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## POTENTIALS FOR EXPORTING CUT FLOWERS FROM EGYPT

by
Leon Garoyan, Jerry Foytik, and Samuel C. Palmer ${ }^{1}$

For the period 1970-78, purchases of floricultural products (including trees, pot plants, cut flowers, and bulbs) increased by the following percentages: West Germany, 90 percent; Switzerland, 110 percent; France, 60 percent; Sweden, 88 percent; England, 20 percent; and Holland, 103 percent.

Major sources of off-season cut flowers for the European market include Thailand (orchids), Columbia (carnations), Kenya (carnations, spray carnations, iris, and strelitzia) and Israel (roses, carnations, spray carnations, etc.) and Canary Islands (roses). In recent years, imports from Is rael have increased substantially, as shown in statistics in a later section. The wholesale trade has accepted the concept of imported cut flowers during the European "off-season", but growers are generally opposed to imports, particularly during recent years when Israeli carnation exports have been large, and Holland tulip prices were low due to large Holland production.
-. As a result, various governments through the European Economic Community developed a surveillance system that includes voluntary restraints on continued cut flower production for European exports from Israel.

[^0]Data were collected from the Aalsmeer flower auction in Holland, the Zentrale flower market in Frankfurt, Germany, and the wholesale flower market at Rungis, (Paris) France. In addition, wholesale firms, market authorities, government agencies, and importers provided information.

Limited information was also obtained from the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture on flower exports from Egypt to middle Eastern nations and Eastern Europe.

A description of these wholesale flower markets, and the volumes of trade in cut flowers follows.

Holland
Verenigde Bloemenveilingen Aalsmeer, near Amsterdam, is the largest cut and pot flower market in Europe. It serves Holland growers, and is also the largest import market for cut flowers in Europe. However a substantial part of total supplies of Holland and imported flowers are re-exported to other countries on the continent. Aalsmeer. is the hub of the European (continental) wholesale cut flower market. Therefore, Aalsmeer reflects market demands and preferences of both the Holland consumers, and also, those of neighboring countries to which flowers are exported.

Aalsmeer is a cooperative auction, where all flowers are offered for sale in a negative progression pricing scheme. Thus, there is no fixed market price for a given grade and type of cut flower; each lot of flowers most frequently has a distinct price, even for flowers from the identical lot. Imports

Imports of cut flower into Holland reflect the diversity of supply available to European consumers, and the competition that might be expected by

Egyptian flower producers looking to Europe for a market. Statistics in Table 1 show sources of cut flower imports for the years 1974-78.

Imports from Israel into Holland have risen nearly fivefold in the five year period, while value of their shipments has increased at a slightly lower rate. A few other nations have had even a more dramatic increase, but their volume base is much smaller.

Data for the relevant period when cut flowers could be exported from Egypt (November - May) are not available. However, for this period, statistics are available on the number of pieces of imported flowers auctioned at the Aalsmeer Market, for the years 1973-74 through 1978-79. These statistics are shown in Table 2. These data show the dominance of Israel imports in this auction, representing 73 percent of the supply in the off-season. Other important sources are Argentina, France, South Africa, Singapore, and Thailand. Imports from Kenya have fluctuated substantially during these years, while Israel's exports have risen steadily from 7.7 million flowers in 1973-74, to 43.6 million flowers in 1978-79, an increase of 570 percent.

## Exports

Statistics on exports of flowers and floricultural products from Holland are significant because Holland re-exports large quantities of cut flowers imported into that country. Cut flowers and bulbs represent the major horticultural products exported, accounting for 53.8 and 26.1 percent of the total in 1978, and were fairly constant for the five year period (Table 3).

West Germany was the main importer of floricultural products from Holland, receiving nearly 57 percent of the value of exports in 1978. France was next, with 10.3 percent, and Sweden, with 5 percent. In total, 13 nations imported flowers and bulbs from Holland, but cut flower exports are mainly

TABLE 1
Cut Flower Imports into Holland
1974-78, by Origin

|  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  | 1978 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { gld } \end{gathered}$ | $1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { g1d } \end{gathered}$ | $1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { g1d } \end{gathered}$ | $1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { gld } \end{gathered}$ | $1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1,000 g1d |
| Australia | 13 | 537 | 13 | 525 | 15 | 617 | 29 | 969 | 22 | 696 |
| Colombia | 67 | 656 | 77 | 673 | 114 | 1,488 | 206 | 2,237 | 275 | 2,833 |
| W. Germany | 115 | 588 | 240 | 2,101 | 810 | 6,110 | 299 | 2,918 | 235 | 2,304 |
| England | 420 | 1,266 | 555 | 1,634 | 539 | 2,593 | 627 | 3,069 | 601 | 3,488 |
| France | 463 | 3,061 | 651 | 4,844 | 440 | 3,456 | 350 | 2,605 | 176 | 1,273 |
| Israel | 1,375 | 8,303 | 1,683 | 12,303 | 2,005 | 15,999 | 2,992 | 24,989 | 5,109 | 36,506 |
| Italy | 1, 296 | 1,186 | 471 | 2,534 | $\cdot 715$ | 3,032 | 355 | 2,233 | 584 | 4,638 |
| Kenya | 23 | 123 | 33 | 204 | 73 | 505 | 194 | 1,842 | 45 | 325 |
| Malasia | 2 | 32 | 5 | 89 | 18 | 381 | 59 | 1,047 | 92 | 1,617 |
| Singapore | 116 | 1,332 | 184 | 3,604 | 246 | 5,623 | 254 | 5,154 | 204 | 3,676 |
| Singapore | 116 209 | 1,332 | 205 | 1,947 | 149 | 1,576 | 265 | 1,947 | 161 | 1,305 |
| Spain, Canary Is. | 209 | 2,090 | 205 | 1,947 | 149 | 1,576 | 335 |  | 445 | 7,825 |
| Thailand | 99 | 2,505 | 149 | 3,750 | 230 | 5,616 | 335 | 6,538 | 445 | 7,825 |
| South Africa | 286 | 1,913 | 313 | 2,407 | 434 | 3,423 | 531 | 4,075 | 552 | 4,195 |
| Other | 162 | 1,535 | 130 | 1,249 | 95 | 1,114 | 84 | 1,142 | 129 | 1,630 |
| Total | 3,646 | 26,127 | 4,709 | 37,864 | 5,883 | 51,533 | 6,580 | 60,765 | 8,630 | 72,311 |

