

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search. 

## Help ensure our sustainability. Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from AgEcon Search may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.


# PROJECTIONS OF CERTAIN FISHERY PRODUCTS OF COMMERCIAL IMPORTANCE IN LOUISIANA 

By

Darrel A. Nash

Working Paper No 47

April, 1970

# Projections of Certain Fishery Products of Commercial Importance in Louisiana 

ABSTRACT

Demand for fish products in the U.S. is steadily increasing as population and consumer income expands. Further consumption increases are projected resulting from these same forces. This is particularly true of the highly valued fish and shellfish as -well as for fish meal, in which case the demand is derived from the expansion in broiler production. Fish products landed in Louisiana, that is shrimp, crabs, oysters, and menhaden, will make contributions to this national market and it is therefore important to maintain these fisheries. Other finfish species landed in Louisiana will experience increasing demand if they are offered to the consumer in the highly processed forms similar to the fish products now experiencing significant demand increases.

Projections of Certain Fishery Products of Commercial Importance in Louisiana

## by

Darrel A. Nash

The Division of Economic Research, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, is nearing completion of a study to project prices and consumption of fishery products for the remainder of the century. This has been done for the ten most important product classes used in the U.S. by volume and value with the exception of menhaden (fish meal). This study forms the basis of the projections of fishery products of interest to Louisiana.

The procedure of the projection study was to determine the factors affecting the demand for fish products in the U.S. and in the other major fish consuming countries. In addition, the supply of fish products likely to be available was taken into account by restricting world catch at no greater than maximum sustainable yield and by accounting for the increase in cost of catching as MSY is approached.

Projected U.S. prices and consumption is determined by how strong the factors affecting consumption (population and income increase) are in the U.S. relative to other countries.

Demand equations for three products of interest here have been derived as follows:

Shrimp

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\left(-4.8075-\underset{(-12.0400)}{(-2.7001)} \log \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{CPI}}\right)+\underset{(11.5558)}{+1.6999} \log \left(\frac{\mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{CPI}}\right)\right. \\
& \text { DaW. }=0.8007 R^{2}=0.9069
\end{aligned}
$$

Crab

$$
\log \frac{C^{*}}{N}=-5.9941-0.1487 \log \left(\frac{P}{C P I}\right)+1.8789 \log \left(\frac{Y}{C P I}\right)
$$

Oysters

$$
\log \frac{C_{N}^{*}}{N}=0.9639-0.6729 \log \left(\frac{P}{C P I}\right)+0 \log \left(\frac{Y}{C P I}\right)
$$

where: log = "logarithm of"
$\mathrm{C}=$ consumption in pounds live weight
$N=$ the U.S. civilian population
$P=$ ex vessel price in live weight
$\mathrm{Y}=$ per capita personal disposable income in the U.S. :
CPI = the U.S. consumer price index included for the purpose of taking into account inflation
D.W. = Durbin-Watson statistic
$R^{2}=$ coefficient of determination
The values in parentheses (t-values) under the shrimp equation measure the confidence in the estimate.
D.W., $R^{2}$, and t-value are used to measure the statistical acceptability of the estimates.

* Statistical estimates were adjusted to make the equation closer to historical trends.

The data used to obtain these estimating equations are as follows:

