

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

GIANNAL FOUNDATION OF AGRICULTY AL ECONOMICS.

OCT 13 1969

QUANTITY

BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS OF A PROPOSED TRAWL SYSTEMS PROGRAM

by

Morton M. Miller

Working Paper No. 26

June 1969

MIS

BUREAU OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES

DIVISION OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

WORKING PAPER SERIES

- 1. An Application of an Investment Model to Channel Catfish Farming by R. Thompson and F. Mange.
- 2. The Development of Catfish as a Farm Crop and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash and M. Miller.
- 3. Design Study: An Optimum Fishing Vessel for Georges Bank Groundfish Fishery by A. Sokoloski (Project Monitor).
- 4. The Relation between Vessel Subsidy Percentages and the Rate of Return on Investment for Various Technologies and Scale Levels: The Haddock Fishery by D. Nash, A. Sokoloski and F. Bell (Project Monitors).
- 5. An Economic Justification for Recommended Legislative Changes in the 1964 Fishing Fleet Improvement Act by F. Bell, E. Carlson, D. Nash and A. Sokoloski.
- 6. The Economic Impact of Current Fisheries Management Policy on the Commercial Fishing Industry of the Upper Great Lakes by D. Cleary.
- 7. Cost and Earnings in the Boston Large Trawler Fleet by B. Noetzel and V. Norton.
- 8. Some Elements of An Evaluation of the Effects of Legal Factors on the Utilization of Fishery Resources by A. Sokoloski.
- 9. A Report on the Economics of Polish Factory Trawlers and Freezer Trawlers, by B. Noetzel.
- 10. An Inventory of Demand Equations for Fishery Products by D. Nash and F. Bell.
- 11. Industry Analysis of West Coast Flounder and Sole Products and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash and M. Miller.
- 12. Bio-Economic Model of a Fishery (Primarily Demersal) by E. Carlson.
- 13. The Factors behind the Different Growth Rates of U. S. Fisheries by F. Bell.

Benefit-Cost Analysis of a Proposed Trawl Systems Program

	· Table of 'oougenga	Pa	age
	Methodology Applied in Benefit/Cost Analysis of Proposed Trawl Systems Program • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1
	Phase IIC - Improve Weigh Out Phase IID - Harvest and Handle Total Catch Effects of System on Crew and Vessel Earnings		
Appendix			
A-2	Summary of Benefits and Costs of Trawl Improvement Program Assuming Implementation of Full System • • •	•	13
A-2-2	Summary of Benefits and Costs of Trawl Improvement Program Assuming Full System and Deleting Labor Savings in IA and IB	•	14
A-3	Summary of Benefits and Costs of Single Phases of Trawl Improvement Program Assuming Independent Implementation		15
A-3-2	Summary of Benefits and Costs of Single Phases of Trawl Improvement Program Assuming Independent Implementation and Deleting Labor Savings in IA and IB	•	16
A-4	Estimated Benefit-Cost Ratios for Proposed Trawl Systems Program(IA) Harvest Systems and (IB) Trawl Design Phases		17
В	Classification of Vessels for Analysis Purpose	•	20

Table of Contents (continued)

Appendix	Page
C-IA-l	Changes in Drag Sequence Time Resulting from Implementation of Harvest Systems Improvement 21
C-IA-2	Computation of Increment (Benefit) to Annual Fleet Revenue Resulting from Implementation of Harvest Systems Improvement (Program IA)
C-IA-3	Effect of Harvest Systems Improvement on Man-Hour Productivity
C-IA-14	Changes in Man-Hour Harvest Productivity and Requirements Resulting from Implementation of Harvest Systems Improvement
C-IA-5	Differential in Man-Hour Requirements and Resultant Dollar Savings in Labor Costs Following Implementation of New Harvest Systems and Assuming No Change from Present Total Harvested
C-IA-6	Changes in Payments to Crewmen Resulting from Implementation of Harvest Systems Improvement 26
C-IB-1	Effect of Improved Trawl Design and Use on Drag Sequence
C-IB-2	Computation of Increment (Benefit) to Annual Fleet Revenue Resulting from Development of New Trawl Design and Use
C-IB-3	Effect on Drag Sequence of Combining Improvements in Harvest Systems with Improved Trawl Design and Use . 29
C-IB-4	Computation of Increment (Benefit) to Annual Fleet Revenue Resulting from Development of New Harvest System and New Trawl Design and Use
C-IB-5	Effect of Trawl and Harvest Systems Improvement on Man-Hour Productivity
C-IB-6	Effect of Trawl and Harvest Systems Improvement on Manpower Requirements

Table of Contents (continued)

Appendix		Page
C-IB-7	Differential in Man-Hour Requirements and Savings in Labor Costs Flowing from New Trawl Design and Improved Harvest Systems, Assuming Present Harvest Level	33
C-IB-8	Changes in Payments to Crewmen Resulting from New Trawl Design and Harvest Systems Improvement	34
C-IIA-l	Savings in Labor Costs Resulting from Automated Shipboard Handling of Fish Assuming Present Catch Level	36
C-IIB-1	Increases in the Value of Catch Due to Higher Prices Resulting from Quality Improvement through Processes to Extend Shelf Life and Increase Consumer Acceptance	37
C-IIB-2	Price Differential Between Fish Landings at Atlantic Avenue Pier (Boston) and Boston Fish Pier	38
C-IIC-1	Increase in Catch Value Resulting from Improving Weigh Out at Port through Moisture Loss Inhibition .	39
C-IID-1	Increment to Total Revenue Resulting from Processing Total Catch (Three Alternative Processes) Assuming No Change in Total Catch	40
C-IID-2	Increment to Total Revenue Resulting from Processing Total Catch (Three Alternative Processes) Assuming Increased Total Catch Due to New Trawl Systems • • •	41
C-IID-3	Computation of Quantity of Catch Presently Discarded as Non-Marketable	42
D-1	Operating Statistics Boston Offshore Trawler Fleet, Year 1965, Grouped by Vessel Earnings Class	43
D-2	Composite P & L Statement, Boston Offshore Trawler Fleet, Year 1965, Grouped by Earning Class	<u> የ</u> ተ
D - 3	Operating Costs and Revenues Per Man Day at Sea, Boston Offshore Trawler Fleet, Year 1965, Grouped by Vessel Earnings Class	45

