric Power
Water and Other Utilities
Other Rent
Rental of Autos \& Trucks
Rental Mach. \& Equip.,incl.
Construction Machinery
SpareParts\&Maintenance
Purch. Rep. Serv.,Machinery
Wages \& Salaries

Feed \& Supplements
Seeds \& Seedlings
Seeds \& Seedlings
Fuel, Oil, Lubrication
Fuel, Oil, Lubrication
Feed \& Supplements
Feed \& Supplements
Feed \& Supplements
Feed \& Supplements
Feed \& Supplements
Feed \& Supplements
Feed \& Supplements
Rep. \& Maint., Farm Bldgs.
Rep.\& Maint.,Farm Bldgs.
Rep.\& Maint., Farm Bldgs.
Fertilizer \& Lime
Fuel, Oil \& Lubrication
Fuel, Oil \& Lubrication
Fuel, Oil \& Lubrication
Fuel, Oil \& Lubrication
Fuel,oil \& Lubrication
Fertilizer \& Lime
Fertilizer \& Lime
Fertilizer \& Lime
Agricultural Chemicals
Agricultural Chemicals
Rep.\& Maint., Farm Bldgs.
Electricity Used
Electricity Used
Cash Rent
Machine Rental \& Custom Wk. Machine Rental \& Custom Wk.

Rep.\&Maint.,Farm Mach. Rep.\& Maint.,Farm Mach. Cash Wages

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture, Canada, Table 30, Data For Farms With Sales of $\$ 2500$ or More Classified by Product Type, Selected Expenditures.

Other Real Estate (non-rent) and Finance (Commodity \#555)
Census data on the value of land and buildings by farm type were used as a proxy for the distribution of this input expense item in the Use matrix. The underlying assumption for the use of this data as a basis for deriving the expenditure distribution is that costs for real estate/finance would be related to the value of real estate assets (land and buildings) held by farm operators. The derived distribution (shown in Appendix Table A-3.8) was multiplied by the I-O total of $\$ 29 \mathrm{M}$ to produce a farm type allocation under the revised Agriculture Canada model structure.

Insurance and W.C.B. Payments (Commodity \#556)
This distribution was obtained by determining the total weeks of hired labour utilized by farm type (Agriculture Census, Selected Expenditures by Farm Type, Table 30 , 1981). The Statistics Canada total of $\$ 50 \mathrm{M}$ in the Use matrix was then distributed according to this percentage allocation by farm type (Appendix A-3.9).

Other Indirect Taxes (Commodity \#598)
From Statistics Canada documentation covering the system of commodity classification (Users Guide, Chapter 2, p.9), the items contained in this group of expenditures are: licenses, fees and permits, and real and personal property taxes. Since the largest component within this mix is likely to be property taxes, the value of land and buildings by farm type from the census was used as a basis for allocating these expenses (Appendix Table $\mathrm{A}-3.8$ ). The I- O total of $\$ 712 \mathrm{M}$ was distributed using this distribution.

Other Expense Items
A number of minor expense items were allocated using an estimate of "other expenses". These eight expenses accounted for $\$ 49$ million or less than $0.3 \%$ of the total expenditures by the agriculture sector. The category was estimated by the Program Coordination Division, Regional Development Branch, who attempted to expand on the expenditure items by farm type available from the 1981 Census of Agriculture. Estimates from published total farm expenses for canada, which had additional expense categories, were allocated to the respective farm types for the year 1980. The miscellaneous (or "other") expense item was determined as a residual after estimates were made for other input categories. This distribution (see Appendix Table A-3.10) was employed only in cases where no other appropriate secondary source could be obtained for the expense item.

Table 3.4
CENSUS SOURCES: PROXY VARIABLES USED TO DISAGGREGATE USE MATRIX DATA BY FARM TYPE

| No. | Commodity | Census Proxies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cattle \& Calves | Number of Cattle on Farms |
| 3 | Hogs | Number of Hogs on Farms |
| 4 | Poultry | Poultry Livestock on Farms (Hens, Chickens,Turkeys) |
| 24 | Logs \& Bolts | Other Expenses |
| 44 | Salt | Other Expenses |
| 45 | Peat Moss | Other Expenses |
| 50 | Stone, crude | Other Expenses |
| 89 | Pet Feeds | Other Expenses |
| 127 | Tires \& Tubes, nes | Number of Tractors + Numbers of Other Farm Machinery on Farms |
| 314 | Tractors, Farm \& Garden | Number of Tractors on Farms |
| 315 | Other Agric. Machinery | Other Farm Machinery on Farms |
| 483 | Auto.Chem. ex. antifreeze | Number Automobiles on Farms |
| 545 | Postal Services | Other Expenses |
| 555 | Other Real Estate | Value of Land and Buildings |
| 556 | Insurance and WCB | Hired and Own Labour |
| 581 | Office Supplies | Other Expenses |
| 585 | Travel and Entertainment | Other Expenses |
| 598 | Other Indirect Taxes | Value of Land and Buildings |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture, Canada, Table 30, Data For Farm With Sales of $\$ 2500$ or More Classified by Product Type.

### 3.2.3 Allocations Using Taxfiler Farm Budgets: Receipts, Expenses and Income

The Farm Budget data developed by J. Gellner and W. Darcovitch was used for 11 more expense items. The Gellner data, in its original 1974 form, was indexed to a base year of 1981 using the Farm Input Price Index for the individual items in question. Since this data was available by province, each provincial average cost was multiplied by its corresponding number of farms reporting to derive a provincial total per input item. The values for all provincial expenditures were totalled to obtain a Canadian distribution. Recognizing the weakness of this approach, it was only used when there was no preferred alternative cost data for the farm type expenditure estimates. Thus, the 11 commodities amounted to an allocation of only $\$ 171$ million out of the total $\$ 18.7$ billion expenditures of the agriculture sector (i.e. less than 1\%). The commodity inputs and the Gellner expenditure categories used to disaggregate them by farm type are listed in Table 3.5. Each of the farm type distributions for these expense items can be found in Appendix Table A-3.1l.

For percentages allocated to each farm type for the input items distributed using taxfiler data, see Appendix Table A-3.11.

### 3.2.4 Allocations Based on Other Secondary Sources

Where reference data from published sources did not directly match the commodity definition in the Use matrix, alternative data was utilized as a proxy for the distribution of input expenditures by farm type. This approach was applied to the commodities shown in Table 3.6. The methodology applied to each of the commodity inputs listed in Table 3.6 will be described in the subsections which follow.

Seeds (Commodities \#7, \#8, \#14)
Seed input purchases are represented by commodities: wheat, unmilled (\#7), barley,oats,rye,etc. (\#8), and vegetables,fresh (\#14) respectively. In each case, acreage planted to each crop was taken from census farm type tables and multiplied by corresponding per acre seed input costs obtained from cost of production publications. Total crop costs for Canada by farm type were totalled and a percentage of the total for each farm type calculated. This percentage was used to distribute the Statistic Canada total expenditures for seed expenditures in the wheat, small grain and vegetable categories outlined above. For example, an examination of Appendix Table A-3. 12 reveals the interpretation of the percentages along the row for wheat. Wheat farm expenditures on commodity \#7 (wheat, unmilled) amounted to $66.01 \%$ of the $\$ 15$ million total for wheat seed expenditures by the agriculture sector in Canada during 1981. Multiplying the $\$ 15$ million total by .6601 results in an estimate of $\$ 9,000,990$ for wheat seed expenditures by Wheat Farms. The $\$ 9$ million value is then entered in the Use Matrix of the transactions table.

Table 3.5
TAXFILER DATA: INPUT EXPENSE ITEMS USED TO DISAGGREGATE USE MATRIX TOTALS BY FARM TYPE

Expenditures Items (1):
Containers and Twine
Telephone and Electricity
Accounting and Legal Fees
The above items were applied to the following inputs in the Use Matrix:

## Commodity No.

Commodity (2)

136
165
166
175
199
221
225
544
566
575
576

Plastic Containers \& Bottle Caps Baler and Binder Twine Other Cordage, Twine \& Rope Textile Containers Containers, Closures \& Wood Pallets Paper Cartons, Cans, Bags \& Bottles Paper Containers, NES Telephone \& Telegraph Services to Business Management Rental, Data Processing Equipment Other Services to Business \& Persons
(1) Source: W.Darcovich and J.Gellner, Farm Budgets: Receipts Expenses and Incomes By Type and Size of Farm for [Provinces], 1974, Economics Branch, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa.

Other grain seed purchases were calculated as above, but by aggregating crop acreages by provinces for the 4 specific crops which fit into this grain seed category. Seed costs per acre for each of oats, barley, corn for grain and rye were applied to appropriate crop acreages by farm type and by province from census data. Where available, cost of production studies were obtained for (or indexed to) 1981 and the per acre seed costs to producers of these crops used to estimate total provincial seed costs. Provincial seed purchases were totalled and then combined into a national farm type expenditure pattern (Appendix Table A-3.12). The percentage distribution by farm type for seed inputs was then calculated based on the estimated national total for all farm types. The resultant distribution of input expenditure patterns was then multiplied by the Statistics Canada total in the National Io Model to obtain a farm type distribution of these transactions for the disaggregated model. The estimates are interpreted in the same way as those for wheat above.

For vegetables, the most significant seed purchase was assumed to be made by producers of potatoes who obtained seed stock from commercial seed potato suppliers. Since no specific seed potato expenditure data was available, estimated input purchase patterns in this category were assumed to be reflected in the distribution of commercial table potato production available from the 1981 census. Again, acreage devoted to potato production was summed by province from farm type data. Where possible, cost of production studies for potatoes citing seed potato costs per acre were multiplied by appropriate acreages to obtain total provincial farm type seed expenditures. Provincial totals were aggregated to form a Canadian distribution of purchases by farm type and this percentage allocation was multiplied by the National I-O Model vegetable total in the Use matrix to obtain a revised distribution for the farm industries in the Agriculture Canada model (see Appendix Table A-3.13).

Services Incidental to Agriculture and Forestry (Commodity \#23)
Commodity number 23 could not be estimated directly from available data. Instead, services to agriculture industries were assumed to comprise three major components: veterinary, breeding and machinery-custom work expenses. Total expenditures on this commodity item, according to the National I-O model, were listed as $\$ 270$ million for 1981. For estimation purposes, the Agriculture Canada model assumes that this total is evenly split between veterinary-breeding costs and custom work expenses.

Veterinary and breeding fees were derived from regional cost of production studies which itemized these expenditures for hog, beef and dairy production. Four regions were used as a basis for collecting this cost information-B.C., Prairies, Ontario/Quebec, and the Maritimes. The cost of production studies used ranged in date of publication from 1979 to 1981 and were indexed to a common base year of 1981 using the Farm Input Price Indexes for Western and Eastern Canada. The costs were converted to a per head on-farm (by farm type) basis and multiplied by the corresponding provincial livestock populations for the three types of animal. By this means, estimated total veterinary and breeding costs for Canada (1981) were used to derive a farm type distribution for veterinary- breeding expenses. Because veterinary-breeding expenses were assumed to represent approximately $50 \%$ of the $\$ 270$ million total for this commodity input, the estimated distribution for these farm type expenses was applied to the adjusted $\$ 135$ million total for this portion of expenditures comprising the services related to agriculture and forestry. (see Appendix A-3.14).

Table 3.6
COMMODITY EXPENDITURE PATTERNS ESTIMATED FROM SECONDARY SOURCES

Commodity No.
Commodity Title

Wheat
Barley,Oats,Rye, Corn, Grain, NES
Vegetables
Services Incidental to Agriculture \& Forestry
Imputed Service,Banks
Other Real Estate (non-rent) \& Finance
Insurance \& WCB
Other Rent
Government Goods \& Services
Commodity Indirect Taxes
Subsidies
Other Indirect Taxes
Margins
Supplementary Labour Income
Net Income, Unincorp. Businesses Other Operating Surplus

* Note: Margins are represented by 7 commodity items in the Use matrix. Since the methodology used to disaggregate the margins was the same, these commodities are described as a group. They include:

```
540 Pipeline Transportation
5 4 2 \text { Storage}
547 Gas Distribution
550 Wholesaling Margins
5 5 3 ~ R e t a i l i n g ~ M a r g i n s
583 Transportation Margins
596 Commodity Indirect Taxes (Tax Margins)
```

Custom work services to agriculture were assumed to be represented by the distribution obtained from the 1981 Census of Agriculture for Canada (Table 30) outlining Machinery Rental and Custom Work expenditures by farm type. In the census publication, this input item represented a total of $\$ 280,064,000$. However, since custom work was assumed to comprise only $50 \%$ of the $\$ 270$ million of the total services bill to agriculture, the Census distribution for Machinery Rental and Custom Work was applied to a value of $\$ 135$ million to obtain the farm type allocation for this component of the Use matrix.

The distribution utilized in the Use matrix of the Agriculture Canada model was obtained by totalling the estimated farm,type dollar allocations for veterinary-breeding fees + custom work estimates described above. The derived expenditure allocation was then applied to the National model $\$ 270 \mathrm{~m}$ total to obtain the disaggregated transaction table values.

## Pharmaceuticals (Commodity \#408)

The pharmaceutical purchases were estimated using the distribution of the veterinary and medical fees as defined in commodity 23, Services Incidental to Agriculture and Forestry. This distribution by farm type was used to allocate the $\$ 37$ million in the Use Matrix (see Appendix Table A-3.14).

Services Incidental to Transportation (Commodity \#532) and Truck Transportation (Commodity \#536)

Input information on these two commodities were difficult to obtain. As a proxy for these distributions the allocation of transportation margins (commodity 583) by farm type was used. It was assumed that transportation expenses would be related to the margins paid for transportation (see Appendix Table A-3.18).

Imputed Services, Banks (Commodity \#554)
Information from the Farm Credit Corporation Farm Survey for 1982 covering short, medium and long term borrowings by farm type and Agriculture Canada's Market Commentary: Farm Inputs and Finance, 1980 and 1981, were used to estimate interest payments made by farm types.

Special tabulations of the FCC Survey questionnaire data compiled short, medium and long term borrowing by farm types that corresponded as closely as possible to those obtained from census definitions. These dollar values were then multiplied by the corresponding term interest rates to estimate interest expenses for the respective farm categories. The interest rates applied for 1981, were taken from the Market Commentary publication cited, and are listed below. The rates are national averages compiled from available sources of credit to the farm sector throughout Canada.

## Interest Rates



Source: Agriculture Canada, Market Commentary:
Farm Inputs \& Finance, Regional Development
Branch, December, 1982.

The resulting distribution (percentage allocation) from the tabulated farm type interest expenses for Canada, are shown in Appendix Table A-3.15.

Trade Association Dues (Commodity \#578)
This commodity was distributed using the allocation of commodity 23, Services Incidental to Agriculture and Forestry. A total of $\$ \mathrm{xx}$ million was allocated by farm type for this commodity.

Government Goods \& Services (Commodity \#595)
This commodity was allocated amongst farm types in the model according to the distribution estimated for subsidy payments to the agriculture sector. The assumption is that producers draw on services and goods supplied by government in the course of production activities in the same proportion that they receive assistance from commodity financial assistance programs. For a discussion of the derivation of the subsidy distribution, see the description of methodology utilized to allocate subsidy payments to agriculture (commodity \#597 below).

## Commodity Indirect Taxes (Commodity \#596)

According to Statistics Canada, indirect taxes on commodities include: provincial/federal sales taxes, excise taxes, gasoline taxes and amusement taxes. From unpublished I-O data, the individual commodities to which these taxes applied were made available by the Input-Output Division. The data showed the total expenditures in the form of indirect taxes paid by consumers of each commodity input. The commodities (used as inputs by the Agriculture sector) to which these taxes applied are:

Commodity Indirect Taxes

| Commodity No. | I-O Total Commodity |
| :---: | :---: |
| 39 | Natural Gas |
| 127 | Tires \& Tubes, NES |
| 225 | Paper Containers,NES |
| 288 | Wire Fencing, Screen, Netting |
| 394 | Aviation Gasoline |
| 395 | Motor Gasoline |
| 396 | Fuel Oil |
| 397 | Lubricating Oils \& Grease |
| 399 | Butane,Propane\& Other Liquid Petroleum Products |
| 471 | Antifreeze Compounds |
| 544 | Telephone \& Telegraph |
| 546 | Electric Power . |

Source: Statistics Canada, Unpublished Statistics, Margins, Input-Output Division.

The Input-Output Division provided total indirect taxes paid to each commodity. To allocate these to the farm types it was assumed that producers would pay these indirect taxes in the same proportion as their expenditures on the 12 component commodities to which the indirect taxes were levied (Appendix Table A-3.16). Since the distribution of the component commodity expenditures was estimated from census input expenditure data by farm type, these percentages were used to allocate the $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ total for indirect taxes in the I-O model to the disaggregated farm types in the Agriculture Canada model.

## Subsidies (Commodity \#596)

Subsidy payments describe cash outlays by government toward production costs incurred in the current year (1981). These payments are based on outputs and inputs identified with the year modelled. Payments outlined for production and inputs by commodity were provided by Statistics Canada, Input-Output Division. These figures were then matched against data provided by Agriculture Canada, Agricultural Stabilization Board, which listed payments for production on a monthly basis for the calendar year 1981.

Payments are recorded by farm type for the year in which they were received by producers of the subsidized commodities. For agriculture in 1981, these payments were made to producers of the following outputs:

```
Subsidy Commodities - Outputs
```

Commodity No.