|  | Shrimp |  | Crabs |  | Oysters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Per capita consumption 1b. | Ex vessel price $\$ / \mathrm{lb}$. | Per capita consumption lb. | Ex vessel price \$/1b. | Per capita consumption 1b. | Ex vessel price \$/ıb. | Per capita personal disposable income \$ |
| 1950 | 1.469 | 27.06 | 0.720 | 6.92 | 4.267 | 5.44 | 1,628 |
| 1951 | 1.714 | 25.52 | 0.792 | 7.29 | 4.038 | 5.19 | 1,622 |
| 1952 | 1.816 | 26.22 | 0.729 | 7.28 | 4.437 | 5.00 | 1,647 |
| 1953 | 1.816 | 31.56 | 0.806 | 7.59 | 4.242 | 4.60 | 1,697 |
| 1954 | 1.856 | 24.21 | 0.765 | 7.38 | 4.318 | 5.04 | 1,693 |
| 1955 | 1.938 | 27.17 | 0.770 | 7.97 | 4.020 | 4.95 | 1,786 |
| 1956 | 1.836 | 33.82 | 0.824 | 8.63 | 3.842 | 5.17 | 1,847 |
| 1957 | 1.652 | 36.60 | 0.963 | 7.29 | 3.630 | 4.93 | 1,838 |
| 1958 | 1.775 | 33.86 | 0.927 | 7.39 | 3.442 | 5.35 | 1,818 |
| 1959 | 2.142 | 23.83 | 0.986 | 8.35 | 3.332 | 5.28 | 1,877 |
| 1960 | 2.224 | 26.02 | 1.076 | $7 \cdot 47$ | 3.117 | 5.56 | 1,879 |
| 1961 | 2.142 | 28.38 | 1.094 | 7.18 | 3.204 | 6.01 | 1,903 |
| 1962 | 2.123 | 36.34 | 1.071 | 7.57 | 2.882 | 5.80 | 1,958 |
| 1963 | 2.366 | 27.29 | 1.143 | 7.93 | 2.984 | 5.12 | 2,002 |
| 1964 | 2.407 | 30.75 | 1.170 | 8.14 | 3.043 | 5.02 | 2,109 |
| 1965 | 2.591 | 30.78 | 1.485 | 8.36 | 2.814 | 5.49 | 2,213 |
| 1966 | 2.550 | 35.57 | 1.629 | 7.84 | 2.678 | 5.55 | 2,297 |
| 1967 | 2.754 | 32.56 | 1.485 | 7.37 | 3.272 | 5.54 | 2,359 |
| tor edibl | e wt. |  | (4.5) |  | (8.5) |  | - |

All dollar figures are divided by the consumer price index, 1957-59 $=1.0$

The equations were then used to make the demand projections. U.S. population and income projections were "plugged into" the equations to obtain the projections of demand for fish. The following shows the population and income projections used:

|  | U.S. population <br> projections1/ | Projection of U.S. personal <br> per capita disposable income2/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 206.0 | 2,642 |
| 1975 | 219.4 | 3,036 |
| 1980 | 235.2 | 3,555 |
| 1985 | 252.9 | 4,049 |
| 1990 | 270.8 | 4,574 |
| 2000 | 307.8 | 6,091 |

1/ Series C, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census
2/ National Planning Association Center for Economic Projections, with extrapolation for later years.

Findily, the projections for these three product groups are as follows:
U.S. price and quantity projections for shrimp, orabs, and oysters, 1970-2000 (Iive weight)

| Year | Shrimp |  | Crabs |  | Oysters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ex vessel price \$/1b. | Billion pounds | Ex vessel <br> price <br> \$/2b. | Billion <br> pounds | Ex vessel price $\$ / \beth b$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Billion } \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1970 | . 45 | . 686 | . 10 | .413 | .07 | . 60 |
| 1975 | . 48 | . 860 | . 12 | . 518 | . 07 | . 639 |
| 1980 | . 52 | 1.050 | . 16 | . 620 | .07 | . 684 |
| 1985 | .65 | 1.145 | .21 | .672 ${ }^{1 /}$ | .07 | . 736 |
| 1990 | . 77 | 1.207 | . 47 | .694 ${ }^{1 /}$ | . 07 | - 788 |
| 2000 | 1.03 | 1.325 | 2/ | 2/ | . 07 | . 896 |

1/ Assuming a managment program to prevent oatch from exceeding world Mgy

2/ Not entimatad
The projections are made on the basis of ourrent conditions, among whioh are (i) no aignifioant ohanges in harvesting techniques; (2) no sign血軽eant ohanges in aquaculture; except in the oase of oysters where it is assumed that man oan expand the production of oyrbers by cuiturai means at a rate equal to inereases in demand so that pricees de not risel (3) no gignificant changes in the environment, that is, poilution ete.3 and (4) no signifieant ohanges in the legai-poit tieai framework in whioh fishing operations are oarriod out except as noted in the case of crabs. Obviously, changes in any of these assumptions will alter the actual consumption and prices.

