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Abstract. We present new Stata commands for carrying out exact Wilcoxon one-sample and two-sample comparisons of the median. Nonparametric tests are often used in clinical trials, in which it is not uncommon to have small samples. In such situations, researchers are accustomed to making inferences by using exact statistics. The \texttt{ranksum} and \texttt{signrank} commands in Stata provide only asymptotic results, which assume normality. Because large-sample results are unacceptable in many clinical trials studies, these researchers must use other software packages. To address this, we have developed new commands for Stata that provide exact statistics in small samples. Additionally, when samples are large, we provide results based on the Student’s $t$ distribution that outperform those based on the normal distribution.
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1 Introduction

Many statistical analysis methods are derived after making an assumption about the underlying distribution of the data (for example, normality). However, one may also consider nonparametric methods from which to draw statistical inferences where no assumptions are made about an underlying population or distribution. For the nonparametric equivalents to the parametric one-sample and two-sample $t$ tests, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (one sample) is used to test the hypothesis that the median difference between the absolute values of positive and negative paired differences is 0. The Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney ranksum test is used to test the hypothesis of a zero-median difference between two independently sampled populations.
We present Stata commands to evaluate both of these nonparametric statistical tests. This article is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the test statistics. In section 3 Stata syntax is presented for the new commands, followed by examples in section 4. A final summary is presented in section 5.

2 Nonparametric Wilcoxon tests

2.1 Wilcoxon signed-rank test

Let \( X_i \) and \( Y_i \) be continuous paired random variables from data consisting of \( n \) observations, where observations are denoted as \( X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^T \) and \( Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)^T \).

For these paired bivariate data, \((x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)\), the differences are calculated as \( D_i = Y_i - X_i \). We omit consideration of the subset of observations for which the absolute difference is 0. From this one sample of \( n_r \leq n \) nonzero differences, ranks \((r_i)\) are applied to the absolute differences \(|D_i|\), where rank 1 is the smallest absolute difference and rank \( n_r \) is the largest absolute difference. Before assigning ranks, we omit absolute differences of 0, \( D_i = 0 \).

We then test the hypothesis that \( X_i \) and \( Y_i \) are distributed interchangeably by using the signed-rank test statistic,

\[
S = \sum_{i=1}^{n_r} r_i I(D_i > 0) - \frac{n_r(n_r + 1)}{4}
\]

where \( I(D_i > 0) \) is an indicator function that the \( i \)th difference is positive. Ranks of tied absolute differences are averaged for the relevant set of observations. The variance of \( S \) is given by

\[
V = \frac{1}{24} n_r(n_r + 1)(2n_r + 1) - \frac{1}{48} \sum_j t_j(t_j + 1)(t_j - 1)
\]

where \( t_j \) is the number of values tied in absolute value for the \( j \)th rank \([\text{Lehmann}\ 1975]\) out of the \( m \) unique assigned ranks; \( m = n_r \) and \( t_j = 1 \ \forall j \) if there are no ties. The significance of \( S \) is then computed one of two ways, contingent on sample size (\( n_r \)). If \( n_r > 25 \), the significance of \( S \) can be based on the normal approximation (as is done in Stata’s signrank command) or on Student’s \( t \) distribution,

\[
S \sqrt{\frac{n_r - 1}{n_r V - S^2}}
\]

with \( n_r - 1 \) degrees of freedom \([\text{Iman}\ 1974]\). When \( n_r \leq 25 \), the significance of \( S \) is computed from the exact distribution.

An algorithm for calculation of associated probabilities is the network algorithm of \text{Mehta and Patel} \(1986\). Many new improvements and modifications of that algorithm have been implemented in various applications to compute the exact \( p \)-value. Some include polynomial time algorithms for permutation distributions \([\text{Pagano and Trichler}\ 1986]\).

### 2.2 Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney ranksum test

Let $X$ be a binary variable (group 1 and group 2) and $Y_n$ be a continuous random variable from data consisting of $n$ observations where $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)^T$. Ranks are assigned to the data, 1 to $n$, smallest to largest, where tied ranks are given the average of the ranks. If $n > 25$, the (asymptotically normal) test statistic $Z$ is given by

$$Z = \frac{R_1 - n_1(n+1)/2}{\sqrt{n_1n_2V_R/n}}$$

where $R_1$ is the sum of the ranks from group 1, $n_1$ is the sample size of group 1, $n_2$ is the sample size of group 2, and $V_R$ is the variance of the ranks. In Stata, group 1 is lesser in numeric value than group 2. However, if $n \leq 25$, the normal approximation is not appropriate. In this situation, we calculate the exact test by using the approach outlined in the following section.

### 2.3 An exact method based on the characteristic function

Pagano and Tritchler (1983) present the basic methodology for computing distribution functions through Fourier analysis of the characteristic function. Superficially, this approach appears as complicated as the complete enumeration of results for the distributions of the Wilcoxon test statistics, but Fourier analysis via the FFT in the approach based on the characteristic function is calculated much faster.