Table of Contents (continued)

Appendix		Page
D-4	Proposed P & L Statement After Implementation of Full New Trawl System	
D - 5	Share Payments to Crew After Implementation of Full New Trawl System	. 48

BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS OF A PROPOSED TRAWL SYSTEMS PROGRAM

The analysis gives an estimate of the benefits that would accrue from the investment of public funds to advance the technology of trawling. A proposed development program would require the use of \$504,235 each year over the course of three years. The benefits to be weighed against this cost have been calculated on the basis of five years of operation under the new technology. The costs under consideration in this analysis constitute the use of public funds, hence benefits are measured in terms of a contribution to national economic efficiency; that is, increased output per unit of resource input. This analysis applies specific values to the estimated gains in efficiency. Accrued benefits may be considered increments to the gross national product, and the reallocation of manpower resources into more productive channels.

Parameters for Analysis

The model developed in this analysis is based on the operation of 21 otter trawl type vessels which constitute the Boston offshore large trawler fleet. This group of vessels conducts fishing operations throughout the year on grounds located on the continental shelf 100 to 150 miles from the port of Boston. The catch consists principally of haddock (approximately 75 percent) with smaller

quantities of cod, flounder, and miscellaneous finfish. The catch is landed at the Boston Fish Pier where it is sold at auction. The parameters for this analysis are the operating characteristics and financial results of fleet operations for the year 1965. (Appendices D-1, D-2.)

The proposed trawl systems program divides into six phases which, for purposes of this analysis, are designated as follows:

- 1A Harvest system;
- 1B Trawl design;
- llA Automated shipboard handling of fish;
- llE Extend shelf life and consumer acceptance (quality
 improvement);
- llC Improve weigh out (reduced moisture loss);
- 11D Harvest and handle total catch.

The effects of these programs have been applied to the operations of the fleet for a single year. The fleet has been divided into four groups, according to net earnings position in 1965. (See Appendix B.)

Benefits and Costs Defined

Primary benefits to be realized from the proposed programs are defined as increases in fleet revenue from fishing, and decreases

in fleet labor costs. Benefits are measured through a direct accounting technique. Calculations are made of the gains in productive time and the savings in man-hour requirements resulting from a more efficient operation, and these results are translated into dollar equivalents. Benefit-cost ratios for the various programs were computed on the basis of implementation of the full system (Appendices A2 and A2-2), and the implementation of individual programs independent of the proposed full system (Appendix A3). Two slightly varying estimates of costbenefit ratios under each of the above bases are given. One estimate (shown in Appendix A2) treats the full array of increased revenue and labor savings benefits. The other adjusts labor savings benefits to conform with more tenable assumptions and the result is a slightly lower ratio. A further refinement presents three separate ratios for the total program estimate. These are based on the alternative processes that may be utilized in harvesting the total catch.

Gross benefits are considered to be the total for a five-year period discounted to present value at the rate of $\frac{1}{32}$ percent. Net benefits are the discounted gross benefits minus the associated costs which are the estimates of the required industry investments to implement the trawl systems program.

Benefit-Cost Ratios

Each step in the analysis calculates a maximum, median, and minimum result of applying new technology. The adopted estimates shown in the summaries apply the "median" results. On this basis, implementation of the full system proposed by the program would result in a benefit-cost ratio of 14.13, 14.30, or 17.08, if the full array of revenue-producing and labor-savings benefits are added. The adjusted ratios (with some labor saving benefits deleted) are 12.19, 12.36, and 15.13. Thus, under the latter results, each dollar of investment in the trawl fishing program would result in direct primary net benefits of at least \$12 and up to \$15.

It should be pointed out that the estimated benefits are those that would accrue to the operations of the 21 vessels of the fleet under consideration. Undoubtedly, the techniques and systems developed under the program would find wide application among the more than one thousand otter-trawl type vessels in operation in the United States. Conceivably then the true benefit-cost ratio would be many times the quantity calculated for the Boston fleet operations. (See Appendices A-2, A 2-2, A-3, A 3-2, A-4.)

Procedures and Results

Phase 1A - Harvest System

The improved harvest system program is designed to reduce materially the amount of time required for the set and haul-back operations, and bag and catch handling. A reasonable estimate of time savings under a new system would be about 30 percent. Nevertheless, for the purposes of this analysis three time-savings assumptions were considered: maximum 40 percent, median 30 percent, and minimum 20 percent. The altered drag sequence that would follow the achievement of efficiencies built into an improved system are set forth in Appendix C-lA. The drag sequence will be shortened under the proposed system, hence the number of drags possible in a 24-hour period will be increased.

Assuming drags of a 90-minute duration, the time saved under the assumption of a 30 percent improvement in the set, haul, and handling operations increases the number of minutes of dragging time from 900 per 24-hour day under the present system to nearly 1,000. An estimate of the added catch that would result from additional drag time may be made by multiplying the increased drag minutes by the present rate of catch per drag minute. These calculations are made in Appendix C-1A-2 which extends the results to daily and annual accruals in pounds pro-

duced, and in revenue. The increases in revenue under the varying assumptions range between 7 and 15 percent. With prices and with catch rates per minute held as constants, these increases, of course, represent the increased effort made allowable through increased efficiencies. Under the median time savings assumptions, the added production would result in an increase in fleet revenue (for a single year) of \$701,010. At the level of catch produced by the offshore fleet in 1965, the rate of catch per man hour for the vessel groups considered in this analysis ranged between 63 and 102 pounds. Assuming that at the 1965 rate of catch the present labor complement is being under-utilized, man-hour productivity would increase in direct proportion to the increase in catch resulting from an improved harvest system. Fewer man-hours then, would be required to harvest the 1965 catch level. If the differential between the man-hours required before and after the implementation of an improved harvest system were considered as redundant labor, the savings in labor could be viewed as a benefit, inasmuch as this productive manpower capacity could be reallocated into other uses. A value can be assigned to these man-hour savings based on the calculated labor expense per man hour for the various vessel groups in the Boston fleet for 1965. As shown in Appendix C-1A-5, the estimated value of labor savings following

between \$232,000 and \$475,000 depending on the degree of efficiency that could be achieved with the new system. A reduction in man-hour requirements, given no change in the number of days a vessel is at sea, reduces the size of crew needed for the fishing operation.