Projections were made; in the context of product groups which may not be sufficiently detailed in all cases. Shrimp and oysters from all sources are probably similar enough to use in determining the part Louisiana can play in providing future supplies. Crabs, on the other hand, are a composite of blue crabs and other crabs, primarily the eastern Pacific species of dungeness and king crab. Therefore, the overall category is probably not sufficient to project blue crabs alone. Nevertheless, there is a rather definite means of projecting catch of blue crabs. This is from the standpoint of restrictions on the resource. According to Longhurst ${ }^{\text {I/ }}$ the maximum sustainable yield of blue crabs in the West-Central Atlantic (including the Gulf of Mexico) is 76.0 thousand metric tons, whereas current landings are 73.0 thousand metric tons. Therefore, given the assumptions above, catch cannot increase. Price however is projected to increase at the rate shown in the table on projections. It is important to emphasize that the restriction on catch is not a demand factor, but rather a resource restriction. There is no question that consumption would be much higher if the resource permitted.

The other products of interest have less analytical foundation than the foregoing. However, we have a fairly firm basis for

[^0]projecting the utilization of menhaden, if the resource is available. The procedure is to forecast use of fish meal which in turn can be used to estimate the demand for the raw material (menhaden).

The following demand equation was fit:

$$
\mathrm{C}=\underset{(1.42)}{-377}-\underset{(1.64)}{3.460} \mathrm{P}+\underset{(3.90)}{10.809} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}+\underset{(9.44)}{.196 \mathrm{~F}}
$$

$$
\text { D.W. }=1.76 R^{2}=.89
$$

where: $\quad C=$ the utilization of fish meal in millions of pounds
$P=$ the price of fish meal in dollars per ton
$P_{S}=$ the price of soybean meal in dollars per ton
$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}= \text { the per capita consumption of chicken, million pounds } \\ & \text { ready-to-cook weight }\end{aligned}$

The data used to estimate this equation are as follows:

| Year | Fish meal used in the U.S. mil. lb. | Soybean meal price in the U.S. $\$ / T$ | Fish meal price in the U.S. $\$ / T$ | Consumption of chicken mil. lb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 607.6 | 74.60 | 124 | 3,097 |
| 1951 | 676.5 | 76.90 | 120 | 3,275 |
| 1952 | 849.9 | 96.25 | 124 | 3,384 |
| 1953 | 740.6 | 80.05 | 124 | 3,424 |
| 1954 | 805.5 | 89.80 | 130 | 3,629 |
| 1955 | 725.0 | 71.50 | 133 | 3,456 |
| 1956 | 772.4 | 63.50 | 130 | 4,039 |
| 1957 | 690.6 | 58.85 | 126 | 4,296 |
| 1958 | 697.0 | 64.90 | 131 | 4,827 |
| 1959 | 879.0 | 65.00 | 118 | 5,038 |
| 1960 | 843.4 | 64.55 | 88 | 4,983 |
| 1961 | 1,058.2 | 68.80 | 104 | 5,431 |
| 1962 | 1,129.1 | 72.00 | 118 | 5,501 |
| 1963 | 1,264.6 | 79.70 | 121 | 5,727 |
| 1964 | 1,349.8 | 79.70 | 125 | 5,879 |
| 1965 | 1,049.4 | 79.10 | 147 | 6,394 |
| 1966 | 1,343.4 | 90.30 | 152 | 6,985 |
| 1967 | 1,725.4 | 87.65 | 128 | 7,258 ${ }^{1}$ |

For making the projections, price of fish meal and soybean meal was held constant; fish meal at $\$ 130$ per ton and soybeans at $\$ 69$ per ton. Therefore future increases are expected to result from increasing use of chicken.