Commodity
Cattle \& Calves
Sheep \& Lamb
Hogs
Poultry
Other Live Animals
Wheat
Barley, Oats, Corn, Grain, NES
Milk, Unprocessed
Eggs in Shell
Fruit, Fresh
Vegetables, Fresh

Output subsidies were presumed to be received by farm types in proportion to the production of the product produced by the farm type. Hence data from a Statistics Canada special tabulation of farm sales by farm type for 1981 (Agriculture Statistics Division) was used to provide a distribution with which to distribute the subsidies for the farm type expenditures in the Use matrix. This data is also used in disaggregating the Make matrix by farm type. Thus, for a description of the sales distribution pattern of commodity output subsidies, the section on Make matrix methodology presents the percentages used to allocate these expenditures.

Input subsidies were also paid to producers of agricultural commodities. The inputs eligible in 1981 consisted of the commodities listed below:

> Subsidy Commodities - Inputs


The above commodities had their input subsidies allotted to the respective farm types by the amount of the input used by each farm type in the Use matrix. The remaining input subsidies for Current and Capital Inputs and Canada Manpower Training were allocated based upon other proxy measures. Current and Capital Inputs were distributed by the percentages obtained from farm type values of farm capital in the census of agriculture. Canada Manpower Training subsidies were dispersed according to the cash wages figures, also available from the Agriculture Census by farm type.

The total for both categories of subsidy is $\$ 1.049$ billion in the National I-O model. Once the input and output subsidies had been estimated by farm type, the two subsidy categories were aggregated into a total farm type subsidy record and each farm type's proportion of the $\$ 1.049$ billion for 1981 was calculated. The final distribution is presented in Appendix Table A-17.

## Margins (Commodities as Listed)

Margins which are attributed to individual commodities throughout the Use matrix are identified by seven commodities as listed below. Statistics Canada, I-O Division provided a listing which made possible the disaggregation of margins applied to each of the agricultural commodities in the large level of aggregation for the National Io model. This list traced each margin, by margin category (commodities listed below), for all of the input items in the Use matrix for agriculture.

| Commodity <br> No. | Margin Category |
| :--- | :--- |
| 540 | Pipeline Transportation |
| 542 | Storage |
| 547 | Wholesaling Margins |
| 550 | Retailing Margins |
| 553 | Transportation Margins |
| 583 | Commodity Indirect Taxes (Tax Margins) |

To distribute the commodity margin expenses by farm type, the distribution patterns for the commodities to which the margins applied were multiplied by the appropriate margin expenses identified in the Statistics Canada margin expense data by commodity. Specific tables detailing the distribution of margins amongst agricultural commodities for each of the margin categories. For the resulting margin distributions utilized within the Use matrix for the margins listed above, see Appendix Table A-3.18.

## Supplementary Labour Income (Commodity \#600)

This expense item defines the payments by agricultural producers for labour expenses related to employer contributions to pension funds, workmen's compensation board fees and unemployment insurance premiums. The National model expenditure total of $\$ 65 \mathrm{M}$ was distributed according to estimated total employment (hired + operator labour) by farm type (Appendix Table A-3.19).

Weeks of labour by hired employees and operators were estimated from the Census of Agriculture. Operator labour was adjusted (i.e. reduced) in order to take into account the number of weeks of off-farm work to ensure that farm labour was used as a basis for the allocation. The percentage of weeks by farm type was then multiplied by the SLI total in the National model to derive the Use matrix distribution.

Net Income, Unincorporated Business (Commodity \#601)
For agriculture, net income is that accruing to farm operators from farm production. The distribution for net farm income by farm type in 1981 was available from special tabulations performed by Statistics Canada and published in "Farming Facts, 1984". Total number of farms in each farm type category were multiplied by the average net farm income per farm type in the special tabulation. Total net incomes for the respective farm types were then used to calculate the percentages by farm type used to allocate the $\$ 3.819$ billion for this item in the Use matrix (Appendix Table A-3.20).

## Other Operating Surplus (Commodity \#602)

Other Operating Surplus represents a residual between the value of total output (Make matrix) and the value of all primary and intermediate inputs used in the production process (Use matrix). Thus, the National I-O Model identifies a residual of $\$ 5.283$ billion for the agriculture sector in the Use matrix. This residual includes corporate profits before tax, dividends and interest paid (net of interest and dividends paid to households), inventory valuation adjustments, donations and (as a negative item), investment income received by the sector. This definition is consistent with that used in the User's Guide of the National I- O Model.

After all other input commodities had been estimated by farm type, total input expenditures were calculated by summing the respective columns in the Use table. Similarly, column totals were taken from the Make matrix after all commodities sold as output from the respective farm types had been allocated. The Use farm type totals were then subtracted from the Make farm type totals to arrive at residuals by farm type. The percentages for each residual by farm type were taken and used to distribute the National I-O model total for Other Operating Surplus in the Agriculture Canada model (Appendix Table A-3.21).

## Non-Confidential Accounting Row (Commodity \#603)

The data obtained from Statistics Canada was the nonconfidential input-output model. This data set has an additional row which suppresses confidential data points and accounts for rounding error. For the agriculture sector the value in the Use matrix for commodity 603 was a negative $\$ 1.0$ million. This commodity was allocated using the same distribution as commodity 602, Other Operating surplus, and was subtracted from dollar values in row 602.

## IV. METHODOLOGY TO DISAGGREGATE THE MAKE MATRIX

The disaggregation of the Make Matrix details the output produced by each of the expanded agriculture sectors. Section 4.1 reviews the data which was contained in the original single agriculture sector. Section 4.2 reviews the farm type revenue patterns for each of the agriculture sectors. The major data source used in the model was a special tabulation from the 1981 Census of revenue by farm type.

### 4.1 The Make Matrix for Agriculture

A similar procedure was used to disaggregate the Make matrix as was employed for the Use matrix. The values in the original Make matrix were first compared to other sources for accuracy and then disaggregated by farm type. The agriculture sector in the Statistics Canada Make matrix contained 32 commodities.

The secondary sources which were used to disaggregate the Make matrix were:

1. Statistics, Canada, Imputed Sales Classified By Product and Farm Type, Canada and Provinces, Farms With Sales of $\$ 2500$ and Over, Special Tabulation, 1981 Census.
2. Statistics Canada, Farming Facts, 1984, Agriculture Statistics Division, Ottawa, 1984.
3. Statistics Canada, Census of Agriculture, 1981, Canada, Catalogue Number 96-901, 1982.

The special tabulation of the 1981 Census was extensively used to disaggregate the Make matrix since it was one of the few sources which detailed income by farm type. The special tabulation outlines the imputed sales of 24 commodities by farm types for 1981. Comparing the estimated values of the imputed commodity sales from the Census tabulation with the inputoutput tables are shown in Table 4.1. The Census tabulation estimated 94\% of the total revenue as estimated in the input- output tables. Of this total, individual commodities whose difference was less than $20 \%$ between the two sources accounted for $62 \%$ of the estimated income. Increasing the variability between the two sources, $75 \%$ of the input-output revenue was accounted for by items whose difference from the input-output sources was less than $30 \%$.

Difference between the Census and input-output revenue data can be explained by the method used to determine the imputed sales of commodities in the census. The imputed farm sales were determined by estimating the yield from planted acreages in the Census and multiplying this estimate by an average price for the commodity. Adjustments were made to the imputed sales values for the consumption of commodities on farm e.g. animal feed where appropriate. It is assumed that variations between the two sources are due to problems in estimating prices and yields; therefore, the farm type distribution, in percentage terms, as given by the Census tabulation can be used to allocate the input-- output commodity values by farm type.


| Inputed Sales 1981 Census By Commodity (Items) | Values $(\$)$ | Census Aggregates (\$) | Input-Output Commodities (Items) | Values <br> (\$) | Census Aggregates (\$) | Difference (Census-IO) | Percentage Difference (Census-IO/Cens) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | \$3,469,649,404 |  | 7 Wheat, unmilled | \$4,464,000,000 |  | $(\$ 994,350,596)$ | -29\% |
| Oats-Grain | \$150,029, 112 | \$1,603,838,571 | 8 Barley, Oats, Rye | \$1,761,000,000 |  | (\$157, 161, 429) | -10\% |
| Barley-Grain | \$758,584,345 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed-Grain | \$75,685,097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corn-Grain | \$512,797,556 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other-Grains | \$106,742,461 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oilseeds | \$1,112,765,202 |  | 18 Oilseeds, Nuts | \$736,000,000 |  | \$376,765,202 | 34\% |
| Hay \& Fodder | \$64,471 | - | 15 Hay, forage | \$7,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Forage Seed | \$25,100,587 |  | 16 Seeds, Ex.0il | \$90,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Apple Trees | \$109,886,774 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Fruits | \$132,860,990 | \$242,747,764 | 13 Fruits, Fresh | \$279,000,000 |  | $(\$ 36,252,236)$ | -15\% |
| Vegetables | \$259,233,949 | \$953,232,020 | 14 Vegetables, Fresh | \$1,064,000,000 |  | $(\$ 110,767,980)$ | -12\% |
| Potatoes | \$198,954,558 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Field Cro | \$495,043,513 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialty Crops | \$378,913,025 |  | 11 Honey \& Beeswax | \$55,000,000 | \$714,000,000 | $(\$ 335,086,975)$ | -88\% |
|  |  |  | 12 Nuts, edible | \$4,000,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 17 Nursery STock | \$305,000,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 19 Hops, incl. lupulin | \$4,000,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20 Tobacco, raw | \$346,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Milk Cows | \$2,766,836,543 |  | 9 Milk, whole, fluid | \$2,492,000,000 |  | \$274,836,543 | 10\% |
| Other Cattle | \$4,140,802,718 |  | 1 Cattle \& Calves | \$3,410,000,000 | \$3,415,000,000 | \$725,802,718 | 18\% |
|  |  |  | 62 Hids \& Skins | \$5,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Pigs | \$1,420,213,402 |  | 32 Hogs | \$1,604,000,000 |  | $(\$ 183,786,598)$ | -13\% |
|  |  | \$5,561,016,120 |  | 52 | Beef, Veal, Pork | k \$123,000,000 |  |
| Sheep | \$37,291,111 |  | 2 Sheep \& Lambs | \$22,000,000 |  | \$15,291,111 | 41\% |
|  |  |  | 22 Wool in grease | \$2,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Other Livestock | \$237,527,491 |  | 5 Other Live Animals | \$67,000,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 21 Mink Skins | \$49,000,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 63 Animal Mat. (drugs) | \$14,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Laying Hens | \$438,499,139 |  | 10 Eggs, in shell | \$487,000,000 |  | (\$48,500,861) | -11\% |
| Other Chicken | \$452,251,648 |  | 4 Poulty | \$742,000,000 |  | $(\$ 289,748,352)$ | -64\% |
| Other Poultry | \$178,537,346 | \$630,788,994 | 65 Poultry, Fresh | \$33,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Maple Tappings | \$32,185,206 |  | 107 Maple Sugar | \$43,000,000 |  | $(\$ 10,814,794)$ | -34\% |
| Imputed Sales | \$17,490,455,648 |  |  | \$18,701,000,000 |  | (\$1,210,544,352) | -7\% |

### 4.2 Farm Type Revenue Patterns

A complete listing of the 32 commodities produced in the agriculture sector is provided in Appendix Table A-4.1. In this table each commodity is itemized and the corresponding distribution of the revenue by farm type is shown. These percentage values are converted into dollar values by farm type in Appendix Table A-4.2. In Appendix Table A-4.3, the revenue distribution within farm type is given. This distribution is the "D" matrix for the agriculture sectors, which will be used to determine the impact matrix in the model.

As with the Use matrix, the total revenue by commodity for the agriculture sector came from a single source (Statistics Canada) and was distributed among the farm types using a number of secondary sources. The means of allocating these values depended upon the commodity considered and the availability of information on the commodity by farm type. The allocation of these commodities to the respective farm types is detailed below.

### 4.2.1 Allocations Using Census Data

The commodities found in the Census tabulation compare closely, but not exactly, to the commodities in the input-output Make matrix. This meant that some of the Census distributions could be used directly to allocate some of the input-output values, while other commodities in the Census tabulation, due to definitional differences between the two sources, required some aggregation to correspond closer to the input-output commodities. Those commodities in Table 4.2 marked with an asterisk (*) were employed directly from the special tabulation in determining a percentage distribution for the Make matrix in the disaggregated input-output model. The corresponding input-output commodities which used these direct Census distributions are found in Table 4.3.

### 4.2.2 Allocations Using Other Census Proxies

The unmarked commodities in Table 4.2 were combined with other sales data to approximate the input-output commodity definitions, prior to calculation of the distribution applied in the Agriculture Canada model. Each of these commodity combinations is described below in the context of the Make matrix commodity to which they were applied. The sales of commodities in the special tabulation were combined in order to increase the compatibility with the commodities in the Make matrix. For example, poultry (commodity \#4) output is defined as separate from eggs in shell (commodity \#l0); hence, sales of products from other chicken and other poultry were totalled and used to derive a percentage distribution by farm type for poultry, while sales from laying hens were used to distribute eggs in the Make matrix.

The combinations of commodity sales data in the special tabulation applied to Make matrix commodities are outlined in Table 4.4. The distribution of these commodity aggregations by farm type are given in Appendix Table A-4.4.

Table 4.2 COMMODITY SALES BY FARM TYPE AS DOCUMENTED BY SPECIAL TABULATION, 1981 CENSUS


Source: Statistics, Canada, Imputed Sales Classified By Product Type, Canada and Provinces, Farms With Sales of $\$ 2500$ and Over, Special Tabulation, 1981 Census.

Table 4.3
MAKE MATRIX COMMODITIES DISTRIBUTED USING DISTRIBUTIONS OBTAINED FROM SPECIAL TABULATION, 1981 CENSUS

|  | Make Commodity | Spec.Tab. Commodity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Cattle \& Calves | Other Cattle |
| 2 | Sheep \& Lambs | Sheep |
| 3 | Hogs | Pigs |
| 5 | Other Live Animals | Other Livestock |
| 7 | Wheat, unmilled | Wheat |
| 9 | Milk, whole, fluid, unproc. | Milk Cows |
| 10 | Eggs in shell | Laying Hens |
| 11 | Honey \& Beeswax | Specialty Crops |
| 12 | Nuts, edible, unshelled | Specialty Crops |
| 15 | Hay,Forage, Straw | Hay \& Fodder Crops |
| 16 | Seeds, ex. oil \& seed | Forage Seeds |
| 17 | Nursery Stock | Specialty Crops |
| 18 | Oil Seeds, nuts \& kernels | Oilseeds |
| 19 | Hops, incl. lupulin | Specialty Crops |
| 20 | Tobacco, raw | Specialty Crops |
| 21 | Mink skins | Other Livestock |
| 22 | Wool in grease | Sheep |
| 62 | Hides \& Skins, raw | Other Cattle |
| 63 | Animal Material, for drugs | Other Livestock |
| 107 | Maple Sugar \& Syrup | Maple Tappings |

Table 4.4
COMBINATIONS OF COMMODITY SALES DATA USED TO DISTRIBUTE MAKE MATRIX COMMODITIES BY FARM TYPE

4 Poultry
8 Barley,Oats,Rye,Corn
13 Fruits, fresh
14 Vegetables, fresh Crops
52 Beef,Veal, Pork, fresh
65 Poultry, fresh,froz.

Other Chicken + Other Poultry
Barley + Oats + Corn + Mixed + Other Grain
Apple Trees + Other, Fruit
Vegetables + Oth. Field + Potatoes
Other Cattle + Hogs
Other Chicken + Other Poultry

Other information found in the Census covering woodland area by farm type was used as a means of allocating output of four commodities:

24 Logs and Bolts
25 Poles, Pit Props
26 Pulpwood
27 Other Crude Wood Materials

Since no source permitted a direct means of estimating farm type production of these products, the 1981 census information giving the woodland area (acres) by farm type was used as a proxy for estimation purposes (see Appendix Table A-4.5).

### 4.2.3 Allocations Based on Other Secondary Sources

Services incidental to agriculture (commodity \#23) and other rent (commodity \#559) are commodities whose distribution by farm type were determined from the 1984 Farming Facts publication from Statistics Canada. The table in this publication entitled, "Average Income by Source for Census Farm Operator Families, Canada and Provinces, $1980^{\prime \prime}$ was the basis on which percentages were established. For incidental services, non- farm self-employment income by farm type was used as a proxy to determine the farm type allocation in the Make matrix. The average income per farm family in the table was multiplied by the number of farm families reporting such income and the percentage distribution by farm type was employed to obtain the values to be used by the Agriculture Canada Make matrix. The resulting distribution is outlined in Table 4.5.

