

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Annual shelf



DRAFT MANUSCRIPT - FOR REVIEW ONLY

PRELIMINARY ANALYSES OF A SURVEY OF BUYING PATTERNS FOR FRESH AND FROZEN FISH AND SHELLFISH BY HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS


BY

DARREL A. NASH

Working Paper No. 46

August, 1970

BUREAU OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES DIVISION OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH



Tables

		Page
1.	Conversion of retail weight to edible weight, all households, all quarters	4
2.	Purchase pattern by race	7
3•	Purchase pattern by religion	. 9
4.	Purchase pattern by region	10
5.	Purchase patterns by month, February 1969 to January 1970	17
6.	Purchase patterns by per capita income	.21
7•	Fresh and frozen fish and shellfish purchases by occupational class	23
8.	Purchase patterns by age of household head	25
9•	Purchase pattern by household size	27
10.	Meals purchased away from home per person by income per household	31
11.	Meals purchased away from home by age of head of household	32
12.	Meals purchased away from home by race (per person)	33
L3.	Meals purchased away from home by religion (per person)	34
L4•	Meals purchased away from home by region (per person).	36
15.	Meals purchased away from home by occupation of household head (per person)	37
16.	Meals purchased away from home, reporting period month (per person)	38

ABSTRACT

A consumer panel of 1,500 participants, theoretically representative of all U.S. households, were surveyed during one full year (February 1969 to January 1970) to obtain a complete record of fish purchases. This report summarizes the purchase patterns for fresh and frozen fish and shellfish. distinct differences in purchases among households are due to race, religion, region, and age of the head of the household. On a per capita basis, Negro households purchased well over twice the amount of fresh and frozen seafoods as white households, while Jewish households used about twice the amount as Catholic and Protestant households. Most of these products were found to be rather localized in usage, although a few-notably shrimp--retained a national market. In households where the age of the household head was over 45 years, purchases of fresh and frozen products were distinctly greater than in those with younger household heads. Purchases of fish meals in restaurants were definitely related to income level, in addition to the above factors.

, .

Introduction

When the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries intensified its program of economic analysis about 5 years ago, the paucity of knowledge of the factors affecting fish consumption was recognized. While per capita consumption remained quite stable, it was apparent that significant changes were taking place within the composition of consumption.

Several market studies based on available information were completed. However, it was felt that in order to analyze the market for fishery products sufficiently, knowledge of consumer buying habits across a broad scale of social, economic, and regional characteristics was needed. To supply the required data, a survey of fish purchases on a household basis was made by Market Facts, Inc., under contract with BCF. The participants in the survey consisted of a panel of 1,500 households representing nine geographic regions and eight social, economic, and ethnic characteristics. The survey covered a 1-year span from February 1969 through January 1970. The participants kept a complete record of their fish and shellfish purchases over the year, identifying them as to species, amount, price, method of preservation, and processing. Reports were completed and returned twice monthly.

The survey was not primarily designed to extrapolate the data to total U.S. household consumption of fish. Our major

interest was in obtaining consumption patterns for many consumers in order to analyze differences in consumption across social and economic groups. In the months ahead we will make an intensive economic and statistical analysis of the survey results in an attempt to derive causal relationships between purchases and the various socio-economic factors for which data are available. For the moment, however, we will discuss only the tabulated survey results.

Two major categories of information are available. One is purchases of fishery products for home consumption and the other purchases of meals containing fish or shellfish by members of households away from home. There are significant differences between buying habits in the two types of purchases. Rather detailed quantitative figures are available for purchases for home consumption. The data for purchases away from home, however, are stated in terms of number of meals eaten. We felt that we could make more accurate estimates of actual quantities of fish products in the meals purchased away from home by using recommended standards of portion sizes per meal, than by asking the survey participants to estimate the amount of fish contained in a restaurant-purchased meal. We are now in the process of converting the number of meals to a weight basis. Away-from-home purchases, based strictly on the number of meals reported, show some interesting patterns. These same patterns should exist when the number of meals are converted to a weight basis.

This paper covers the purchase patterns for the major fresh and frozen fish and shellfish products for each of the variables. It will show which products exhibit extreme differences for each of the variables and, equally as important, which find general acceptance across all classes within each variable. Two items serve well to define these patterns: the price per pound and the amount purchased for households in the class.

Comparison to Official BCF Consumption Statistics

In spite of the fact that primarily we did not intend to extrapolate the raw results of the survey to the U.S. population, it is often desirable to do so. In order to do this, it is first necessary to change the weight basis of the survey figures. The respondents were asked to report the net contents weight for home purchases, which in many cases contains materials other than fish products. By applying the appropriate conversion factor to each item, the non-fish part of the retail purchase is eliminated, leaving the edible fish portion. This adjustment is shown in table 1. In total, the non-fish portion of home purchases comprised some 25 percent of the net contents.

Away-from-home purchases were then added to purchases for home use to obtain total per capita consumption. Two sources are presently available to estimate this figure. From the survey we

Table 1.--Conversion of retail weight to edible weight; all households, all quarters

Product	Retail weight	Edible weight
Specialty items	Pounds pe	er member
Tuna pie	•135	.068
Clam chowder	•236	.078
Oyster stew	•138	•040
TV dinners	•451	•150
Smoked fish	.110	•033
Other specialties	• 344	.120
Total	1.414	·489
Shellfish, fresh & frozen		
Shrimp	•966	•752
Oysters	.204	.178
Crabs	•159	•127
Lobsters	•172	•038
Lobster tails	•135	•081
Clams	•076	•066
Scallops	•086	•086
Other shellfish	008	•006
Total	1.806	1.334
Finfish, fresh & frozen		
Haddock	•596	•370
Flounder/sole	•549	•548
Halibut	•314	-184
Ocean perch	•627	•401
Cod	•564	•360
Salmon	.171	•103
Red snapper	•169	•108
Catfish	•255	•081
Whiting	•192	•088
Swordfish	•168	•097
Pollock	•015	•009
Other finfish	<u>.676</u>	<u>-390</u>
Total	4.296	2.539
Canned fish		
Salmon, pink	•886	.718
Salmon, red	. 520	•42 1 .
Other salmon	•053	.042
Tuna, white	•916	•884
Tuna, light	1.756	1.696
Tuna, other	•226	.218
Sardines, domestic	•256	•228
Sardines, imported	•181	•172
Shrimp	•151	•151
Oysters	•183	•183
Other canned	•161	.146
Total	5.289	4.859
	,,	
Grand Total	12.805	9.221

know the panel participants purchased 23,784 fish meals away from home. On the assumption of a 4-ounce serving for all fish and shell-fish, this comes to 1.22 pounds per capita for the year. Additional quantities of fish are consumed in school lunches and also by the small percent of the civilian population not living in households, such as hospitals, nursing homes, etc. As a second source, a U.S.

1/
Department of Agriculture publication indicates that 18 percent of total fish purchases are made away from home. If this is the case, given home purchases of 9.22 pounds per capita, an additional 2.02 pounds are purchased away from home. The purchases for home use and away-from-home purchases by the two methods give a total of between 10.44 and 11.24 pounds per capita. Official statistics for 1969 are 11.1 pounds per capita. Thus the survey results are well within an expected range of the official statistics.