The projections of chicken consumption over the interval is as follows:

1970
Chicken consumption mil. lb. ready-to-cook

1975 8,291

1980
9,741

1985
11,407
13,277

Given this expansion in the consumption of chicken, the above equation gives us the following projections of fish meal use.

## Projected fish meal use in the U.S. million lbs.

1970
1975
1980
1985
These project the use of fish meal. To obtain an estimate of utilization of live weight of fish the figures are multiplied by 5, or perhaps a slightly greater figure. The probable outcome of the use of menhaden will be similar to crabs, in that there
will be a supply restriction, rather than a lack of market for the product. We can conclude that with the growth in demand for fish meal as much menhaden will be used as is available.

There remains the problem of projecting other species of interest to the Louisiana coastal zone. These species are croaker, redfish or red drum, spotted and white sea trout, spot, catfish, and bullheads. Unfortunately there is little in the way of analytical background to project the consumption of these species. The best that can be done is to estimate that the demand will depend primarily upon the product form and upon market expansion activities. Tremendous changes have taken place in the preservation and processed form of fishery products over time. .Those products that appeal to modern consumer preferences have experienced considerable growth, while those that do not appeal to this demand have remained constant or declined. Farm catfish producers are recognizing the importance of these marketing aspects.

Currently the best suggestion for projecting these low volume species is to study the trends of other fish products by product and preservation form. This is contained in "Elements Crucial to the Future of Alaskan Commercial Fisheries, " Working Paper No. 24, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Division of Economic Research, August 1969. Section 3, beginning on page 19 shows these data. This section is reproduced here for use in projecting these species.

Section 3 of Working Paper No. 24, Mements Crucial to the Future of Alaskan Commercial Fisheries
3. Trends in preservation and product forms

Tremendous changes have taken place in fish processing and preservation. These changes are not so much related to species as to changes in marketing by types of products, therefore, trends in the type of processing and preservation regardless of species, seems to be more important. Of course, choice of preservation and processing is not independent of species. What this means is that expansion in production will find a wider market if species which can be subjected to the more popular market forms are fished.

The growth category of frozen fish and shellfish is phenomenal especially since most or all of the increase in "fresh and frozen unspecified" can be also allocated to frozen (see tables 5 and 6). For information on processing and preservation by species see Fishery Statistics of the United States, U. S. Department of the Interior. Of the major canned fish and shellfish, only tuna shows an expanding demand. The manufacturers' value per pound of frozen fish products has also expanded somewhat more rapidly than canned, the former increasing from $\$ 0.22$ to $\$ 0.54$ per pound from 1946 to 1966, while canned increased from $\$ 0.33$ to $\$ 0.61$ during this period. These factors point clearly toward a shift in market preference from one form to the other.

Tatio 5. Fish and shellfish by method of preservation, U. S. manufacturing (In trouisands of pounds).

"able 6 . Fish ar.d shellfish by method of preservation, U. S. manufacturing (Ir. thousends of dollars)

| Year | Csmned | Cured | Freshl/ | Frozen | Fresh and <br> Frozen <br> Unspec. | Unprocessed | I'otai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1931 | \%2,656 | 12,364 | 20,051 | 2,043 | 982 |  |  |
| 1934 | 79,069 | 13,047 | 16,591 | 3,263 | 824 |  |  |
| 1937 | 104,249 | 15,635 | 20,839 | 5,786 | 1,053 |  |  |
| 2940 | 92,192 | 14,234 | 21,996 | 9,899 | 852 |  |  |
| 1943 | 141,084 | 14,110 | 35,419 | 20,779 | 423 |  |  |
| 194:6 | 227,629 | 15,077 | 31,540 | 29,843 | 1,577 |  |  |
| 1949 | 286,840 | 1,6612 | 17,330 | 30,967 | 787 |  |  |
| 1952 | 290,161 | 26,717, | 21,940 | 89,575 | 51,090 |  |  |
| 1955 | 274,967 | 37,684 | 17,676 | 96,607 | 116,336 |  |  |
| 1958 | 344,737 | 41,657 | 21,221 | 129,729 | 131,903 |  |  |
| 1961 | 382,809 | 52,396 | 22,908 | 157,145 | 140,901 |  |  |
| 1964 | 391,026 | 47,783 | 31,664 | 178,679 | 192,338 |  |  |
| 1906 | 507,841 | 52,499 | 35,120 | 256,205 | 247,463 |  |  |
| 2907 | 455,240 | NA | - NA | NA | NA |  |  |

