AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

ANNUAL SHELF
WITHDRAWN



MARKET POTENTIAL OF THE SAN PEDRO WETFISH FISHERY: A DEMAND ANALYSIS APPROACH

by

Darrel A. Nash

Working Paper No. 38
December 1969

LWS

BUREAU OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES
DIVISION OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

WORKING PAPER SERIES

1. An Application of an Investment Model to Channel Catfish Farming by R. Thompson and F. Mange.

2. The Development of Catfish as a Farm Crop and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash and M. Miller.

3. Design Study: An Optimum Fishing Vessel for Georges Bank Groundfish Fishery by A. Sokoloski (Project Monitor).

4. The Relation between Vessel Subsidy Percentage and the Rate of Return on Investment for Various Technologies and Scale Levels: The Haddock Fishery by D. Nash, A. Sokoloski and F. Bell (Project Monitors).

5. An Economic Justification for Recommended Legislative Changes in the 1964 Fishing Fleet Improvement Act by F. Bell, E. Carlson, D. Nash and A. Sokoloski.

6. The Economic Impact of Current Fisheries Management Policy on the Commercial Fishing Industry of the Upper Great Lakes by D. Cleary.

7. Cost and Earnings in the Boston Large Trawler Fleet by B. Noetzel and V. Norton.

8. Some Elements of An Evaluation of the Effects of Legal Factors on the Utilization of Fishery Resources by A. Sokoloski.

9. A Report on the Economics of Polish Factory Trawlers and Freezer Trawlers by B. Noetzel.

10. An Inventory of Demand Equations for Fishery Products by D. Nash and F. Bell.

11. Industry Analysis of West Coast Flounder and Sole Products and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash and M. Miller.

12. Bio-Economic Model of a Fishery (Primarily Demersal) by E. Carlson.

13. The Factors behind the Different Growth Rates of U. S. Fisheries by F. Bell.

14. A Price Incentive Plan for Distressed Fisheries by A. Sokoloski and E. Carlson.

15. Demand and Prices for Shrimp by D. Cleary.

16. Industry Analysis of Gulf Area Frozen Processed Shrimp and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by M. Miller, D. Nash and F. Schuler.

Market Potential of the San Pedro Wetfish Fishery: A Demand Analysis Approach

by

Darrel A. Nash
Division of Economic Research
Bureau of Commercial Fisheries

presented to

CALIFORNIA COOPERATIVE OCEANIC FISHERIES INVESTIGATIONS CONFERENCE
Cambria, California

December 1, 1969

Market Potential of the San Pedro Wetfish Fishery: A Demand Analysis Approach

by

Darrel A. Nash

How can profits be improved in the San Pedro wetfish fishery? I think that is the central theme in the proceedings today. There are several facets of this problem. The resource base, vessel and gear efficiency, access to the resource, all are factors. Another most important consideration is the market potential for these products in terms of expected growth of the market, how variations in total landings affect prices and profits, and how the prices of one product affect another. This paper shows how profits are expected to be affected by changes in landings, prices, and changes in the general economy.

We all recognize that there have been several drastic changes in this fishery which are only loosely, if at all, related to economic forces. Therefore, some of the associations found between the fishery and these economic factors may be only apparent. Prices received by the fishermen and the amount sold to canners, at least in the short run, are not entirely a free market. Prices are generally set before the start of the fishing season and the canneries set quotas on purchases from the fishermen. Ideally, for an economic analysis data are available which have been generated by prices and quantities which adjust freely to market forces.

Having expressed these cautions, we were pleasantly surprised at the results of a price and demand analysis of this fishery. The economic and statistical tests applied to the results show that several demand relations have been successfully estimated. The interpretation of the results, however, must be somewhat guarded.

