

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.





Adoption potential of two wheel tractor drill technology in the lowland rice growing areas of Cambodia – An economic analysis

Rajinder P Singh¹, Jack Desbiolles², Seng Vang³, Som Bunna³, Roat Men³, Chea sovandina⁴, Chuong Sophal⁵, Bob Martin⁶ and Neil Coombes¹

¹NSW Department of Primary Industries, Wagga Wagga, NSW
²University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes, SA
³Cambodian Agri. Research & Development Institute, Phnom Per
⁴General Directorate of Agriculture, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
⁵Royal University of Agriculture, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
⁶ASR Cambodia, Battambang province, Cambodia



Contributed paper, 60th AARES Annual Conf., Canberra, ACT, 2-5 February 2016

Cambodia: Rice production

- = over 80% of cropping area and 50% of agriculture sector output.
- 75% is from rain-fed systems, grown in the monsoon season.
- Potential to grow 3 rice crops annually Early wet season (EWS), Main wet season (MWS) and Dry Season (DS)

Key constraints

Small fragmented farm sizes and no land titles

60% <1 ha, 80% fragmented into 2 or more parcels

Low income and capacity to invest

50-60% farmers earn <\$100 per year

No easy access to loans, huge borrowing costs

Only 25% borrow cash, paying on average 3% monthly interest

Lack of knowledge, skills and extension support

- 30-40% apply chemicals but under mostly informal private sector advice

Big gaps between crop yields in research trials and farmer fields

Essential to optimise input use and reduce yield gaps

Rice crop establishment trends

Current trends:


- Rapid adoption of 2 wheel tractors (2WT)
- Shift from transplanting to direct seeding (broadcasting), requiring low labour and achieving fast crop establishment


Seed broadcasting limitations include:

- high seed rate
- high loss to predation
- non-uniform crop establishment
- high weed burden

Seed drill technology

Opportunities investigated for mechanising dry direct seeding of rice with seed drill operated by a 2WT





Rice establishment practices

Benefits include: labour and seed savings, easier weeding and greater yield potential

Objectives

- 1. Estimate the net \$ benefits of adoption of seed drill technology
- 2. Assess the true ownership costs and quantify realistic contract rates
- 3. Identify the key issues limiting adoption of seed drill technology
- 4. Identify key recommendations for drill adoption and maximise its economic benefits for an inclusive farm sector growth



Example 2WT operated seed drills

(inclusion)

ACIAR PROTECT CSE2009-037

Methodology

Using a partial budgeting approach, economic analysis was undertaken, within a benefit cost framework and using @Risk software to measure the present value of benefits, costs and net benefits from the on-farm adoption of the technology.

Criteria: Net Present Value (*NPV*) of benefits

Financial benefits include:

- Benefits to the owner-operator (= 'Full adopters')
- Benefits to farmers using technology by contract hiring (= 'Partial adopters')

Key considerations:

- Accounting period of 20 years
- Discount rate = 24%
- Contract hiring rate = US\$33 / ha
- Cost of machine US\$650

Sources of data:

- Research and farmers field trials; farmer survey (n=600); Farmer Focus Groups; farmer/contractor interviews and expert opinions

4 selected scenarios of seed drill usage and impact

EWS: Early Wet Season ; DS: Dry Season ; MWS: Main Wet Season

Scenario 1: Upper baseline scenario (high demand for contract servicing)

The farmer direct drills rice in both EWS and DS on his 2 ha farm, as well as 0.5ha in MWS only (= an average 25% proportion due to monsoon rain limitations). Additionally, the farmer contract services over 10 ha each in EWS and DS, and 25% pro-rata in MWS.