Squrce: Fishery Statistics of the United States, Manufactured Fishery Products.
1 Does not include unprocessed fish 2/Incomplete

Distinct trends are also shown in degree of processing, those products closest to the convenience food category experiencing strongest upward trends shown in tables 7 and 8 . The trend in "shell removed," i.e., peeled, shucked, picked, etc., is mainly influenced by the increasing consumption of shrimp, however, demand for peeled and deveined shrimp (with the additions of further processing) almost completely dominates the shrimp market. Breaded products are made up of some of the products of the other three categories and show how rapidly all kinds of frozen fish products are entering this type of processing.

These tables should be related back to section 1 which analyzes potential by species. As stated in that section, the 'potential is great if fish products can be delivered in a frozen highly processed, convenience form, but the potential for increase is not bright without this value added.

Table 7. Fish and shellfish by method of processing, U.S manufacturing (In thousands of pounds)

| Year | Filleted | Shell <br> Removed | Breaded | Sticks and <br> Portions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1931 | 70,414 | 98,079 |  |  |
| 1934 | 68,707 | 112,884 |  |  |
| 1937 | 115,620 | 138,153 |  |  |
| 1940 | 113,538 | 146,747 |  |  |
| 1943 | 135,565 | 145,564 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1946 | 164,931 | 155,073 |  |  |
| 1949 | 184,746 | $53,0661 /$ |  |  |
| 1952 | 181,567 | 166,449 | 18,042 |  |
| 1955 | 148,697 | 217,127 | 118,513 | 73,045 |
| 1958 | 143,649 | 264,280 | 161,944 | 82,801 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 146,292 | 302,074 | 222,088 | 129,964 |
| 1964 | 149,672 | 355,412 | 286,317 | 179,887 |
| 1966 | 155,962 | 421,911 | 370,573 | 228,996 |
| 1967 | 144,377 | NA | NA | NA |

Source: Fishery Statistics of the United States, Manufactured Fishery Products 1/ Incomplete

Table 8. Fish and shellfish by method of processing, U. S. manufacturing (In thousands of dollars)

| Year | Filleted | Shell <br> Removed | Breaded | Sticks <br> and <br> Portions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1931 | 10,247 | 20,172 |  |  |
| 1934 | 7,926 | 21,241 |  |  |
| 1937 | 12,625 | 28,049 |  |  |
| 1940 | 13,340 | 27,794 |  |  |
| 1943 | 35,293 | 31,419 |  |  |
| 1946 | 42,975 | 48,286 |  |  |
| 1949 | 45,486 | $31,8551 /$ |  |  |
| 1952 | 51,630 | 107,566 | 13,614 |  |
| 1955 | 40,579 | 139,738 | 65,429 | 33,046 |
| 1958 | 44,748 | 181,462 | 90,604 | 34,972 |
| 1961 | 45,795 | 212,498 | 120,316 | 50,629 |
| 1964 | 51,701 | 258,579 | 141,929 | 66,518 |
| 1966 | 63,446 | 347,144 | 213,449 | 93,800 |
| 1967 | 59,122 | NA | NA | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Fishery Statistics of the United States, Manufactured Fishery Products.

1/ Data incomplete
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[^0]:    : 1/ Longhurst, Alan R. "Survey of Crustacean Resources," Area Reviews on Living Resources of the Ocean, FAO Indicative World Plan for Agricultural Development, BCF, La Jolla, California, 1969.