A

Source: Ministry of Agriculture

TABLE 2

AUGTIONED IMPORTS AT AALSMEER BY ORIGIN SEASON NOVEMBER-MAY, 1973-74 THROUGH 1978-79

| Country | 1973-74 | 1974-75 | 1975-76 | 1976-77 | 1977-78 | 1978-79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina |  |  | 20,650 | 39,560 |  |  |
| Belgium |  |  | 1,255,907 | 1,962,997 | 2,058,783 | 2,321,198 |
| Columbia |  |  | 98,342 | 184,622 | 593,355 | 792,286 |
| Denmark |  |  |  | 34,396 | 231,716 | 28,209 |
| England |  |  | 3,461 | 1,192 | 9,192 | 27,243 |
| France |  |  | 3,639,107 | 2,647,780 | 2,518,588 | 2,202,435 |
| Italy |  |  | 661,692 | 723,879 | 896,020 | 993,580 |
| Spain |  |  | 1,597,803 | 656,666 | 923,846 | 758,233 |
| South Africa |  | 9,200,000 | 1,964,886 | 2,873,628 | 3,360,026 | 2,857,079 |
| Australia | 6,000,000 |  |  | 60,941 |  |  |
| U.S.A. |  |  | 464,548 | 1,088,716 | 1,366,749 | 1,028,499 |
| Brazil |  |  |  | 11,491 | 33,633 | 47,452 |
| Indonesia |  |  |  | 3,299 |  |  |
| Ivory Coast |  |  | 357,635 | 254,999 | 322,077 | 219,892 |
| Kenya |  |  | 1,108,450 | 201,829 | 258,464 | 689,049 |
| Malaysia |  |  | 274,548 | 1,134,736 | 585,230 | 237,081 |
| Singapore |  |  |  | 1,741,193 | 2,127,188 | 1,809,666 |
| West Germany |  |  | 3,634 | 23,750 | 2,548 | 499,470 |
| Greece |  |  | 18,949 |  |  |  |
| Thailand |  |  | 907,446 | 1,563,821 | 1,298,300 | 1,156,704 |
| Portugal |  |  | 115,953 | 282,495 | 321,913 | 300,620 |
| Israel | 7,689,400 | 13,200,000 | 17,282,182 | 28,965,286 | 37,073,040 | 43,568,826 |
| Israel 11. |  |  |  |  | 82,905 | 23,523 |
| Total | 13,689,400 | . 22,400,000 | 29,775,193 | 44,457,276 | 54,063,570 | 59,511,045 |

[^1]
## TABLE 3

EXPORT OF FLORICULTURAL PRODUCTS HOLLAND, 1974-78
1,000 Guilders ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$

|  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  | 1978 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Bulbs | 419,021 | 27.8 | 416,149 | 25.7 | 484,777 | 26.3 | 518,172 | 25.7 | 581,064 | 26.1 |
| Cut Flowers | 834,745 | 55.3 | 928,096 | 57.2 | 1,924,885 | 55.7 | 1,117,387 | 55.4 | 1,198,486 | 53.8 |
| Pot Plants | 107,454 | 7.1 | 127,877 | 7.9 | 162,921 | 8.9 | 197,092 | 9.8 | 250,052 | 11.2 |
| Trees | 148,340 | 9.8 | 149,180 | 9.2 | 167,100 | 9.1 | 183,677 | 9.1 | 197,125 | 8.9 |

a/ In constant Guilders
to nearby countries. The fact that Holland's wholesale flower trade operates so widely reflects the need to consider Holland, and particularly Aalsmeer, as a prime market for Europe for flowers produced in Egypt.

## Holland Flower Production

There is virtually no vertical integration in the Holland flower industry, including contract production. Dealers and growers prefer not to make a market commitment, preferring instead, the auction system.

In recent years, cut flower production has increased by 5 percent annually in Holland, while pot plants production has increased at a rate of 15 percent annually. In 1978, three-fourths of the EEC production of cut flowers and pot plants had an origin in Holland, reflecting both the volume of production and imports to Holland.

Energy Costs
Economic factors have had a serious impact on Dutch flower growers since 1974. High energy costs have been experienced, even though energy costs are negotiated on behalf of flower growers, resulting in preferential energy (natural gas) rates. Species of flowers vary in their thermal requirements, so that the full impact of higher energy costs cannot be spread uniformly. For example, carnations have lower thermal requirements than glads or roses. It is expected that energy costs will continue to increase throughout Europe, causing greenhouse flower producers to seek energy saving production methods, more government intervention in costs or subsidies, more efficient production techniques, and selection of varieties so as to reduce thermal needs. It is this potential that makes off-season imports to Europe appear feasible. That is, when high energy costs, plus its priority utilization (availability) make production of some flower species uneconomical, such flowers can be produced in a warmer climate and incur transportation costs and duty into the northern

European market. Although higher energy costs reportedly have reduced growers' incomes, they are not yet the major cost component of flower production in Holland.

For most flowers, energy costs are one-fourth of production costs (except carnations, where energy costs are only 10 percent). The most expensive cost component is labor. Since floricultural production occurs in Western Holland, which is the industrialized region, floriculture must compete with industry wages, believed to be the highest floricultural wage in the world.

For some crops, such as carnations, costs of transportation from Israel, 6.6 cents per unit, are twice that of heating costs of three cents in Holland. Thus, countries able to produce cut flowers in open fields or in unheated or lowly heated greenhouses may have some opportunity to compete with Holland producers if they give careful selection to raising flowers with high thermal needs, and low weight relative to value. For example, glads require more heat than carnations, but the weight of glad stems far exceeds the weight of carnation stems. Other Economic Factors

Inflation and the economic recession throughout the world have affected flower purchases, as consumers attempt to cope with rising living costs, by reducing expenditures for lesser essentials. Thus, even when market supplies of cut flowers was lower than usual in the winter months of 1979 (January March), due to weather and transportation difficulties, flower prices declined because of lower consumer purchases.

Another factor affecting Holland flower production is imports from outside EEC. Holland produces a large quantity of cut tulips during winter months. When Israeli spray carnation supplies are large, and prices are
reduced, it is believed that the two compete in sales, but no cross elasticity analyses have been made.

Price competition at the retail level with flower imports is a major issue. It is recognized by policy makers that Holland wholesalers need an assortment of flower types to meet diverse consumer demands, so that imports are necessary. The question being asked is, what quantity of imports should be allowed into Holland and EEC? The rapid increase in flower imports into Holland led that country to propose to EEC ways to regulate imports.