I would like to cover briefly why demand analysis is undertaken and what we hope to accomplish by such efforts. Demand analysis is done to determine what factors--what economic forces--cause prices and quantities purchased to be at certain levels. Fishermen are well aware of price variations for fish and probably have a fairly good understanding of why prices behave as they do. Demand analysis attempts to isolate and quantify the net effects of the major factors affecting price and quantity. There are implications to be drawn as to profit potential based on the particular characteristics of the results. Armed with this knowledge, fishermen and processors can do several things. One is that by knowing what factors affect price and quantity purchased they can see the future courses of the market potential for their product. Secondly, revenue changes resulting for changes in amount sold can be determined. Finally, the results may indicate opportunities for changing demand through advertising, product development, and similar market expansion activities.

If you will permit, I will take a few minutes to review the general approach to demand analysis and discuss the expected outcome.

According to economic principals, we expect that the amount of a product which is purchased is determined by price, consumer income, population, and prices of other products which are substitutes for the one under consideration.

The direction of the effect is also specified by these principals. As prices increase, we expect the amount purchased to decrease. Looking at it from another direction, as more is offered for sale, price must decrease in order to clear the market. Population and income increases are expected to increase the amount purchased. These are the two "growth variables" in most of the world today, and those products which are greatly affected by population and income are in an extremely fortunate position since both population and income are increasing steadily. On the other hand, there are some products which diminish in consumption as income increases. These are the losers, you might say, in the competition for the consumers' dollar. Consumption patterns differ by various socio-economic characteristics of the population. Thus as these characteristics change over time, consumption of certain products will also change. Prices of substitute products tend to cause the amount of the product under consideration to move in the same direction. If the price of a good substitute goes down, you are likely to buy it, and therefore the quantity sold of another product goes down.

The next step is to put these theoretical economic relationships to work in obtaining statistical estimates.

The means used to derive the statistical estimates in this case is multiple regression analysis. This method, as you probably know, fits a functional relationship among the several variables in the analysis. Tests are applied to the estimates, both economic and statistical, to determine if our estimates can be accepted as valid.

I want to go directly to the estimates of demand and market potential which were estimated for the San Pedro wetfish fishery. This was done at two market levels--the landing and the wholesale level (that is sales f.o.b. the canners).

At the landing level, prices and quantities were analyzed using California data from 1950 to 1966 based on Fishery Statistics of the U. S. The species were Pacific mackerel, jack mackerel, anchovies, sardines, bonito, and bluefin tuna. Since the future resource availability of sardines is in question, the sardine analysis may be merely a study of history, however, the results are interesting.

A series of equations were run treating quantity landed as affected by all other variables, and a second set considers price as affected by the others. Other variables included were the prices of the other wetfish species and annual consumer income in the U. S. At the landings level total annual income was used, rather than per capita income. In this way the variable measures the effect of both population and income increases.

Mackerel

I think the best way of showing the results is to present one product at a time and discuss the various analyses done on each of these. So let's look at table 1, pages 6 and 7, which shows the results of the mackerel analysis.

At the landings level, the first step was to determine which of the other products of the wetfish fleet affected the price or sales of mackerel. This showed that only the two types of mackerel were related. Problems 1 through 4 show these results.

Table 1A will be discussed in some detail to explain the meaning of the various figures. The first row in each equation shows the coefficients of each variable, or the units of change in the dependent variable associated with a one unit change in the independent variable. For the logarithmic equations (problems 1 and 2), the changes may be considered to be in percentage terms, while the linear equations (problems 3 and 4), are in the units (pounds and dollars) used to make the estimates. For example, the problem shows that for a one percent change in the price of jack mackerel, landings change a net amount of 3.86 percent in the opposite direction, and that for a one percent change in the price of Pacific mackerel, jack mackerel landings change 2.95 percent in the same direction. The figures in parentheses--the second row--indicate how much confidence can be placed in the estimate directly above it. As a rule of thumb, if the t-value is greater than 2.0, we can be confident our results