Table 4.5
NET NON-FARM SELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME BY FARM TYPE, 1981 CENSUS

| Farm Type | No. Census Farm Fam. | Net Non-Farm Self-Employ Income Per Farm (\$) | Total By Farm Type (\$) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distribn. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 39,785 | 878 | 34,931,230 | 10.95\% |
| Cattle | 52,835 | 1,575 | 83,215,125 | 26.09\% |
| Hog | 11,455 | 1,347 | 15,429,885 | 4.84\% |
| Poultry | 5,025 | 1,697 | 8,527,425 | 2.67\% |
| Wheat | 44,465 | 1,013 | 45,043,045 | 14.12\% |
| Small Grain | 44,945 | 1,577 | 70,878,265 | 22.22\% |
| Oth.Field Cr. | 6,990 | 1,278 | 8,933,220 | 2.80\% |
| Fruit \& Veg. | 9,405 | 1,260 | 11,850,300 | 3.72\% |
| Misc. Spec. | 10,445 | 2,232 | 23,313,240 | 7.31\% |
| Livestk Comb. | 8,170 | 1,105 | 9,027,850 | 2.83\% |
| Crop Comb. | 485 | 1,523 | 738,655 | . $23 \%$ |
| Other Comb. | 4,040 | 1,750 | 7,070,000 | 2.22\% |
|  |  | : | 318,958,240 | 100.00\% |

Source: Statistics Canada, Average Income by Source and by Type of Farm for Census Farm Operator Families, Canada, 1980, Agricultural Statistics Division, Farming Facts 1984.

Other rent was estimated from the same Farming Facts table, but by utilizing income sources contributing to investment income by farm type. Investment income in the table was defined to include: bond interest, dividends, mortgage interest, net rents, estate income, bank interest and other investment income. This data resulted in the distribution shown in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6
INVESTMENT INCOME BY FARM TYPE, 1981 CENSUS

| Farm Type | No.Census Farm Fam. | Investment Income | Total By Farm Type | $\underset{\%}{\text { Distribn. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 39,785 | 1,531 | 60,910,835 | 9.81\% |
| Cattle | 52,835 | 2,892 | 152,798,820 | 24.61\% |
| Hog | 11,455 | 1,344 | 15,395,520 | 2.48\% |
| Poultry | 5,025 | 2,581 | 12,969,525 | 2.09\% |
| Wheat | 44,465 | 3,044 | 135,351,460 | 21.80\% |
| Small Grain | 44,945 | 2,759 | 124,003,255 | 19.97\% |
| Oth.Field Cr. | 6,990 | 3,853 | 26,932,470 | 4.34\% |
| Fruit \& Veg. | 9,405 | 3,741 | 35,184,105 | 5.67\% |
| Misc. Spec. | 10,445 | 3,398 | 35,492,110 | 5.72\% |
| Livestk Comb. | 8,170 | 1,329 | 10,857,930 | 1.75\% |
| Crop Comb. | 485 | 2,702 | 1,310,470 | $0.21 \%$ |
| Other Comb. | 4,040 | 2.417 | 9,764,680 | 1.57\% |
|  |  |  | 620,971,180 | 100.00\% |

Source: Statistics Canada, Average Income by Source and by Type of Farm for Census Farm Operator Families, Canada, 1980, Agricultural Statistics Division, Farming Facts 1984.

## V. OTHER DATA REQUIREMENTS AND MODEL SIMULATION

This final section reviews the other information which is necessary in order to generate the inverse and impact matrices for the input-output model and a simulation using the completed model. Section 5.1 reviews the method by which the leakages from the economy were determined. Section 5.2 reviews the type of information which would be generated with the model for a sample simulation.

### 5.1 Leakage Coefficients

The leakage coefficients used in the model are those estimated by Statistics Canada. The leakage coefficients estimate the share of imports, withdrawals from inventories or government production which supply commodities used in the domestic production of goods and services. The effect of these coefficients are to reduce the impact of an increase in the final demand for goods produced by the economy. This will occur since the amount of imports, withdrawals from inventories or government production will dampen the impact on the economy of an increase in final demand.

The coefficients are determined on a commodity basis as a ratio of the leakage value to the total value of the commodity used in the economy. From section 1.2 the leakage coefficients for imports ( P ) are the value of imports for each commodity divided by the total value of each commodity used as an intermediate input, personal expenditure, fixed capital formation, value of the additions to inventory, gross government current expenditures on goods and services and the value of re-exports.
(Eq. 18)

$$
M=\hat{P}(B g+E+E)
$$

Similarly, the coefficients for withdrawals from inventories (J) are estimated from the ratio of inventory withdrawals to the summation of: value of intermediate inputs, personal expenditures, fixed capital formation, additions to inventories, gross government expenditures and domestic
(Eq. 19)

$$
N=\hat{J}(B g+f+X)
$$

exports. Finally, the leakage coefficients for government production ( $T$ ) are calculated as a ratio of the value of government production of each commodity divided by the summation of: the value of each commodity used as an intermediate input, personal expenditures, fixed capital formation, additions to inventories, gross government expenditures and domestic exports.
(Eq. 20)

$$
A=\hat{T}(B g+f+X)
$$

Each of these coefficients were estimated by the Input- Output Division of Statistics Canada and were used to generate the disaggregated input-output model.

### 5.2 Model Simulation

The model was used to estimate the impact of an increase in Canadian wheat exports. The simulation assumes that the foreign demand for wheat has increased by $\$ 100$ million. To perform the analysis, the export demand for wheat must be converted from purchasers' prices to producers' prices. This is needed since the model was constructed using producers' prices and therefore the changes in final demand must be stated in producers' prices. The conversion from purchasers' to producers' prices is accomplished by removing the margins from the value of the commodity in purchasers' prices. For the commodity wheat, the margins which have to be removed are: storage, wholesale and transportation (Table 5.1). The largest margins in this example are for storage and transportation. As is shown in table 5.1, the commodity value in purchasers' prices is equal to the value of the commodity in producers' prices plus the margins which have been removed.

In order to estimate the change in domestic final demand it is necessary to remove from the change in final demand other sources of supply. This is done by identifing the leakages from the economy for the good whose demand is changing. The other sources of supply could come from imports, inventories or government production (Table 5.1). With the removal of the leakages the change in domestic final demand can be identified.

Taking the impact matrix $\left[(I-D B)^{-1} * D\right] \hat{e}$ and multipling it by the change in domestic final demand will provide an estimate of the change in output required to satisfy the increase in domestic final demand in wheat. This estimate of the change in industrial output is given in Table 5.2. The $\$ 100$ million increase in wheat exports would increase the total output of the economy by $\$ 165.3$ million. Table 5.2 shows output required by each of the industrial sectors to satisfy the change in final demand. This industrial breakdown can be increased to 200 industries using the large level of aggregation for industrial sectors in the model (see Appendix table A-5.1).

The impact of this increased output by the industrial sectors can also be translated into increases in GDP at factor cost and employment. To determine these impacts requires the development of GDP at factor cost and employment coefficients. Each coefficient is determined by taking the total GDP at factor cost per sector (or total employment per sector) and dividing by the total industrial output for that sector.

GDP at Factor Cost $=$ Total GDP at Factor Cost (per sector)
Coefficient for
Total Output (per sector)
Each Sector
Employment $\quad=\frac{\text { Total Employment (per sector) }}{\text { Total Output (per sector) }}$ Coefficient for
Each Sector
Using these coefficients it is possible to estimate the impact of the increase in wheat exports on GDP at factor cost and employment in the economy (Table 5.3). The total increase in GDP at factor cost is $\$ 84.4$ million and employment would increase by 2,800 paid and unpaid jobs. The distribution of GDP at factor cost and and employment can be seen at any aggregation of the 200 industries in the model (see Appendix Table A-5.1)

Table 5.1
FORMULATION OF DOMESTIC FINAL DEMAND VECTOR WHEAT SIMULATION

| Comm. No. (1) | Comm. (2) | Tot. <br> Final <br> Demand <br> (3) | Gov't. Rev. <br> (4) | Inv. With. (5) | Imp. <br> (6) | Domest. Fin. Dem. (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 007 | Wheat | 86,188,519 | 4,309 | 64,441 | 0 | 86,119,769 |
| 542 | Storage Margins | 4,617,186 | 16,299 | 0 | 785 | 4,600,102 |
| 550 | Wholesale Margins | 3,838,694 | 1,689 | 0 | 68,981 | 3,768,024 |
| 583 | Transport <br> Margins | 5,355,601 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,355,601 |
|  | Total | 100,000,000 | 22,297 | 64,441 | 69.766 | 99,843,496 |
| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Note: (1)Total Final }= & \text { Domestic } \\ \text { Demand } & \text { Final Demand }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2
IMPACT ON OUTPUT, BY INDUSTRY WHEAT SIMULATION

| Industry-Small Agg. | L Seq.No. | Output (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Dairy | 1 | 1,739,452.5 |
| 2 Cattle\&Calves | 2 | 6,001,516.0 |
| 3 Hogs | 3 | 934,413.4 |
| 4 Poultry | 4 | 364,773.1 |
| 5 Wheat | 5 | 57,036,296.0 |
| 6 Sm.Grains | 6 | 19,047,116.0 |
| 7 Field Crops | 7 | 550,533.1 |
| 8 Fruit\&Veget. | 8 | 155,663.6 |
| 9 Misc.Spec. | 9 | 124,522.6 |
| 10 Livstk.Combin. | 10 | 2,538,752.0 |
| 11 Fld.Crop Comb. | 11 | 173,712.0 |
| 12 Oth.Comb. | 12 | 1,051,978.0 |
| 13 Forestry | 13 | 221,562.6 |
| 14 Fishing,Hunt, Trap | 14 | 18,355.2 |
| 15 Mines, Quar, Oil Well | 15-26 | 4,584,569.0 |
| 16 Manufacturing | 27-148 | 22,645,220.3 |
| 17 Construction | 149-157 | 2,258,490.3 |
| 18 Transport.\&Storage | 158-168 | 13,703,202.5 |
| 19 Communication | 169-171 | 1,186,007.0 |
| 20 Elect., Gas,Oth.Util. | 172-174 | 1,405,110.0 |
| 21 Wholesale Trade | 175 | 6,445,086.0 |
| 22 Retail Trade | 176 | 1,645,202.8 |
| 23 Finan, Ins., Real Est. | 177-181 | 6,532,347.2 |
| 24 Comm.Bus., Pers.Serv. | 182-194 | 1,931,751.3 |
| 25 Transport.Margins | 198 | 6,771,031.5 |
| 26 Op.Office,Lab.\&Food | 195-197,199,202 | 4,996,370.2 |
| 27 Trav.\&Advert.Promo. | 200-201 | 1,216,809.0 |
| Sum: |  | 165,279,843.0 |

Source: Agriculture Canada Input-Output Model, Analysis of Change in Final Demand for Wheat Exports ( \$100M).

Table 5.3
IMPACT ON INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT, BY INDUSTRY WHEAT SIMULATION

| Industry-Small Agg. | L Seq.No. | GDP Factor Cost ('000) | Employment (No.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Dairy | 1 | 1,059,082.3 | 50 |
| 2 Cattle\&Calves | 2 | 2,868,610.8 | 179 |
| 3 Hogs | 3 | 462,109.9 | 15 |
| 4 Poultry | 4 | 177,255.5 | 4 |
| 5 Wheat | 5 | 41,409,540.0 | 1,125 |
| 6 Sm.Grains | 6 | 7,111,014.5 | 526 |
| 7 Field Crops | 7 | 343,457.6 | 15 |
| 8 Fruit\&Veget. | 8 | 101,872.5 | 9 |
| 9 Misc. Spec. |  | 71,657.3 | 7 |
| 10 Livstk.Combin. | 10 | 1,372,392.8 | 51 |
| 11 Fld.Crop Comb. | 11 | 79,530.8 | 4 |
| 12 Oth.Comb. | 12 | 411,859.3 | 40 |
| 13 Forestry | 13 | 98,434.7 | 3 |
| 14 Fishing, Hunt, Trap | 14 | 12,599.4 | 1 |
| 15 Mines, Quar, Oil Well | 15-26 | 2,495,080.1 | 14 |
| 16 Manufacturing | 27-148 | 4,830,638.1 | 126 |
| 17 Construction | 149-157 | 923,690.9 | 28 |
| 18 Transport.\&Storage | 158-168 | 7,551,605.3 | 244 |
| 19 Communication | 169-171 | 931,352.9 | 23 |
| 20 Elect.,Gas,Oth.Util. | 172-174 | 1,062,605.7 | 12 |
| 21 Wholesale Trade | 175 | 4,381,030.0 | 138 |
| 22 Retail Trade | 176 | 1,126,137.9 | 78 |
| 23 Finan, Ins.,Real Est. | 177-181 | 4,209,195.4 | 71 |
| 24 Comm. Bus., Pers.Serv. | 182-194 | 1,337,302.7 | 70 |
| 25 Transport.Margins | 198 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 26 Op.Office, Lab.\&Food | 195-197,199,202 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 27 Trav.\&Advert.Promo. | 200-201 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 0 |
| Sum: |  | 84,428,056.2 | 2,831 |

Source: Agriculture Canada Input-Output Model, Analysis of Change in Final Demand for Wheat Exports ( $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ ).

### 5.3 Summary

The disaggregated agriculture sector input-output model developed by Agriculture Canada has been designed to increase the effectiveness of the Statistics Canada National Input-output Model to address agriculture policy related questions. The disaggregation is based on a farm type industrial structure for the agriculture sector. The data required to disaggregate the farm type sectors has been taken from other published and unpublished secondary sources which estimated the input purchases and the value of production of the agriculture sector in 1981.

This documentation is meant to provide a means of tracing the process and data sources used in the development of the twelve agriculture sectors in the model. The data used to develop the model was considered the most appropriate at the time the model was being constructed. Further refinements to the data sources used in this model and the development of alternative sources will provide a means of updating the present model and facilitate the modification of future input-output models.

This documentation also provides an example of the completed framework of the National Input-Output Model with the disaggregated agricultural sectors. The sample simulation is presented to demonstrate the type of information which will be generated from the model. The simulation provides an estimate of the impact on the Canadian economy in terms of output, GDP at factor cost and employment from an increase in the export demand for Canadian wheat.
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Table A-2.1
LIST OF INDUSTRIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | INDUSTRY | Large Agg.No. | No. | INDUSTRY | Large <br> Agg.No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dairy | 1 | 101 | Agricultural Implement In | 103 |
| 2 | Cattle \& Calves | 2 | 102 | Misc. Machinery \& Equip. | 104 |
| 3 | Hogs | 3 | 103. | Comm. Regrig. \& Air Cond. | 105 |
| 4 | Poultry | 4 | 104 | Office \& Store Machinery | 106 |
| 5 | Wheat | 5 | 105 | Aircraft \& Parts Mfgrs. | 107 |
| 6 | Sm.Grains | 6 | 106 | Motor Vehićle Mfgrs. | 108 |
| 7 | Field Crops | 7 | 107 | Truck Body \& Trailer Mfgr | 109 |
| 8 | Fruit \& Veget. | 8 | 108 | Motor Vehicle Pts. \& Acce | 110 |
| 9 | Misc.Spec. | 9 | 109 | Railroad Rolling Stock In | 111 |
| 10 | Livstk. Combin. | 10 | 110 | Shipbuilding \& Repair | 112 |
| 11 | Fld.Crop Comb. | 11 | 111 | Misc. Transp. Equip. Ind. | 113 |
| 12 | Oth.Comb. | 12 | 112 | Small Electrical Applianc | 114 |
| 13 | Forestry | 13 | 113 | Major Appliances, Elect. | 115 |
| 14 | Fishing, Hunt, Trap | 14 | 114 | Radio \& Television Receiv | 116 |
| 15 | Gold Mines | 15 | 115 | Communications Equipment | 117 |
| 16 | Uranium Mines | 16 | 116 | Mfgrs. of Elect. Ind. Equ | 118 |
| 17 | Iron Mines | 17 | 117 | Battery Mfgrs. | 119 |
| 18 | Base Mtl\&Oth. Metal Mi | 18 | 118 | Mfgrs. of Electric Wire \& | 120 |
| 19 | Coal Mines | 19 | 119 | Mfgrs. of Misc. Elect. Pr | 121 |
| 20 | Petroleum \& Gas Wells | 20 | 120 | Cement Mfgrs. | 122 |
| 21 | Asbestos Mines | 21 | 121 | Lime Mfgrs. | 123 |
| 22 | Gypsum Mines | 22 | 122 | Concrete Products Mfgrs. | 124 |
| 23 | Salt Mines | 23 | 123 | Readymix Concrete Mfgrs. | 125 |
| 24 | Oth. Non-Metal Mines | 24 | 124 | Clay Products Mfgrs. | 126 |
| 25 | Quarries \& Sand Pits | 25 | 125 | Refractories Mfgrs. | 127 |
| 26 | Serv. Incid'l to Minin | 26 | 126 | Stone Products Mfgrs. | 128 |
| 27 | Slaught'g \& Meat Proce | 27 | 127 | Other Non-Metallic Produc | 129 |
| 28 | Poultry Processors | 28 | 128 | Glass \& Glass Products Mf | 130 |
| 29 | Dairy Factories | 29 | 129 | Abrasives Mfgrs. | 131 |
| 30 | Fish Products Industry | 30 | 130 | Petroleum Refineries | 132 |
| 31 | Fruit \& Vege, Process | 31 | 131 | Oth. Petroleum \& Coal Pro | 133 |
| 32 | Feed Mfgrs: | 32 | 132 | Mfgrs. of Mixed Fertilize | 134 |
| 33 | Flour \& Break, Cereals | 33 | 133 | Mfgrs of Plast. \& Synth. | 135 |
| 34 | Biscuit Mfgrs. | 34 | 134 | Mfgrs. of Pharm. \& Medici | 136 |
| 35 | Bakeries | 35 | 135 | Paint \& Varnish Mfgrs. | 137 |
| 36 | Confectionery Mfgrs. | 36 | 136 | Mfgrs of Soap \& Cleaning | 138 |
| 37 | Sugar Refineries | 37 | 137 | Mfgrs. of Toilet Preparat | 139 |
| 38 | Vegetable Oil Mills | 38 | 138 | Mfgrs of Industrial Chemi | 140 |
| 39 | Misc. Food Indust | 39 | 139 | Oth. Chemical Industries | 141 |
| 40 | Soft Drink Mfgrs. | 40 | 140 | Scient. \& Prof. Equip. Mf | 142 |