In 1978, a compromise was reached, which imposed no quotas on imports, with the 17 percent duty remaining intact. 1 When market supplies become excessive and prices decline accordingly, a "safeguard clause" would be invoked, with authority to limit imports or to stop them completely. This has been considered a short-run solution to a long-run problem.

A licensing scheme was developed for all importers in the EEC to facilitate the safeguard clause, and to provide surveillance on imports. Under that program, each flower importer was licensed by the ministries of agriculture of member states for a period of three months, and each licensee was required to report monthly on import volumes. Because importers believed that quotas would be established from monthly report data, unreliable data was provided to EEC. Attempts to obtain net imports of flowers on a daily basis were not acceptable to some member countries. Thus, the license period was reduced to a six weeks period, and a monitoring system set up for actual import quantities and prices. The purpose for this is to judge whether market disturbances are caused by local or imported products.

[^2]A structural solution for imports is being sought, particularly with Israel's rapid expansion of production. Voluntary reductions in volume of production (acres) would be sought through influence of the Israeli government to reduce the rate of expansion in that country.

Price effects of imports are being monitored with "signal" prices (three year averages) correlated with production and acreage for EEC member countries. In addition, EEC is studying the Netherland's energy costs to determine impact on costs and profits.

Despite the big growth, there is not an unlimited market for cut flowers in Europe in the long-run, continued expansion at the rate experienced since 1974 may cause Holland's growers to seek sanctions from EEC. This becomes particularly apparent with the potential entry into EEC of Spain, with its potentials for increased flower production.

Germany
Of the German markets for flowers, Frankfurt's international flower market is the most active, according to industry spokesmen. In addition to the international market, a central flower market exists for local growers who maintain inventories and who make sales at this market. Because Frankfurt is a distribution point for imported fruits, vegetables, and flowers within Hessen, but is not a re-export market, it is a much smaller market than the Aalsmeer auction.

Germany is a large importer of cut flowers, and in 1977, imports totaled 111,000 tons, an increase of 40 percent since 1973. Major cut flowers sold are roses, carnations, chrysanthemums, and tulips. Nearly 90 percent of German imports are from EEC member countries, including, as previously described, imports of cut flowers from Holland which are themselves, imports into Holland.

Holland auction markets may be the easiest entry into the German market for small scale or developing Egyptian exporters. Besides established distribution channels, Holland's auction markets provide facilities for receiving, storage, and display of cut flowers to the wholesale trade. However, since a considerable portion of the German imports are from Holland, savings in market fees and transportation may result for Egyptian exporters having sufficient scale of oeprations to provide needed volume and facilities for the German market directly. In other words, it is considered more difficult to enter the German wholesale market at a small scale than the Holland auction markets, but if possible to enter the German markets directly with adequate volume and distribution facilities, profits should be proportionately greater.

Carnations represented 26 percent of the total quantity of imports into Germany in 1977; roses, 16 percent; and chrysanthemums, glads, and tulips comprising the bulk of the remaining 58 percent.

German production of cut flowers under glass is quite nominal when compared to its imports. Table 4 gives hectares under glass for the major cut flowers for 1975 and 1978. These data show roses and chrysanthemums occupying most of the glass coverage. Total hectares under glass for the four flower crops declined from 795 hectares in 1975, to 778 in 1978 , or a reduction of 2 percent.

## Imports

Data showing imports of major cut flowers were available for roses and carnations during the months of November 1 - May 31 for the years 1975-78, by origin (Tables 5 and 6). Total imports of cut roses increased from 102,908 ( 100 kg. ) in 1975 , consistently to $113,123(100 \mathrm{~kg}$.) in 1978. Quantities

TABLE 4
HECTARES UNDER GLASS
DEVOTED TO SELECTED CUT FLOWER PRODUCTION GERMANY, 1975 AND 1978

|  | 1975 | 1978 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Roses | 270 | 251 |
| Chrysanthemums | 242 | 244 |
| Tulips | 124 | 102 |
| Glads, Iris | 127 | 147 |
| Carnations | 33 | 34 |
| Total these crops | 795 | 778 |

Source: Anbou von Zierpflanzen, Reihe 3.6, 1978, Land-Und Forstwirtschaft, Fachserie 3.; Herausgeher: Statistisches Bundesamt Wiesbaden

TABLE 5
GERMAN IMPORT OF ROSES PERIOD NOVEMBER J-MAY 31, 1975-78 BY ORIGIN ( 100 Kg )

| Country | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Columbia | 556 |  |  |  |
| Netherlands | 88,810 | 89,412 | 93,678 | 83,507 |
| Italy | 2,472 | 1,611 | 2,274 | 3,421 |
| Brazil | 2,027 | 1,831 | 1,420 | 1,331 |
| Israel | 7,326 | 9,376 | 10,093 | 22,034 |
| Spain, Canary Is. | 867 | 878 | 1,298 | 2,283 |
| Kenya | 208 | 218 |  |  |
| Total | 102,908 | 104,018 | 109,655 | 113,123 |
| EEC | 91,367 | 91,166 | 96,281 | 87,208 |

TABLE 6
GERMAN IMPORTS OF CARNATIONS
PERIOD NOVEMBER 1-MAY 31, 1975-78
BY ORIGIN ( $100 \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{)}$

| Country | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Netherlands | 80,507 | 80,941 | 73,480 | 65,235 |
| Italy | 74,719 | 64,269 | 71,746 | 73,623 |
| Spain | 3,501 | 3,211 | 3,503 | 2,154 |
| Kenya | 13,215 | 17,687 | 13,643 | 21,225 |
| Columbia | 3,690 | 10,640 | 11,912 | 18,031 |
| Israel | 5,063 | 11,640 | 19,209 | 57,114 |
| Total | 195,539 | 200,972 | 205,661 | 247,318 |
| EEC | 166,852 | 155,505 | 154,673 | 145,565 |

entering Germany from Netherlands declined, but rose imports from Israel tripled from 7,326 ( 100 kg ) to 22,034 ( 100 kg.$)$.

Imports of carnations likewise increased, from 195,539 (100 kg.) in 1975, to 247,318 ( 100 kg .) in 1978, an increase of 26 percent. Imports from the Netherlands decreased by 19 percent; those from Italy remained barely constant; while those from Kenya increased by 62 percent, and from Columbia, 486 percent. Imports from Israel fluctuated widely, reflecting competition with Columbia and Holland on the German market. However, many of the German imports during the period November 1 through May 31 undoubtedly are of Israeli origin.