Table 1. Price and Demand Analysis of California Mackerel
A. Landings Level

Prob. No.	Dep. Var.	Statistic	Variable: Price of Jack Mackerel	Price of Pacific Mackerel	Landings of Jack Mackerel	Landings of Pacific Mackerel	Consumer Income	a	R^2	D.W.
1	Jack Mackerel Landings	b	-3.86	2.95			-.33	8.18	.40	1.75[1/3/]
		t	(2.66)[4/]	(1.88)			(.21)	(.90)		
2	Price of Jack Mackerel	b		.79	-.10			.74	.89	2.36[1/2/]
		t		(9.70)[4/]	(2.88)[4/]			(3.38)[4/]		
3	Pacific Mackerel Landings	b	.26	-1.94				77.31	.68	1.87[2/]
		t	(.311)	(2.72)[4/]				(7.94)[4/]		
		e	.21	-1.69						
4	Price of Pacific Mackerel	b	.75			-.0002		14.00	.87	1.74[3/]
		t	(4.53)[4/]			(2.72)[4/]		(2.52)[4/]		
		e	.69			-.22				

[1/] logarithmic equations
[2/] no autocorrelation 5 per cent confidence level
[3/] may or may not be autocorrelation at 5 per cent confidence level
[4/] significant at the 5 per cent confidence level

e = the percentage change in the dependent variable for a one percent change in the independent variable

Table 1. Price and Demand Analysis of California Mackerel
B. Canners Level

Prob. No.	Dep. Var.	Statistic	Variable: Price of Canned Mackerel	Price of Tuna-Like Fishes--Canned	Price of Canned Alewives	Pack of Mackerel	Consumer Income	a	R^2	D.W.
5	Pack of Mackerel	b	-3.56	.79	.134			.43	.46	1.72[3]
		t	(3.27)[4]	(1.34)	(.05)			(1.46)		
		e	-2.22	1.34	.06					
6	Price of Canned Mackerel	b		.131	.39	-.00008	.00001	.05	.56	1.45[3]
		t		(1.22)	(.88)	(2.18)[4]	(1.41)	(.81)		
		e		.36	.26	-.13	.13			

[3] may or may not be autocorrelation at 5 per cent confidence level
[4] significant at the 5 per cent level confidence

e = percentage change in the dependent variable for a one percent change in the independent variable

are accurate within a statistical tolerance. Even if some do not pass this test, our feeling is that in many cases these should be used rather than saying we have no information at all. The D.W. (Durbin-Watson) statistic tests whether the equation has been properly formulated. Generally, D.W. statistics between 1.5 and 2.5 indicate an acceptable equation.

The "e" values of problems 3 and 4 have the same economic meaning as the "b" values in problems 1 and 2. A logarithmic equation gives results directly in percentages, while for the linear equations, this must be computed. The percentage change is very important as it measures how profits are affected by changes in quantity and price. The relative percentage change between dependent and independent variables is known as the elasticity.

The four equations on mackerel taken in total, I think give us quite a bit of understanding of the price making forces in these markets. The strongest relationship is between the two prices (note the t-values in equations 2 and 4). As we expect according to our reasoning above, there is an inverse relation between price and landings (a negative sign), and a direct relation between the price or quantity of a product and the price of the substitute. All four of the equations show that the prices and landings quantity of each of the two species are definitely related. Although the relationships are quite strong, prices do not respond very much <u>percentagewise</u> to changes in landings.

For example, in equation 2 a one percent increase in landings of jack mackerel would result in a .1 percent decrease in the price of the product.

A similar analysis was done at the canners (or wholesale) level. First, prices of other canned fish products which may affect the products canned from the wetfish fishery were included. There is some indication of a relationship between "tuna-like fishes" and mackerel in both equations 5 and 6, page 7. Similar to the landings level, the strongest relationship in both equations is between its own price and quantity. Again prices do not change very much to a change in pack. There is a weak positive relation of mackerel price to consumer income. However, this cannot be considered as a major price determinant.