Fewer men, in turn, result in fewer shares under the lay system.

Crewmen in the fleet under this condition would realize an increase of between 19 and 22 percent over current earnings. (Appendix C-1A-6.)

Phase 1B - Trawl Design

The program to improve trawl design and use, it is assumed, would result in an increase in fishing time per given period of time. The basic assumption for measuring benefits under this phase of the trawl systems program is that dragging time per set on the average will be extended from 90 to 126 minutes. With all other conditions remaining unchanged the increased drag time per 24-hour day would amount to 108 minutes. (Appendix C-1B-1.) At the present rate of catch, the 108 minutes per day increase in dragging time per vessel would result in an annual increment in fleet revenue of \$764,000, assuming no changes in number of trips, number of days at sea, etc. (Appendix C-1B-2.) (The new drag sequence under these conditions would extend to 180 minutes, allowing eight drags per 24-hour day.)

Phases 1A and 1B Combined

The effect on the drag sequence of combining the efficiencies from an improved harvest system and a new trawl design are set forth in Appendix C-1B-3. Here it is illustrated that the drag time per vessel could be increased from 162 to 221 minutes per day, depending on the level of efficiency achieved under the harvest systems improvement program (phase 1A). Translated into dollars, the expected gain in fleet revenue from implementing the two phases would be between \$1.1 and \$1.6 million. (Appendix C-1B-4.) From the viewpoint of labor savings, the combined efficiencies of Phases 1A and 1B could result in a dollar savings of between \$544,000 and \$711,000 for the fleet. If redundant man-hours were eliminated, individual crew shares under the improvements could increase between 42 and 48 percent. The potential labor savings calculations are given in Appendices C-1B-5, C-1B-6, C-1B-7, and C-1B-8.

Phase 11A - Automated Shipboard Handling of Fish

The automated shipboard handling of fish will achieve a savings in labor through reduction in man-hour requirements for processing the harvest aboard ship. It has been estimated that the proposed techniques would result in an increase in man-hour productivity of between 25 and 35 percent. If these percentages are applied to

the present catch rate per man-hour, the result indicates that one-fifth to one-fourth of the present labor complement aboard each vessel would become redundant. Trimming the surplus from the present complement would have the effect of a dollar annual labor savings to the fleet of between \$725,000 and \$939,000. (See Appendix C-llA-l.)

Phase 11B - Extend Shelf Life and Consumer Acceptance

The inhibition of bacterial growth and flavor loss through chemical treatment (as part of improved shipboard handling) could be expected to enhance the value of the fish landed. A comparison of prices paid for cod and haddock at Atlantic Avenue Pier in Boston (one and two-day caught fish) with Boston Fish Pier landings (two to 10 days on ice) demonstrates that within a given species group fresher products will command a higher price. Assuming that the quantities landed at these neighboring facilities constitute a single market, the demand for the newer caught Atlantic Avenue fish appears consistently stronger. Over the period 1959-1965, the differentials between Atlantic Avenue and Boston Fish Pier prices averaged nearly 20 percent in favor of Atlantic Avenue. is reasonable to assume therefore that a supply of fish landed at the Boston Fish Pier that was "newer caught" than previously would bring a somewhat higher price. If we assume that value is increased by a factor of 15 percent due to the new process, the increment to

annual fleet revenue at the present catch level would be close to one million dollars, while the increment resulting from fleet operation under the improved harvest system and trawl design would be in the neighborhood of \$1.2 million. (Appendix C-11B-1.)

Phase 11C - Improve Weigh Out_

A program to inhibit moisture loss in ice storage aboard vessels would result in an increased weigh cut. Merely a 5 percent improvement in the weigh out would result in an added \$319,000 to annual fleet revenue at the present harvest level, and approximately \$388,000 at the higher level of harvest resulting from implementation of new efficiencies in the trawl system. (Appendix C-11C-1.)

Phase 11D - Harvest and Handle Total Catch

The development of a system which would permit the utilization of the total catch brought aboard in the harvest process would result in a considerable increase in revenue to the fleet. The increase in gross revenue would depend on the process incorporated into the system. Three alternatives have been proposed:

- A. Production of a slurried material for further processing into a fish meal product.
- B. Production of a finished fish meal product.
- C. Production of a protein extender naterial for human consumption.

At the harvest level of 1965, approximately 42 million pounds of raw fish materials were discarded overboard as unmarketable. The value of this material processed into a slurried substance for leter use in the manufacture of fish meal would probably be as much as \$424,000 on the basis of an assumed value of one cent per pound. The production of fish meal directly from the presently discarded raw materials would produce an added revenue of more than \$500,000, assuming a recovery rate of 20 percent and a product value of six cents per pound. The gross value to fleet operation of processing the presently discarded material into a protein extender for human consumption would produce a revenue of \$1.3 million, assuming a value of 15 cents per pound.

It should be noted that the estimates of added revenue from processing the total catch assume sufficient vessel capacity to accommodate the processing equipment without burdening the required capacity for harvest storage, as well as sufficient manpower reserve to operate the equipment.

Effe:ts of System on Crew and Vessel Earnings

Even with no change in the present lay system under which the Boston fleet operates, the additional revenue resulting from increased efficiencies would redound to the benefit of both labor and management.