Fish and Shellfsih Purchased for Home Use

Several household characteristics are found to result in significant differences in purchases for home use. These characteristics may be classed as ethnic, that is, race and religion; regional, consisting of the nine U.S. Bureau of the Census geographic groupings; seasons, here discussed on a monthly basis; economic

^{1/} National Food Situation, Economic Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, May 1970, p. 26.

^{2/} Fisheries of the United States - 1969, United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, CFS No. 5300, p. 64.

factors of income and occupation; and, finally, distinctive features of the household itself, that is, age of the household head and the number of persons composing the household. These purchase patterns can be seen in the tables which follow. In these tables the quantity figures shown are net retail weight and the price column is reported retail price per pound.

Ethnic Factors

Initially, in planning the survey, we had not intended to investigate ethnic differences, as these were thought to be rather 1/2 unimportant. A study by Purcell and Raunikar, however, found these differences to be important and our survey results confirmed this importance.

Race is singularly important in determining consumption habits, as shown in table 2. The quantity of shrimp, by far the most important fresh and frozen fishery product, consumed by Negro families is more than twice that consumed by white families. Other products which Negroes purchased much more of include oysters, crabs, ocean perch, red snapper, catfish, and whiting. On the other hand, white families purchased more lobsters and halibut than Negroes. White families did not compensate by higher prices, except in the case of salmon and red snapper, where expenditures were definitely higher. Negro households, however, reported higher expenditures for a number of products, such as lobsters.

Purcell, J.C. and Robert Raunikar, <u>Analysis of Demand for Fish and Shellfish</u>, University of Georgia, College of Agriculture Experiment Stations, Research Bulletin 51, December 1968.

Table 2.--Purchase pattern by race

	Neg		Whi	te
roduct	Retail price per pound	Per capita purchases	Retail price per pound	Per capita purchases
	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds
resh and frozen:				
Shrimp	1.13	1.988	1.35	.909
Oysters	1.28	.366	1.44	.194
Crabs	1.38	.291	1.24	.150
Lobsters	2.18	.065	.86	.175
Lobster tails	2.90	.210	1.50	.131
Clams	1.72	.015	•59	.078
Scallops	1.12	.062	1.35	.086
Other shellfish	1.13	.006	.84	.008
Haddock	.70	. 641	.71	•593
Flounder- sole	.64	.700	.82	.540
Halibut	.76	.152	.82	.321
Ocean perch	• 44	1.707	.58	•574
Cod	•51	.748	.64	•553
Salmon	.58	.192	1.03	.171
Red snapper	.48	.912	•97	.134
Catfish	.62	•937	.72	.223
Whiting	•29	1.381	.42	.135
All other finfish	•57	4.056	.71	.703

The three major religions provide a second measure of the ethnic variation in fish consumption. These are tabulated in table 3.

Jewish households are the unquestioned leaders in consumption of fish products—flounder—sole, salmon, and the miscellaneous category are far higher than for households of other religions. They had measurably higher purchases of shrimp, crabs, scallops, halibut, and cod. Jewish households had higher expenditures for oysters, lobsters, clams, scallops, haddock, halibut, red snapper, catfish, and whiting.

Catholic households rank somewhat above Protestants in purchases of shrimp, lobsters, lobster tails, clams, scallops, haddock, floundersole, and cod. Oysters, red snapper, catfish, and whiting all exhibit somewhat higher consumption levels among Protestant families. It may be inferred from tables 2 and 3 that Negro Protestant families primarily account for the higher consumption of red snapper, catfish, and whiting by Protestants.

Regional Patterns

The regional purchase patterns show very graphically the fact that some fishery products have achieved the status of a "national" food while the consumption of others are strictly regional (table 4). Figure 1 shows the nine geographic regions designated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census which were used in the survey. Although shrimp consumption varied considerably by region, considerable quantities of this shellfish were purchased in each. It is interesting to note that two regions in which the respondents reported the lowest

Table 3.--Purchase pattern by religion

		olic		ish	Protestant	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per
D	price per	capita	price per		price per	capita
Product	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds
	DOLLARS	rounus	DOLLARS	Founds	DOLLARS	Founds
Fresh and frozen:						
Shrimp	1.44	1.227	1.52	1.507	1.28	.859
Oysters	1.42	.115	1.76	.016	1.43	.234
Crabs	1.34	.148	1.14	.203	1.25	.157
Lobsters	1.09	.233	2.00	.051	.80	.161
Lobster tails	1.41	.229	1.67	.157	1.69	.108
Clams	.58	.109	1.08	.060	•59	.067
Scallops	1.33	.126	1.51	.236	1.34	.068
Other shellfis	h .86	.006	0	0	.84	.008
Haddock	.74	.694	.88	•794	.69	•547
Flounder- sole	.84	.677	•79	2.733	.81	.429
Halibut	.80	.286	1.02	.900	.80	.298
Ocean perc	h .61	•539	•57	.185	•55	.669
Cod	.61	.717	.68	•993	.63	.498
Salmon	1.16	.114	•97	1.321	.98	.146
Red snappe	r 1.03	.074	1.08	.065	.83	.202
Catfish	•77	.071	1.15	.046	.70	.316
Whiting	•39	.145	.72	.024	.37	.211
All other finfish	.75	.664	.80	3.026	.67	.826

Table 4.--Purchase pattern by region

	New Er		Middle A			E. North Central	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	
Product	price per pound	capita purchases	price per pound	capita	price per	capita	
110000	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	purchases Pounds	pound Dollars	Pounds	
Fresh and						71 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
frozen:							
Shrimp	1.16	.998	1.41	1.257	1.58	.726	
Oysters	1.49	.125	1.52	.116	1.38	.149	
Crabs	1.37	.161	1.68	.126	2.14	.018	
Lobsters	.70	1.895	1.11	.114	2.66	.021	
Lobster	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 4-				•	
tails	1.07	.052	1.40	.211	1.56	.181	
Clams	.40	.649	.84	.073	1.14	.021	
Scallops	1.40	.275	1.35	.131	1.47	.069	
Other shellfish	1.52	.009	1.23	.003	•50	.008	
Haddock	.67	1.911	.72	.994	.71	.501	
Flounder- sole	.87	.618	.88	1.213	.83	.191	
Halibut	.70	.300	.74	.246	.85	. 194	
Ocean perch	.66	.114	•57	.324	•57	.823	
Cod	•57	.792	.64	•753	.64	.519	
Salmon	1.17	.125	1.02	.082	1.41	.078	
Red snapper	1.43	•018	•93	.124	1.23	.052	
Catfish	0	0	0	0	.72	.132	
Whiting	.36	•144	.38	.171	. 48	.074	
All other finfish	.717	1.780	•723	•741	•74	-474	

Table 4.--Purchase pattern by region (continued)