## Prices

Prices for roses and carnations imported by Germany were calculated for the season November 1, 1977 - May 31, 1978, by origin. Prices ranged considerably among the exporting countries, probably reflecting quality differences. Prices for roses ranged from a low of 9.44 D.M. per 100 kg . for Brazilian roses, to a high of 13.19 D.M. for Spain roses. Prices averaged 11.68 D.M. per 100 kg . for the six months period (Table 7).

Prices for carnations ranged from a low of 6.22 D.M. per 100 kg . (Israel), to a high of 10.92 D.M. for Italy. The average was 9.33 D.M. Earlier it was suggested that in the case of carnations, Israel production competed most directly with that from Kenya. This suggestion is strengthened by the price comparisons for the two countries; Israel, 6.22 D.M., and Kenya, 6.094 D.M., compared with the average of 9.33 D.M..

A study titled "The EEC Market for Cut Flowers" (mimeographed; publisher not stated) reports that rising production costs for growing cut flowers in Germany have outstripped the rise in prices, in 1975. Reduction in hectares of greenhouse space suggest this situation may be continuing to the present;

TABLE 7

GERMAN WHOLESALE PRICES FOR IMPORTED CUT ROSES AND CARNATIONS BY ORIGIN, FOR PERIOD NOVEMBER 1, 1977-MAY 31, 1978

| Exporting <br> County | Price per 100 Kg (D.M.) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Spain | Roses | Carnations |
| Israel | 13.19 | 8.95 |
| Netherlands <br> Brazil | 10.31 | 6.22 |
| Italy | 11.80 | 10.76 |
| Kenya | 9.44 | 10.94 |
| Columbia |  |  |
| Average | 11.68 | 9.92 |

if so, there may be additional imports as lower cost winter time production occurs in warmer climate areas. However, interregional competition depends on the comparative sum of relative production, marketing, and transportation costs for products of equal quality.

## France

The main wholesale flower market in France is located at Rungis, near Paris, from where international and domestic cut flowers and pot plants are distributed.

Rungis is the wholesale market serving most regions of France. In the immediate region of Paris, there are 3,500 retail flower establishments, and 233 wholesalers. There are adequate storage warehouses, including controlled atmosphere facilities at Rungis.

## Imports

Imports represent a small percentage of flowers sold through the Rungis market, but they have been growing at a steady rate. Gross imports are shown in Table 8 for the years 1970-78, and imports by months, in Table 9. Imports have increased more than threefold during the past five years, and in 1978 accounted for 12 percent of total lots sold at Rungis. This was up from 2.6 percent in 1970.

The largest volumes of imports occur during the months October through May (Table 9). Most parcels are from Holland, followed by Italy and Africa (Table 10).

Market Demands $=$ Roses
Red roses have the largest demand, followed by rose' (pinks), and whites. Major varieties produced in France to meet these demands include Baccara ( 15 percent), Sonia ( 42 percent), Carina ( 13 percent), Belinda ( 14 percent),

TABLE 8
IMPORTS OF CUT FLOWERS RUNGIS WHOLESALE MARKET, 1970-78

| Year | Number of <br> Colisa/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 71 |
| 1971 | 71 |
| 1972 | 62 |
| 1973 | 111 |
| 1974 | 110 |
| 1975 | 161 |
| 1976 | 232 |
| 1977 | 253 |
| 1978 | 346 |

a/ Colis, literally, parcels or lots.
table 9

| Tear | Jan. | Pcb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aus. | Scpt. | Oct. | Bov. | Dec. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,155 | 6,130 | 6,981 | 8,265 |  |
| 1971 | 7.570 | 9,743 | 11,271 | 3,172 | 1,098 | 5,700 | 3,853 | 2,370 | 6,196 | 7,232 | 4,597 | 8.401 | 71,203 |
| 1972 | 7,969 | 11,366 | 8,746 | 3,987 | 3,392 | 4.187 | 3,169 | 2,110 | 3,933 | 5,405 | 3,404 | 4,034 | 61,702 |
| 1973 | 10,903 | 10,343 | 15,731 | 8,910 | 4,977 | 4,394 | 4,730 | 2,401 | 6.476 | 12,369 | 7,950 | 16,605 | 105,789 |
| 1974 | 11,047 | 12,993 | 7,927 | 6,542 | 8,966 | 6,176 | 4,934 | 3,654 | 8,346 | 13,270 | 12,729 | 17.668 | 110,252 |
| 1975 | 12,823 | 12,529 | 12,704 | 13,000 | 11,371 | 8,267 | 9,919 | 4,165 | 12,273 | 19,163 | 16,841 | 28,325 | 161,380 |
| 1976 | 21,621 | 23,621 | 22,014 | 18,776 | 15,171 | 11,813 | 12,302 | 7,887 | 16,415 | 20,650 | 17,626 | 35,089 | 222,943 |
| 1977 | 28,250 | 25,047 | 23,636 | 16,957 | 17,886 | 19,019 | 10,187 | 7,609 | 18,064 | 20,876 | 22,222 | 43,109 | 252,865 |
| 1978 | 37,059 | 35,777 | 28,749 | 23,480 | 24,039 | 10,596 | 7,113 | 11,572 | 24,902 | 36,073 | 38,311 | 68,618 | .166,299 |

TABLE 10
SOURCE OF CUT FLOWER IMPORTS AT RUNGIS, 1976-78

| Country <br> of <br> Origin | 1976 | 1977 <br> Parcels (Boxes) | 1978 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holland | 186,048 | 201,754 | 274,917 |
| Italy | 29,990 | 33,251 | 39,893 |
| Israel | 3,954 | 1,592 | 8,077 |
| Africa: a/ | 6,888 | 9,530 | 10,791 |
| Others | 4,875 | 6,738 | 12,614 |
| Total | 231,755 | 252,865 | 346,292 |

a/ South Africa, Ivory Coast, Morroco, and Kenya

Concorde, and Visa. About 70 percent of the production of roses occurs between the months of May 1 - October 30, with the balance of production under glass during the balance of the season. Value of rose production in France in 1977 was $\$ 22$ million.

Glads
Land devoted to production of gladiolus averaged about 500 hectares for the period 1971-75.

Market preference is strong for red colored glads (50 percent), followed by pinks and salmons (20 percent). Principle varieties grown include Carmen, Hunting Song, Life Flame, While Excelsior, and White Friendship.

Imports constituted only 2 percent of total supply in 1975. In Northern France, production occurs during the months of July through October, with production from southern France continuing through December.

## Imports

As stated earlier, only 2 percent of the supply of glads at the Rungis wholesale market are imports. Of this category, Israel is the major supplier, providing cut glads during the months of November through mid-April.