At present crew sizes, individual shares would increase an average of 64 percent. If crew sizes were trimmed in line with the new man-hour requirements of an improved trawl system, individual crew member incomes would improve between 92 and 102 percent. (Appendix D-5)

The increased revenues resulting from the increased efficiencies would also show up favorably in the financial operating statements of vessel operators. An assumed composite profit and loss statement of fleet operations for one full year following adoption of the new techniques is given in Appendix D-4. The statement reveals that under the new system, the fleet's operating margin (the percent net profit is of operating revenue) would increase from its present 11 percent (before taxes and interest on investment) to about 25 percent. Significantly, the statement indicates that for more than half the fleet, the new system will turn marginal operations into profitable operations. As Appendix D-2 shows, 12 vessels of this 21-vessel fleet had an average operating margin below 10 percent in 1965, and eight of these 12 were below 3 percent. (These margins, it is important to note, are the operating results before allowance is made for taxes, interest on investment, or managerial salaries.) Adoption of the new trawl system would boost the operating margin of the low-earnings group of vessels to 21 percent, and of the other vessels in the fleet to as high as 25 percent.

Appendix A-2
Summary of Benefits and Costs of Trawl Improvement
Program Assuming Implementation of Full System1/

•		Ben	efits	:Benefit	/Cost Ratio
Program :	Cost :	Gross	: Net	: Gross	: Net
	<u>Dollars</u> 2/	<u>Dol</u>	<u>lars</u>		
IA - Harvest Systems IB - Trawl Design	180,000 671,205				
Total IA and IB	857,205	9,203,136	8,888,13	6 10.81	10,44
IIA - Automated Shipboard Handling of Fish IIB - Extend Shelf Life and Consumer Acceptance	256,500	3,776,147	3,356,14	7 14.72	13.08
(Quality Improvement) IIC - Improve Weigh Out IID - Harvest and Handle	218,110 66,900	5,256,083 1,752,028	5,256,08 1,752,02		
Total Catch	120,000				
Process: (a) Slurried Material (b) Fish Meal (c) Protein Extender		2,333,713 2,800,564 7,001,411	2,123,71 2,380,56 6,581,41	4 23.34	19.84
COTAL PROGRAM* 1	(b	22,321,107 22,787,958 26,988,805	25,833,80	8 15.06 5 17.84	14.30 17.08
* Total benefits would de Phase IID.	pend on the	e alternativ	ve process u	sed (a, l	o, or c) in

 $[\]underline{1}$ / See Appendix A-4 for detail.

^{2/} Spread over 3 year's period.

Appendix A-2-2 Summary of Benefits and Costs of Trawl Improvement Program Assuming Full System and Deleting Labor Savings in IA & IB

*	√Cost	: Benefi	ts :	Benefit/Cost Ratio		
Program :	(\$)	: Gross :	Net :	Gross :	Net	
TA - Harvest Systems	180,000				•	
IB - Trawl Design	671,205				•	
Total - IA&IB	851,205	6,264,214	5,949,214	7.36	6.99	
IIA - Automatel Shipboar Handling of Fish	ā 256,500	3,776,147	3,356,147	14.72	13.08	
TIB - Extended Shelf Lif And Consumer Accep (Quality Improveme	tance218,110	5,256,083	5,256,083	24.10	24.10	
IIC - Improve Weigh Out	66,900	1,752,028	1,752,028	26.19	26.19	
TID - Harvest and Handle Catch Process	120,000					
a) Slurried Mater b) Fish Meal c) Protein Extend		2,333,713 2,800,564 7,001,411	2,123,713 2,380,564 6,581,411	19.45 23.34 58.34	17.70 19.84 54.84	
Total Program*	(a 19,382,185 b 19,849,036 c 24,049,883	18,694,036	12.81 13.12 15.90	12.19 12.36 15.13	

^{*} Total benefits would depend on the alternative process used (a), (b), (c) in phase T.D.

^{1 /} See Appendix A-4 for detail

Appendix A-3
Summary of Benefits and Costs of Single Phases of Trawl
Improvement Program Assuming Independent Implementation 1/

			:	Ben	ef:	its	:Benefit/C	ost Ratio
	Program	Cost	:	Gross	:	Net	Gross	: Net
		Dollars	•	<u>Dol</u>	la:	rs		
IA ·	- Harvest Systems	180,000		4,760,106		4,602,606	26.44	25.57
I.B	- Trawl Design	671,205	,	5,243,940		5,086,440	7.81	7.58
IIA	- Automated Shipboard Handling of Fish	256,500		3,776,147		3,356,147	14.72	13.08
IIB	- Extend Shelf Life and Consumer Acceptance			3,770,147		3,330,147	14.72	13.00
	(Quality Improvement			4,316,452		4,316,452	19.79	19.79
	- Improve Weigh Out - Harvest and Handle	66,900		1,438,817		1,438,817		21.51
	Total Catch	120,000)					
	Process:							
	(a) Slurried Material			1,916,572		1,706,572	15.97	14.22
	(b) Fish Meal			2,299,941		1,879,941		15.66
	(c) Protein Extender			5,749,852		5,329,852	47.91	44.41

^{1/} See Appendix A-4 for detail.

Appendix A 3-2
Summary of Benefits and Costs of Single Phases of Trawl
Improvement Program Assuming Independent Implementation
And Deleting Labor Savings in IA&B

	:	Cost	Cost : Benefits			: Benefit/Cost Ratio		
Prog	na Cin	(\$)	: Gross :	Net :	Gross :	Net		
IA ,	Harvest Systems	180,000	3,165,060	3,007,560	17.58	16.71		
IB	Trawl Design	671,205	3,481,451	3,323,951	5.19	4.95		
IIA	Automated Shipboard Handling of Fish	256,500	3,776,147	3,356,147	14.72	13.08		
IIB	Extend Shelf Life a Consumer Acceptance (Quality Improvement	218,110	4,316,452	4,316,452	19.79	19.79		
IIC	Improve Weigh Out	66,900	1,438,817	1,438,817	21.51	21.51		
IID	Harvest and Handle Total Catch Process:	120,000						
	a) Slurried Materib) Fish Mealc) Protein Extende		1,916,572 2,299,941 5,749,852	1,879,941	15.97 19.17 47.91	14.22 15.67 44.41		