	W. North	Central		tlantic	E. South Central	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per
Product	price per pound	capita purchases	price per	capita purchases	price per pound	capita purchases
Froduct	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds
Fresh and frozen:						
Shrimp	1.48	.466	1.31	1.253	1.10	.863
Oysters	1.61	.121	1.76	.403	1.34	.360
Crabs	1.06	.006	1.49	.213	1.59	.203
Lobsters	3.50	.004	.70	.141	2.01	.122
Lobster tails	2.39	.025	1.67	.087	1.65	.336
Clams	0	0	1.10	.035	1.44	.016
Scallops	1.11	.009	1.62	.056	1.49	.007
Other shellfish	n 0,	0	.51	.009	.61	.004
Haddock	.71	.500	•73	.569	.63	•335
Flounder- sole	•91	.046	.70	.804	•94	.436
Halibut	1.00	.219	.83	.055	•79	.037
Ocean perch	n .55	•557	•54	•991	•59	1.569
Cod	.63	.263	.62	.615	.56	•575
Salmon	•94	.055	.58	.071	1.04	.262
Red snappe:	r .99	.002	.83	.104	1.12	.763
Catfish	.78	.205	.32	.153	•73	1.858
Whiting	111	.147	•39	.324	.29	•754
All other finfish	•99	.460	.58	1.689	.38	.904

Table 4.--Purchase pattern by region (continued)

	W. South			tain	Paci	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per
	price per	capita	price per	capita purchases	price per pound	capita purchase:
Product	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	pound Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds
			1		• • •	
Fresh and frozen:						
Shrimp	1.07	1.265	1.29	1.287	1.29	.686
Oysters	1.18	.227	•95	.296	1.09	.193
Crabs	.80	.097	1.15.	.151	.94	.536
Lobsters	2.89	.005	1/	<u>1</u> /	2.35	.021
Lobster tails	3.98	.004	1.51	.201	2.32	.082
Clams	•99	.003	1.06	.017	.48	.092
Scallops	1.17	.014	.77	.227	1.46	.090
Other shellfish	ı .56	.019	0	0	1.55	.012
Haddock	.66	.0归	•91	.126	.78	.305
Flounder- sole	•57	•305	•73	.321	.77	•535
Halibut	.88	.039	•77	1.537	.86	•954
Ocean percl	n .52	.696	.56	.369	.61	.192
Cod	.64	.437	.65	•379	.67	•543
Salmon	1.08	.019	1.01	.228	•95	.741
Red snappe:	r .43	.270	.78	.086	.65	.356
Catfish	.72	.718	1.10	.016	. 0	.030
Whiting	.20	.253	1.06	.174	.81	.017
All other finfish	.43	.852	.72	.476	.66	.760

^{1/} Incomplete data

Figure 1.--Geographic Regions Used in the Survey of Household Purchases



consumption, that is, the East North Central and the West North Central regions, the expenditures were the highest. High shrimp consumers were in the Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, West South Central, and surprisingly, the Mountain regions. Apparently, shrimp are exported from the East South Central region rather than consumed there.

Crab consumption is highly regional and declines rapidly in importance as distance from regions of landing increases. The Pacific Coast States inhabitants lead the list in crab consumption, due no doubt to the availability of dungeness and king crabs at comparatively low prices. Consumers in the Atlantic and Gulf States are largely supplied by the blue crab. Crabs are virtually unknown in the interior regions. Lobsters, clams, and scallop consumption are even more regionalized. Price seems to be extremely effective in rationing consumption.

Lobster tails have apparently penetrated a few markets in various regions. Because they are an import item, they have not been identified with a specific fishery region in the U.S. Except for New England, it is interesting to note that price per pound is a very strong conditioner of purchases of lobster tails; for example, at the price of \$4.00 per pound in the West South Central region purchases of this item were practically nil.

Among finfish, groundfish and ocean perch are rather well-known nationwide, no doubt because of their use in fish sticks, portions, and dinners. Cod seems to be the most evenly dispersed, followed by ocean perch. Haddock is comparatively unavailable in the West South Central region, while flounder-sole is not marketed heavily in the West North Central. New Englanders retain a large portion of the haddock for themselves, while marketing ocean perch in other regions.

Halibut consumption is rather widely diffused. Respondents in the Mountain States expressed a relatively high preference for this fish, followed by those in the region of production, that is, the Pacific States. The survey participants in the South Atlantic and East South Central States did not use much halibut. Salmon consumption is quite localized, the important users residing in the Pacific and Mountain States. Red snapper is most important in the East and West South Central and in the Pacific States. Consumption of catfish is quite specific to the East South Central region where most of it is caught. There is some dispersion to the Central region but no consumption was reported in the States along the North Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. Whiting is rather widely dispersed. The high consumption rates of red snapper, catfish, and whiting in the East South Central region are apparently a function of race, as well as regional availability. Respondents in the New England and the South Atlantic regions are important users

of numerous other species of finfish--at least a half pound per person in each of these two regions.

Seasonal Shifts in Purchases

Strong seasonal shifts are not in evidence among shellfish beyond those which would commonly be expected (table 5). This may result partially from the mixing of species and thus evening out seasonality within each product category. The seasonality of oyster consumption is confirmed by the survey. Lobster consumption has a strong seasonal shift, the average for July being about 15 times that for January and February. Shrimp consumption seems to decline from a high in the winter months to a low in fall. The evenness of crab purchases strongly suggests the mixing of several crab species.

No seasonal trends in purchases of groundfish are in evidence from the survey. Movements in purchases of groundfish and halibut from the February high may reflect some biasin the study and may result from unusually high purchases by participants at the beginning of the survey, as the trend appears downward throughout the survey year. Salmon, catfish, whiting, and red snapper are notable for a lack of a seasonal pattern.

Economic Characteristics

Income does not appear to be as strong a factor in explaining purchases as is sometimes ascribed to it (table 6). There was a general increase in purchases as income rises, but not

Table 5.--Purchase patterns by month, February 1969 to January 1970

* :	Februar		March	1969	April	1969
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per
	price per	capita	price per	capita	price per	capita
Product	pound	purchases	pound	purchases	pound	purchases
	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds
Fresh and						
frozen:						
Shrimp	1.25	.108	1.21	.087	1.21	.090
2m Tiib	1.29	•100	1.021	.007	⊥• ೭⊥	•030
Oysters	1.13	.034	1.34	.026	1.31	.014
Crabs	•99	.018	1.15	.016	1.55	.01.2
Urabs	• 77	•010	1.17	•010	1.00	•012
Lobsters	1.67	.002	1.42	.006	.81	.010
Lobster						•
tails	- 74	.027	1.31	.015	2.41	.007
Clams	• 74	.007	.62	.011	1.16	.004
Scallops	1.27	.010	1.22	.011	1.14	•008
ростторь		V010				
Other		400	00	0.07		
shellfish	n 1.01	.002	.82	.001	•90	.002
Haddock	.63	.081	.71	.058	.65	.056
		·				
Flounder-	7 72	.061	סר	.064	.84	.042
sole	•73	.001	.75	•00Д	•04	•042
Halibut	.71	• 01474	.72	.039	.76	.035
0	. 1 ~	000	ל	.068	 مےمہ	.061
Ocean perch	n .45	.093	.50	•000	•55	•001
Cod	.66	.058	.59	.058	.63	.056
G = 3	0-1	OT 0	00	07.9	7.00	07.1
Salmon	.81	.019	.92	.018	1.02	.014
Red snapper	r .63	.023	.88	.013	.68	.026
0-18: 1	5 0	~ 0	~ ^	007	50	07.5
Catfish	•73	.019	•59	.026	.78	.017
Whiting	•39	.019	•35	.021	.23	.037
All other	סב	007	42	•°099	۲٦	008
finfish	•75	.097	.63	•077	.63	.098