Table A-2.1 (cont'd)
LIST OF INDUSTRIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | INDUSTRY - | Large Agg.No. | No. | INDUSTRY | Large <br> Agg.No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Distilleries | 41 | 141 | Jewelry \& Silverware Mfgr | 143 |
| 42 | Breweries | 42 | 142 | Broom, Brush, \& Mop Indus | 144 |
| 43 | Wineries | 43 | 143 | Sporting Goods \& Toy Indu | 145 |
| 44 | Leaf Tobacco Proces'g | 44 | 144 | Linoleum \& Coated Fabrics | 146 |
| 45 | Tobacco Products Mfgrs. | . 45 | 145 | Signs \& Display Ind. | 147 |
| 46 | Rubber Footwear Mfgrs. | 46 | 146 | Misc. Mfgring. Ind., NES | 148 |
| 47 | Other Rubber Indus. 47 | 47,48 | 147 | Repair Construction | 149 |
| 48 | Plastic Fabri'rs,NES | 49 | 148 | Residential Construction | 150 |
| 49 | Leather Tanneries | 50 | 149 | Non-Residential Construct | 151 |
| 50 | Shoe Factories | 51 | 150 | Road, Highway, Airstrip C | 152 |
| 51 | Leather Glove Fact. | 52 | 151 | Gas \& Oil Refinery Constr | 153 |
| 52 | SmallLeatherGoodsMfgrs | 53 | 152 | Dams \& Irrigation Project | 154 |
| 53 | Cotton Yarn\&Cloth Mills | S 54 | 153 | Railway, Telephone, Teleg | 155 |
| 54 | Wool, Yarn\&Cloth Mills | 55 | 154 | Oth. Engineering Constr. | 156 |
| 55 | Synth. Text. Mills | 56 | 155 | Construction, Oth. Activi | 157 |
| 56 | Fibre Prep'ng Mills | 57 | 156 | Air Transport | 158 |
| 57 | Thread Mills | 58 | 157 | Services Incidental to Tr | 159 |
| 58 | Cordage \& Twine Industry | ry 59 | 158 | Water Transport | 160 |
| 59 | Narrow Fabric Mills | 60 | 159 | Railway Transport | 161 |
| 60 | Pressed\&Punched Felt Mi | i 61 | 160 | Truck Transport | 162 |
| 61 | Carpet, Mat\&Rug Indus. | 62 | 161 | Bus Transp., Interurban \& | 163 |
| 62 | Textile Dyeing\&Finishin | n 63 | 162 | Urban Transit Systems | 164 |
| 63 | Canvas Prod. Indus. | 64 | 163 | Taxicab Operations | 165 |
| 64 | Cotton\&Jute Bag Industr | r 65 | 164 | Pipeline Transport | 166 |
| 65 | Misc. Textile Ind | 66 | 165 | Highway \& Bridge Maintena | 167 |
| 66 | Hosiery Mills | 67 | 166 | Storage | 168 |
| 67 | Other Knitting Mills | 68 | 167 | Radio \& Tel. Broadcasting | 169 |
| 68 | Clothing Industries | 69 | 168 | Communication Industries, | 170 |
| 69 | Sawmills | 70 | 169 | Post Office | 171 |
| 70 | Veneer\&Plywood Mills | 71 | 170 | Electric Power | 172 |
| 71 | Sash\&Door\&Planing Mil | 72 | 171 | Gas Distribution | 173 |
| 72 | Wooden Box Factories | 73 | 172 | - Water \& Other Utilities | 174 |
| 73 | Coffin\&Casket Indus. | 74 | 173 | Wholesale Trade | 175 |
| 74 | Misc. Wood Indust | 75 | 174 | Retail Trade | 176 |
| 75 | Household Furn. Indus | 76 | 175 | Owner Occupied Dwellings | 177 |
| 76 | Office Furn. Industry | 77 | 176 | Govt. Royalties on Nat.Re | 178 |
| 77 | Other Furn. Industrie | 78 | 177 | Banks \& Credit Unions | 179 |
| 78 | Elec. Lamp \& Shade Ind | 79 | 178 | Insurance | 180 |
| 79 | Pulp \& Paper Industry | 80 | 179 | Oth. Fin.,Ins. \& Real Est | 181 |
| 80 | Asphalt\&Related Pro. | 81 | 180 | Education \& Related Servi | 182 |
| 81 | Paper Box \& Bag Mfgrs. | 82 | 181 | Hospitals | 183 |
| 82 | Other Paper Converters | 83 | 182 | Health Services | 184 |

Table A-2.1 (cont'd)
LIST OF INDUSTRIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | $\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Large } \\ \text { INDUSTRY } & \text { Agg.No. }\end{array}$ | No. | INDUSTRY | Large Agg.No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | Printing \& Publishing 84 | 183 | Motion Picture Theatres | 185 |
| 84 | Engrav'g, Stereoty'g I 85 | 184 | Other Recreational Servic | 186 |
| 85 | Iron \& Steel Ind. 86 | 185 | Prof. Services to Busines | 187 |
| 86 | Steel Pipe \& Tube Mills 87 | 186 | Advertising Services | 188 |
| 87 | Iron Foundries 88 | 187 | Laundries \& Cleaners | 189 |
| 88 | Smelting \& Refining 89,90 | 188 | Accomodation \& Food Servi | 190 |
| 89 | Alum. Rolling \& Extrud 91 | 189 | Other Personal Services | 191 |
| 90 | Copper \& Alloy Rolling 92 | 190 | Photography | 192 |
| 91 | MetalCast'g\&Extruding 93 | 191 | Misc. Repair \& Maintenanc | 193 |
| 92 | Boiler \& Plate Works 94 | 192 | Misc. Services to Bus. \& | 194 |
| 93 | Fabricated Struct.Metal 95 | 193 | Operating Supplies | 195 |
| 94 | Ornamental\&Arch.Metal 96 | 194 | Office Supplies | 196 |
| 95 | Metal Stamp.Press.\&Coa 97 | 195 | Cafeteria Equip. | 197 |
| 96 | Wire\&Wire Products Mfgr 98 | 196 | Transportation Margins | 198 |
| 97 | Hard. Tool\&Cutlery M 99 | 197 | Laboratory Supplies | 199 |
| 98 | Heating Equip. Mfgrs. 100 | 198 | Travel \& Entertainment | 200 |
| 99 | Machine Shops 101 | 199 | Advertising \& Promotion | 201 |
| 100 | Misc. Metal Fabri'g I 102 | 200 | Machinery Repair Services | 202 |

Table A-2.2
LIST OF COMMODITIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | COMMODITY | No. | COMMODITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cattle \& Calves | 51 | Services Incid. to Mining |
| 2 | Sheep \& Lambs | 52 | Beef,Veal,Mut, Pork, Fr, Frz |
| 3 | Hogs | 53 | Horse Meat, Fr, Chill, Frz |
| 4 | Poultry | 54 | Meat, Cured |
| 5 | Oth. Live Animals | 55 | Meat Prep., Cooked, Not Can |
| 6 |  | 56 | Meat Prep., Canned |
| 7 | Wheat Unmilled | 57 | Animal Oils \&Fats\&Lard |
| 8 | Barl, Oats, Rye, ,Grain, NES | 58 | Marg, Shorten,Like Prod. |
| 9 | Milk, Whole, Fluid, Unproc. | 59 | Sausage Casings,Nat,Synth. |
| 10 | Eggs in Shell | 60 | Primary Tankage |
| 11 | Honey \& Beeswax | 61 | Feeds Animal Origin, NES |
| 12 | Nuts, Edible,Not Shelled | 62 | Hides \& Skins,Raw,NES |
| 13 | Fruits,Fresh, Ex.Tropical | 63 | Animal Mat.For Drugs\&Perf |
| 14 | Vegetables, Fresh | 64 | Custom Work Meat \& Food |
| 15 | Hay, Forage \& Straw | 65 | Poultry, Fr, Chill, Frz |
| 16 | Seeds, Ex.Oil \& Seed Grade | 66 | Poultry, Canned |
| 17 | Nursery Stock \& Rel. Mat. | 67 | Milk, Whole, Fluid, Process |
| 18 | Oil Seeds, Nuts \& Kernels | 68 | Cream, Fresh |
| 19 | Hops, Inc. Lupulin | 69 | Butter |
| 20 | Tobacco, Raw | 70 | Cheese, Cheddar \& Process |
| 21 | Mink Skins, Ranch Undress | 71 | Milk, Evaporated |
| 22 | Wool in Grease | 72 | Ice Cream |
| 23 | Serv.Incid.to Agr.\&Forest | 73 | Other Dairy Products |
| 24 | Logs \& Bolts | 74 | Mustard, Mayonnaise |
| 25 | Poles, Pit Prop, Posts,etc | 75 | Fish Products |
| 26 | Pulpwood | 76 | Fruit, Berries,Dried, Cryst |
| 27 | Other Crude Wood Material | 77 | Fruits \& Prep, Canned |
| 28 | Custom Forestry | 78 | Veget.,Frz, Dried, Pres. |
| 29 | Fish Landings | 79 | Vegetables \& Prep, Canned |
| 30 | Hunting \& Trapp Products | 80 | Soups, Canned |
| 31 |  | 81 | Infant \& Junior Foods, Can |
| 32 | Gold \& Alloys, Prim. Form | 82 | Pickles,Relishes, Oth.Sauc |
| 33 | Radio-Active Ores \& Conc. | 83 | Vinegar |
| 34 | Iron Ores \& Concen. | 84 | Oth. Food Preparations |
| 35 | Bauxite + Alumina | 85 | Primary or Concen. Feeds |
| 36 | Metal Ores + Concen. NES | 86 | Feed, Commercial Livestock |
| 37 | Coal | 87 | Feeds,Grain Origin, NES |
| 38 | Crude Mineral Oils | 88 | Feed, Vegetable Origin, NES |
| 39 | Natural Gas | 89 | Pet Feeds |
| 40 |  | 90 | Wheat Flour |
| 41 | Sulphur, Crude \& Refined | 91 | Meal,Flour-Oth.Cereal\&Veg. |
| 42 | Asbestos, Unmfg, Crude, Fibr | 92 | Breakfast Cereal Products |
| 43 | Gypsum | 93 | Biscuits |
| 44 | Salt | 94 | Bread \& Rolls |
| 45 | Pealmoss | 95 | Other Bakery Products |
| 46 | Clay, Oth.Cr.Refrac.Mat. | 96 | Cocoa \& Chocolate |
| 47 | Nat.Abrasives, Ind.Diamond | 97 | Nuts,Kernels, Seeds, Prep |
| 48 | Crude Mineral, NES | 98 | Chocolate, Confectionery |
| 49 | Sand \& Gravel | 99 | Other Confectionery |
| 50 | Stone, Crude | 100 | Beet Pulp |

Table A-2. 2 (cont'd)
LIST OF COMMODITIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | COMMODITY | No. | COMMODITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | Sugar | 151 | Yarn of Wool \& Hair |
| 102 | Molasses,Sugar Ref. Prod. | 152 | Fabr,woven,Wool, Hair, Mix |
| 103 | Oilseed Meal \& Cake | 153 | Papermakers Felts |
| 104 | Veg.Oils \& Fats, Crude | 154 | Man-made Fibres |
| 105 | Nitrogen Funct. Comp, NES | 155 | Polyamide Resins(Nylon) |
| 106 | Malt,M.Flour, Wheat Starch | 156 | Yarns, Silk, Fibreglass |
| 107 | Maple Sugar \& Syrup | 157 | Tire Yarns |
| 108 | Prep.Cake \& Similar Mixes | 158 | Fabric, Woven, Text Fibres |
| 109 | Soups,Dried,Mixes \& Bases | 159 | Fab, Broad, Woven, Mix, Blend |
| 110 | Coffee, Roast, Ground, Prep. | 160 | Rag\&Waste, Cotton,Text.Mat. |
| 111 | Tea | 161 | Wool \& Fine An. Hair Spin. |
| 112 | Potato Chips \& Sim. Prod. | 162 | Thread of Cotton Fibres |
| 113 | Misc. Food, NES | 163 | Thread of Man-Made Fibres |
| 114 | Softdrink Concen., Syrups | 164 | Yarn\&Thread, Oth.Veg.Fibr |
| 115 | Carbonate Bev, Soft Drink | 165 | Baler \& Binder Twine |
| 116 | Alcoholic Beverages, Dist | 166 | Oth. Cordage,Twine \& Rope |
| 117 | Alcohol, Natural, Ethyl | 167 | Narrow Fabrics |
| 118 | Brewers,Distillers Grains | 168 | Lace Fabrics, Bobb. \& Net |
| 119 | Ale, Beer, Stout \& Porter | 169 | Felt Carpet Cushion |
| 120 | Wines | 170 | Carpet\&Fabr Rugs,Mats, Etc |
| 121 | Tobacco, Processed, Unmfg | 171 | Textile Dye \& Finish Serv |
| 122 | Cigarettes | 172 | Awnings of Cloth \& Plast |
| 123 | Tobacco Mfg, Ex.Cigarettes | 173 | Tent, H'ock, Sl. Bags, Sails |
| 124 | Footwear, Rubber \& Plastic | 174 | Tarpaulins \& Other Covers |
| 125 | Tires \& Tubes, Pass. Cars | 175 | Textile Containers |
| 126 | Tires \& Tubes,Truck, Buses | 176 | Veget.Textile Fibres, NES |
| 127 | Tires \& Tubes, NES | 177 | Misc.Text.Fab, Mat, Inc.Rags |
| 128 | Tires, Retread | 178 | Household Testiles,NES |
| 129 | Reclaimed Rubber | 179 | Laces \& Textile Prod., NES |
| 130 | Rubber Belts,Coat Fabrics | 180 | Hosiery |
| 131 | Rubber Sheet Shoe Stk, Etc | 181 | Fabric,Knitt,Nett, Elastic |
| 132 | Hose \& Tubing, Rubber | 182 | Fabrics, Knitted, NES |
| 133 | Rubber Waste \& Scrap | 183 | Knitted Wear |
| 134 | Rubber End Products, NES | 184 | Clothing |
| 135 | Plast:Pipe Fittings\&Sheet | 185 | Apparel Access.\& Oth.Misc |
| 136 | Plastic Cont, Bottle Caps | 186 | Furs, Dressed |
| 137 | Prefab. Bldgs,Struct,NES | 187 | Fur Plates, Mats \& Linings |
| 138 | Plast.Hose,Pails, Prod, NES | 188 | Fur Apparel |
| 139 | Leather | 189 | Custom Tailoring |
| 140 | Footwear, Ex.Rubber\&Plast. | 190 | Pulpwood Chips |
| 141 | Leather Gloves,Mitt, Ex.Sp | 191 | Lumber \& Timber |
| 142 | Leather Belt, Shoe Stock | 192 | Railway Ties |
| 143 | Luggage | 193 | Wood Waste |
| 144 | Leath H'bags, Wallets Etc | 194 | Custom Wood Work\&Millwork |
| 145 | Yarn, Cotton | 195 | Veneer \& Plywood |
| 146 | Yarns Mix, Blend, Cot Waste | 196 | Millwork(Woodwork) |
| 147 | Fabrics, Broad Woven, Cott. | 197 | Wood Fabric.Mat., Struct. |
| 148 | Tire Corn \& Tire Fabrics | 198 | Prefab Bldgs, Wood |
| 149 | Nets \& Netting | 199 | Cont, Closures, Wood Pallets |
| 150 | Blank, B'sheets, Towel, Cloths | 200 | Cask, Coffins,Oth.Mort.Gds |