^{1 /} See Appendix A-4 for Detail

Appendix A-4

Program	IA : Harvest : Systems :	IB Trawl Design	: IA & IB Combined Harvest System and Trawl Des.	: IIA : Automated : Shipboard : Handling		IIB Improvement :
	Independe	nt	: Systems	: Ind. or	: Ind.	: System
COCH OF PROCEAN	180,000	671,205	851,205	: Systems 256,500	: 218,110	218,110
COST OF PROGRAM BENEFITS - SINGLE YEAR a)Increased Revenue b)Labor Savings c)Total	1/701,010 2/353,277 1,054,287	4/764,441 5/390,363 1,154,804	6/1,387,423 7/650,924 2,038,347	9/836,356 83 6, 356	<u>11</u> /956,025 956,025	<u>12</u> /1,164,138 1,164,138
GROSS BENEFITS (5 yrs.discounted at 3½%) a)Increased Revenue b)Labor Savings c)Total	3,165,060 1,595,046 4,760,106	3,481,451 1,762,489 5,243,940	6,264,214 2,938,922 9,203,136	3,776,147 3,776,147	4,316,452 4,316,452	5,256,083 5,256,083
RATIO: GROSS BENEFITS TO COSTS a) Increased Revenue b) Labor Savings c) Total	17.58 8.86 26.44	5.19 2.63 7.82	7.36 3.45 10.81	14.72 14.72	19.79 19.79	24.10 24.10
ASSOCIATED COSTS	$3^{/157,500}$	<u>3</u> /157,500	<u>8</u> /315,000	10/420,000	NE	GLIGIBLE
NET BENEFITS (5 yrs.discounted at $3\frac{1}{2}\%$)	4,602,606	5,086,440	8,888,136	3,356,147	SAME	AS GROSS
RATIO:NET BENEFITS TO COSTS	25.57	7.58	10.44	13.08	SAME	AS GROSS

Estimated Benefit-	Cost Ratios	for Proposed	l Trawl Syste	ems Program-((IA) Harvest	Systems and ((IB) Trawl De	sign Phases
Program	I	IC			IIDH	IARVEST TOTAL	CATCH	
rrogram :	Improve We	eigh Out	Process	: Slurried : Material	Process	:Fish Meal :Manufacturin	eng: Process :	Protein Extenders
: :	Ind.	Systems	Ind.	: Systems	: Ind.	Systems	: Ind.	Systems
COST OF PROGRAM BENEFITS - SINGLE YEAR	66,900	66,900	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000
a)Increased Revenue b)Labor Savings	<u>13</u> /318,675	<u>14</u> /388,046	<u>15</u> /424,490	<u>16/516,880</u>	18/509,400	<u>19</u> /620,280	21/1,273,500	22/1,550,700
c)Total	318,675	388,046	424,490	516,880	509,400	620,280	1,273,500	1,550,700
GROSS BENEFITS (5 yrs.discounted at 3½%)								
a)Increased Revenue b)Labor Savings	1,438,817	1,752,028	1,916,572	2,333,713	2,299,941	2,800,564	5,749,852	7,001,411
c)Total	1,438,817	1,752,028	1,916,572	2,333,713	2,299,941	2,800,564	5,749,852	7,001,411
RATIO: GROSS BENEFITS TO COSTS	3							
a)Increased Revenue b)Labor Savings	21.51	26.19	15.97	19.45	19.17	23.34	47.92	58.34
c)Total	21.51	26.19	15.97	19.45	19.17	23.34	47.92	58.34
ASSOCIATED COSTS	NEGLIGI	BLE	<u>17</u> /210,000	<u>17</u> /210,000	20/420,000	<u>20</u> /420,000	<u>23</u> /420,000	23/420,000
NET BENEFITS (5 yrs.discounted at $3\frac{1}{2}\%$)	SAME AS	CROSS	1,706,572	2,123,713	1,879,941	2,380,564	5,329,852	6,581,411
RATIO:NET BENEFITS TO COSTS	SAME AS	GROSS	14.22	17.70	15.67	19.84	44.42	54.84

Appendix A-4

- 1/ App. C-IA-2 (7b)
- 2/ App. C-IA-5 (4b)
- 3/ Investment Requirement @ \$7, 500 per vessel (21 vessels)
- 4/ App. C-IB-2 (7)
- 5/ App. C-IB-7 (4a)
- 6/ App. C-IB-4 (7b)
- 7/ App. C-IB-7 (4b)
- 8/ Investment required @ \$15,000 per vessel (21 vessels)
- 9/ App. C-IIA-1 (10b)
- 10/ Investment required @ \$20,000 per vessel
- 11/ App. C-IIB-1 (A.2b)
- 12/ App. C-IIB-1 (B.2b)

- 13/ App. C-IIC-1 (A.2b)
- 14/ App. C-IIC-1 (B.2b)
- 15/ App. C-IID-1 (A.3)
- 16/ App. C-IID-2 (A.3)
- 17/ Required Investment @ \$10,000 per vessel
- 18/ App. C-IID-1 (B.3)
- 19/ App. C-IID-2 (B.3)
- 20/ Required investment @ \$20,000 per vessel
- 21/ App. C-IID-1 (C.3)
- 22/ App. C-IID-2 (C.3)
- 23/ Required investment @ \$20,000 per vessel

Appendix B Classification of Vessels for Analysis purpose

For purposes of this analysis 21 vessels in the present large trawler fleet have been grouped in accordance with net earnings performance in 1965, real or imputed. Full year earnings records were available for 1965 for 18 of these vessels. One new vessel was not in operation the full year, and two had not yet joined the fleet. Performance imputed to the latter two was that of a sister ship that operated the entire year 1965. Vessels were grouped as follows:

Group Identification	Number of Vessels in Group	Range of Net Earnings, 1965	Av <u>Pe</u>	verage Net Earnings or Vessel in Group <u>l</u> /
A	2	Over \$75,000	<i>C</i>	\$80,783
B	7	45,000-75,000		50,734
C	4	20,000-44,999	•	28,411
D	8	Under 20,000		5,649

^{1/} Before taxes and interest on investment.