Exports of Cut Flowers from Egypt
Egypt is currently exporting cut flowers to a number of middle-eastern countries, to Russia, and to a lesser extent to Europe.

## Roses

In 1979, there were 14 nations importing Egyptian grown roses (Table 11). Of these, Russia was the largest importer, accounting for 69 percent of total rose exports, and 93 percent of the value of rose exports. Lebanon was the second largest importer, accounting for 27 percent of the number, and 6 percent of the value of rose exports. According to available data, total

Table 11: Roses. Value and Number of Authorized Exports from Egypt by Receiving Countries, 1977-79

| Country | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{NU}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Value L.E | NU | Value L.E. | NU | Value L.E. |
|  |  |  |  | - 415 |  |  |
| Sudan | - | - | 9,360 | 415 | 10,200 |  |
|  |  |  | , 500 | 46, | 716,430 | 56,700 |
| Lebanon | 800,678 | 34,90 | ,500 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2,900 | 145 | 43,280 | 4,240 |
| Kuwait | 29,440 | 1,435 | 2,900 | 145 | 43,280 |  |
|  |  | 30 | - | - | 500 | 20 |
| Saudi Arabia | 400 |  | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 71 | - | - | 2,300 | 225 |
| Abu 2aby | 900 | 47 | 12,040 | 565 |  |  |
| Jordan | 8,420 | 475 | 12,040 | 565 | 6,330 | 380 |
|  | - | - | 500 | 25 | 3,650 | 320 |
| Oater |  | - |  | 315 | 200 | 22 |
| Emirates | - | - | 4,460 | 315 | 200 |  |
| Syria | 229,460 | 22,808 | . 228,270 | 6,135 | - | - |
|  | 300 | 25 | - | - | - | - |
| El Dubai | 300 | 25 | 5,080 | 225 |  | - |
| Iraq | 22,230 | 2,280 | 5,080 | 225 | - | - |
| Russia | 3,289,000 | 162,330 | 1,957,990 | 97,170 | 1,821,870 | 870,635 |
| Switzerland | - | $1-$ | 27,060 | 1,192 | 4,700 | 255 |
| Austria | 22,200 | 2,275 | 87,590 | 1 3,100 | 4,700 | 465 |
| \| Austria |  | 130 | 4,800 | 270 | 600 | 50 |
| \| West Germany | 640 | $1-30$ | 4,800 - | 1 - | 2,520 | 225 |
| England | - | 1 - | - | 1 - | 2,520 |  |
|  |  |  | - | 1 - | 1,600 | 140 |
| \| Holland | - | 1 - | - | 1 | 1,600 |  |
| \| Italy | 640 | 130 | - | I | - |  |
| Italy |  | 1 |  |  | 2,617,770 | 934,457 |
| 1 TOTAL | 4,420,288 | 1 213,850 | 3,218,550 | 155,907 | 2,617,770 | 934,457 |
|  |  |  |  | \| $4.84 \mathrm{L.E}$. |  | 35.69 L.E. |
| \| Avg. Price/100 |  | 4.83 L.E. |  | $14.84 \mathrm{L.E}$. |  |  |

a Number of roses El Hadeny, Egypt Ministry of Agriculture, Economic Study of Foreign Relations of Source: Mahmoud E1 Agriculture.
value of rose exports have fluctuated greatly during the three year period, ranging from a low of 155,907 L.E. In 1978, to $934,457 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{E}$. in 1979. Some question of data accuracy arises, when one examines the values assigned to Russian imports, which in 1978 were 97,170 L.E. for $1,957,990$ roses, to 870,635 L.E. for $1,821,870$ roses in 1979 , and when comparing prices received per 100 roses, which were 4.83 L.E. In 1977, 4.84 L.E. in 1978, and jumped to 35.69 L.E. in 1979.

Gladiolus
Exports of gladiolus in 1979 were valued at $1,057,795$ L.E., exceeding the value of rose exports for that year. Three importing countries accounted for 95 percent of the value of gladiolus exports in 1979 (Table 12). In volume, Lebanon accounted for 45 percent of shipments, but 26 percent of value. Russia accounted for 30 percent of volume, but 62 percent of value. Italy took 17 percent of gladiolus exports, and 7 percent of value. No data are available to explain these differences in price and quantities. It could reflect quality, or purchasing expertise of buyers in the respective countries. Average price per 100 flowers were 4.51 L.E. in 1977, 6.20 L.E. in 1978, and 14.59 L.E. in 1979.

## Tuberose

Tuberose were exported to seven countries in 1979, of which Lebanon accounted for 96 percent of volume and value (Table 13). A total of 528, 420 tuberose were exported for a value of 62.520 L .E. Average price for 100 pieces were 4.86 L.E. in $1977,5.11$ L.E. in 1978, and 11.83 L.E. in 1979.

## Strelitzia

These are also known as bird of paradise; they represent a small portion of all flower exports, accounting for 20,830 L.E. in 1979. Of that total,

Table 12. Gladiolus: Value and Number of Authorized Exports from Egypt by Receiving Countries, 1977-79

| Country | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUA | Value L.E | NU | Value L.E. | NU | Value L.E. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sudan | - | - | 9,100 | 610 | 23,060 | 2,030 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lebanon | 747,550 | 38,533 | 1,665,600 | 95,345 | 3,305,840 | 275,435 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kuwait | 139,580 | 6,836 | 108,240 | 6,370 | 87,040 | 7,255 |
| Iraq | 227,800 | 11,391 | 401,500 | 22,940 | 175,400 | 13,040 |
| Syria | 1,017,370 | 48,145 | 868,710 | 49,430 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saudi Arabia | 6,640 | 525 | 13,000 | 715 | 4,360 | 525 |
| Jordan | 27,890 | 1,510 | 85,700 | 4,975 | 123,520 | 7,220 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| El Dubai | 321 | 22 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1 Dubra | 14,040 | 987 | - | - | 4,500 | 495 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abu Zaby | 8,080 | 635 | - | - | 8,910 | 1,005 |
| Emirates | - | - | 37,390 | 3,025 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oater | - | - | - | - | 16,570 | 145 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Russia | 1,462,670 | 56,890 | 1,275,220 | 104,630 | 2,194,500 | 662,880 |
| Poland | - | - | 480 | 40 | - | - |
| , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italy | 210,960 | 9,400 | 812,770 | 34,800 | 1,287,030 | 84,535 |
| Switzerland | 1,080 | 50 | 19,000 | 1,025 | 600 | 60 |
| Switzerland | 1,080 | So | 19,000 | 1,025 | 600 | 60 |
| Austria | - | - | 33,870 | 1,970 | 250 | 15 |
| France | - | - | 3,650 | 190 | - | - |
| Prance |  | - | 3,650 |  |  |  |
| Holland | - | - | 34,770 | 1,460 | 850 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hest Germany | 36,060 | 1,715 | 1,500 | 100 | 11,300 | 1,300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | - | - | 37,390 | 3,025 | 16,570 | 1,790 |
| \| total | 3,900,040 | 176,139 | 5,280,600 | 327,735 | 7,245,280 | 1,057,795 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \| Avg. Price/100 | - | 4.51 L.E. |  | 6.20 L.E. |  | $14.59 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{E}$. |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Mahmoud El Hadeny, Egypt Ministry of Agriculture, Economic Study of Foreign Relations of Agriculture.

