



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

# **The Impact of Fiscal Subsidy on China's New Rural Pension System**

Benxi Lin<sup>a</sup>, Yu Yvette Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Zongjian Lin<sup>a</sup>, Yongli Wang<sup>c</sup>, Weiping Liu<sup>a</sup>

- a. School of Economics, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, P. R. China
- b. Department of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M University, USA
- c. General Office, the People's Government of Fujian Province, P. R. China

*Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2016 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting, Boston, Massachusetts, July 31-August 2*

Copyright 2016 by [Lin, Zhang, Lin, Wang & Liu]. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

## **The Impact of Fiscal Subsidy on China's New Rural Pension System**

**Abstract:** This paper studied the impact of fiscal subsidies on the participation rate and contributions of the rural residents in the China's New Rural Pension Scheme (NRPS) program, where the fiscal subsidies include the incentive pension and the matching subsidy. The results showed that incentive Pension can significantly improve the rural residents' participation rates, but participation rate of young residents are less than the older residents. We also showed that matching subsidy does not affect the rural residents' participation significantly. Our results suggest that the current fiscal subsidies play an important role in the establishment and expansion of the NRPS program, but have not increased the participation rate of younger people, which was one of the initial goals of NRPS.

**Keywords:** Pension System, Rural Economy, Fiscal Subsidy, China

**JEL Codes:** O1, O2, I3, I38, H3

### **Introduction**

The China's New Rural Pension Scheme (NRPS) has rapidly expanded since its first implementation in 2009, and has covered all counties of China since 2012<sup>1</sup>. The number of enrollees in NRPS reached 497.50 million. NRPS has unarguably been one of the world's most ambitious voluntary pension saving and minimum elderly assistance schemes in a low- or middle-income country (Mark C. Dorfman et al. 2013). However, despite of the government's effort in expanding the NRPS system, rural residents' lack of initiative to participate in the scheme has plagued the pension department. Studies have found that rural residents, especially young people, do not have much incentive to participate, and most who do participate choose the lowest amount of contribution of ¥100 (¥=CNY; 1 CNY = 0.15USD) per years (Feng, 2010; Feng & Dong, 2010; Zhang, C., 2010; Zhang J., 2010). A research by Lin and Wang (2012) had demonstrated there were around 49.15% of the rural contributor were reluctantly to contribute, which may indicate the inefficiency of the system

---

<sup>1</sup> The original plan of NRPS was to cover all rural counties by the end of 2020. In June 2011, the Chinese authorities expanded the Scheme to urban residents .

(Robert et al., 2009). If this situation does not improve, the NRPS system will fail to become a real social security system and the Chinese government will not be able to afford a welfare pension insurance system. Therefore, how to encourage the participation of the rural residents has become one of the main challenges to sustainability of the NRPS system (Lin, 2009; Deng & Xue, 2010). The Chinese NRPS system generally belongs to matching defined contribution (MDC) system. According to nationwide framework of the NRPS, the pension benefits given to people over age of 60 contain two components: the basic pension benefit and individual account pension benefit. In order to encourage young residents (age under 45) to participate the Scheme, many counties promised to give those who contribute for more than 15 years the “Incentive Pension”.

This paper studied the impact of fiscal subsidies on the participation rate and contributions of the rural residents in the China’s New Rural Pension Scheme (NRPS) program in Fujian Province. The results of this study showed that the regional incentive mechanism achieved considerable effect in increasing the participation rate in the NRPS, however it does not solve the low contribution problems.

## **Data and Methodology**

The data set used in this study includes regional statistical data of 64 counties in Fujian Province of China from 2011 to 2013. Fujian Province is among one of the NRPS pilot provinces and is one of the most successful ones with a participation rate of 93.26% (14.67 million people) by the end of 2013. Data from the social security sector of Fujian show that the participation rate of people under the age of 30, 30 to 44 and 45 to 59 years old are 83.66%, 87.81% and 91.35% respectively in 2013.

The Incentive Pension contribution is extra 1% of base pension for each additional contribution year beyond 15 years. To encourage higher individual contributions, in 2010 Fujian province applied additional¥5 matching subsidy for each extra 100 contributions with the matching subsidy ceiling of¥50, ¥75, ¥85, ¥100, and even to¥125 in many counties. Fujian Province has become one of the most successful provinces in the NRPS system, with the participation rates of 83.66%,

87.81% and 91.35% for people under the age of 30, 30 to 44 and 45 to 59 years old respectively in 2013. However, the average contribution amount remains low with only ¥141.59 per year. Therefore, a question arises: does the government subsidy really improve the participation rates and contribution amounts? County-level data were used to study the impact of fiscal subsidies on the participation rate and contributions by the proportion analysis and difference-in-difference estimation.