Table A-2.2 (cont'd)
LIST OF COMMODITIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | COMMODITY | No. | COMMODITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | Misc. Wood | 251 | Grind'gBalls, IngotMoulds, Etc |
| 202 | Barrels \& Kegs of Wood | 252 | Cast\&WroughtIron Pipe\&Fittings |
| 203 | Wood End Products, NES | 253 | Nickel in Primary Forms |
| 204 | H'hold Furn., Inc.Camp\&Lawn | 254 | Copp., Copp. Alloys, Prime Forms |
| 205 | Off.Furn.\& Vis.Rec.Equip. | 255 | Lead, Primary Forms |
| 206 | Special Purpose Furniture | 256 | zinc \& inc Alloys, Prim. Forms |
| 207 | Misc. Furniture \& Fixtures | 257 | Aluminum\&Aluminum Alloys, Prime |
| 208 | Port. Lamps Resident. T'ype | 258 | Tin\&Tin Alloys, Prim. Forms |
| 209 | Pulp | 259 | Prec.Metal\&Alloys,Prime Forms |
| 210 | Newsprint Paper | 260 | Oth.Non-Ferrous Base Metals |
| 211 | Oth. Paper For Printing | 261 | Alum. Fluorides \& Sodium Alum |
| 212 | Fine Paper | 262 | Inorga. Bases\&Met. Oxides,NES |
| 213 | Tissue \& Sanitary Paper | 263 | Scrap \& Waste Materials, NES |
| 214 | Wrapping Paper | 264 | Alum. \& Aluminum Alloys, Cast |
| 215 | Paper Board | 265 | Copp. Prod.Cast,Rolled\&Extrud. |
| 216 | Bldg. Paper | 266 | Copp.AlloyProd, Cast,Roll, Extr. |
| 217 | Towels,Napkins, Toil.Paper | 267 | Lead\&Lead Alloy, Prod., Cast,R\&E |
| 218 | Vanillin | 268 | Nickel\&NickelAlloyFab.Material |
| 219 | Misc.Ind.Paper Mat.By-Prod.\&Waste | 269 | Tin \& Tin Alloy Fab. Materials |
| 220 | Tiles, Vinyl, Asbestos | 270 | ZincDie Casting\&Oth. Zinc Mat. |
| 221 | Paper Cartons, Bags, Cans, Bottles | 271 | Solders Inc, Rods, Wire, Etc |
| 222 | Converted Paper Gum, Wax or Prin. | 272 | Plates, Steel, Fabricated |
| 223 | Converted Aluminum Foil | 273 | Tanks |
| 224 | Facial Tissues, \& Sanitary Napkins | 274 | Power Boilers |
| 225 | Paper Containers, NES | 275 | Boilers, Marine, Type |
| 226 | Office \& Stationery Supplies | 276 | Beans and Other struct. Steel |
| 227 | Paper End Products | 277 | Scaffolding Equip. Demountable |
| 228 | Newspapers,Magazines, Periodicals | 278 | Prefab.Bldgs\&Struct.MainlyMet. |
| 229 | Books, Pamphlets,Maps\&Pictures | 279 | Metal Products, NES |
| 230 | Banknotes, Bonds, Drafts, Etc | 280 | SteelSheet\&Strip Coated, Fab. |
| 231 | Other Printed Matter | 281 | Culvert Pipe. Corrugated Metal |
| 232 | Advertising Print Media | 282 | MetalBasic Prod.\&Range Boilers |
| 233 | Specialized Publishjing Service | 283 |  |
| 234 |  | 284 | MtlAwnings,Ash Cans, Pails Etc |
| 235 | Ferro-Alloys | 285 | Kitchen Utensils |
| 236 | Iron, Steel Ingots | 286 | Contain. \& Bottlecaps of Metal |
| 237 | Steel, Blooms, Billets \& Slabs | 287 | Wire \& Wire Rope of Steel |
| 238 | Steel Castings | 288 | WireFencing, Screening\&Netting |
| 239 | Steel Bars \& Rods | 289 | Chain, Ex.AutoTire\&Power Trans. |
| 240 | Steel Plates, not Fabricated | 290 | Rods, Wire\&Electrodes, Welding |
| 241 | Carbon Steel Sheets, Not Coated | 291 | SpringsforUpholstery\&Misc.Vih. |
| 242 | Tinplate | 292 | Bolts,Nuts, Screws, Washers, Etc |
| 243 | Galvanized Steel, Sheet \& Strip | 293 | Builders Hardware |
| 244 | Rails \& Rly Track Materials,Steel | 294 | Fittings,Furn., Cab.\&Caskets |
| 245 | Coal Tar | 295 | Basic Hardware, NES |
| 246 | Nat \& Syn Graphite \& Carbon Prod. | 296 | Cutting \& Forming Tools |
| 247 | Mechanical Steel Tubing | 297 | Measu., Edging, Mechanics Tools |
| 248 | Oil Country Goods | 298 | Scis.,RazorBlades Ind.Cutlery |
| 249 | Line Pipe. Trans, Nat. Gas \& Oil | 299 | Domestic Equipment, NES |
| 250 | Steel Pipes \& Tubes, NES | 300 | Heating Eq. Hotwater\&Steam, Etc |

## Table A-2.2 (cont'd)

LIST OF COMMODITIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | COMMODITY | No. | COMMODITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | Heating Eq.,Warm Air, Ex.Pipes, Etc | 351 | Snowmob. \& Misc.Non-Motor Veh. |
| 302 | Unit \& Water Tank Heat, Non-Elec | 352 | Pleasure \& Sporting Craft |
| 303 | Fuel Burning Eq. | 353 | Small Elec. Applia, Domestic |
| 304 | Comm.Appl, Cook \& Warming Food | 354 | Space Heater, Heat Stoves, Etc. |
| 305 | Custom Metal Working | 355 | Refrig, Freezers\&Comb.,Domest. |
| 306 | Forging of Carbon \& Alloy Steel | 356 | Gas Ranges\&Elect.Sto., Domes. |
| 307 | Valves | 357 | T.V., Radio, Record Players |
| 308 | Pipe Fittings, Not Iron \& Steel | 358 | Tel,Teleg.Line Appar.\&Equi. |
| 309 | Gas Meters \& Water Meters | 359 | Radio\&TV Broadt.\&Trans.Eq. |
| 310 | Fire Fight \& Traf. Control Equip. | 360 | Radar Equip.\&Rela Devices |
| 311 | Taxi \& Park Meters,Blocks,Ladders | 361 | Elec.Tubes\&Semi-Condu., Etc. |
| 312 | Firearms \& Military Hardware | 362 | Elec.Equip. Components |
| 313 | Collapsible Tubes, Metal | 363 | Inte.Signal,AlarmClockSyst. |
| 314 | Tractors, Farm \& Garden Type | 364 | Pole Line Hardware |
| 315 | Oth. Agricultural Mach. | 365 | Welding Machinery \& Equip. |
| 316 | Mechanical Power Trans. Equip | 366 | Eng., Marine, Elect.Turbines |
| 317 | Pumps, Compressors \& Blowers, Etc | 367 | Trans.\&Converters,Ex.T\&T. |
| 318 | Conveyors, Escal, Elev.Hoist Mach. | 368 | Elec.Equip.,Industrial, NES |
| 319 | Ind.Trucks, Tractor,Trailer, Etc | 369 | Batteries |
| 320 | Fans, Air Circulators \& Air units | 370 | Wire \& Cable, Insulated |
| 321 | Pkg.Mach.Lub.Eq. \& Oth.Misc.Mach. | 371 | Alum.Wire\&Cable, NotInsula |
| 322 | Indust.Furnaces, Kilns \& Ovens | 372 | EnclosedSafetySwitch, Etc. |
| 323 | Mach,Ind.Specified \& Spec.Purpose | 373 | Elec. LightBulbs\&Tubes, Etc. |
| 324 | Power Driven Hand Tools | 374 | Elect.LightingFixtures, Etc |
| 325 | Metal End Products, NES | 375 | Cement |
| 326 | Refrig \& Air Con.Eq., Ex.Household | 376 | Lime |
| 327 | Scales \& Balances | 377 | Concrete Basic Products |
| 328 | Vending Machines | 378 | Sand, Lime Bricks \& Blocks |
| 329 | Office Machines \& Equipment | 379 | Ready-Mix Concrete |
| 330 | Aircraft, All Types | 380 | Bricks \& Tiles, Clay |
| 331 | Aircraft Engines | 381 | Insula\&Elect.Fit, Porcel. |
| 332 | Specialized Aircraft Equipment | 382 | Plum.Equi,VitrousChina, \&Etc |
| 333 | Modifications, Conversions Serv. | 383 | Refractories |
| 334 | Passenger Autos \& Chassis | 384 | NtralStone, BasicProd,Struc. |
| 335 | Trucks, Chassis, Tractors, Com | 385 | Stone, Clay, Con., EndProd,NES |
| 336 | Buswes \& Chassis | 386 | Plast,Oth.Gypsum, Ba'cProd. |
| 337 | Military Motor Veh. Motorcycles | 387 | Min.Wool, ThermInsul.Mat, NES |
| 338 | Mobile Homes | 388 | Asbestos Products |
| 339 | Oth. Trailers \& Semi-Trailers, Com | 389 | Non-Mtl Min.BasicProd.,NES |
| 340 | Bodies \& Cabs For Trucks | 390 | Glass, Plate, Sheet, Wool |
| 341 | Motor Vehicle Engines \& Parts | 391 | Glass Containers |
| 342 | Auxiliary Electric Equipment | 392 | GlassTblwr.,H'ware,Prod.NES |
| 343 | Motor Veh. Access,Parts \& Assemb. | 393 | Abrasive Basic Products |
| 344 | Automotive Hardware, Ex. Springs | 394 | Aviation Gasoline |
| 345 | Locomotives, Cars,Tenders,Rly.Serv. | 395 | Motor Gasoline |
| 346 | Self-Propel. Cars | 396 | Fuel Oil |
| 347 | Parts \& Access., Rly.Roll Stock | 397 | Lubgricating Oils \& Greases |
| 348 | Ships \& Boats, Military \& Commer. | 398 | Benzene, Toluene \& Xylene |
| 349 | Sub-Assemblies, Parts, Etc.,Ships | 399 | Buta., Prop.\&Oth.Liq.Pet.Gas |
| 350 | Ship Repairs | 400 | Naptha |

Table A-2.2 (cont'd)
LIST OF COMMODITIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | COMMODITY | No. | COMMODITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401 | Asphalt \& Coal Oils, NES | 451 | Phenols, Phen. Alcoh \& Deriv. |
| 402 | Petrochemical Feed Stock | 452 | Ethers, Alcoh, Peroxides, Etc. |
| 403 | Fertilizers | 453 | Metyl-Ethyl,Aldehyde, Funct, NES |
| 404 | Plastic Resins \& Mat. Not Shaped | 454 | Acetone |
| 405 | Film \& Sheet. Cellulosic Plastic | 455 | Acetic Acid |
| 406 | Ethanolamines | 456 | Acetic Anhydride |
| 407 | Ethylene Glycol., Mono | 457 | Adipic Acid |
| 408 | Pharmaceuticals | 458 | Citric Acids |
| 409 | Paints \& Related Products | 459 | Stearic \& Organic Acids |
| 410 | Veg.Oils, Oth. Than Corn Oil,Ref. | 460 | Hexamethylenediamine |
| 411 | Glycerin, Refined | 461 | Sodium Glutamade, Mono. |
| 412 | Dentifrices, All Kinds | 462 | Dicyandiamide |
| 413 | Soaps, Detergents, Cleaning Prod. | 463 | Organo-Inorga Compounds, Etc |
| 414 | Industrial Chemical Prep., NES | 464 | Organic Chemicals, NES |
| 415 | Toilet Preparations \& Cosmetics | 465 | Titanium Dioxide |
| 416 | Chlorine | 466 | Black, Acetylene \& Carbon |
| 417 | oxygen | 467 | Pig's, Lakes \& Toners, Proper |
| 418 | Phosphorus | 468 | Iron Oxides |
| 419 | Chemical Elements, NES | 469 | Fertisizer Chemicals |
| 420 | Sulphuric Acid | 470 | Synthetic Rubber |
| 421 | Carbon Dioxide (Gas \& Dry Ice) | 471 | Antifreeze Compounds |
| 422 | Inorganic Acids \& Oxygen | 472 | Addi for Mineral Oils, NES |
| 423 | Ammonia, Anhydrous \& Aqua | 473 | Glycerine, Crude |
| 424 | Caustic Soda (Sod.Hydroxide), Dry | 474 | Rubber \& Plast, Compound Agents |
| 425 | Calcium Chloride : | 475 | Expolosives, Fuses \& Caps |
| 426 | Sodium Chlorate | 476 | Ammunition, Non-Military |
| 427 | Aluminum Sulphate | 477 | Ammunition\&Ordnance, Military |
| 428 | Sodium Phosphates | 478 | Pyrotechnic Articles\&Fireworks |
| 429 | Sodium Carbonate (Soda Ash) | 479 | Crude Veg. Materials\&Extracts |
| 430 | Sodium Cyanide | 480 | Phthalic Anhydride |
| 431 | Sodium Silicate | 481 | Agricultural Chemicals |
| 432 | Metallic Salts \& Peroxysalts, NES | 482 | Adhesives |
| 433 | Photographic \& Inorganic Chem, NES | 483 | Auto Chem. Ex. Antifreeze |
| 434 | Ethylene | 484 | Concrete Additives |
| 435 | Butylenes | 485 | Boiler Chemicals |
| 436 | Butadiene | 486 | Compound Catalysts |
| 437 | Acetylene | 487 | Metal Working Compounds |
| 438 | Styrene Monomer | 488 | Printing \& Other Inks |
| 439 | Carbon Tetrachloride | 489 | Textile Specialty Chemicals |
| 440 | Vinylchloride, Monomer | 490 | Polishes, Waxes, Compounds\&Etc |
| 441 | Trichloroethylene | 491 | Waxes, Animal\&Vegetable, Other |
| 442 | Perchloroethylene | 492 | Essential Oils, Natural, Syn. |
| 443 | Fluorinated Halogen Hydrocarbons | 493 | Tanning Materials \& Dyestuffs |
| 444 | Hydrocarbons \& Their Derivatives | 494 | Fats \& Chemical Mixtures |
| 445 | Methyl Alcohol | 495 | Embalming Chem. \& Preparations |
| 446 | Propyl \& Isopropyl Alcohols | 496 | Matches |
| 447 | Butyl \& Isobutyl Alcohols | 497 | Aircraft\&Nautical Instruments |
| 448 | Pentaertthritol | 498 | Lab \& Scient'c Apparatus Etc. |
| 449 | Alcohols \& Their Derivatives | 499 | Misc. Measures\&Control Instr. |
| 450 | Phenol | 500 | Medical \& Related Instr, Etc |

Table A-2.2 (cont'd)
LIST OF COMMODITIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | COMMODITY | No. | COMMODITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 501 | Ind.Military \& Civil Safety Equip. | 551 | Repair Service |
| 502 | Watches, Clocks, Chronometers, Etc. | 552 | Rental of Office Equipment |
| 503 | Photographic Eq. \& Suppl.Inc.Film | 553 | Retailing Margins |
| 504 | Jewelry Findings, Met.\& Gem Stones | 554 | Imputed Service, Banks |
| 505 | Plated \& Silverware Cutlery, Etc. | 555 | Oth.RealEst. (Non-Rent)\&Fin.Serv. |
| 506 | Brooms,Brushes,Mops,Oth.Clean Eq. | 556 | Insurance \& W.C.B. |
| 507 | Bicycles, Childrens, Veh. \& Parts | 557 | Imputed Rent, Owner Occpd. Dwell. |
| 508 | Sporting, Fishing \& Hunting Equip. | 558 | Cash Residential Rent |
| 509 | Toys \& Game Sets | 559 | Other Rent |
| 510 | Fabrics, Impreg.Ex.Rubber Coated | 560 | Govt. Royalties on Nat.Resources |
| 511 | Tiling, Rubber , Plastic | 561 | Education Services |
| 512 | Advertising Goods | 562 | Hospital Services |
| 513 | Shades \& Blinds | 563 | Health Services |
| 514 | Fur Dressing \& Dyeing Services | 564 | Motion Picture Entertainment |
| 515 | Custom Work, Miscellaneous | 565 | Other Recreational Services |
| 516 | Ice | 566 | Services to Business Management |
| 517 | Animal Hair, Feathers, Quills, Etc. | 567 | Advertising Services |
| 518 | Misc. Fab.Mat., Incl. Bristles, Etc. | 568 | Laundry, cleaning\&Pressing Serv. |
| 519 | Buttons, Needles, Pins,Misc.Notion | 569 | Accommodation Services |
| 520 | Phono Records \& Artist Material | 570 | Meals |
| 521 | Household Ornamental Obj. \& Art | 571 | Serv.Marg. on Alcoh.Beverages |
| 522 | Repair Construction | 572 | Personal Services |
| 523 | Residential Construction | 573 | Photographic sefvices |
| 524 | Non-Residential Construction | 574 | Services to Bldgs. \& Dwellings |
| 525 | Road Highwqy, Airstrip Constr. | 575 | Rental, Data Processing Equip |
| 526 | Gas \& Oil Facility Constr. | 576 | Other Serv. to Business\&Persons |
| 527 | Dams \& Irrigation Projects | 577 | Rental of Automobiles \& Trucks |
| 528 | Railway, Phone, Telegraph Constr. | 578 | Trade Association Dues |
| 529 | Oth. Engineering Constr. | 579 | Rental Mach.\&Eq.Inc.Constr.Mach. |
| 530 | Air Transportation | 580 | SpareParts,Maint.Suppl.Mach. \&Eq. |
| 531 | Other Transportation | 581 | Office Supplies |
| 532 | Serv. Incidental to Transport, NES | 582 | Cafeteria Supplies |
| 533 | Water Transportation | 583 | Transportation Margins |
| 534 | Serv. Incidental To Water Trans. | 584 | Laoratory Equip. \& Supplies |
| 535 | Railway Transportation | 585 | Travelling \& Entertainment |
| 536 | Truck Transportation | 586 | Advertising \& Promotion |
| 537 | Bus Transport. Interurban \& Rural | 587 | Purch. Repair Serv., Mach.\&Equip. |
| 538 | Urban Transit | 588 | Coton Raw \& Semi-Processed |
| 539 | Taxicab Transportation | 589 | Natural Rubber \& Allied Gums |
| 540 | Pipeline transportation | 590 | Sugar, Raw |
| 541 | Highway \& Bridge Maintenance | 591 | Cocoa Beans, Unroasted |