Table 13. Tuberose: Value and Number of Units of Authorized Exports from Egypt by Receiving Countries, 1977-79

| Country | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NU' | Value L.E | NU | Value L.E. | NU | Value L.E. |
| Iraq | 6,080 | 280 | - | - | - | - |
| Saudi Arabia | 100 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Lebanon | 370,590 | 17,622 | 143,210 | 8,524 | 512,140 | 60,415 |
| Kuwait | 17,300 | 764 | 500 | 15 | 6,450 | 870 |
| Jordan | - | - | 5,270 | 320 | 1,500 | 110 |
| E1. Dabra | 1,220 | 90 | 120 | 10 | 1,580 | 210 |
| Abu Zaby | 300 | 30 | - | - | 5,050 | 675 |
| Euirates | - | - | - | - | 300 | 25 |
| Syria | 207,640 | 10,547 | 160,750 | 6,900 | - | - |
| Oater | - | - | 1,250 | 80 | - | - |
| Hest Germany | - | - | - | - | 1,400 | 195 |
| Austria | - | - | 1,000 | 140 | - | - |
| Italy | - | - | 1,020 | 30 | - | - |
| Russia | 2,000 | 220 | - | - | - | - |
| total | 605,230 | 29,449 | 313,120 | 16,009 | 528,420 | 62,520 |
| Avg. Price/100 |  | $4.86 \mathrm{L.E}$. |  | $5.11 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{E}$. |  | $11.83 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{E}$. |

${ }^{\text {a kumber of }}$ flowers
Source: Mahmoud El Hadeny, Egypt Ministry of Agriculture, Economic Study of Foreign Relations of Agriculture.

Russia accounted for 90 percent of volume, and 93 percent of value (Table 14). Strelitzia averaged 67.15 L.E. per 100 stalks.

Statistical Analysis of Rose Prices at Aalsmeer Auction
Regressional Analysis
The cultivar sonia represented about half of all roses sold at the Aalsmeer Flower Auction in 1976-78. An analysis of factors associated with price variations for this variety should indicate how prices fluctuate for similar cut roses.

This preliminary study is oriented toward determining the influence on prices at the Aalsmeer Auction associated with changes in the volume of sales and the month when cut roses are sold. Of course, it is recognized that several other factors may be important, but these are excluded for the present.

The data used are monthly auction prices and sales during January 1976-December 1978. These 36 observations were used to express price of sonia as a linear function of sales of sonia and the month of the year. Since the number of observations is not very large an attempt was not made to compute the seasonal effect for each month. Instead five subperiods were used. These were selected from a preliminary examination of monthly prices and sales. For example, during each of the three years prices were at their highest levels (and sales were lowest) during January and February. Prices declined, as sales increased, until about August and then moved in the opposite direction for the remaining four months (September-December).

As is usual for this type of problem the ordinary least squares method was used. The following regression equation was obtained.

$$
P=55.35-2.97 Q+17.97 X_{1}+15.87 X_{2}+5.6 X_{3}+12.83 X_{4}
$$ where $P=$ Auction price of sonia in cents per stem (expressed in Guilders) ${ }^{1}$

Table 14: Strelitzia. Value of Authorized Exports from Egypt by Receiving Countries, 1979

Country NU Value L.E.

| Abu Zaby | 400 | 200 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Kuwait | 650 | 320 |
| Lebanon | 200 | 180 |
| Saudi Arabia | 100 | 50 |
| El Dobra | 200 | 100 |
| Emirates | 100 | 50 |
| Russia | 28,170 | 19,450 |
| West Germany | 550 | 260 |
| Switzerland | 150 | 100 |
| Austria | 300 | 20,830 |
| TOTAL | 31,020 |  |

Misc. Flowers

Carnations 1979

Lebanon
1,500
75

Source: Mahmoud El Hadeny, Egypt Ministry of Agriculture, Economic Study of Foreign Relations of Agriculture.
$\mathrm{Q}=$ Auction sales of sonia in million stems
and $X_{i}=$ Dummy varieties for months of the year
with $X_{1}=1$ for January-February, $X_{2}=1$ for March-April-May
$X_{3}=1$ for September-October, $X_{4}=1$ for November-December
and $X_{i}=0$ otherwise.
Substituting values for $X_{i}$ in the above equation gives separate equations for the five subperiods as follows:
$P=73.32-2.97 Q$ for January and February
P = 71.22-2.97Q for March, April and May
$P=55.35-2.97 Q$ for June, July and August
$P=60.98-2.97 Q$ for September and October
$P=68.18-2.97 Q$ for November and December.
The constant terms in the above five equations indicate the relative levels for the price-quantity relations during different time periods. For example, on the average, prices decrease by 2.1 cents per stem between January-February and March-May periods in 1976-78--when the same volume of sales are compared. Specifically, it may be of interest to compare prices relative to those received during the June-August period. These are 17.97 cents $^{2}$ per stem for January-February, 15.87 cents for March-May, 5.63 for September-October, and 12.83 for November-December.