Model 1 studies the effect of Incentive Pension on the participation rates of the rural residents in NRPS and takes the following function form:

$$R_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Incentive\_pension_{it} + \gamma X + \varepsilon_{it},$$

where  $R_{it}$  is the participation rate. The Key variable *Incentive\_pension* represents Incentive Pension. Variable *X* represents other policy variables and the economic and social variables. It contains basic regional annuities level, the upper limit of highest contribution, the contribution subsidies for village cadres, the rural residents' income level, urbanization rate, the first and the batch of NRPS pilot areas. Table 1 summarizes the variables used in model 1.

Model 2 estimates the effect of match subsidy on the contribution level of the rural residents. Functional form is as follows:

$$Contribution_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Max\_match_{it} + \rho Y + u_{it},$$

Where  $Contribution_{it}$  is regional average contribution level. The key independent variable  $Max\_match_{it}$  is the upper limit of highest match subsidies. Variable *Y* represents other policy variables and the economic and social variables. It contains basic regional annuities level, the upper limit of highest contribution, contribution subsidies for village cadres, the rural residents' income level, and the male population proportion and urbanization rate, the first and second batch of NRPS pilot areas. Table 2 summarizes the variables used in model 2.

Table 1 Variable definitions and descriptive statistics of model 1

Variable	Variable definitions	Mean	SD	Min	Max
R	Participation rate	0.8312	0.1369584	0.099	0.9916
Key variables: Incentive_P	Incentive Pension (Yuan)	0.323	0.474	0	2
Age1	If age<30, Age1=1; if not, Age1=0	0.333	0.472	0	1

Age2	If age>30 and <45, Age2=1; if not, Age2=0	0.333	0.472	0	1
Incen_age1	Interaction of Incentive Pension and age1	0.108	0.313	0	2
Incen_age2	Interaction of Incentive Pension and age2	0.108	0.313	0	2
Basic_P	Fundamental annuities which is gotten by 60 years old people	59.410	9.777	55	110
Subsidies_C	If subsidies for village cadres, Subsidies_c=1; if not, Subsidies_c=0	0.174	0.380	0	1
Max_fee	The highest level of contribution	2148.72	795.17	1200	4000
Income	Residents' per capita income(yuan)	8957.46	1941.00	4887	16043
Urbanization	Urbanization rate ( % )	50.52	16.60	24.04	98.5
Pilot1	If the area is the first batch of NRPS pilot areas, Pilot1=1; if not, Pilot1=0	0.108	0.311	0	1
Pilot2	If the area is the second batch of NRPS pilot areas, Pilot2=1; if not, Pilot2=0	0.246	0.432	0	1

**Table 2 Variable Definitions and Descriptive Statistics of Model 2**

Variable	Variable definitions	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Dependent variables : Contribution	The average contribution of an area (Yuan)	130.421	31.959	100	310.87
Key variable : Max_match	The upper limit of highest contribution subsidies	58.359	17.915	50	125
	Basic_P	59.410	9.777	55	110
	Max_fee	2148.718	795.171	1200	4000
	Subsidies_C	0.174	0.380	0	1
Control Variable	Income	8957.459	1940.998	4887	16043
	Eld_ratio	40.712	6.876	25.61	63.61
	Male_ratio	51.62	0.95	49.57	53.56
	Urbanization	50.52	16.63	24.04	98.5
	Pilot1	0.108	0.311	0	1
	Pilot2	0.246	0.432	0	1

## Results

The results showed that the incentive pension significantly increased the participation

rates (Table 1), however matching subsidies have no significant effect to rural residents' contribution level (Table 2). One possible explanation is that the current matching subsidies is still too low to encourage higher contribution, with the rapid economic growth in China and Fujian being one of the most wealthy provinces in China. The highest matching ceiling among all counties is only ¥125 per year, while the average annual income in Fujian Province is ¥31,020. We further calculated rural resident's personal accounts' return on investment and found that their return for the investment is negatively correlated to the contribution level.