Table A-2.2 (cont'd)

LIST OF COMMODITIES, AGRICULTURE CANADA INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, 1981

| No. | COMMODITY | No. | COMMODITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 542 | Storage | 592 | Green Coffee |
| 543 | Radio \& Television Broadcasting | 593 | Tropical Fruit |
| 544 | Telephone \& Telegraph | 594 | Unallocated Imports \& Exports |
| 545 | Postal Services | 595 | Government Goods \& Serv. |
| 546 | Electric Power | 596 | Commodity Indirect Taxes |
| 547 | Gas Distribution | 597 | Subsidies |
| 548 | Coke | 598 | Other Indirect Taxes |
| 549 | Water \& Other Utilities | 599 | Wages \& Salaries |
| 550 | Wholesaling Margins | 600 | Supplementary Labour Income |
| 601 | Net Income, Unincorp. Business | 602 | Other Operating Surplus |
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Tnble A-3. 3
ロISTMIBHIION OF THE INPUT PUMC:HASBS OF THE DISAGGBEGATED AGRICULTURE SECTOR EXPENSES WITHIN FARM TYPE


TOTAL
farms Farms farms firms farms Farms Comb

Table A-3.4

|  | Dairy | Cattle | Hogs | Poultry | Wheat | Sall Grains | Field Crops | Fruit \& Veget. |  | Livstk. Comb. | Field $C_{r}$ Coab. | Other Coab. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Rent | 10.55\% | 16.15\% | 3.30\% | 1.31\% | 19.71\% | 33.02\% | 4.69\% | 3.59\% | 2.23\% | 2.92\% | 0.82\% | 1.71\% | 100.00\% |
| Cash Kages | 16.89\% | 12.11\% | 4.25\% | 6.38\% | 6.54\% | 9.71\% | 11.60\% | 11.74\% | 16.44\% | 1.89\% | 0.81\% | 1.64\% | 100.00\% |
| Fred and Supplements | 25.29\% | 17.57\% | 21.25\% | 22.02\% | 1.63\% | 3.65\% | 0.40\% | 0.23\% | 2.13\% | 4.61\% | 0.17\% | 1.07\% | 100.00\% |
| Seeds and Seedlings | 16.35\% | $12.09 \%$ | 4.27\% | $1.28 \%$ | $11.94 \%$ | 27.39\% | 5.95\% | 4.69\% | 10.72\% | 3.06\% | 0.70\% | 1.56\% | 100.00\% |
| Fertilizer and Lime | 14.17\% | 12.04\% | 4.17\% | 0.96\% | 15.97\% | 36.62\% | 5.84\% | 2.66\% | 1.59\% | 3.77\% | 0.71\% | $1.49 \%$ | 100.00\% |
| Agriculture Cheaicals | 6.26\% | 7.63\% | 3.38\% | 0.96\% | 23.10\% | 35.39\% | 9.04\% | 7.16\% | 1.65\% | 3.20\% | 0.87\% | 1.36\% | 100.00\% |
| Mach Rent \& Custoa Work | 17.11\% | 21.04\% | 5.02\% | 1.70\% | 15.35\% | 24.89\% | 4.35\% | 3.29\% | 2.40\% | 2.71\% | 0.67\% | 1.46\% | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fuel, Dil and Lube | 11.60\% | 17.65\% | 3.88\% | 2.72\% | 22.87\% | 22.80\% | 4.32\% | 2.49\% | 5.69\% | 4.03\% | 0.41\% | 1.55\% | 100.00\% |
| Fara Machinery Rian | 16.15\% | 17.82\% | 3.81\% | $1.81 \%$ | 22.35\% | 22.42\% | 3.75\% | 2.79\% | 2.61\% | 4.50\% | 0.51\% | 1.47\% | $100.00 \%$ |
| Buildings \& Fences Rak | 23.07\% | 20.59\% | 6.54\% | 3.89\% | 12.58\% | 15.46\% | 3.72\% | 2.49\% | 4.93\% | 4.86\% | 0.35\% | 1.52\% | 100.00\% |
| Electricity \& Phone | 23.40\% | 16.85\% | 11.08\% | 5.86\% | 11.31\% | 13.61\% | 3.36\% | 2.71\% | 5.37\% | 4.72\% | 0.31\% | 1.42\% | 100.00\% |
| TOTAL | 17.25\% | 15.44\% | 8.65\% | 7.56\% | 12.87\% | $19.29 \%$ | 4.74\% | 3.65\% | 4.90\% | 3.75\% | 0.52\% | 1.42\% | 100.00\% |

Table A-3.5

NUMBER AND DISTRIBUTION OF LIVESTOCK ON FARMS BY FARM TYPE

| Farm Type | Hogs | Poultry | Dairy | Beef |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 406185 | 1394585 | 1537139 | 1570465 |
| Cattle | 536395 | 2072732 | 23594 | 6924490 |
| Hogs | 7011609 | 1588440 | . 19114 | 131759 |
| Poultry | 182411 | 86274899 | 7413 | 48708 |
| Wheat | 106197 | 1197579 | 10440 | 841718 |
| Sm.Grains | 346311 | 1480207 | 18301 | 1106060 |
| Field Crops | 17704 | 142032 | 1287 | 19691 |
| Fruit \& Veget. | 8213 | 153547. | 1013 | 13471 |
| Misc. Specialty | 6414 | 111257 | 1400 | 22643 |
| Livestock Comb. | 991105 | 4759650 | 54162 | 494748 |
| Field Crop Comb. | 23404 | 40070 | 1779 | 22856 |
| Other Comb. | 79556 | 412732 | 5901 | 165978 |
| Total | 9715504 | 99627730 | 1677154 | 11375086 |
| Farm Type | Hogs | Poultry | Dairy | Beef |
| Dairy | 4.18\% | 1.40\% | 91.65\% | 13.81\% |
| Cattle | 5.52\% | 2.08\% | 1.41\% | 60.87\% |
| Hogs | 72.17\% | 1.59\% | $1.14 \%$ | 1.16\% |
| Poultry | 1.88\% | 86.60\% | 0.44\% | 0.43\% |
| Wheat | 1.09\% | 1.20\% | 0.62\% | 7.40\% |
| Sm.Grains | 3.56\% | 1.49\% | 1.09\% | 9.72\% |
| Field Crops | $0.18 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ | 0.08\% | 0.17\% |
| Fruit \& Veget. | $0.08 \%$ | 0.15\% | 0.06\% | 0.12\% |
| Misc. Specialty | 0.07\% | $0.11 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | 0.20\% |
| Livestock Comb. | 10.20\% | 4.78\% | 3.23\% | 4.35\% |
| Field Crop Comb | $0.24 \%$ | 0.04\% | $0.11 \%$ | 0.20\% |
| Other Comb. | 0.82\% | 0.41\% | $0.35 \%$ | 1.46\% |
| Total | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture, Table 30.

Table A-3.6

## DISTRIBUTION OF TRACTORS AND OTHER AGRICULTURE MACHINERY ON FARMS BY FARM TYPE

| Farm Type | No. Tractors <br> On Farms |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Dairy | 111365 | $18.38 \%$ |
| Cattle | 134415 | $22.19 \%$ |
| Hogs | 22438 | $3.70 \%$ |
| Poultry | 8315 | $1.37 \%$ |
| Wheat | 119426 | $19.71 \%$ |
| Sm. Grains | 121104 | $19.99 \%$ |
| Field Crops | 19511 | $3.22 \%$ |
| Fruit \& Veget. | 21289 | $3.51 \%$ |
| Misc. Specialty | 14053 | $2.32 \%$ |
| Livestock Comb. | 22804 | $3.76 \%$ |
| Field Crop Comb | 1946 | $0.32 \%$ |
| Other Comb. | 9151 | $1.51 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | 605817 | $100.00 \%$ |


|  | Agriculture Machinery <br> On Farms(1) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Farm Type |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Dairy | 83790 | $16.81 \%$ |
| Cattle | 116127 | $23.29 \%$ |
| Hogs | 13208 | $2.65 \%$ |
| Poultry | 3607 | $0.72 \%$ |
| Wheat | 130751 | $26.23 \%$ |
| Sm.Grains | 107907 | $21.64 \%$ |
| Field Crops | 5696 | $1.14 \%$ |
| Fruit \& Veget. | 2110 | $0.42 \%$ |
| Misc. Specialty | 4406 | $0.88 \%$ |
| Livestock Comb. | 22347 | $4.48 \%$ |
| Field Crop Comb | 1086 | $0.22 \%$ |
| Other Comb. | 7499 | $1.50 \%$ |

Total 498534 100.00\%

Note: (1) Agricultural Machinery includes: grain combines, swathers, balers and forage crop harvesters on farms.
Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture, Table 30.

Table A-3.7

## DISTRIBUTION OF AUTOMOBILES ON FARMS BY FARM TYPE

| Farm Type | No. Autos On Farms | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 46721 | 16.08\% |
| Cattle | 62236 | 21.42\% |
| Hogs | 13646 | 4.70\% |
| Poultry | 6528 | 2.25\% |
| Wheat | 56631 | 19.49\% |
| Sm.Grains | 57582 | 19.81\% |
| Field Crops | 9146 | 3.15\% |
| Fruit \& Veget | 11283 | 3.88\% |
| Misc. Special | 11467 | 3.95\% |
| Livestock Com | 9931 | 3.42\% |
| Field Crop Co | 745 | 0.26\% |
| Other Comb. | 4702 | 1.62\% |
| Total | 290618 | 100.00\% |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture, Table 30.

Table A-3.8
DISTRIBUTION OF THE VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS BY FARM TYPE
value of
Farm Type
Land \& Buildings
\$
Distribn.
$\%$

Dairy
11,397,635,700
11.63

Cattle
18,688,320,078
19.06

Hogs
3,495,825,544
3.57

Poultry
1,885,645,069
1.92

Wheat
22,437,354,794
22.90

Small Grains
25,243,599,083
25.77

Field crops
3,252,568,916
3.32

Fruits \& Veget. Misc. Specialty

3,042,839,918
3.11 Livestock Comb.
Field Crop Comb.
2,746,841,265
2.80

3,737,135,451
3.81

Other Comb.
1,732,021,280
.34
total
97,963,523,218
100.00

Source: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Statistics Canada, } 1981 \text { Census of Agriculture Table } 30, ~ \\ & \text { September 1982. }\end{aligned}$

Table A-3.9
the number of weeks of hired labour by farm type

| Farm Type | Hired Labour (Weeks) | $\underset{\substack{\text { q }}}{\text { Distribn. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 873,391 | 19.57 |
| Cattle | 549,452 | 12.31 |
| Hogs | 188,585 | 4.22 |
| Poultry | 251,479 | 5.63 |
| Wheat | 291,668 | 6.53 |
| Small Grains | 409,121 | 9.16 |
| Field crops | 452,648 | 10.14 |
| Fruits \& Veget. | 584,983 | 13.10 |
| Misc. Specialty | 665,522 | 14.92 |
| Livestock Comb. | 90,197 | 2.02 |
| Field Crop Comb. | 29,196 | . 65 |
| Other Comb. | 78,311 | 1.75 |
| TOTAL | 4,464,553 | 100.00 |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture Table 30, September 1982.

Table A-3.10

## distribution of other expenses by farm type

|  | Other <br> Expenses <br> $\$$ | Distribn. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Farm Type | 54,702 | $5.00 \%$ |
| Dairy | 245,833 | $22.47 \%$ |
| Cattle | 32,821 | $3.00 \%$ |
| Hogs | 16,411 | $1.50 \%$ |
| Poultry | 131,286 | $12.00 \%$ |
| Wheat | 300,535 | $27.47 \%$ |
| Small Grains | 28,008 | $2.56 \%$ |
| Field Crops | 65,643 | $6.00 \%$ |
| Vegetables | 54,702 | $5.00 \%$ |
| Miscellaneous | 54,702 | $5.00 \%$ |
| Livestock Comb. | 54,702 | $5.00 \%$ |
| Field Crop Comb. | 54,702 |  |
| Other Comb. |  |  |
|  | $1,094,049$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |

Source: : Other Expenses calculated as a residual based on Census of Agriculture, 1981 (Table 30) data. Est. of depreciation, taxes, livestock expenses, small tools-twine,etc., and interest charges were deducted from total farm type expenditures.

Table A-3.11

## DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURE ITEMS BY FARM TYPE USING TAXFILER DATA

|  | Containers \& Twine | Telephone \& Electricity | Accounting, Legal Fees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | \$11,550,600 | \$12,110,000 | \$6,683,400 |
| Cattle | \$6,642,000 | \$10,619,000 | \$7,919,500 |
| Hogs | \$2,586,600 | \$4,151,000 | \$2,260,700 |
| Poultry | \$507,600 | \$1,778,000 | \$855,400 |
| Wheat | \$3,137,400 | \$8,505,000 | \$6,359,100 |
| Small Grains | \$10,065,600 | \$11,578,000 | \$7,957,100 |
| Field Crops | \$6,723,000 | \$5,649,000 | \$4,244,100 |
| Fruit \& Veg. | \$4,795,200 | \$4,592,000 | \$2,082,100 |
| Misc.Spec. | \$561,600 | \$2,177,000 | \$3,435,700 |
| Livstk. Comb. | \$1,776,600 | \$2,765,000 | \$1,715,500 |
| Field Crp.Comb. | \$1,749,600 | \$1,575,000 | \$1,057,500 |
| Other Comb. | \$3,904,200 | \$4,501,000 | \$2,429,900 |
| Total | \$54,000,000 | \$70,000,000 | \$47,000,000 |


|  | Containers \& Twine | Telephone \& Electricity | Accounting, <br> Legal Fees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Percentage Distribution) |  |  |
| Dairy | 21.39\% | 17.30\% | 14.22\% |
| Cattle | 12.30\% | 15.17\% | 16.85\% |
| Hogs | 4.79\% | 5.93\% | $4.81 \%$ |
| Poultry | 0.94\% | 2.54\% | 1.82\% |
| Wheat | 5.81\% | 12.15\% | 13.53\% |
| Small Grains | 18.64\% | $16.54 \%$ | 16.93\% |
| Field Crops | 12.45\% | 8.07\% | 9.03\% |
| Fruit \& Veg. | 8.88\% | 6.56\% | 4.43\% |
| Misc.Spec. | 1.04\% | 3.11\% | 7.31\% |
| Livstk. Comb. | 3.29\% | 3.95\% | 3.65\% |
| Field Crp.Comb. | 3.24\% | 2.25\% | 2.25\% |
| Other Comb. | 7.23\% | 6.43\% | 5.17\% |
| "Total | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |

Table A-3.12
DISTRIBUTION OF SEED PURCHASES: WHEAT \& SMALL GRAINS BY FARM TYPE, CANADA

| Farm Type | Wheat Seed Expenditures(1) | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | \$3,870,118 | 1.43\% |
| Cattle | \$16,157,068 | 5.97\% |
| Hogs | \$2,300,420 | 0.85\% |
| Poultry | \$893,104 | 0.33\% |
| Wheat | \$178,620,851 | $66.01 \%$ |
| Small Grains | \$55,805,484 | 20.62\% |
| Field Crops | \$1,326,124 | 0.49\% |
| Fruit \& Veget. | \$216,510 | 0.08\% |
| Misc. Specialty | \$162,383 | 0.06\% |
| Livestock Comb. | \$7,631,982 | 2.82\% |
| Field Crop Comb. | \$487,148 | 0.18\% |
| Other Comb. | \$3,139,397 | 1.16\% |
| Total | \$270,610,589 | 100.00\% |
|  | Small Grains |  |
| Farm Type | Seed Expend. (2) | \% |
| Dairy | \$20,779,818 | 8.89\% |
| Cattle | \$35,735,670 | 15.30\% |
| Hogs | \$12,581,865 | 5.39\% |
| Poultry | \$2,189,491 | 0.94\% |
| Wheat | \$34,221,502 | 14.65\% |
| Small Grains | \$106,257,021 | 45.48\% |
| Field Crops | \$2,800,280 | 1.20\% |
| Fruit \& Veget. | \$889,590 | 0.38\% |
| Misc. Specialty | \$613,419 | 0.26\% |
| Livestock Comb. | \$12,902,381 | 5.52\% |
| Field Crop Comb. | \$879,471 | 0.38\% |
| Other Comb. | \$3,778,113 | 1.62\% |
| Total | \$233,628,623 | 100.00\% |

Note: (1) Acreages by crop and by province for respective crops are based on data from Statistics Canada, Census of Agriculture 1981, farm type figures, Table 30.
(2) Small Grain crops include: oats, barley, corn for grain and rye.

Source: Cost of Production Studies for Prairie Grain Production, various studies at the Provincial level. Costs per acre were multiplied by respective acreages and then totalled to estimate Canadian seed expenditures by farm type.