These results are presented in tabular form for easy comparison. The data in Table 15 are prices estimated by the regression equation for each period when sales are varied from 4 to 16 million stems. (The monthly sales during the period under study ranged from 4.1 to 15.4 million.) In order not to be misleading, the estimated prices in the table are in two groups. One set represents prices estimated for quantities sold during 1976-78. The other

[^3]Table 15. Estimated Monthly Price for Roses ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for Varying Sales

| Sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Jan. Feb. | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ \text { Apr. May } \end{gathered}$ | June July Aug. | Sept. Oct. | Nov. Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 61.4 | (59.3) | (43.5) | (49.1) | (56.3) |
| 5 | 58.5 | (56.4) | (40.5) | (46.1) | (53.3) |
| 6 | 55.5 | (53.4) | (37.5) | (43.2) | 50.4 |
| 8 | (49.6) | 47.5 | (31.6) | (37.2) | 44.4 |
| 10 | (43.6) | 41.5 | 25.6 | 31.3 | (38.5) |
| 12 | (37.7) | 35.6 | 19.7 | 25.3 | (32.5) |
| 14 | (31.7) | 29.6 | 13.8 | 19.4 | (26.6) |
| 16 | (25.8) | 23.7 | 7.8 | (13.5) | (20.7) |

[^4]are those estimated by the regression equation for sales smaller or larger than those actually made in this three-year period.

The coefficients determined for the regression equation are statistically significant. Each has the correct sign according to expectations. Furthermore, the t-ratio for each is high, indicating that the value computed for the 36 observations would be very unlikely to occur for a sample drawn from the universe in which the coefficients had zero values.

The regression equation has a high explanatory value. Over 90 percent ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.92$ ) of the variation in monthly auction prices was "explained by" (associated with) differences in monthly sales and seasonal shifts in the level of demand. It should be recognized, however, that the two explanatory factors used (sales and month) probably are correlated with some variables not included--e.g., sales of other rose varieties or of other flowers.

Possibly the residuals are autocorrelated even though the Durbin-Watson statistic does not depart sufficiently from $D W=2$ to justify accepting the hypothesis that autocorrelation among residuals is present. Thus there is no basis for assuming the interpretation of the regression coefficients should be modified extensively.

In spite of the "good" results obtained, attention should be directed toward further study. It would be particularly useful to secure data for two or three more years in order to have a larger number of observations for analysis. But even with the currently avallable sample of 36 observations, some refinements could be made. First, information on other sales needed to be incorporated into the study. At present "quantity" is limited to sales of the variety sonia. It would be well to add sales of other roses (either as a total or the combined sales of one to three other important varieties). Also the inclusion of sales for one or other flowers sold in large volume might
improve the analysis. The subperiods of the year were selected somewhat arbitrarily. Experimentation with other groupings of months should be undertaken since a better combination might be secured.

## Is rael's Production and Marketing of Cut Flowers

Israel and Kenya are the most important of the suppliers of cut flowers to Europe during the winter months. Information on both countries was gleaned from sparse statistical data and in the case of Is rael, from a representative of Agrexco, the Israeli marketing organization, and with wholesale buyers in the terminal markets.

Israel marketed 25 percent of its flower production through the Aalsmeer auction in 1978, according to Aalsmeer officials. Two methods of export are available to Israeli growers, according to a spokesman for Agrexco. One is through an auction system, with a flower board supervising auctions. The Israeli Flower Board also prepares attractive promotion materials for use by wholesalers and retailers, through offices in Frankfurt, Copenhagen, Rungis, Zurich, Antwerp, and with Italian representation in Italy.

A second way, which reportedly accounts for 95 percent of Israel exports, are through Agrexco, an export company for flowers, fruits and vegetables, owned 51 percent by the state.

Is raeli growers receive the annual average price for cut flowers, not the price of actual daily or weekly prices in a given market. In this respect, it operates similarly to pooling by cooperatives.

Nearly all wholesalers interviewed described the success of Israel's rapid, and successful entry. into the European flower markets, as due to the "required mentality" to put together the critical mass for such a development. Several stated that other countries have as desirable a climate and soils, but

Chart 1. Sonia Roses: Average Monthly Premium Per Stem Relative to June-August Prices, 1976-78, Aalsmeer Auction

Dutch
cents


Chart 2. Sonia Roses: Average Monthly Discount Per Stem from January-
February Prices, $1976-78$, Aalsmeer Auction

the organizational and management talent required to make plans and desires operational are seemingly more apparent in Is rael.

Some concept of the Israel flower industry is obtained from the statistics below. Since these are statistical data obtained from the Is rael Flower Board, they must be considered more reliable than industry opinions.

The number of growers has increased greatly for rose and carnation production, and less for glads (Table 16), for the years 1974-75 to 1979-1980.

Land area devoted to flower crops peaked in 1978-79, after having climbed consistently since 1974-75. The reduction reflects Israel's cooperation with EEC for voluntary reductions in production of crops critical to EEC (Table 17). Despite such efforts, the number of flowers exported increased again in 1979-1980 just as it had for each year reported (Table 18). Such experience undoubtedly reflects technological advances in production. Only in the case of glads, did the number of pieces exported decline; in part this reflects their lower ratio of weight to value. Total value of exported flowers is shown in Table 19, which shows substantial increases in value for each year for all crops except for glads, which remained static even with lowered exports.

Export Conditions and Egyptian Government Policies
Quality of product, post-harvest care, adequacy of disease and pest control practices, and varieties (cultivars) all directly affect the ability of products from one country to compete with other production. Assuming quality and variety differences do not exist, the ability for one country to compete with another producing region depends on the total of farm production costs, preparation and marketing costs, and transporation charges to the ultimate market. To these factors, we can add one highly significant for Egypt; the governmental trade policies.
numbers of growers of cut flowers, ISRAEL, 1974/5a/ - 1979/80

|  | $1974-75$ | $1975-76$ | $1976-77$ | $1977-78$ | $1978-79$ | $1979-80$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roses | 600 | 510 | 550 | 760 | 1,450 | 1,400 |
| Carnations | 270 | 355 | 755 | 1,160 | 1,790 | 1,650 |
| Glads | 605 | 850 | 740 | 950 | 1,100 | 750 |
| Others | 115 | 140 | 480 | 1,500 | 2,160 | 2,000 |
| Total | 1,1590 | 1,855 | 2,525 | 4,370 | 6,500 | 5,800 |

a/ 20 to 30 percent should be added to these totals since all flowers are not marketed through the board. This estimate is from the Israel Flower Board.

TABLE 17
LAND AREA DEVOTED TO FLOWER PRODUCTION, ISRAEL, 1974-1980 ${ }^{\text {a/ b/ }}$

|  | $1974-75$ | $1975-76$ | $1976-77$ | $1977-78$ | $1978-79$ | $1979-80$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Roses | 1,044 | 1,178 | 1,225 | 1,450 | 2,000 | 1,975 |
| Carnations | 433 | 650 | 1,300 | 2,080 | 3,050 | 2,900 |
| Glads | 3,000 | 4,500 | 3,700 | 4,350 | 4,500 | 3,000 |
| Others | 280 | 340 | 850 | 2,320 | 5,530 | 6,200 |
| Total | 4,757 | 6,668 | 7,075 | 10,200 | 15,000 | 14,075 |

a/ See footnote a, Table 11.
b/ Land area is the dunam, approximately one-fourth acre.