Basically, if $X$ is a discrete random variable with a distribution function given by $P(X = x) = p_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, U$, then the complex valued characteristic function is given by

$$\phi(\theta) = \sum_{j=0}^{U} p_j \exp(ij\theta)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Because $X$ is defined on a finite integer lattice, the basic theorem in Fourier series is used to obtain the probabilities $p_j$. For any integer $Q > U$ and $j = 0, \ldots, U$,

$$p_j = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \phi\left(\frac{2\pi k}{Q}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi ijk}{Q}\right)$$

Thus knowing the characteristic function at $Q$ equidispersed points on the interval $[0, 2\pi)$ is equivalent to knowing it everywhere. Furthermore, the probabilities of the distribution are easily obtained from the characteristic function. We emphasize that the imaginary part of (1) is 0.
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To allow tied ranks in the commands, we multiply all ranks by \( L \) to ensure that the ranks and sums of ranks will be integers. This can be accomplished for our two statistics by setting \( L = 2 \). The ranges of the values of the two statistics are easily calculated so that we may choose \( Q \geq U \). Defining \( U \) as the largest possible value of our statistic (formed from the largest possible ranks), we can choose \( \log_2 Q = \text{ceiling} \{ \log_2 (U) \} \). We choose \( Q \) to be a power of 2 because of the requirements of the FFT algorithm in Stata (Fourier analysis is carried out by using the Mata \texttt{fft} command).

Using \( r_k \) to denote the rank of the \( k \)th observation, the characteristic function for the one-sample statistic \( S_1 \) is given by

\[
\phi_1(-2\pi ij/Q) = \left\{ \exp(-2\pi ij/Q) \prod_{k=1}^{N} \cos(-2\pi j L r_k / Q) \right\}
\]

while the characteristic function for \( S_2 \) is calculated by using the difference equation

\[
\phi_2(j,k) = \exp(-2\pi ij L r_k / Q) \phi_2(j-1,k-1) + \phi_2(j,k-1)
\]

3 Stata syntax

Software accompanying this article includes the command files as well as supporting files for dialogs and help. Equivalent to the \texttt{signrank} command, the basic syntax for the new Wilcoxon signed-rank test command is

\[
\texttt{signrankex varname [if] [in]}
\]

Equivalent to the \texttt{ranksum} command, the basic syntax for the new Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney ranksum test command is

\[
\texttt{ranksumex varname [if] [in], by(groupvar) [porder]}
\]

4 Example

In this section, we present real-world examples with the new nonparametric Wilcoxon test commands. In clinical trials, talinolol is used as a \( \beta \) blocker and is controlled by P-glycoprotein, which protects xenobiotic compounds. Eight healthy men between the ages of 22 and 26 were evaluated based on their serum-concentration time profiles of talinolol with kinetic profile differences. These differences were two enantiomers, S(–) talinolol and R(+) talinolol. The trial examined single intravenous (iv) and repeated oral talinolol profiles before and after rifampicin comedication. Area under the serum concentration time curves (AUC) was collected for each subject (see Zschiesche et al. [2002]). We compare AUC values of S(–) iv talinolol before and after comedication of rifampicin by using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The results are given below, where \( S \) is the Wilcoxon signed-rank test statistic.
The results show there was a statistically significant difference (p-value = 0.0078) between iv S(−) talinolol before and after comedication of rifampicin. There were greater S(−) talinolol AUC values shown before rifampicin administration than after.

For the Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney ranksum test example, we will use performance data (table 1) collected on rats’ rotarod endurance (in seconds) from two treatment groups. The rats were randomly selected to be in the control group (received saline solvent) or the treatment group (received centrally acting muscle relaxant) (Bergmann, Ludbrook, and Spooren [2000]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Rotarod endurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endurance time (sec)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results are given below.

```
use ranksum, clear
ranksumex edrce, by(trt)
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>obs</th>
<th>rank sum</th>
<th>expected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combined</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exact statistics
Ho: edrce(trt==0) = edrce(trt==1)
Prob <= 120 = 0.0186
Prob >= 180 = 0.0186
Two-sided p-value = 0.0373

The two-sided exact \( p \)-value of 0.0373 exhibits a statistically significant difference in average rotarod endurance between the groups of rats. We can also illustrate how to calculate this exact \( p \)-value manually by using the rat rotarod endurance data (table 1).

In Conover (1999), the Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney ranksum test exact \( p \)-value is illustrated in terms of combinations (arrangements) of ranks. In this example, the number of arrangements of 12 of the ranks in the table having a sum less than or equal to 120 is the number of arrangements of choosing all 5 of the ranks less than 15 and 7 of the 19 tied ranks of 15; this is given by \( \binom{5}{5} \binom{19}{7} \). The total number of ways to choose 12 of 24 ranks is given by \( \binom{24}{12} \). Thus the \( p \)-value is

\[
\frac{5! \cdot 19!}{7! \cdot 15!} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{24}{12}} = \frac{50,388}{2,704,156} = 0.0186
\]

where each of the new commands returns the \( p \)-value as well as the numerator and denominator of the exact fraction (see the return values in the previous example).

## 5 Summary
In this article, we introduced two supporting Stata commands for the exact nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test and the Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney ranksum test. These one-sample and two-sample test statistics can be used to assess the difference in location (median difference) for small samples (exact distribution) and larger samples (Student’s \( t \) distribution).
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