Appendix C-IA-1 Changes in Drag Sequence Time Resulting from Implementation of Harvest Systems Improvement

	Drag Sequence (Minutes)						
Operation	Present		Time Savings Assum				
S	equences	Maximum	Median	Minimum			
		(40%)	(30%)	(20%)			
Set	15	9	10.5	12			
Orag	90	90	90	90			
Haul	15	9	10.5	12			
$ag: (a)^{1/2}$	18	11	13	15			
(b) <u>2</u> /	6	6	6	6			
Total	144	125	130	135			
Number of drags per 24 hr. day	10.0	11.5	11.1	10.7			
Total minutes dragging	900	1,035	999	63			
Added drag minutes per day		135	99	63			

Attributed to gear handling. Factors other than gear handling.

Appendix C-IA-2

Computation of Increment (Benefit) to Annual Fleet Revenue Resulting from Implementation of Harvest Systems Improvement (Program IA)

		Vessel Class				
		A	В	C `	D	Total.
	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4) _i	(8)	(21)
1.	Present Catch Rate1/	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ľ		
	Per 90 min. drag (Lbs.) Per minute (Lbs.)	2,086 23.18	1,690 18.78	1,441 16.01	1,116 12.40	
2.	Added Drag Time Per Vessel			• All Sections of the section of the		to the
	Per 24 hr. Period (Minutes)		•			
	a) Maximum time savings	135	135	135	135	
	b) Median time savings	99	. 99	99	, 99	
	c) Minimum time savings	63	63	63	63	
2	Added Daily Satch Per Vessel			**************************************		
3.		3,129	2,535	2,161	1,674	
		2,295	1,859	1,585	1,228	
		1,460	1,183	1,009	781	
•	c) Minimum time savings	1,400	1,105	2,007		
4.	Assumed Value of Catch ² / Dollars per pound	.1080	.1125	.1047	.1063	
5.	Added Daily Revenue Per		e e in transfer franchischen			
J •	Vessel (Dollars)					
• .	a) Maximum time savings	337.93	285.19	226.26	177.95	
	b) Median time savings	247.86	209.14	165.95	130.54	
	c) Minimum time savings	157.68	133.09	105.64	83.02	en de la companya de
6.	Assumed Number of Fishing Days Per Vessel Group3	416	1,452	785	1,256	
	bays rer vesser ereap—					•
7.	Annual Increment to Revenue	•				•
	from Fishing (Dollars	140 570	414 006	177,614	223,505	955,794
	a) Maximum time savings	140,579	414,096	130,271	163,958	701,010
	b) Median time savings	103,110	303,671 193,247	82,927	104,273	446,042
	c) Minimum time savings	65,595	173,241	02,921	107,413	440,044

^{1/} Daily rate of catch per vessel shown in Appendix D-1 at 10 drags per 24-hour day.

3/ Appendix D-1 (7).

^{2/} Average price per pound received by vessel class, in year 1965. See Appendix D-1 (14)

Appendix C-IA-3 Effect of Harvest Systems Improvement on Man-Hour Productivity

		Vessel Class					
		A	В	С	D		
	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)		
			POI	INDS			
1.	PRESENT DAILY CATCH RATE PER VESSEL1/	20,860	16,900	14,410	11,160		
2.	ADDED CATCH WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW HARVEST SYSTEMS						
	a) Maximum time savings of 40%b) Median time savings of 30%c) Minimum time savings of 20%	3,129 2,295 1,460	2,535 1,859 1,183	2,162 1,585 1,008	1,674 1,228 781		
3.	TOTAL DAILY CATCH PER VESSEL WITH NEW HARVEST SYSTEMS						
	a) Maximum time savingsb) Median time savingsc) Minimum time savings	23,989 23,155 22,320	19,435 18,759 18,083	16,572 15,995 15,418	12,834 12,388 11,941		
			но	JRS			
4.	ASSUMED MAN HOURS PER DAY PER VESSEL	<u>2</u> / ₂₀₄	204	194	176		
			POU	NDS			
5.	CATCH PER MAN HOUR Present With New Harvest Systems:	102.3	82.8	74.3	63.4		
	a) Maximum time savings b) Median time savings c) Minimum time savings	117.6 113.6 109.5	95.2 91.9 88.6	85.4 82.5 79.5	72.9 70.4 67.8		

Appendix D-1 (14).

Appendix D-1--number of man days at sea (Line 8) times 12 hours, average work day divided by number of days at sea (Line 6).

Appendix C-IA-4 Changes in Man-Hour Harvest Productivity and Requirements Resulting from Implementation of Harvest Systems Improvement

	Vessel Class				
	A	В	С	D-	
(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)	
CATCH PER MAN HOUR		' POUN	ms		
Condition: Present Assumed: 1/	102.3	82.8	74.3	634	
a) Maximum time savingsb) Mediam time savings	117.6 113.6	95.2 91.9	85.4 82.5	72.9 70.4	
c) Minimum time savings	109.5	88.6	79.5	67.8	
ASSUMED DAILY HARVEST PER VESSEL2/	20,860	16,900	14,410	11,160	
DAILY MAN HOUR REQUIREMENTS PER VESSEL AT PRESENT HARVEST LEVEL		MAN-HO	URS		
Condition: Present	204	204	194	176	
Assumed: a) Maximum time savings	177	177	169	153	
	177 184 191	177 184 191	169 175 181	153 159 165	
a) Maximum time savingsb) Median time savings	184 191	184	175 181	159 165	
a) Maximum time savings b) Median time savings c) Minimum time savings NUMBER OF CREWMEN REQUIRED ASSUMING NO CHANGE IN TOTAL HARVEST Condition:	184 191 AVERAG	184 191 E NUMBER OF (175 181 CREWMEN PER	159 165 VESSEL	
a) Maximum time savings b) Median time savings c) Minimum time savings NUMBER OF CREWMEN REQUIRED ASSUMING NO CHANGE IN TOTAL HARVEST	184 191	184 191	175 181	159 165 VESSEL	
a) Maximum time savings b) Median time savings c) Minimum time savings NUMBER OF CREWMEN REQUIRED ASSUMING NO CHANGE IN TOTAL HARVEST Condition: Present	184 191 AVERAG	184 191 E NUMBER OF (175 181 CREWMEN PER	159 165	

See Appendix C-IA-3. Appendix D-1 (14).