TABLE 18
NUMBER OF FLOWERS EXPCRTED, ISRAEL, 1974-80 ${ }^{\text {a/ b/ }}$

|  | $1974-75$ | $1975-76$ | $1976-77$ | $1977-78$ | $1978-79$ | $1979-80$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (millions) |  |  |  |
| Roses | 88.6 | 90 | 97 | 121 | 156 | 210 |
| Carnation | 42.1 | 64 | 139 | 212 | 329 | 370 |
| Glads | 31.1 | 25 | 28 | 27 | 31 | 20 |
| Other | 16.3 | 14 | 21 | 50 | 122 | 180 |
| Total | 178.1 | 193 | 285 | 410 | 638 | 780 |

a/ See footnote a, Table 11
b/ in millions of prices

TABLE 19
ESTIMATED TOTAL VALUE OF ISRAEL FLOWER EXPORTS, U.S. DOLLARS ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$

|  | $1974-75$ | $1975-76$ | $1976-77$ | $1977-78$ | $1978-79$ | $1979-80$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (millions of U.S. dollars) |  |  |  |
| Roses | 9.8 | 9.8 | 13 | 18.5 | 22 | 31 |
| Carnation | 3.8 | 5.2 | 12 | .18 .0 | 26 | 30 |
| Glads | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.0 | 2 | 2 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 12 | 22 |
| Total | 16.6 | 18.0 | 29.5 | 43.0 | 62 | 85 |

a/ see footnote $a$, Table 12 .

The export market structure is not well established in Egypt, including adequate facilities for post-harvest care and air shipping. Usually, channels are direct from grower to retail shops, and growers are also the exporters. A single export cooperative for growers would facilitate gaining economies in post-harvest and market preparation, uniformity in grading, and elimination of competition among growers. Just as important, it would assemble sufficient quantities to attract major buyers.

Governmental Policies
Procedures for export appear to be complex and cumbersome, often, but not always, reflecting government policies. For example, the trade prefers gladiolus to be shipped in upright cartons to protect crushing that can occur with flat display cartons, but for some reason, scheduled air service has been unable to handle upright cartons.

Grades and standards are established by the General Organization for Imports and Exports, with specifications established as law. To the extent these grades correspond or exceed those used by the established trade in Europe, no problem is evident. However, when they deviate significantly from established grades in receiving countries, shipments may be refused, or at best, sold at heavy discount. Review of standards to determine compliance with EEC and major wholesale markets is recommended.

Prices for export are established by a committee of 20 members, under the Ministry of Trade. The basis for prices set, apparently, is the previous season's price plus a percentage adjustment, usually an increase. Further, this price is often set for the season. If our understanding of this process is correct, it effectively eliminates Egyptian flowers from the Aalsmeer flower auction, Europe's largest individual market, where prices are
determined by competition among buyers in the market at a given time, not by prices set by contract or government decree.

The economic significance of this exclusion is that it is felt the Aalsmeer market may be more accessible to smaller-scale producing countries of shippers such as those in Egypt, than individual wholesalers in other nonauction markets. Auction markets are essentially consignment markets, with no price reservations or minimum prices possible, such as that established in Egypt.

Finally, the procedures for clearing Egyptian export inspections are cumbersome and conceivably could cause delays in shipments of highly perishable products. Price control verification, customs verification of quantities, and transportation declarations are reported to be impediments for export trade, and perhaps there are better ways to achieve their objectives, possibly through the National Bank, to whom payments could be made on behalf of the shipper. steadily for the past decade (1970's). European growers have been unable to produce enough flowers to meet consumption needs, particularly during the winter and early spring season requiring heated greenhouse production. As a result, exports have developed to Europe from countries having warmer climate during the European "off-season".
2. Europe receives flowers from many parts of the world--Israel, Kenya, Columbia, and several southeast Asian countries. The most remarkable growth has been in Israel, whose production and exports have risen by nearly fivefold in the years since 1974-75.
3. There is evidence that the big growth of European cut flower markets has been achieved. EEC member nations have proposed voluntary cutbacks by Is rael, and in 1979-80, cropland devoted to cut flower production in Israel did decline in total, for the first time in at least six years.
4. Factors that suggest substitutions in production area may occur are increased energy costs in Europe, and increased transportation costs from producing areas to European markets. Total cost comparisons should be made to identify Egypt's potentials in interregional competition in cut flowers.
5. Aalsmeer, Holland, is the largest wholesale market in Europe, operating as a cooperative auction, and which re-exports flowers from outside Holland consigned to that market.
6. Egyptian policies are not conducive to the development of a substantial export sector for cut flowers. Complex requirements for export documentation, and fixed (minimum) prices for exports are two examples of not only inhibiting exports, but preventing Egyptian flowers from being offered
through auctions, of which Aalsmeer is the largest, and considered to be the most feasible for entry by Egypt shippers.
7. Ease of entry into middle-eastern countries for Egypt products appears to present opportunities to expand shipments to these nations. Direct air connections are not the best, so post-harvest care and adequate handing arrangements are warranted. Lebanon was the most significant middle-eastern country for Egyptian cut flowers. Efforts should be strengthened to maintain these shipments, and to expand to other middle eastern countries.
8. During the years 1977-79 Egypt had a trading relationship with Russia, which should be expanded to include other eastern European nations, a few of which have experienced strong economic growth. Russia was the main importer of cut flowers from Egypt during the through year period.


[^0]:    $1_{\text {Leon Garoyan is an economist, Cooperative Extension Service, University of }}$ California and on the Giannini Foundation. Jerry Foytik is Emeritus Professor of Agricultural Economics, University of California, Davis, and Emeritus, Giannini Foundation. Samuel Palmer is an undergraduate student in the Department of Agricultural Economics, Davis.

[^1]:    All numbers reflect flowers or stems imported
    Source: Aalsmeer Flower Auction

[^2]:    1 The duty for Kenya and Israel is 10 percent.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 1980 the Guilder was worth approximately 52 U.S. cents.

[^4]:    aprices for rose variety sonia estimated by regression equation computed (see text). Prices shown in parentheses are for quantities outside the range of sales made.during 1976-78.
    $b_{\text {Sales }}$ are in million stems for rose variety sonia.
    cPrices in parenthesis are estimated prices.