Mackerel Imports

A continuing factor of concern to U.S. fishermen is the effect of imports on the domestic fishery. Equations 5 and 6 were rerun including imports as a variable. We can report that this analysis came out about the same as most other attempts so far to measure the effects of fish imports--that is, the results were inconclusive. There is some evidence from the analysis that imports change in the opposite direction to domestic production, and change in the same direction as domestic price, indicating that a price increase attracts imports. This should not be considered as a conclusive analysis but does show what a preliminary

look revealed.

Anchovies

After mackerel, which currently is the mainstay of the fleet, the most interest lies in anchovies, which may become an important resource for the wetfish fleet. The anchovy equations are shown in table 2, page 11. These also show a strong relationship between price and quantity and that prices change considerably less percentagewise than do landings. In this case, a one percent increase in landings results in a .14 percent decline in price (equation 8). The t-values again confirm, that these two factors are strongly related. Jack mackerel prices seem to affect anchovy landings and prices, probably reflecting a tendency for buyers to use the major product of the fishery as a basis for establishing price offers. The price effect of Pacific sardines can be safely discounted. The negative relationship between consumer income and prices is attributed to the decline of the resource more than to a lowering of demand for the product.

Bonito

Again we find a strong relationship between price and landings of bonito. There is also a very strong association between sardine price and bonito price and landings. There is also a weak relationship shown from price of Pacific mackerel, however, this can probably be safely discounted. There was a strong

Table 2. Price and Demand Analysis of California Anchovies
Landings Level

Prob. No.	Dep. Var.	Statistic	Price of Anchovies	Landings of Anchovies	Price of Jack Mackerel	Price of Pacific Sardine	Consumer Income	a	R^2	D.W.
7	Landings of Anchovies	b	-2.91		4.44	-.67		2.49	.48	.77 1/
		t	(2.15)		(3.09) 4/	(1.15)		(1.40)		
8	Price of Anchovies	b		-.14	.61	.02	-.58	4.07	.67	1.11 1/
		t		(3.60) 4/	(2.84) 4/	(.21)	(2.72) 4/	(3.33) 4/		

1/ logarithmic equation
4/ significant at the 5 percent confidence level

negative association between consumer income and bonito price. We feel this mainly represents a concurrent downward trend in price and upward in income, without any causal relationship between the two.

We did not learn very much about the demand for bonito at the canners' level. As an attempt to analyze this market, data on "tuna-like fishes" were used. Probably due to the conglomerate, statistical measurement is difficult. Equation 11 is shown as an example of several tried. Price and quantity packed seem to move in the same direction, which runs counter to the principal set out earlier.

Sardines

Pacific sardines, consistent with the bonito analysis at the landings level (table 4), are found to be affected by the same market forces as bonito. Prices, as in the case of all other products analyzed, are very strongly related to landings. The percentage change in price (.13) is quite low for a one percent change in landings. In equation 13 the upward trend in sardine prices is recorded by the positive income coefficient. Sardines were not analyzed at the canners' level.

Bluefin

Bluefin prices and landings are strongly related to jack mackerel price, although the reverse was not shown to be true.

Table 3. Price and Demand Analysis for Bonito Landings Level

Prob. No.	Dep. Var.	Statistic	Price of Bonito	Price of Sardines	Price of Pacific Mackerel	Bonito Landings	Consumer Income	a	R^2	D.W.
			Variable							
9	Bonito Landings	b	-.17	.14	.16		-.05	18.00	.85	2.07 2/
		t	(2.32) 4/	(4.07) 4/	(1.25)		(1.78)	(1.75)		
		e	-2.34	1.57	.14		-4.25			
10	Price of Bonito	b		.53		-.04	-2.32	13.77	.97	2.13 1/ 2/
		t		(9.63) 4/		(1.77)	(19.81) 4/	(23.30) 4/		

B. Canners Level

			Price of Tuna-like fishes	Price of Canned Mackerel	Price of Canned Tuna			
11	Pack of Tuna-like fishes	b	.33	.091	-.01	-.07	.66	1.94 2/
		t	(3.79) 4/	(1.94)	(.18)	(2.44) 4/		
		e	2.19	.71	-.09			