Table A-3.13
ESTIMATED SEED COSTS: FRESH VEGETABLES, 1981

| Province | Prov.Potato Acreage | Seed Cost <br> Per Acre* | Total Seed Costs \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B.C. | 8,432 | \$272.62 | . \$2,298,732 |
| Alta. | 16,519 | \$284.84 | \$4,705,272 |
| Saskatchewan | 2,386 | \$294.49 | \$702,653 |
| Manitoba | 40,563 | \$304.14 | \$12,336,831 |
| Ontario | 38,297 | \$253.23 | \$9,697,949 |
| Quebec | 40,833 | \$243.02 | \$9,923,236 |
| N.B. | 53,216 | \$291.92 | \$15,534,815 |
| N.S. | 3,653 | \$293.42 | \$1,071,863 |
| PEI | 63,722 | \$294.92 | \$18,792,892 |
| Nfld. | 855 | \$293.42 | \$250,874 |
| TOTAL | 268,476 |  | \$75,064,243 |
| DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL SEED COSTS BY FARM TYPE |  |  |  |
|  | Estim. Seed |  | Distrib. |
| Farm Type | Potato Costs |  | \% |
| Dairy | \$1,867,230 |  | 2.49\% |
| Cattle | \$1,301,086 |  | 1.73\% |
| Hogs | \$590,055 |  | 0.79\% |
| Poultry | \$198,351 |  | 0.26\% |
| Wheat | \$75,507 |  | 0.10\% |
| Grains | \$810,927 |  | 1.08\% |
| Field Crops | \$59,579,775 |  | 79.37\% |
| Fruit \& Veget. | \$2,309,830 |  | 3.08\% |
| Misc. Spec. | \$140,368 |  | 0.19\% |
| Livestock Comb. | \$2,618,250 |  | 3.49\% |
| Field Crp Comb | \$4,317,298 |  | 5.75\% |
| Other Comb | \$1,255,566 |  | 1.67\% |
| TOTAL | \$75,064,243 |  | 100.00\% |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture, Table 30, September 1982.

Table A-3.14

## ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION OF VETERINARY, BREEDING \& MACHINERY AND CUSTOM WORK COSTS BY FARM TYPE

Farm Type

Est.
Vet. Fees

Est. Distribn.\%
Dairy
Cattle
Hogs Poultry
Wheat
Small Grains
Field Crops Fruit \& Veget. Misc. Special. Livstk. Comb. Field Crop Comb Other Comb.

TOTAL

Farm Type
Dairy
Cattle
Hogs
Poultry
Wheat
Small Grains
Field Crops
Fruit \& Veget. Misc. Special. Livstk. Comb. Field Crop Comb Other Comb.

TOTAL
\$33,160,357
\$44,008,587
\$37,997,436
\$1,479,504
\$4,383,018
\$6,900,445
\$281,511
\$195,959
\$218,374
\$6,865,888
\$267,606
\$1,212,196
$\$ 136,950,507$

Est.Mach.Rent \& Cust.Wrk. \$
\$22,746,792
\$27,972,131
\$6,680,039
\$2,264,187
\$20,409,016
\$33,101,565
\$5,785,095
\$4,377,396
\$3,192,366
\$3,607,791
\$892,096
\$1,938,493
\$132,966,492
24.21\%
32.13\%
27.75\%
1.08\%
3.20\%
5.04\%
$0.21 \%$
$0.14 \%$
$0.16 \%$
5.01\%
$0.20 \%$
0.89\%
100.00\%

Est.
Distrib. $\%$
17.11\%
21.04\%
5.02\%
1.70\%
15.35\%
24.89\%
4.35\%
3.29\%
2.40\%
$2.71 \%$
0.67\%
1.46\%
$100.00 \%$

Table A-3.14 (cont'd)

Farm Type

Dairy
Cattle
Hogs
Poultry
Wheat
Small Grains
Field Crops
Fruit \& Veget.
Misc. Special.
Livstk. Comb.
Field Crop Comb
Other Comb.
TOTAL
$\$ 269,917,000$
Vet.
\& Cust. Work
\$55,907,149
\$71,980,717
\$44,677,475
\$3,743,691
\$24,792,034
\$40,002,010
\$6,066,606
\$4,573,355
\$3,410,739
\$10,473,679
\$1,159,702
\$3,150,689

Est.
Distribn.\%
20.71\%
26.67\%
16.55\%
1.39\%
9.19\%
14.82\%
2.25\%
1.69\%
1.26\%
3.88\%
0.43\%
1.17\%
100.00\%

Table A-3.15

## distribution of interest costs by farm type

Farm Type

Dairy
Cattle
Hogs
Poultry
Wheat
Small Grains
Field Crops
Fruit \& Vegetables
Misc.Specialty
Livestock Comb. Field Crop Comb. Other Comb.

Total
$\$ 2,134,940,492.4$
100.00\%

Note: Interest Paid = Total of short, intermediate and long term interest payments from FCC source. Interest rates for the respective terms were: 20.92\%, 18.97\%, 15.67\%.

Sources: Farm Credit Corp oration, Special Tabulation, Borrowings By Farm Type, Farm Survey, 1984. Agriculture Canada, Market Commentary: Farm Inputs \& Finance, Regional Development Branch, December/82.

Table A-3.16
ESTIMATION OF INDIRECT COMMODITY TAXES BY FARM TYPE

| Farm Type | Tot.Indirect Taxes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distrib. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 12,143 | 2.21 |
| Cattle | 17,300 | 1:87 |
| Hogs | 4,057 | . 05 |
| Poultry | 2,647 | . 66 |
| Wheat | 21,794 | 1.07 |
| Sm.Grains | 22,258 | 63.34 |
| Field Crops | 4,755 | 11.68 |
| Fruit \& Veget. | 2,861 | 8.81 |
| Misc. Specialty | 5,387 | 1.60 |
| Livestock Comb. | 4,004 | . 30 |
| Field Crop Comb. | 634 | 3.55 |
| Other Comb. | 2,102 | 4.87 |
| Total | 99,941 | 100.00 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Unpublished Data, Tax Margins by Commodity, Input-Output Division, Ottawa.

Table A-3.17

## DISTRIBUTION OF SUBSIDIES BY FARM TYPE

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subsidies } \\ \$ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distribn. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | \$330,064,467 | 31.49\% |
| Cattle | \$155,584,319 | 14.84\% |
| Hogs | \$114,542, 277 | 10.93\% |
| Poultry | \$12,007,255 | 1.15\% |
| Wheat | \$166,907,936 | 15.92\% |
| Small Grains | \$123,278,148 | 11.76\% |
| Field Crops | \$24,364,065 | 2.32\% |
| Fruit \& Veg. | \$43,910,677 | 4.19\% |
| Misc. Spec. | \$14,552,133 | 1.39\% |
| Livstk. Comb. | \$45,188,933 | 4.31\% |
| Field Crp.Comb. | \$3,920,804 | 0.37\% |
| Other Comb. | \$13,806,292 | 1.32\% |
| Total | \$1,048,127,305 | 100.00\% |

Table A-3.18
DISTRIBUTION OF MARGINS BY FARM TYPE

| FARM TYPE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RETAIL } \\ & \# 553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WHOLESALE } \\ \# 550 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TAX } \\ \# 596 \end{array}$ | GAS \#547 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TRANSPORT } \\ \# 583 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STORE } \\ & \text { \#542 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PIPELINE } \\ \# 540 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | \$14,701 | \$84,113 | \$12,549 | \$235 | \$30,125 | \$783 | \$1,267 |
| Cattle | \$18,225 | \$78,546 | \$17,591 | \$358 | \$29,790 | \$1,195 | \$1,927 |
| Hogs | \$10,442 | \$46,742 | \$4,308 | \$79 | \$15,099 | \$411 | \$424 |
| Poultry | \$1,794 | \$37,499 | \$2,836 | \$55 | \$11,519 | \$79 | \$297 |
| Wheat | \$12,349 | \$70,212 | \$21,832 | \$464 | \$26,626 | \$1,620 | \$2,497 |
| Grains | \$17,422 | \$110,366 | \$22,064 | \$462 | \$47.145 | \$3,481 | \$2,489 |
| Crops | \$3,002 | \$22,654 | \$4,377 | \$87 | \$8,175 | \$150 | \$471 |
| Veget. | \$1,735 | \$11,599 | \$2,651 | \$50 | \$4,389 | \$78 | \$272 |
| Misc. Spec. | \$2,108 | \$13,529 | \$5,488 | \$115 | \$4,984 | \$137 | \$622 |
| Lstk Comb. | \$3,730 | \$20,669 | \$4,058 | \$82 | \$7,776 | \$422 | \$440 |
| Fld Cp Comb | \$440 | \$2,788 | \$471 | \$8 | \$1,299 | \$34 | \$45 |
| Other Comb. | \$1,270 | \$7,365 | \$1,717 | \$31 | \$2,996 | \$134 | \$169 |
| TOTAL | \$87,214 | \$506,080 | \$99,941 | \$2,027 | \$209,368 | \$8,525 | \$10,920 |


| FARM | RETAIL |  | WHOLESALE | TAX | GAS | TRANSPORT | STORE |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | PIPELINE

Note: Unpublished data on total margin values and distribution by commodity was utilized to allocate margins by farm type. The Use matrix distribution ( $\%$ 's) were multiplied to the dollar value of the margins in the unpublished data to obtain a margin distribution by farm type.

Source: Statistics Canada, Unpublished Data on margins by commodity, L Level of Aggregation, National Model, Input-Output Div., 1981.

Table A-3. 19
ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION OF LABOUR EXPENSES, 1981

| Farm Type | Operator(1) | Off-Farm Work(2) | Operator <br> Weeks(3) | Hired Labour (4) | TOTAL (5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distrib. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 2,179,060 | 157,065 | 2,021,995 | 873,391 | 2,895,386 | 18.67\% |
| Cattle | 3,127,228 | 867,190 | 2,260,038 | 549,452 | 2,809,490 | 18.12\% |
| Hogs | 639,652 | 141,266 | 498,426 | 188,585 | 687,011 | 4.43\% |
| Poultry | 282,776 | 75,959 | 206,817 | 251,479 | 458,296 | 2.96\% |
| Wheat | 2,900,560 | 544,236 | 2,356,324 | 291,668 | 2,647,992 | 17.07\% |
| Sm. grain | 2,708,472 | 668,232 | 2,040,240 | 409,121 | 2,449,361 | 15.79\% |
| Field crop | - 401,544 | 90,850 | 310,694 | 452,648 | 763,342 | 4.92\% |
| Fruits\&veg | g 533,988 | 160,023 | 373,965 | 584,983 | 958,948 | $6.18 \%$ |
| Misc. spec | C 605,280 | 210,660 | 394,620 | 665,522 | 1,060,142 | 6.84\% |
| Live. comb | - 470,808 | 96,647 | 374,161 | 90,197 | 464,359 | 2.99\% |
| Fd.cp.comb | - 30.472 | 4,011 | 26,461 | 29,196 | 55,657 | 0.36\% |
| Oth. comb. | 243,568 | 63,531 | 180,037 | 78,311 | 258,348 | 1.67\% |
| TOTAL | 14,123,408 | 3,079,670 | 11,043,778 | 4,464,553 | 15,508,332 | 100.00\% |

NOTE: a) farms with $\$ 2500$ of sales or more
b) all numbers are represented in weeks
c) $(3)=(1)-(2)$
$(5)=(3)+(4)$

Table A-3. 20
DISTRIBUTION OF NET FARM INCOME BY FARM TYPE FARM OPERATOR FAMILIES, CANADA 1980

| Type of farm | No. Census Farms | Average Net Farm Income \$ | Total Net Farm Income \$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distribn. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | 39785 | 12744 | 507,020,040 | 23.63\% |
| Cattle | 52835 | 5183 | 273,843,805 | 12.76\% |
| Hogs | 11455 | 5391 | 61,753,905 | 2.88\% |
| Poultry | 5025 | 8915 | 44,797,875 | 2.09\% |
| Wheat | 44465 | 12979 | 577,111,235 | 26.90\% |
| Small Grains | 44945 | 9038 | 406,212,910 | 18.93\% |
| Field crops | 6990 | 11542 | 80,678,580 | 3.76\% |
| Fruits \& Veg. | 9405 | 5944 | 55,903,320 | 2.61\% |
| Misc. Spec. | 10445 | 4046 | 42,260,470 | 1.97\% |
| Livestock Comb. | 8170 | 7245 | 59,191,650 | 2.76\% |
| Field Crop Comb. | 485 | 19415 | 9,416,275 | 0.44\% |
| Other Comb. | 4040 | 6717 | 27,136,680 | 1.26\% |
| TOTAL | 238045 | 9012 | 2,145,326,745 | 100.00\% |

Source: Statistics Canada, Farming Facts, 1984, Agricultural Statistics Division, Drawn from the Agriculture-Population Linkage, 1981 Census of Canada, pp.4-5.

Table A-3.21
DISTRIBUTION OF OTHER OPERATING SURPLUS BY FARM TYPE

|  | Total Revenue ('000's) | Total Expenses ('000's) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { ('000's) } \end{aligned}$ | Distribn. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy | \$3,182,507 | \$2,374,963 | \$807,544 | 17.02\% |
| Cattle | \$2,951,529 | \$2,194,542 | \$756,987 | 15.78\% |
| Hogs | \$1,375,495 | \$862,998 | \$512,497 | 7.36\% |
| Poultry | \$1,173,129 | \$766,317 | \$406,812 | 6.27\% |
| Wheat | \$4,211,815 | \$2,275,867 | \$1,935,948 | 22.52\% |
| Small Grajns | \$2,783,862 | \$2,602,549 | \$181,313 | 14.89\% |
| Field Crops | \$879,882 | \$625,604 | \$254,278 | 4.70\% |
| Fr.\& Vegetables | \$517,783 | \$432,923 | \$84,860 | 2.77\% |
| Misc. Specialty | \$603,321 | \$545,923 | \$57,398 | 3.23\% |
| Livetock Comb. | \$727,451 | \$465,827 | \$261,624 | 3.89\% |
| Field Crop Comb. | \$82,599 | \$72,746 | \$9,853 | 0.44\% |
| Other Comb. | \$211,627 | \$198,741 | \$12,886 | 1.13\% |
|  | \$18,701,000 | \$13,419,000 | \$5,282,000 | 100.00\% |

#  
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| Small Grains | Other field Crops | Fruit \＆ Veget． | Misc． Special． | Livestock comb．farms | Crop coab． faras | Other coab． faras |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52086 | 7722 | 10269 | 11640 | 9054 | 586 | 4684 |

$\approx$
Table A－4．4
DISTRIBUTION OF IPPITED FAR4 SALES BY FARM TYPE（S1981）
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Total sales Sales wheat
Sales oats－grain Sales oats－grain
Sales barley－grain Sales mixed grain Sales corn－grain
Sales other－grain

Sales other－grain
Sales hay \＆fodder crops Sales forage seed

Sales potatoes other field crops Sales apple trees Sales other fruits
Sales vegetables

Sales specialty crops
Sales milk cows
Sales other
Sales pigs
Sales sheep
Sales other livestock
Sales laying hens Sales other poultry Sales other poultry
Sales maple tappings

## Table A-4.5

FARM WOODLAND AREA BY FARM TYPE, CANADA 1981

| Farm | Woodlot |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Area | (acres) |
|  | $2,017,700$ | $26.88 \%$ |
| Dairy | $2,479,163$ | $33.03 \%$ |
| Cattle | 251,783 | $3.35 \%$ |
| Hogs | 106,378 | $1.42 \%$ |
| Poultry | 436,838 | $5.82 \%$ |
| Wheat | $1,028,715$ | $13.70 \%$ |
| Sm. Grains | 264,973 | $3.53 \%$ |
| Field Crops | 208,736 | $2.78 \%$ |
| Fruit \& Veget. | 348,948 | $4.65 \%$ |
| Misc.Specialty | 230,542 | $3.07 \%$ |
| Livestk.Comb. | 18,102 | $0.24 \%$ |
| Field Crop Comb. | 114,681 | $1.53 \%$ |
| Other Comb. |  |  |
|  | $7,506,559$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Agriculture, Table 30., Ottawa, September 1982.