Table 5.--Purchase patterns by month, February 1969 to January 1970 (continued)

	May 1969		June 1969		July 1969	
	Retail price per pound	Per capita purchases	Retail price per pound	Per capita purchases	Retail price per pound	Per capita purchase
104400	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds
Fresh and frozen:						
Shrimp	1.22	.084	1.30	.078	1.38	.072
Oysters	1.23	.004	1.67	.001	1.05	.003
Crabs	1.36	.013	1.65	. 009	.75	.016
Lobsters	.83	.025	.82	.023	.59	.032
Lobster tabls	1.64	.006	1.76	.008	1.96	.007
Clams	•73	.006	•51	.006	•37	.006
Scallops	1.48	.007	1.60	.006	1.24	.007
Other shellfi	sh .50	.001	1.15	0	0	0
Haddock	•77	.057	•55	.071	.68	•037
Flounder- sole	.75	.050	.85	.040	•79	.046
Halibut	.83	.025	•94	.027	.90	.018
Ocean per	ch .61	.043	.63	.038	.58	.036
Cod	.65	.043	.64	.033	.58	.043
Salmon	•99	.015	1.06	.013	.90	.020
Red snapp	er .82	.010	•99	.010	•57	.017
Catfish	.67	.014	.83	.022	•59	.026
Whiting	.42	.016	.38	.014	. 42	.008
All other finfish		.078	.71	.053	.65	.063

Table 5.--Purchase patterns by month, February 1969 to January 1970 (continued)

	August			er 1969		per 1969	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	
Product	price per pound	capita purchases	price per pound	capita purchases	price per	- ,	
rroduct	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	purchases Pounds	
Fresh and frozen:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Shrimp	1.32	.076	1.38	.078	1.35	.068	
Oysters	1.38	.002	1.50	.003	2.47	.017	
Crabs	1.67	.012	1.52	.010	1.55	.013	
Lobsters	1.89	.007	.64	.020	.84	.011	
Lobster tails	1.77	.011	1.79	.009	1.50	.011	
Clams	.71	.002	.28	.012	•50	.007	
Scallops	1.40	.007	1.38	.006	1.39	.006	
Other shellfi	sh .39	.002	0 0	0	1.96	0	
Haddock	.75	.035	.76	.046	.82	.045	
Flounder- sole	.84	.035	.78	.048	.85	.042	
Halibut	.88	.020	.87	.021	.86	.023	
Ocean per	ch .56	.050	-66	.038	•55	.058	
Cod	.67	.038	.61	.053	.63	.049	
Salmon	•97	.014	1.37	.011	.94	•010	
Red snapp	er 1.09	.013	.95	.011	1.17	.oll	
Catfish	.68	.029	.83	.021	.69	.018	
Whiting	.27	.018	• गेंग्	.013	.40	•009	
All other finfish	.78	.074	.72	.074	.75	.059	

Table 5.--Purchase patterns by month, February 1969 to January 1970 (continued)

	November 1969		Decembe			y 1970
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per
Product	price per pound	capita purchases	price per	capita purchases	price per pound	capita purchases
Troduct	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds
Fresh and frozen:						
Shrimp	1.51	.060	1.44	.091	1.50	.071
Oysters	1.34	.032	1.49	•039	1.35	.028
Crabs	1.86	.008	1.21	.013	.69	.018
Lobsters	1.06	.012	1.07	.018	2.55	•002
Lobster)	
tails	2.04	.010	2.22	.012	1.84	.012
Clams	.62	.005	•73	.005	.77	.005
Scallops	1.55	.004	1.55	.006	1.28	•008
Other shellfis	h 1.89	.001	1.24	0	0	0
Haddock	.82	.032	.74	.039	.86	.037
Flounder-		•				
sole	.78	.040	•95	.038	•95	.041
Halibut	•97	.020	•79	.019	.87	.024
Ocean perc	h .61	.048	.64	.034	.58	.058
Cod	.61	.0归	.65	.038	.66	.051
Salmon	•93	.010	1.07	.012	1.27	.014
Red snappe	r .99	.011	.86	.013	1.08	.010
Catfish	•74	.019	.68	.021	.71	.022
Whiting	.74	.012	•34	.009	• 7174	.016
All other finfish	•75	.056	•75	.049	.67	.058

Table 6.--Purchase patterns by per capita income

		\$1,000		\$1,000-\$1,999		\$2,000-\$2,499	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	
	price per	capita	price per	capita	price per	capita	
Product	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	pound	purchases	
	DOLLAIS	Tourids	DOLLARS	Founds	Dollars	Pounds	
Fresh and frozen:							
Shrimp	1.07	.550	1.19	.853	1.47	.520	
Oysters	1.36	.185	1.23	.231	1.35	.140	
Crabs	2.01	.026	1.34	•11/1	.78	.095	
Lobsters	1.93	.003	•59	.233	1.82	.035	
Lobster						1. 1. 1. 24 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
tails	1.75	.016	1.70	.161	1.98	.067	
Clams	•43	•002	- 71/1	.069	-55	.045	
Scallops	•94	.031	•99	.086	1.57	.062	
Other shellfis	h 1.31	.007	•92	.007	•57	.011	
Haddock	.74	.626	.68	.548	.67	.552	
Flounder-							
sole	.69	.311	.78	.484	.88	.311	
Halibut	.84	.109	.80	.282	.76	.211	
Ocean perc	h .57	.602	•54	.653	•49	.618	
Cod	.58	.370	.60	•597	.67	.478	
Salmon	.98	.026	1.01	.216	1.16	.047	
Red snappe	r .40	.346	1.02	.229	•79	.034	
Catfish	.68	.455	.70	•393	.87	.126	
Whiting	.26	.731	•53	.121	.48	.037	
All other finfish	•55	1.029	.65	.852	.78	.409	

Table 6.--Purchase patterns by per capita income (continued)