1/ logarithmic equations
2/ no autocorrelation 5 per cent confidence level
3/ may or may not be autocorrelation at 5 per cent confidence level
4/ significant at the 5 per cent level confidence

e = the percentage change in the dependent variable for a one per cent change in the independent variable

Table 4. Price and Demand Analysis of Pacific Sardines
Landings Level

Prob. No.	Dep. Var.	Statistic	Variable: Price of Sardines	Price of Bonito	Landings of Sardines	Pack of Sardines	Consumer Income	a	R^2	D.W.
12	Sardine Landings	b	-2.63	.99				6.89	.87	1.88[1/2/]
		t	(8.47)[4/]	(2.92)[4/]				(8.54)[4/]		
13	Price of Sardines	b		1.25	-.13		2.80	-15.39	.94	2.56[1/3/]
		t		(5.77)[4/]	(2.96)[4/]		(4.82)[4/]	(4.14)[4/]		

1/ logarithmic equations
2/ no autocorrelation 5 per cent confidence level
3/ may or may not be autocorrelation at 5 per cent confidence level
4/ significant at the 5 per cent level confidence

As in the case of anchovies, this probably reflects the tendency to base prices on the major product. The price and landings as before, are highly related.

At the canners' level, bluefin is undoubtedly affected by the same factors affecting all tuna. Equations for all types of tuna combined have been previously derived. One such equation is problem 16. This can be considered to show the factors affecting bluefin sales. In this case we find that consumption changes about in the same percentage as price. Note also that rising incomes will cause tuna consumption to increase about 1.4 percent for each 1 percent increase in income.

Implications

We have some ideas now of how the various products of the fishery are related to each other in a statistical sense. Most of these results also find support in economic expectations. As for any analysis, the question is "So what?"

One very important finding, which we might say is "bad news," is the lack of any strong relationship between landings or pack and consumer income except in the case of tuna and sardines. Therefore, we cannot count on rising income to cause growth in the size of the market for the major species of the fishery. At the landings level the income was total income--not per person or per capita--so even population increase cannot be counted on to increase market size.

Table 5. Price and Demand Analysis for Bluefin
A. Landings Level

Prob. No.	Dep. Var.	Statistic	Variable: Price of Bluefin	Variable: Price of Jack Mackerel	Variable: Bluefin Landings	a	R^2	D.W.
14	Bluefin Landings	b	-5.25	1.87		12.80		
		t	(3.45)4/	(2.32)4/		(4.67)4/	.46	1.42 1/ 3/
15	Price of Bluefin	b		.33	-.08	2.04		
		t		(4.02)4/	(3.44)4/	(13.44)4/	.65	1.69 1/ 3/

1/ logarithmic equations
2/ no autocorrelation 5 per cent confidence level
3/ may or may not be autocorrelation at 5 percent confidence level
4/ significant at the 5 per cent level confidence

Table 5. Price and Demand Analysis for Bluefin
B. Canners Level 5/

Prob. No.	Dep. Var.	Statistic	Price of Tuna	Price of Salmon	Price index of meat, poultry and fish	Consumer Income	a	R^2	D.W.
16	Per capita consumption of tuna	b	-.99	.15	-.22	1.41	-2.40	.97	1.28 1/
		t	(7.19)	(.94)	(.73)	(6.78)			

1/ logarithmic equations
5/ from Bell, Frederick W., "Economic Projections of the World Demand for Tuna, 1970-1990," Working Paper No. 18, Division of Economic Research, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, June 1969.

The other major finding we may classify as "good news." This is the matter of how sensitive prices are to landings, or vice versa. In every case analyzed, it was shown that prices change percentagewise very little in response to changes in landings. From the standpoint of the seller (the fishermen and canner) this means that they probably should produce more because gross receipts will increase. That is, the receipts from added landings will more than compensate for a price fall due to more products available on the market.