Appendix C-IA-5

Differential in Man Hour Requirements and Resultant Dollar Savings In Labor Costs Following Implementation of New Harvest Systems and And Assuming No Change From Present Total Harvested 1

	Vessel Class					Fleet	
		<u>A</u>	В	С	D	Total	
•	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)	(21)	
1.	REDUCTION IN DATLY MAN-HOURS REQUIRED PER VESSEL 2 / Assumed Condition:		Daily Man Ho	ours Per Ve	essel		
	a). Maximum Time Saving b) Median Time Saving c) Minimum Time Saving	27 20 13	27 20 13	25 19 13	23 17 11		
2.	REDUCTION IN ANNUAL MAN HOUR REQUIREMENTS PER VESSEL CLASS 3 / Assumed Condition: a) Maximum Time Saving b) Median Time Saving c) Minimum Time Saving	14,634 10,840 7,046	Number of 50,841 37,660 24,479	Man Hours 26,075 19,817 13,559	38,249 28,271 18,293		
3•	DOLLAR LABOR SAVINGS PER DAY PER VESSEL 4 / Assumed Condition: a) Maximum Time Saving b) Median Time Saving c) Minimum Time Saving	129. 96. 62.	60 110.43 00 81.80	64.98	47.77		
1 +•	ANNUAL DOLLAR LABOR SAVINGS PER VESSEL CLASS Assumed Condition: a) Maximum Time Saving b) Median Time Saving c) Minimum Time Saving	70,243 52,032 33,821	Dolls 207,940 154,029 100,119	89,177	107,480 79,442 51,403	474,840 353,277 231,715	

² See Appendix C-IA-4 (3)

_3 / See Appendix Dl (6)

Based on labor expense per man hour as follows: Class A = \$4.80, B = 4.09, C = 3.42, and D = 2.81. Expenses included direct labor (share + payroll tax), allowance for food and provisions and allowance for liability insurance food and provisions taken at \$4.00 per day, insured at \$2.00 per day, per man.

		Vessel	Vessel Class				
		A	В	С	ת	Total	
	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)	(21)	
	(Mumber of Vessels)	(2)			(0)	\ /	
	PRESENT CONDITION1/			•			
	Total number of shares per						
	vessel class	34	119		116		
	Total amount shared (\$)	410,907	1,188,099	486,994	572,020	2,658,02	
	Amount per share (\$)	12,086	9,984	7,855	4,931		
	MEDIAN TIME SAVINGS ASSUMPT	ION.					
	WITH NO CHANGE IN TOTAL	,					
	HARVESTED, AND CREW SIZE						
	REDUCED						
	Total number of shares2/	31	107	58	106		
	Total amount shared1/		1,188,099	486,994	572,020	2,658,02	
	Amount per share (\$)	13,255	11,104				
	Percentage increase in	•		-			
	individual share over						
	present (%)	9.7	11.2	6.9	9.4		
					• 20		
	MEDIAN TIME SAVINGS ASSUMPT	NOI,	•				
	WITH ADDED ASSUMPTION THAT						
	NEW LEVEL OF PRODUCTIVITY				•		
	DOES NOT REPRESENT FULL						
	CAPACITY AND ADDED			•			
	PRODUCTION COULD BE	and the second second	e de la companya de La companya de la co				
•	ABSORBED BY LABOR FORCE						
	ASSUMED IN (2) ABOVE			·			
v.		31	107	58	106		
	Total number of shares Total amount shared $(\$)^{3}$	456,172	1,318,678	540,535	634,980		
	Amount per share (\$)	14,715	12,324	9,320	5,990		
	Percentage increase in indi						
	vidual share over present		23.4	18.7	21.6		
	MEDIAN TIME SAVINGS ASSUMPT	CION,					
	WITH CREWS RETAINING AT						
	PRESENT SIZE, BUT TOTAL						
	HARVEST INCREASED	<u></u>					
	Total number of shares	34	119	62	116		
	Total amount shared (\$)	456,172	1,318,678	540,535			
	Amount per share (\$)	13,417	11,081	8,720	5,474		
	Percentage increase in ind:		•				
	vidual share over present		11.0	11.0	11.0		

^{1/} See Appendix D-2 (footnote 1).

 $\overline{2}$ / Computed from Appendix C-IA-4(4).

Present amount shared plus increase resulting from increased revenue shown in Appendix IA-2(7). Crew's share of increased total revenue estimated in accordance with relationship between crew shares and total revenue in 1965. (Appendix D-2).

Appendix C-IB-1
Effect of Improved Trawl Design and Use on Drag Sequence

Operation	Single	Sequence	Per 24	Hours	Changes in time required	
operation	Present	Proposed	Present Proposed		per 24 hours	
1			Minut	:es		
Improved Trawl Design						
Set	15	15	150	120	-30	
Drag	90	126	900	1,008	+108	
Haul	15	15	150	120	-30	
Lag: (a)	18	18	180	144	-36	
(b)	6	6	60	48	-12	
Total	144	180	1,440	1,440		
Drags per 24-hour perio	od 10	8	10	8		

Appendix C-IB-2 Computation of Increment (Benefit) to Annual Fleet Revenue Resulting from Development of New Trawl Design and Use