	\$2,500-\$2,999		\$ 3,000-	\$ 3,000 - \$3,499		Over \$3,500	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	
	price per	capita	price per	capita	price per	capita	
roduct	pound Pollars	purchases Pounds	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	
	LUITALS	Tourids	DOTTALD	Tourids	2011412		
resh and	-						
frozen:							
Shrimp	1.18	1.469	1.42	1.226	1.52	1.052	
D.111 211119				•			
Oysters	2.49	.171	1.60	.151	1.36	.209	
Crabs	1.00	.228	1.21	.112	1.33	.217	
OTADS	1.00	•220	T.CT.				
Lobsters	1.80	.113	•95	.215	1.01	.208	
Lobster				*	j		
tails	.74	.256	2.22	.091	1.82	.133	
			د د		~~		
Clams	•57	.138	•59	.064	•75	.095	
Scallops	1.46	.075	1.36	.086	1.62	.108	
5-ca_2-cp				•			
Other	-1- 7-10	.005	•90	.004	.67	.008	
shellfi	sn 1.40	•005	• 90	•004	•01	•000	
Haddock	•73	.480	.72	.705	.73	•593	
Flounder- sole	•77	.690	.84	.762	.85	.624	
5010			•	•			
Halibut	•79	•323	•75	.469	.89	.378	
Ocean per	ch .67	.789	.50	•733	. 6 0	.455	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		w.		
Cod	.66	.549	.62	.721	.66	.529	
Salmon	•93	.116	•95	.188	1.01	.218	
DOMESTICATE.							
Red snapp	er .84	.091	.86	.066	.98	.132	
Catfish	.71	.291	.56	.104	•73	.089	
OGOTTOIL	• 1-	•-/-			**		
Whiting	.36	.315	.40	.245	•54	.052	
^77 <u>^</u> +h							
All other fin fish		1.079	40	700	90	000	
≖ ∓TIT T2II	• 14	T.013	.68	.798	.82	.822	

without encountering decreases in some income classes. Flounder, halibut, ocean perch, cod, and salmon seem to be positively related to income; haddock apparently is neutral; and red snapper, catfish, and whiting are purchased mostly by lower income households. Red snapper, and to a degree whiting, show that the higher income households purchase a higher-price product. Some of the shellfish, in particular shrimp, clams, and scallops, show definite trends to the purchase of higher priced items by higher income classes.

While there were no significant differences in consumption across occupational classes by individual products, there were some interesting patterns (table 7). For shellfish, "clerical and sales"

Table 7.--Fresh and frozen fish and shellfish purchases by occupational class

Shellfish	Finfish		
Pounds per	Pounds per person		
1.31	3.42		
1.61	3.52		
2.27	4.43		
1.97	3.76		
1.34	3.95		
2.16	6.54		
	1.31 1.61 2.27 1.97 1.34		

^{4/} Bookkeepers, mail carriers, sales agents, brokers, etc.

occupations purchase the greatest quantities, while "all other" occupation follows closely. Finfish purchases by the "all other" occupation category are 50 percent more than the second highest category, that is, "clerical and sales." Those in "professional and semi-professional" occupations purchase less finfish and shellfish per person than those in any other occupation.

Characteristics of the Household

Table 8 shows an unmistakable pattern of higher consumption levels associated with higher age classes of household head. The products that do not follow this trend are red snapper, catfish, and whiting. Age may be a very important factor in fish consumption as the age structure of the nation changes through time.

Size of household can be seen as a very important factor in fish purchases (table 9); the smaller households have the highest per capita users. In nearly every case there is an uninterrupted decline as household size increases. Red snapper and whiting show an exception for households with more than five persons. These two are purchased at extremely low prices, indicating a substitution of a lower-priced product. In many cases there are lower-priced purchases as family size increases.

Summary of Purchases for Home Use

In many respects purchases of fresh and frozen fish and shellfish are strongly tied to dietary traditions. Thus we find that, by race, Negroes are the highest users and, by religion, households with Jewish

^{5/} Service workers, laborers, etc.

Table 8.--Purchase patterns by age of household head

	Under 25 years		25-34 years		35-44 years	
	Retail	Per	Retail	Per	Retail	Per
	price per	capita	price per	capita	price per	capita
Product	pound	purchases	pound	purchases	pound	purchases
i Bowel	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	Pounds
Fresh and		•				
frozen:						
Shrimp	1.36	.746	1.22	•927	1.40	.644
ош шр	1.00	• 140	7.65€	• 721	1.40	•044
Oysters	1.39	.077	1.12	.123	1.30	.087
Crabs	.48	.218	1.26	.086	1.43	.113
01205	•40	•210	7.50	•000		ر کید •
Lobsters	0	0	•77	.108	.88	.130
Lobster ta	ils O	0	1.73	.059	2.67	.074
						•014
Clams	1.35	.044	.85	.029	.83	.045
Scallops	1.69	.030	1.43	.032	1.59	.048
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					,,	
Other	1		7.00	006		207
shellfis	sh o	0	1.03	.006	•91	.005
Haddock	.75	.270	.70	.243	.70	.457
T7	-1 - 70	070	56	200	90	1.60
Flounder-s	ote • (2)	.070	.76	.389	.83	.460
Halibut	1.01	.116	•79	.170	.76	.127
0000 0000	ה לל	27.2	•51	1.77	۲۵	11.0
Ocean perc	h .55	.313	• 21	•477	.50	• 1717-0
Cod	.74	•395	.62	.623	.62	.468
Salmon	1.40	.006	. 03	027	.89	061.
рашион	1.40	•000	•93	.037	•09	.064
Red snappe	er O	0	•57	.209	.52	.086
Catfish	.75	.187	.61	.137	•75	.031
0402 <u>1</u> 011	•17				•17	• • • • · · ·
Whiting	.21	.266	.24	.143	.36	.066
All other		•				
finfish	.63	.156	.68	.566	.60	.614
and the second second				-		

Table 8.--Purchase patterns by age of household head (continued)

	yearseann		years
Retail Per		Retail	Per
			capita
			purchases Pounds
DOLLARS	Pounds	DOLLARS	rounus
1.111	1.210	1.26	1.052
1. A.S			
1.42	.219	1.53	•343
1.21	.245	1.25	.169
1.39	.216	•55	.218
1.42	.254	1.37	/ •144
.65	.101	.43	.111
1.54	.114	1.13	.131
ہہ	m l	7 00	.006
• >>>	•014	1.22	•000
.72	.704	.71	.866
.80	.620	.83	.688
		· _	.542
		· ·	.769
	•	-	.662
	•		.278
1.23	•23(• ((.163
•79	.519	.60	.301
•31	.252	.48	.270
		•	
	1.44 1.42 1.21 1.39 1.42 .65 1.54 .55 .72 .80 .95 .60 .64 1.09 1.23 .79	price per pound capita purchases Dollars Pounds 1.lll 1.210 1.l2 .219 1.21 .245 1.39 .216 1.l2 .25l .65 .101 1.5l .11l .55 .01l .72 .70l .80 .620 .95 .3l .60 .762² .6l .ly91 1.09 .266 1.23 .237 .79 .519	price per pound capita purchases price per pound Dollars Pounds Dollars 1.ll4 1.210 1.26 1.l42 .219 1.53 1.21 .245 1.25 1.39 .216 .55 1.l42 .254 1.37 .65 .101 .43 1.54 .114 1.13 .55 .014 1.22 .72 .704 .71 .80 .620 .83 .95 .345 .78 .60 .7622 .58 .64 .491 .63 1.09 .266 .97 1.23 .237 .77 .79 .519 .60