Table A-5.1

## IMPACT ON OUTPUT, INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY LARGE LEVEL OF AGGREGATION

| Large Industry Aggregation |  | Output | Income | Employ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Dairy | 1 | 1739452.5 | 1059082.3 | 50 |
| 2 Cattle \& Calves | 2 | 6001516.0 | 2868610.8 | 179 |
| 3 Hogs | 3 | 934413.4 | 462109.9 | 15 |
| 4 Poultry | 4 | 364773.1 | 177255.5 | 4 |
| 5 Wheat | 5 | 57036296.0 | 41409540.0 | 1125 |
| 6 Sm.Grains | 6 | 19047116.0 | 7111014.5 | 526 |
| 7 Field Crops | 7 | 550533.1 | 343457.6 | 15 |
| 8 Fruit\&Veget. | 8 | 155663.6 | 101872.5 | 9 |
| 9 Misc.Spec. | 9 | 124522.6 | 71657.3 | 7 |
| 10 Livstk.Combin. | 10 | 2538752.0 | 1372392.8 | 51 |
| 11 Fld.Crop Comb. | 11 | 173712.0 | 79530.8 | 4 |
| 12 Oth. Comb. | 12 | 1051978.0 | 411859.3 | 40 |
| 13 Forestry | 13 | 221562.6 | 98434.7 | 3 |
| 14 Fishing, Hunt, Trap | 14 | 18355.2 | 12599.4 | 1 |
| 15 Gold Mines | 15 | 1410.3 | 966.3 | 0 |
| 16 Uranium Mines | 16 | 17784.6 | 10803.1 | 0 |
| 17 Iron Mines | 17 | 22291.0 | 9221.8 | 0 |
| 18 Base Metal \& Oth. Metal Mi | 18 | 127332.3 | 70794.1 | 1 |
| 19 Coal Mines | 19 | 32644.5 | 16644.4 | 0 |
| 20 Petroleum \& Gas Wells | 20 | 3395423.5 | 1829524.9 | 6 |
| 21 Asbestos Mines | 21 | 1651.5 | 985.9 | 0 |
| 22 Gypsum Mines | 22 | 1405.5 | 759.0 | 0 |
| 23 Salt Mines | 23 | 30223.6 | 19004.2 | 0 |
| 24 Oth. Non-Metal Mines | 24 | 788131.8 | 447467.4 | 5 |
| 25 Quarries \& Sand Pits | 25 | 51771.7 | 25577.7 | 1 |
| 26 Serv. Incidental to Minin | 26 | 114498.7 | 63331.3 | 1 |
| 27 Slaughtering \& Meat Proce | 27 | 272673.0 | 37638.4 | 1 |
| 28 Poultry Processors | 28 | 12867.2 | 2552.8 | 0 |
| 29 Dairy Factories | 29 | 90600.2 | 17670.8 | 0 |
| 30 Fish Products Industry | 30 | 43342.2 | 14226.3 | 1 |
| 31 Fruit \& Vegetable Process | 31 | 29391.8 | 8348.8 | 0 |
| 32 Feed Mfgrs. | 32 | 2885996.8 | 405544.9 | 11 |
| 33 Flour \& Breakfast Cereals | 33 | 114691.8 | 24328.6 | 1 |
| 34 Biscuit Mfgrs. | 34 | 13758.7 | 5992.7 | 0 |
| 35 Bakeries | 35 | 19212.9 | 8886.5 | 0 |
| 36 Confectionery Mfgrs. | 36 | 11713.6 | 4400.6 | 0 |
| 37 Sugar Refineries | 37 | 32046.6 | 4330.6 | 0 |
| 38 Vegetable Oil Mills | 38 | 391562.3 | 49398.5 | 1 |
| 39 Miscellaneous Food Indust | 39 | 108509.5 | 34932.1 | 1 |
| 40 Soft Drink Mfgrs. | 40 | 39551.5 | 15272.1 | 0 |
| 41 Distilleries | 41 | 24639.9 | 9662.1 | 0 |
| 42 Breweries | 42 | 11548.0 | 5982.3 | 0 |
| 43 Wineries | 43 | 1070.1 | 325.7 | 0 |
| 44 Leaf Tobacco Processing | 44 | 2484.2 | 27.1 | 0 |
| 45 Tobacco Products Mfgrs. | 45 | 2327.3 | 1039.4 | 0 |
| 46 Rubber Footwear Mfgrs. | 46 | 429.5 | 194.3 | 0 |
| 47 Other Rubber Industries | 47,48 | 317700.8 | 121508.7 | 4 |
| 48 Plastic Fabricators,NES | 49 | 225495.2 | 85269.8 | 3 |
| 49 Leather Tanneries | 50 | 2469.1 | 682.7 | 0 |
| 50 Shoe Factories | 51 | 6369.6 | 2620.2 | 0 |

Table A-5.1 (continued)
IMPACT ON OUTPUT, INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY LARGE LEVEL OF AGGREGATION

| Large Industry Aggregation |  | Output | Income | Employ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 Leather Glove Factories | 52 | 1361.4 | 697.3 | 0 |
| 52 Small Leather Goods Mfgrs | 53 | 7807.3 | 3366.7 | 0 |
| 53 Cotton Yarn \& Cloth Mills | 54 | 19398.7 | 5979.9 | 0 |
| 54 Wool, Yarn \& Cloth Mills | 55 | 8718.2 | 4153.0 | 0 |
| 55 Synthetic Textile Mills | 56 | 51213.5 | 17599.1 | 1 |
| 56 Fibre Preparing Mills | 57 | 446.7 | 63.8 | 0 |
| 57 Thread Mills | 58 | 2267.3 | 837:2 | 0 |
| 58 Cordage \& Twine Industry | 59 | 28800.7 | 10473.0 | 0 |
| 59 Narrow Fabric Mills | 60 | 3349.8 | 1435.7 | 0 |
| 60 Pressed \& Punched Felt Mi | 61 | 1275.9 | 441.6 | 0 |
| 61 Carpet, Mat \& Rug Industry | 62 | 17139.6 | 4316.6 | 0 |
| 62 Textile Dyeing \& Finishin | 63 | 2316.0 | 1017.2 | 0 |
| 63 Canvas Products Industry | 64 | 7210.6 | 2981.3 | 0 |
| 64 Cotton \& Jute Bag Industr | 65 | 23309.0 | 6015.2 | 0 |
| 65 Miscellaneous Textile Ind | 66 | 28962.4 | 12388.6 | 0 |
| 66 Hosiery Mills | 67 | 259.3 | 104.7 | 0 |
| 67 Other Knitting Mills | 68 | 4067.0 | 1500.2 | 0 |
| 68 Clothing Industries | 69 | 40050.0 | 17375.5 | 1 |
| 69 Sawmills | 70 | 81669.0 | 25938.9 | 1 |
| 70 Veneer \& Plywood Mills | 71 | 35449.3 | 12582.8 | 0 |
| 71 Sash \& Door \& Planing Mil | 72 | 62846.4 | 26823.0 | 1 |
| 72 Wooden Box Factories | 73 | 38295.7 | 14985.3 | 1 |
| 73 Coffin \& Casket Industry | 74 | 255.7 | 120.4 | 0 |
| 74 Miscellaneous Wood Indust | 75 | 15937.4 | 5997.3 | 0 |
| 75 Household Furniture Indus | 76 | 5671.4 | 2437.9 | 0 |
| 76 Office Furniture Industry | 77 | 2060.5 | 9648.6 | 0 |
| 77 Other Furniture Industrie | 78 | 6845.4 1248.8 | 2948.5 474.9 | 0 |
| 78 Electric Lamp \& Shade Ind | 79 80 | 1248.8 274585.9 | 474.9 112758.6 | 2 |
| 79 Pulp \& Paper Industry | 80 | 274585.9 16911.6 | 112758.6 5160.5 | 0 |
| 81 Paper Box \& Bag Mfgrs. | 82 | 197757.8 | 59481.2 | 2 |
| 82 Other Paper Converters | 83 | 145731.9 | 46862.5 | 2 |
| 83 Printing \& Publishing | 84 | 519912.8 | 262266.6 | 8 |
| 84 Engraving, Stereotyping I | 85 | 35374.4 | 22477.5 | 1 |
| 85 Iron \& Steel Ind. | 86 | 338578.4 | 115292.6 | 3 |
| 86 Steel Pipe \& Tube Mills | 87 | 19512.7 | 4634.8 | 0 |
| 87 Iron Foundries | 88 | 18188.4 | 8181.4 | 0 |
| 88 Smelting \& Refining | 89,90 | 342329.0 | 41731.4 | 2 |
| 89 Aluminum Rolling \& Extrud | 91 | 57433.3 | 11998.7 | 0 |
| 90 Copper \& Alloy Rolling | 92 | 33060.3 | 6423.8 | 0 |
| 91 Metal Casting \& Extruding | 93 | 32899.0 | 10465.3 | 0 |
| 92 Boiler \& Plate Works | 94 | 42763.1 | 17136.1 | 1 |
| 93 Fabricated Struct. Metal | 95 | 36685.7 | 17947.3 | 0 |
| 94 Ornamental \& Arch. Metal | 96 | 47288.4 | 19335.2 | 1 |
| 95 Metal Stamp. Press. \& Coa | 97 | 220746.0 | 70664.4 | 2 |
| 96 Wire \& Wire Products Mfgr | 98 | 356542.6 | 130909.0 34594.3 | 4 |
| 97 Hardware Tool \& Cutlery M | 98 100 | 64050.2 | 34594.3 6497.7 | 1 |
| 98 Heating Equipment Mfgrs. | 100 | 16660.7 | 6497.7 | 0 |
| 99 Machine Shops | 101 | 43077.9 | 24615.9 | 1 |
| 100 Misc. Metal Fabricating I | 102 | 93291.6 | 38438.0 | 1 |

Table A-5.1 (continued)
IMPACT ON OUTPUT, INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY LARGE LEVEL OF AGGREGATION

| Large Industry Aggregation |  | Output | Income | Employ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 Agricultural Implement In | . 103 | 197685.1 | 75621.8 | 2 |
| 102 Misc. Machinery \& Equip. | 104 | 319092.3 | 138947.6 | 4 |
| 103 Comm. Regrig. \& Air Cond. | 105 | 19719.4 | 6987.7 | 0 |
| 104 Office \& Store Machinery | 106 | 58463.6 | 22675.5 | 1 |
| 105 Aircraft \& Parts Mfgrs. | 107 | 46139.7 | 25187.9 | 1 |
| 106 Motor Vehicle Mfgrs. | 108 | 161874.4 | 14099.2 | 1 |
| 107 Truck Body \& Trailer Mfgr | 109 | 22360.4 | 7215.1 | 0 |
| 108 Motor Vehicle Pts. \& Acce | 110 | 108377.7 | 45067.0 | 1 |
| 109 Railroad Rolling Stock In | 111 | 66113.1 | 27923.6 | 1 |
| 110 Shipbuilding \& Repair | 112 | 80156.8 | 39318.1 | 1 |
| 111 Misc. Transp. Equip. Ind. | 113 | 6960.1 | 2489.7 | 0 |
| 112 Small Electrical Applianc | 114 | 35035.3 | 16942.0 | 0 |
| 113 Major Appliances, Elect. | 115 | 18524.4 | 6979.6 | 0 |
| 114 Radio \& Television Receiv | 116 | 10264.2 | 2738.6 | 0 |
| 115 Communications Equipment | 117 | 92771.4 | 52331.8 | 1 |
| 116 Mfgrs. of Elect. Ind. Equ | 118 | 75891.3 | 34553.1 | 1 |
| 117 Battery Mfgrs. | 119 | 43710.5 | 17973.1 | 0 |
| 118 Mfgrs. of Electric Wire \& | 120 | 84923.2 | 23568.7 | 1 |
| 119 Mfgrs. of Misc. Elect. Pr | 121 | 106280.4 | 47178.1 | 2 |
| 120 Cement Mfgrs. | 122 | 28186.0 | 12496.5 | 0 |
| 121 Lime Mfgrs. | 123 | 70358.1 | 24863.4 | 1 |
| 122 Concrete Products Mfgrs. | 124 | 26636.7 | 12831.1 | 0 |
| 123 Readymix Concrete Mfgrs. | 125 | 40941.9 | 12904.0 | 0 |
| 124 Clay Products Mfgrs. | 126 | 8358.5 | 4257.4 | 0 |
| 125 Refractories Mfgrs. | 127 | 5953.2 | 1740.2 | 0 |
| 126 Stone Products Mfgrs. | 128 | 1695.6 | 897.7 | 0 |
| 127 Other Non-Metallic Produc | 129 | 49342.4 | 20191.4 | 1 |
| 128 Glass \& Glass Products Mf | 130 | 27700.2 | 13337.1 | 0 |
| 129 Abrasives Mfgrs. | 131 | 17846.1 | 6027.0 | 0 |
| 130 Petroleum Refineries | 132 | 7047188.0 | 253184.2 | 7 |
| 131 Oth. Petroleum \& Coal Pro | 133 | 10307.8 | 2521.3 | 0 |
| 132 Mfgrs. of Mixed Fertilize | 134 | 172823.4 | 32814.6 | 1 |
| 133 Mfgrs of Plast. \& Synth. | 135 | 226689.9 | 38023.5 | 1 |
| 134 Mfgrs. of Pharm. \& Medici | 136 | 170944.8 | 74115.9 | 2 |
| 135 Paint \& Varnish Mfgrs. | 137 | 126197.3 | 40668.2 | 1 |
| 136 Mfgrs of Soap \& Cleaning | 138 | 74269.4 | 24710.1 | 1 |
| 137 Mfgrs. of Toilet Preparat | 139 | 26778.7 | 12739.8 | 0 |
| 138 Mfgrs of Industrial Chemi | 140 | 3136475.8 | 872758.4 | 13 |
| 139 Oth. Chemical Industries | 141 | 1540291.6 | 574593.8 | 13 |
| 140 Scient. \& Prof. Equip. Mf | 142 | 60825.7 | 25029.1 | 1 |
| 141 Jewelry \& Silverware MEgr | 143 | 6031.8 | 1510.1 | 0 |
| 142 Broom, Brush, \& Mop Indus | 144 | 10169.7 | 4175.0 | 0 |
| 143 Sporting Goods \& Toy Indu | 145 | 20912.4 | 8603.2 | 0 |
| 144 Linoleum \& Coated Fabrics | 146 | 14374.7 | 4753.8 | 0 |
| 145 Signs \& Display Ind. | 147 | 30211.2 | 18019.2 | 1 |
| 146 Misc. Mfgring. Ind., NES | 148 | 27300.1 | 12344.4 | 0 |
| 147 Repair Construction | 149 | 2151268.8 | 865933.5 | 28 |
| 148 Residential Construction | 150 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 149 Non-Residential Construct | 151 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 150 Road, Highway, Airstrip C | 152 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |

Table A-5.1 (continued)

IMPACT ON OUTPUT, INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY LARGE LEVEL OF AGGREGATION

| Large Industry Aggregation |  | Output | Income | Employ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151 Gas \& Oil Refinery Constr | 153 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 152 Dams \& Irrigation Project | 154 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 153 Railway, Telephone, Teleg | 155 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 154 Oth. Engineering Constr. | 156 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 155 Construction, Oth. Activi | 157 | 107221.5 | 57757.4 | 0 |
| 156 Air Transport | 158 | 375702.3 | 169425.3 | 3 |
| 157 Services Incidental to Tr | 159 | 453789.2 | 225157.3 | 9 |
| 158 Water Transport | 160 | 1294731.0 | 571177.4 | 16 |
| 159 Railway Transport | 161 | 2698915.5 | 1485423.3 | 43 |
| 160 Truck Transport | 162 | 4397450.5 | 2173308.0 | 82 |
| 161 Bus Transp.. Interurban \& | 163 | 6077.4 | 3142.7 | 0 |
| 162 Urban Transit Systems | 164 | 2891.5 | 3035.6 | 0 |
| 163 Taxicab Operations | 165 | 53384.3 | 33192.7 | 3 |
| 164 Pipeline Transport | 166 | 338463.6 | 212045.6 | 1 |
| 165 Highway \& Bridge Maintena | 167 | 11230.5 | 6231.6 | 0 |
| 166 Storage | 168 | 4070566.8 | 2669466.0 | 86 |
| 167 Radio \& Tel. Broadcasting | 169 | 129953.4 | 101419.5 | 2 |
| 168 Communication Industries, | 170 | 895066.8 | 717859.4 | 13 |
| 169 Post Office | 171 | 160986.8 | 112074.1 | 7 |
| 170 Electric Power | 172 | 1292268.3 | 977229.4 | 10 |
| 171 Gas Distribution | 173 | 85100.8 | 71097.9 | 1 |
| 172 Water \& Other Utilities | 174 | 27740.9 | 14278.4 | 0 |
| 173 Wholesale Trade | 175 | 6445086.0 | 4381030.0 | 138 |
| 174 Retail Trade | 176 | 1645202.8 | 1126137.9 | 78 |
| 175 Owner Occupied Dwellings | 177 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 176 Govt. Royalties on Nat.Re | 178 | 1039581.8 | 1039581.8 | 0 |
| 177 Banks \& Credit Unions | 179 | 744324.9 | 527484.3 | 22 |
| 178 Insurance | 180 | 463864.0 | 157818.6 | 8 |
| 179 Oth. Fin.,Ins. \& Real Est | 181 | 4284576.5 | 2484310.8 | 40 |
| 180 Education \& Related Servi | 182 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 181 Hospitals | 183 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 182 Health Services | 184 | 4881.7 | 3839.0 | 0 |
| 183 Motion Picture Theatres | 185 | 30077.6 | 11172.7 | 1 |
| 184 Other Recreational Servic | 186 | 16259.7 | 10208.2 | 0 |
| 185 Prof. Services to Busines | 187 | 498037.6 | 381298.2 | 23 |
| 186 Advertising Services | 188 | 82073.0 | 49099.1 | 3 |
| 187 Laundries \& Cleaners | 189 | 20666.5 | 13233.6 | 1 |
| 188 Accomodation \& Food Servi | 190 | 252924.8 | 139563.0 | 9 |
| 189 Other Personal Services | 191 | 2636.0 | 1796.6 | 0 |
| 190 Photography | 192 | 10027.2 | 4307.1 | 0 |
| 191 Misc. Repair \& Maintenanc | 193 | 143815.5 | 112042.3 | 9 |
| 192 Misc. Services to Bus. \& | 194 | 870351.6 | 610742.8 | 22 |
| 193 Operating Supplies | 195 | 3041828.5 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 194 Office Supplies | 196 | 374552.9 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 195 Cafeteria Equip. | 197 | 49839.9 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 196 Transportation Margins | 198 | 6771031.5 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 197 Laboratory Supplies | 199 | 56609.7 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 198 Travel \& Entertainment | 200 | 653153.8 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 199 Advertising \& Promotion | 201 | 563655.3 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 200 Machinery Repair Services | 202 | 1473539.3 | 0.0 | 0 |
| SUM |  | , 279,843.0 | , 428, 056.2 | 2831 |
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