Note that I said, "Should probably produce more." The reason for the uncertainty is that it is not the gross receipts that make the difference on the profit and loss statement--it is the net earnings after expenses are covered. Fortunately in this case, we have information we can use to determine the effect of quantity increases on the net returns of the wetfish fleet. This is the study reported on earlier by Mr. Perrin.[1/]

If it is possible from the standpoint of resources available, fishing capability and catch quota regulations, any one boat could increase catch at any time without affecting the price noticeably and therefore increase profits. The question here is, what happens if the whole fleet increases catch? According to the price equations

---

1/ Perrin, William F., and Bruno G. Noetzel, "Economic Study of San Pedro Wetfish Boats," Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Division of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 32, October 1969.

Table 6. Effect of 10 Percent Catch Increase on Gross Revenue, Crew Earnings and Return to Vessel Owner

Vessel Size	100 percent mackerel				50 percent each of mackerel and anchovies			
	Gross Revenue	1 Crew Share	Profit	Return on Investment	Gross Revenue	1 Crew Share	Profit	Return on Investment
capacity in tons	-------dollars--------			-percent-	-------dollars--------			-percent-
70	100,000	6,080	7,328	26.0	100,000	6,157	4,576	16.2 a/
	108,841	6,791	9,935	35.3	108,876	6,754	6,670	23.7 b/
100	100,000	5,340	8,341	29.6	100,000	5,408	5,604	19.9 a/
	108,841	5,966	11,089	39.4	108,876	5,933	7,840	27.8 b/
120	100,000	5,297	8,745	31.1	100,000	5,365	5,917	21.0 a/
	108,841	5,917	11,540	41.0	108,876	5,884	8,289	29.4 b/
150	100,000	4,656	10,071	35.8	100,000	4,715	7,361	26.1 a/
	108,841	5,201	13,146	46.6	108,876	5,172	9,885	35.1 b/

a/ as shown in Economic Study of the San Pedro Wetfish Boats, Perrin and Noetzel, Division of Economic Research, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, U. S. Department of the Interior, Working Paper No. 32, Table 22.

b/ above source with 10 percent increase in landings adjusted for price change

discussed previously, this would cause a price decrease. As noted, however, this would result in a total revenue increase.

To see how this may affect an individual boat, selected cases in the Perrin and Noetzel study were rerun. It is assumed that all boats in the fleet increase catch by 10 percent. According to our demand equations, price will drop. But total revenue goes up for all boats which increase catch by the same or more than the average boat. Table 6 shows this and the effect on vessel and crew earnings. Each of the four sizes of boats were computed for two of the cases: 100 percent mackerel fishing, and 50 percent mackerel, 50 percent anchovy fishing. The $100,000 gross revenue cases shown in table 22 of Perrin and Noetzel were recomputed. If landings increased in each case by 10 percent by volume, gross revenue would be $108,841 and $108,876 respectively. As can be seen, crew shares, profits, and return on investment all increase significantly. We conclude that total revenue for the fishery would rise if catch were increased. Return to vessels and fishermen would also rise if catch of each vessel were increased by at least the average increase of the whole fleet. A vessel which did not increase catch, naturally would experience a decline in total revenue because the same catch would be sold for a lower price per pound.

The fact that profits can go up by increasing the amount sold should be of major interest to canners. Table 1B shows that gross

profits increase at higher amounts sold for the canners as well. Although the profit picture of canners cannot be analyzed as was done for the vessels, it is highly likely that net profit would also increase. An analysis similar to the one done by Perrin and Noetzel should be done at the canners level. If net profit increases with sales increases, then catch quotas should be liberalized.

The effect of the elasticity on profits is a two-edged sword. The relationship also works in reverse in that a decrease in landings decreases total profits and in this case net profits. This probably explains a good share of the difficulty the fleet presently finds itself in.