Table 9.--Purchase pattern by household size

	l per	son	2-3 persons		
	Retail price	-	Retail price	Per capita	
Product	per pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	per pound Dollars	purchases Pounds	
	DOLLAIS	Tourids	DOLLAIS	Founds	
Fresh and frozen:					
Shrimp	1.41	1.698	1.36	1.234	
Oysters	1.48	. 422	1.52	.311	
Crabs	1.16	.438	1.27	.204	
Lobsters	2.02	.181	.98	.229	
Lobster tails	1.96	.378	1.35	.215	
Clams	•51	.263	.72	.082	
Scallops	1.53	•5/1/1	1.36	.107	
Other shellfish	1.48	.003	•96	.010	
Haddock	•75	.901	.69	.830	
Flounder-sole	.84	1.023	.85	.712	
Halibut	.83	/•5 42	.80	•395	
Ocean perch	.64	.890	.61	•775	
Cod	.68	1.364	.64	•599	
Salmon	1.18	.488	1.04	.214	
Red snapper	•92	.151	1.09	.210	
Catfish	.71	1.321	.71	.324	
Whiting	.43	.413	- 11/1	.181	
All other finfish	n .64	2.3归	.81	.932	

Table 9.--Purchase pattern by household size (continued)

	4-5 pe		Over 5 persons		
	Retail price Per capita		Retail price	Per capita	
Product	per pound	purchases	per pound	purchases	
	Dollars	Pounds	Dollars	<u>Pounds</u>	
Fresh and frozen:			•		
Shrimp	1.31	.840	1.18	.422	
Oysters	1.22	.131	1.24	.059	
Crabs	1.22	.124	1.43	.063	
Lobsters	.64	.168	1.51	.028	
Lobster tails	2.03	.073	2.43	.026	
Clams	•51	.067	•50°	.037	
Scallops	1.19	.068	1.77	.040	
Other shellfish	.87	.005	.42	.009	
Haddock	.76	.338	.70	.605	
Flounder-sole	.78	.433	.70	.316	
Halibut	.86	.293	.78	.102	
Ocean perch	.51	-571	.48	.324	
Cod	.63	-475	.58	.522	
Salmon	•90	.156	1.07	.020	
Red snapper	.74	.109	.38	.234	
Catfish	.71	.141	.62	.107	
Whiting	•37	.154	.24	.270	
All other finfish	.67	.657	.60	.852	

background purchase the greatest quantities. Both groups are consumers of those products which are growing steadily in consumption, that is, shrimp and "other shellfish" and groundfish species. Apparently, those who are consumers of fish by tradition are inclined to purchase greater quantities of new products as they are available.

A few fishery products are marketed nationwide, however, the majority are consumed more heavily in the regions where they are landed. Several analysts expected stronger seasonal shifts in fish purchases than were detected by the survey. Those seasonal shifts which did occur are probably conditioned more by availability than by seasonal preferences of consumers.

Income and occupation are rather mixed in terms of explaining fish purchases. The purchase of most species tends to be greater for higher income groups. By occupation, clerical and sales workers are the most important purchasers. By type of household, occupants in older households and single-person households are the most frequent users.

Consumption Away from Home

Away-from-home purchases show distinct characteristics in the type of products and type of purchasers. Comparisons indicate that lobsters and clams are more frequently purchased away from home, and perch and flounder are more popular for home consumption. While income seemed to have mixed effects on home consumption, it is a definite determining factor in consumption away from home, as shown

in table 10. This fact is brought out most explicitly for household incomes of over \$15,000 per year. Gradual increases in fish meals away from home are seen beginning at about the \$6,000 to \$8,000 income range, but are much more important as the \$15,000 classification is approached.

Comparing meals eaten away from home across household income classes from \$6,000 to \$8,000 to over \$15,000, we find that shrimp, clams, crabs, tuna, seafood platters, and flounder-sole show the strongest increases. Those which generally are affected less by income are oysters, perch, haddock, and fish sandwiches.

The age of household head accounts for a great difference in the number of fish meals purchased away from home, both totally and by individual product (table 11). The older the household head the more frequent the purchase of such meals. This probably is part of a general pattern for older households to eat out more often. Some of the more frequently purchased products fail to show increases for the over 55 group. These are shrimp, lobster, crabs, tuna, seafood platters, and fish sandwiches. These products are apparently better known by the lower age groups and result in an equalizing effect across age groups. Even for these products, however, the under 35 age group is definitely the low volume purchaser.

Meals purchased away from home by race and religion (tables 12 and 13, respectively) follow the overall pattern of the home purchasers, that is, Negro families purchased more meals per person

Ч

Table 10.--Meals purchased away from home per person by income per household

Product	Under \$4,000	\$4,000 - \$4,999	\$5,000- \$5,999	\$6,000 - \$6,999	\$7,000- \$7,999	\$8,000- \$8,999	\$9,000- \$9,999	\$10,000- \$14,999	0ver \$15,000
	COMPANY OF THE COMPAN				- <u>Number</u>				
Shrimp	•452	. 416	•14146	.572	.619	•909	•918	1.092	1.906
Lobster	•120	•117	•088	•386	•088	•236	•114	•283	.676
Clams	•082	•060	•189	•128	•036	•180	•150	.251	•382
Crabs	.138	.117	.148	•092	•075	•126	.075	•134	.400
Oysters	.085	.026	•060	.128	•066	.118	.068	•098	•222
Perch	.153	•151	•097	.221	.047	•252	•193	•169	.120
Halibut	•149	.077	•063	•088	.054	.112	•154	.138	0أرات
Haddock	.242	•154	•094	.126	•124	.122	•143	.121	•129
Tuna	.173	.107	•152	•113	.047	.188	•198	.184	•616
Seafood platter	•317	•232	•218	•216	•372	•279	.222	.368	•590
Fish sandwich	•312	•346	•212	•291	-329	•310	•216	•352	.410
Flounder-sole	•193	.110	•209	•133	.163	•145	•154	.235	•500
Other	1.918	1.400	1.246	1.570	1.729	1.454	1.611	1.936	2.672

Table 11.--Meals purchases away from home by age of head of household

Product	Under 35 yrs.	35-44 yrs.	45-54 yrs.	Over 55 yrs.
		<u>Nu</u> ml	<u>oer</u>	
Shrimp	.618	.675	1.165	1.003
Lobster	.149	.232	.359	.252
Clams	.144	.146	.199	.210
Crabs	.079	.184	.160	.171
Oysters	.042	.050	.106	.186
Perch	.073	.101	.100	.307
Halibut	.046	.031	.102	.251
Haddock	.061	.058	.170	.250
Tuna	.095	.156	.360	.214
Seafood platter	.202	.224	.475	.401
Fish sandwich	.249	.319	.416	.289
Flounder-sole	.089	.184	.231	.333
Other	1.028	1.379	2.032	2.534

Table 12.--Meals purchased away from home by race (per person)