Table 3 The empirical result of model 1

	OLS		Betafit		
	Coef.	P>t	Coef.	P>z	MarginalEffects
Incentive_P	0.0456**(0.0191)	0.017	0.234*(0.121)	0.052	0.033**(0.0162)
Age1	-0.0714*** (0.0151)	0.000	-0.459*** (0.0848)	0.000	
Age2	-0.0184(0.0151)	0.224	-0.109(0.0878)	0.216	
Incen_age1	-0.0262(0.0266)	0.324	-0.208(0.156)	0.182	-0.0293(0.0223)
Incen_age2	-0.0145(0.0266)	0.586	-0.141(0.163)	0.385	-0.0199(0.0231)
Basic_P	0.00111*(0.000578)	0.056	0.0109*** (0.00391)	0.005	0.0015*** (3.1e-04)
Subsidies_C	0.00246(0.0147)	0.868	-0.0267(0.0850)	0.753	
Max_fee	-7.71e-06(6.91e-06)	0.265	-2.34e-05(3.82e-05)	0.540	-3.3e-06(5.6e-06)
Income	1.79e-05*** (3.23e-06)	0.000	0.000111*** (1.95e-05)	0.000	1.6e-05*** (9.4e-07)
Urbanization	0.000960** (0.000380)	0.012	0.00760*** (0.00225)	0.001	0.0011*** (2.4e-04)
Pilot1	0.00379(0.0176)	0.830	-0.0331(0.104)	0.751	
Pilot2	-0.00345(0.0125)	0.782	-0.0472(0.0702)	0.501	
Constant	0.593*** (0.0399)	0.000	-0.214(0.260)	0.411	
Observations	576		576		

Parameter estimated errors in parentheses; \*significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

Table 4 The empirical result of model 2

	OLS	BE	RE
Max_match	0.0608(0.117)	0.0689(0.225)	0.0182(0.0813)
Basic_P	-0.555*** (0.196)	-0.628(0.378)	-0.145(0.106)
Max_fee	-0.00589*** (0.00221)	-0.00629(0.00400)	-0.00183(0.00259)
Subsidies_C	1.697(4.473)	1.738(8.983)	0.924(2.108)
Income	-0.000287(0.00109)	6.60e-05(0.00270)	-9.43e-05(0.000453)
Eld_rate	1.051*** (0.282)	1.125** (0.532)	0.401* (0.234)
Male_ratio	-4.811*** (1.048)	-4.333** (1.993)	-8.081*** (1.408)
Urbanization	1.039*** (0.120)	1.101*** (0.233)	0.236*** (0.0826)

Pilot1	-13.94**(5.453)	-14.30(9.855)	-9.045(9.659)
Pilot2	-2.749(3.835)	-2.706(6.900)	-1.505(6.970)
Constant	325.2*** (59.65)	296.5** (117.2)	524.7*** (73.19)
Observations	192	192	192
R-squared	0.562	0.584	

Note: Parameter estimated errors in parentheses; \*significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

## Concluding Remarks

The results of this study showed that the regional incentive mechanism achieved considerable effect in increasing the participation rate in the NRPS, however it does not solve the low contribution problems. One possible explanation is that the current matching subsidies is too low to encourage higher contribution, with the rapid economic growth in China and Fujian Province being one of the most wealthy provinces in China. The highest matching ceiling of NRPS among all counties is only ¥125 per year, while the average annual income in Fujian Province is ¥31,020, which did not create **enough** incentive for rural residents to participate. Further study will include pilot experiments in some counties of Fujian with significantly higher subsidies. The results of this research will provide insights for policy-making in the NRPS of China and other developing countries as well. This study will enrich the theoretical and empirical researches on participation behavior in the pension schemes and its incentive policy design for the international academic community.

## References

- Deng Dasong, Xue Huiyuan. 2010. The study of difficulty in new agricultural insurance and analysis of individual, collective and government's ability to rise funds, Economic system reform, Vol. 1.
- Feng Jin. 2010. The new agricultural insurance system: policy design and implementation effect, World economic situation, Vol. 8.
- Feng Tieying, Dong Xuan. 2010. The study of the new agricultural insurance demand and its influencing factors under the background of lack of labor force, Northwest population, Vol. 6.
- Zhang Zhaohua, 2010, The study of wishes of farmers to participate in the new agricultural insurance and its influencing factors based on the doumen, maiming and maonan survey in Guangdong Zhuhai, Agricultural technology economy, Vol.6.
- Lin Benxi, Wang Yongli. 2012. The study of wishes of rural residents to participate in the new agricultural insurance and behavioral differences: take Fujian province as an example, Finance and economic, Vol.7.



Robert Holzmann, Richard Hinz, and David Tuesta. 2013. “Early Lessons from Country Experience with Matching Contribution Schemes”, International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, 3–25. Washington, DC: World Bank.