Conclusions

It has been demonstrated by the Perrin and Noetzel paper that there can be profits in the wetfish fishery given sufficient volume of landings. This paper shows that increasing catch, contrary to what happens when increasing the supply of many food products, will increase total revenue to the fleet and net revenue to any vessel which increases catch by the same or higher percent which total catch is increased. Therefore, there is considerable hope for a profitable fishery. Rising population and income on the other hand, probably will not cause any growth in the market.

One final note. Except for canned tuna and the luxury shellfish, the only fish products that have made significant advances in per

capita consumption in the U.S. during the past two decades are those with new product forms--the convenience items. These are fish sticks and portions, breaded frozen shrimp, and other shellfish with shell removed and highly processed. The losers are the smoked, cured, many canned items (except tuna), and the traditional fish market forms. I am not suggesting what might be done to improve the market form of the wetfish fleet. It does present a problem and room for someone to generate and test some ideas.

(continued from inside front cover)

17. An Economic Evaluation of Columbia River Anadromous Fish Programs by J. Richards

18. Economic Projections of the World Demand and Supply of Tuna, 1970-90 by F. Bell

19. Economic Feasibility of a Seafood Processing Operation in the Inner City of Milwaukee by D. Cleary

20. The 1969 Fishing Fleet Improvement Act: Some Advantages of Its Passage by the Division of Economic Research

21. An Economic Analysis of Policy Alternatives for Managing the Georges Bank Haddock Fishery by L. Van Meir

22. Some Analyses of Fish Prices by F. Waugh and V. Norton

23. Some Economic Characteristics of Pond-Raised Catfish Enterprises by J. Greenfield

24. Elements Crucial to the Future of Alaskan Commercial Fisheries by D. Nash, A. Sokoloski, D. Cleary

25. Effects on Shrimp Processing Industry of Meeting the Requirements of Wholesome Fishery Products Legislation by D. Nash and M. Miller

26. Benefit Cost Analysis of a Proposed Trawl Systems Program by M. Miller

27. An Economic Analysis of Future Problems in Developing the World Tuna Resource: Recommendations for the Future Direction of the BCF Tuna Program

28. Economic Efficiency in Common Property Natural Resource Use: A Case Study of the Ocean Fishery

29. Costs, Earnings and Borrowing Capacity for Selected U.S. Fisheries, by A. Sokoloski, E. Carson, and B. Noetzel

30. Fish Cycles: A Harmonic Analysis by F. Waugh and M. Miller

31. Benefit-Cost Analysis as Applied to Commercial Fisheries Programs, by F. Bell

32. Economic Study of San Pedro Wetfish Boats by W. Perrin and B. Noetzel

33. A Survey of Fish Purchases by Socio-Economic Characteristics - First Quarterly Report - February, March, April, 1969 - by D. Nash

34. A Survey of Fish Purchase by Socio-Economic Characteristics - Second Quarterly Report - May, June, July, 1969 - By D. Nash

35. A Simplified Guide to Benefit-Cost Analysis for BCF Programs by F. Bell

36. Estimation of the Economic Benefits to Fishermen, Vessels, and Society from Limited Entry: A Generalized Model Applied to the Northern Lobster Fishery by F. Bell

37. Major Economic Trends in Selected U.S. Master Plan Fisheries: A Graphical Survey by R. Kinoshita and F. Bell

38. Market Potential of the San Pedro Wetfish Fishery: A Demand Analysis Approach by D. Nash

The goal of the Division of Economic Research is to engage in economic studies which will provide industry and government with costs, production and earnings analyses; furnish projections and forecasts of food fish and industrial fish needs for the U. S.; develop an overall plan to develop each U. S. fishery to its maximum economic potential and serve as an advisory service in evaluating alternative programs within the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

In the process of working towards these goals an array of written materials has been generated representing items ranging from interim discussion papers to contract reports. These items are available to interested professionals in limited quantities of offset reproduction. These "Working Papers" are not to be construed as official BCF publications and the analytical techniques used and conclusions reached in no way represent a final policy determination endorsed by the U. S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.