Product	1 1	Negro	White	* * • • •
		Numl	oer	
Shrimp		1.40	•90	
Lobster		•32	•26	
Clams		.16	.18	
Crabs		. 200	•15	
Oysters		•04	.11	
Perch			.16	
Halibut			•12	
Haddock			.15	
Tuna	÷		•22	
Seafood platter		1.24	•33	
Fish sandwich		•52	•32	
Flounder-sole		•04	•23	
Other	,	3.16	1.81	

Table 13.--Meals purchased away from home by religion (per person)

Product	Catholic	Jewish	Protestant
		<u>Number</u> -	
Shrimp	.831	1.978	.850
Lobster	•290	1.390	•200
Clams	•172	•382	•173
Crabs	•158	• 147	.147
Oysters	.084	•029	.110
Perch	· . 254	•022	.136
Halibut	•096	•147	•124
Haddock	•127	•264	•1/1/1
Tuna	•282	1.169	•154
Seafood platter	.256	•522	•352
Fish sandwich	•320	•294	•318
Flounder-sole	•220	•346	•216
Other	1.802	2.338	1.800

than white families, and Jewish families purchased more than families of other religions. Negro families again purchased greater amounts of shrimp, lobster, and crabs, than did white households and we can add seafood platters and fish sandwiches to the list. In the case of religion, Jewish households purchase proportionately less flounder-sole and halibut, and more lobster, shrimp, and clams in away-from-home purchases. Catholic and Protestant households show proportionately higher consumption of "other" fishery products away from home compared to the home consumption.

Regional patterns in away-from-home purchases are quite closely aligned with the home purchases, as shown in table 14.

Occupational classes show some changes in away-from-home purchases, as shown in table 15. Those in clerical and sales occupations, in most instances, continue to be the most important users. Noticeable decreases in away-from-home purchases of "all other" (labor and services) occupations are found. Consumption by professional and semi-professional people is an important segment of the away-from-home purchases, probably more properly an income-related effect than occupation per se.

Seasonal shifts in away-from home consumption are not strong for any product (table 16). These may be summarized as follows: shrimp, tuna, flounder-sole, and other meals are purchased more frequently in spring and summer; while lobster, haddock, and seafood platters exhibit a slight increase in summer or late summer.

Table 14.--Meals purchased away from home by region (per person)

	New Eng.	Mid Atlan.	E.North Central	W.North Central	Atlan.		W.South Central	Mtn.	Pac.
					Number				
Shrimp	1.003	.893	.611	.822	1.038	.384	.896	1.438	1.240
Lobster	.929	.386	.140	.113	.163	.060	.052	.216	.360
Clams	1.158	.188	.086	.124	.088	.013	.032	.118	.257
Crabs	.222	.240	.034	.026	.261	.046	.082	.032	.312
Oysters	.050	.072	.046	.038	.136	.298	.165	.097	.139
Perch	0	.028	•534	.065	.117	.076	.030	.070	.026
Halibut	.104	.054	.090	.140	.026	.010	.066	.718	.260
Haddock	•394	.236	.138	.140	.088	.119	.075	.064	.036
Tuna	.532	.144	.134	.253	.342	.060	.072	.156	.318
Seafood platter	.259	. <i>1</i> µ13	.260	.186	.468	.338	.388	.297	.310
Fish sandwich	.124	.350	- 4444	.407	.492	.172	.096	.184	.186
Flounder- sole	.208	.318	.124	.072	•354	.496	.088	.162	.188
Other	2.098	1.608	1.715	1.180	2.020	1.976	1.478	1.789	2.563
		,							

Table 15.--Meals purchased away from home by occupation of household head (per person)

	A	В	C	D	E	F	
			<u>Nu</u> r	nber			
Shrimp	.996	1.068	1.298	.808	.386	.633	
Lobster	.262	.320	.451	.190	.060	.197	
Clams	.204	.229	.219	.124	.059	.203	
Crabs	.192	.161	.219	.114	.056	.158	
Oysters	.131	.169	.082	.107	.036	.055	
Perch	.152	.152	.210	.150	.124	.148	
Halibut	.108	.134	.144	.128	.041	.125	
Haddock	.122	.182	.144	.120	.112	.160	
Tuna	.301	.206	.344	.130	.080	.203	
Seafood platter	٠416	•390	.340	.272	.147	.387	
Fish sandwich	•397	.306	.210	.318	.370	.296	
Flounder-sole	-344	.198	.村0	.135	.079	.173	
Other	2.066	1.636	1.946	1.774	1.326	2.016	

A = Professional and semi-professional workers

B = Proprietors, managers, and officials

C = Clerical and kindred workers, and sales workers

D = Craftsmen, foremen and kindred workers

E = Operative and kindred workers

F = All others

Summary

In summary, the away-from-home purchases show similarities as well as some important differences from home purchases. Region, season, and age of household head, show the same general relationships in each type of purchase. Income has a more definite influence for away-from-home purchases, no doubt reflecting a general tendency for higher income groups to eat out more frequently. Those in professional occupations also definitely are more important as away-from-home purchasers than as home purchasers.

There are innumerable untapped markets for fish and shellfish over a large cross-section of the population. Habit and tradition seem to be quite strong in fish buying patterns. Race, religion, age of household head, and regional location are the most important determinants of per capita fish consumption.

WORKING PAPER SERIES

Division of Economic Research Bureau of Commercial Fisheries

- 1. An Application of an Investment Model to Channel Catfish Farming by R. Thompson and F. Mange. February 1969
- 2. The Development of Catfish as a Farm Crop and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash and M. Miller. February 1969
- 3. Design Study: An Optimum Fishing Vessel for Georges Bank Groundfish Fishery by A. Sokoloski (Project Monitor) May 1969
- 4. The Relation between Vessel Subsidy Percentages and the Rate of Return on Investment for Various Technologies and Scale Levels:
 The Haddock Fishery by D. Nash, A. Sokoloski and F. Bell (Project Monitors). February 1969
- 5. An Economic Justification for Recommended Legislative Changes in the 1964 Fishing Fleet Improvement Act by F. Bell, E. Carlson, D. Nash and A. Sokoloski. February 1969
- 6. The Economic Impact of Current Fisheries Management Policy on the Commercial Fishing Industry of the Upper Great Lakes by D. Cleary. October 1968
- 7. Cost and Earnings in the Boston Large Trawler Fleet by B. Ncetzel and V. Norton. June 1969
- 8. Some Elements of An Evaluation of the Effects of Legal Factors on the Utilization of Fishery Resources by A. Sokoloski. February 1959
- 9. A Report on the Economics of Polish Factory Trawlers and Freezer Trawlers, by B. Noetzel. February 1969
- 10. An Inventory of Demand Equations for Fishery Products by D. Nash and F. Bell. July 1969
- 11. Industry Analysis of West Coast Flounder and Sole Products and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash and M. Miller. October 1969
- 12. Bio-Economic Model of a Fishery (Primarily Demersal) by E. Carlson.