NB: drilled rice grain yield = farmer practice grain yield (e.g. Likely transition phase)

Scenario 2: As per scenario 1, but: >> drilled rice yield = 1.1 x farmer practice grain yield <<

Scenario 3: Lower baseline scenario (low/no demand for contract servicing)


The farmer direct drills rice on his own 2 ha farm only, and the machine is not used for contract work: >> drilled rice grain yield = farmer practice grain yield <<

Scenario 4: As per Scenario 3, but

>> drilled rice yield = 1.1 x farmer practice grain yield <<

True costs of drill seeding

- Calculated cost of drill seeding where a farmer-owner is working as a part-time contractor (10 ha in EWS and DS, and 25% in MWS)



- The current custom hiring rates are:

9% higher than the overhead costs

27% lower than the true total cost and

61% lower than the preferred custom hiring rates (built on its true costs+20% profit)


- Breakeven area (ha/annum) – based on the current custom hiring rate	190 ha
- Breakeven area based on the preferred custom hiring rate	27 ha

	Economics of drill seeding						
20 year accounting period	0	Scenario 1	<u>Scenario 2</u>	<u>Scenario 3</u>	<u>Scenario 4</u>		
Custom hiring \$33/	<u>'ha</u>	(= yield)	(+10% yield)	(= yield)	(+10% yield)		
		<u>NPV of benefits (US\$)</u>					
Full adopters		-\$702	\$777	-\$774	\$987		
Partial adopters		\$1,727	\$9,475	-	-		
Total benefits		\$1,025	\$10,252	-\$774	\$987		
Custom hiring \$54/	<u>'ha</u>						
Full adopters		\$1,240	\$2,718	-\$774	\$987		
Partial adopters		-\$215	\$7,533	-	-		
Total benefits		\$1,025	\$10,252	-\$774	\$\$897		

Probability distribution of risk parameters

		Parameters of distributions			
Uncertain inputs		Distribution	Parameter 1	Parameter 2	Parameter 3
Cost of machine (\$,US)	\$650	Pert	\$600	\$650	\$750
Contract rate (\$/ha)	\$33	Triang	\$28	\$33	\$38
Discount rate (% / annum)	24%	Pert	18%	24%	36%
Value of labour saved (\$/day)	\$5	Pert	\$0	\$5	\$8

Scenario 1 (S1) Machinery used on own-farm Machinery contract hired No yield improvement



Conclusions

• Financial benefits from adoption of drill seeding can be quite high but only where farmers are able to achieve higher grain yields.

- Field evidence to date points to the possibility of achieving 10% yield increase, in particular with adequate training, extension support and operational care.

- Contract hiring at current rate is not profitable to a **full adopter**
 - It covers operating cost but only a minor proportion of overheads
- Contract hiring at current rate leads to significant financial benefits to a partial adopter.
 - A higher custom rate would need to rely on a consistent yield gain to be attractive
- A custom hiring rate based on the true technology ownership costs with some targeted assistance to partial adopters would;
 - make it more attractive for seed drill owners to work as part-time contractors
 - enable small farmers to take advantage of the technology
 - be more likely to lead to widespread adoption and inclusive economic growth

Conclusions

...(2/2)

INDUSTRY savings from technology adoption: A simple analysis

E.g. Net area sown to rice: 100,000 ha (= 3.3% of rice cropping area in Cambodia)

	Lower baseline scenario (No contract servicing)	VS	<i>Upper baseline scenario</i> (10 Ha contract servicing)		
No of drills required Capital cost (\$650/drill):	50,000 \$32.5 M		8,333 \$5.4 M		
Return on investment for industry (best case: 10% yield gain) US\$775 / drill US\$10,252 / drill					
20 year benefits:	\$38.8 M		\$85.4 M		
Net benefit to industry:	\$6.3 M		\$80.0 M		

- Access to mechanisation via contract servicing provides a very significant net benefit to industry.
- Much easier to provide mechanical, R&M, extension and policy support for its successful adoption
- Financial incentives targeted to support partial adopters would allow a greater contract rate to be implemented, making drill ownership more attractive to would be contractors
- Balancing the economic profitability between full and partial adopters seems key to promoting an inclusive rice industry development in Cambodia.