 March 1969
- 13. The Factors behind the Different Growth Rates of U.S. Fisheries by F. Bell. January 1969
- 14. A Price Incentive Plan for Distressed Fisheries by A. Sokoloski and E. Carlson. April 1969

- 15. Demand and Prices for Shrimp by D. Cleary. June 1969
- 16. Industry Analysis of Gulf Area Frozen Processed Shrimp and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash, M. Miller and F. Schuler. October 1969
- 17. An Economic Evaluation of Columbia River Anadromous Fish Programs by J. Richards. February 1969
- 18. Economic Projections of the World Demand and Supply of Tuna, 1970-90 by F. Bell. June 1969
- 19. Economic Feasibility of a Seafood Processing Operation in the Inner City of Milwaukee by D. Cleary. April 1969
- 20. The 1969 Fishing Fleet Improvement Act: Some Advantages of its Passage by the Division of Economic Research. July 1969
- 21. An Economic Analysis of Policy Alternatives for Managing the Georges Bank Haddock Fishery by L. Van Meir. May 1969
- 22. Some Analyses of Fish Prices by F. Waugh and V. Norton. May 1969
- 23. Some Economic Characteristics of Pond-Raised Catfish Enterprises by J. Greenfield. June 1969
- 24. Elements Crucial to the Future of Alaskan Commercial Fisheries by D. Nash, A. Sokoloski, and D. Cleary. August 1969
- 25. Effects on the Shrimp Processing Industry of Meeting the Requirements of Wholesome Fishery Products Legislation by D. Nash and M. Miller. June 1969
- 26. Benefit Cost Analysis of a Proposed Trawl Systems Program by M. Miller. June 1969
- 27. An Economic Analysis of Future Problems in Developing the World Tuna Resource: Recommendations for the Future Direction of the BCF Tuna Program by F. Bell. July 1969
- 28. Economic Efficiency in Common Property Natural Resource Use;
 A Case Study of the Ocean Fishery by D. Bromley. July 1969
- 29. Costs, Earnings and Borrowing Capacity for Selected US Fisheries by A. Sokoloski, E. Carlson, and B. Noetzel. September 1969
- 30. Fish Cycles: A Harmonic Analysis by F. Waugh and M. Miller. September 1969
- 31. Benefit-Cost Analysis as Applied to Commercial Fisheries Programs by F. Bell. October 1969

- 32. Economic Study of San Pedro Wetfish Boats by W. F. Perrin and B. Noetzel. October 1969
- 33. A Survey of Fish Purchases by Socio-Economic Characteristics -First Quarterly Report - February, March, April, 1969 by D. Nash. October 1969
- 34. A Survey of Fish Purchases by Socio-Economic Characteristics Second Quarterly Report May, June, July, 1969 by D. Nash. October 1969
- 35. A Guide to Benefit-Cost Analysis for BCF Programs by F. Bell. December 1969
- 36. Estimation of the Economic Benefits to Fishermen, Vessels, and Society from Limited Entry: A Generalized Model Applied to the Northern Lobster Fishery by F. Bell. March 1970
- 37. Major Economic Trends in Selected U.S. Master Plan Fisheries: A Graphical Survey by R. Kinoshita and F. Bell. December 1969
- 38. Market Potential for the San Pedro Wetfish Fishery by D. Nash December 1969
- 39. Pertinent U.S. Trade Barrier Information by "Master Plan" Fisheries by J. Micuta. January 1970
- 40. An Analysis to Determine Optimum Shrimp Fishing Effort by Area by V. Arnold. January 1970
- 41. A Survey of Fish Purchases by Socio-Economic Characteristics.

 Third Quarterly Report August, September, October, 1969 by

 D. Nash. January 1970
- 42. Investigation of Fish Landing Patterns at Stonington, Connecticut with a View to Development of New Markets by D. Nash. February 1970
- 43. A Survey of Maximum Sustainable Yield Estimates on a World Basis for Selected Fisheries by R. Fullenbaum. February 1970
- 44. Methods for Calculating Civilian Per Capita Consumption of Fresh and Frozen Shellfish by S. Erickson. February 1970
- 45. The Organization of the California Tuna Industry: An Economic Analysis of the Relations Between Performance and Conservation in the Fisheries by R. Marasco. March 1970
- 46. Preliminary Analyses of a Survey of Buying Patterns for Fresh and Frozen Fish and Shellfish by Household Characteristics by D. Nash. August 1970
- 47. Projections of Certain Fishery Products of Commercial Importance in Louisiana by D. Nash. April 1970

- 48. The Productivity of the Sea and Malthusian Scarcity by F. Bell and E. Carlson. April 1970
- 49. A Survey of Fish Purchases by Socio-Economic Characteristics Fourth Quarterly Report November, December 1969, and January 1970 by D. Nash. April 1970
- 50. A Survey of Fish Purchases by Socio-Economic Characteristics-Annual Report by D. Nash. April 1970
- 51. Basic Economic Indicators Atlantic Groundfish. April 1970
- 52. Basic Economic Indicators Halibut. April 1970
- 53. Basic Economic Indicators Northern Lobsters. April 1970
- 54. Basic Economic Indicators Sea Scallops. April 1970
- 55. Basic Economic Indicators Clams. April 1970
- 56. Basic Economic Indicators Oysters. May 1970
- 57. Basic Economic Indicators Shrimp. May 1970
- 58. Basic Economic Indicators Blue Crabs. May 1970
- 59. Basic Economic Indicators King and Dungeness Crabs. May 1970
- 60. Basic Economic Indicators Menhaden. May 1970
- 61. Basic Economic Indicators Tuna. May 1970
- * 62: Basic Economic Indicators Salmon. May 1970
 - 71. Economic Projections of the U.S. and World Demand for Major Fishery Products by F. Bell, D. Nash and F. Waugh. June 1970
 - 72. The Fundamental Theory of the Economics of Commercial Fishing by E. Carlson. June 1970
 - 73. The Determinants of Actual and Subsidized Competitive
 Strengths and Weaknesses of U.S. and Canadian Groundfish
 Fisheries by D. Cleary. August 1970
 - 74. Regional and other Related Aspects of Shellfish Consumption--Some Preliminary Findings from the 1969 Consumer Panel Survey by D. Nash and M. Miller. September 1970
 - 75 Cross Section Production Functions for North Atlantic Groundfish and Tropical Tuna Seine Fisheries -- Measures of Fishing Power and Their Use in the Measurement of Fishing Effort by E. Carlson September 1970

^{* 63} through 70 are presently in process and cover basic economic indicators for 16 other master plan fisheries.

, **x** ٠, The goal of the Division of Economic Research is to engage in economic studies which will provide industry and government with costs, production and earnings analyses; furnish projections and forecasts of food fish and industrial fish needs for the U.S.; develop an overall plan to develop each U.S. fishery to its maximum economic potential and serve as an advisory service in evaluating alternative programs within the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

In the process of working towards these goals an array of written materials has been generated representing items ranging from interim discussion papers to contract reports. These items are available to interested professionals in limited quantities of offset reproduction. These "Working Papers" are not to be construed as official BCF publications and the analytical techniques used and conclusions reached in no way represent a final policy determination endorsed by the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