				Fleet		
		A	В	C	D	Total
,	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)	(21)
					E.	
1.	PRESENT CATCH RATE Per 90 min. drag (pounds) Per minute (pounds)	2,086 23.18		1,441	1,116	• 0
2.	ADDED DRAG TIME PER VESSEL WITH NEW TRAWL DESIGN, PER 24 HOUR PERIOD1/ (Minutes)	108	108	108	108	
3.	ADDED DAILY CATCH PER VESSEL, ASSUMING NO CHANGE IN DRAG RATE PER MINUTE (Pounds)	2,503	2,027	1,729	1,339	
4.	ASSUMED VALUE OF CATCH, PER POUND (Dollars)	.1080	.1125	.1047	.1063	
5.	ADDED DAILY REVENUE PER VESSEL (Dollars)	270.32	228.04	4 181.03	142.3	3
6.	ASSUMED NUMBER OF FISHING DAYS PER VESSEL GROUP	416	1,452	785	1,256	•
7.	ANNUAL INCREMENT TO REVENUE FROM FISHING (Dollars)	112,453	331,114	142,108	178,766	764,441

^{1/} See Appendix C-IB-1

Appendix C-IB-3
Effect on Drag Sequence of Combining Improvements in Harvest Systems with Improved Trawl Design and Use

Operation	Assuming new trawl design	Assuming new trawl design and improved harvest system2/					
	and usel/	Maximum	Time Saving Median	Minimum			
	Minutes						
Set	15	9	10.5	12 ·			
Drag	126	126	126	126			
Haul	15	9	10.5	12			
Lag: (a)	18	11	13	15			
(b)	6	6	6	6			
Total	180	161	166	171			
Number of drags per 24-hour day	8.0	8.9	8.7	8.4			
Number of minutes dragging per 24-hour day	1,008	1,121	1,096	1,062			
Added drag time over present operations (minutes)3/	108	221	196	162			

See Appendix C-IB-1. See Appendix C-IA-1.

Assumed present operations at 10 drags per day of 90 minutes duration.

Appendix C-IB-4
Computation of Increment (Benefit) to Annual Fleet Revenue
Resulting from Development of New Harvest System and New Trawl Design and Use

				Fleet		
	Operation	A	В	С	D	Total
	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)	(21)
	•		Pour	nds		
	ASSUMED CATCH RATEPOUNDS PER MINUTE1/	23.18	18.77	16.01	12.40	
	ADDED DRAG TIME PER VESSEL PER 24 HOUR PERIOD (Minutes)					
	a) Maximum time savings b) Median time savings	221 196	221 196	221 196	221 196	
	c) Minimum time savings	158	158	158	158	
	ADDED DAILY CATCH PER VESSEL					
	a) Maximum time savings	5,123	4,148	3,538	2,740	
	b) Median time savings	4,543	3,679 2,966	3,138 2,530	2,430 1,959	
	c) Minimum time savings	3,662			-9///	
			Dol	lars		
•	ASSUMED VALUE OF CATCH, PER POUND	.1080	.1125	.1047	.1063	
•	ADDED DAILY REVENUE PER VESSEL					
	a) Maximum time savings	553.28				
	b) Median time savingsc) Minimum time savings	490.64 395.50	413.89 333.68	328.55 264.89	258.31 208.24	
5 .	e, minimum time savings	373.30				
	ACCORDO ANDONO ON DICUINO			Days		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•	ASSUMED NUMBER OF FISHING DAYS PER VESSEL GROUP3/	416	1,452	785	1,256	
			Dol	.lars		
•	ANNUAL INCREMENT TO REVENUE FROM FISHING					
	a) Maximum time savings	230,164	677;576	290,788	365,822 324,437	1,564,35
	b) Median time savingsc) Minimum time savings	204,106 164,528	600,968 484,503	257,912 207,939	261,549	1,118,51

^{1/} See Appendix C-IB-2.

3/ See Appendix D-1.

^{2/} Average prices for 1965. See Appendix D-2.

Appendix C-IB-5
Effect of Trawl and Harvest Systems
Improvement on Man-Hour Productivity

		Vessel Class					
. د اد		A	В	С	D		
	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)		
			POU	NDS			
1.	PRESENT DAIL CATCH RATE PER						
• •	VESSEL 1/	20,860	16,900	14,410	11,160		
	ADDED, WITH NEW TRAWL DESIGN1/ TOTAL	$\frac{2,503}{23,363}$	$\frac{2,027}{18,927}$	$\frac{1,729}{16,139}$	$\frac{1,339}{12,499}$		
2.	ADDED WITH COMBINED EFFECT OF NEW TRAWL DESIGN AND IMPROVED HARVEST SYSTEM2/						
	a) Maximum time savings	5,123	4,148	3,538	2,740		
	b) Median time savings	4,543	3,679	3,138	2,430		
	c) Minimum time savings	3,662	2,966	2,530	1,959		
3.	TOTAL DAILY CATCH PER VESSEL WITH COMBINED EFFECTS						
	a) Maximum time savings	25,983	21,048	17,948	13,900		
	b) Median time savings	25,403	20,579		13,590		
	c) Minimum time savings	24,522	19,866	16,940	13,119		
			НС	URS			
+•	ASSUMED MAN HOURS PER DAY PER VESSEL 3/	204	204	194	176		
			POI	INDS			
5.	CATCH PER MAN HOUR CONDITION Present	102.3	82.8	74.3	63.4		
	Assumed: a) New trawl design	114.6	92.7	83.2	71.0		
	b) Combinednew trawl design an improved harvest system:		5				
	Maximum time savings	127.4	103.2	92.6			
	Median time savings	124.6	100.9		77.2		
	Minimum time savings	120.3	97.4	87.4	74.6		

Appendix C-IB-2.
Appendix C-IB-4.
Present crew sizes (See Appendix C-IA-3)

Appendix C-IB-6
Effect of Trawl and Harvest Systems Improvement on
Manpower Requirements

		Vessel Class					
		A	В	C	D		
1.	CATCH PER MAN HOUR Condition:			ınds			
	Present	102.3	82.8	74.3	63.4		
	Assumed: a) New Trawl Design 1 / b) Combined New Trawl Design	114.6	92.7	83.2	71.0		
	and Improved Harvest System 1 / - Maximum Time Savings	127.4	103.2	92.6			
	- Maximum Time Savings	124.6	100.9	90.5	77.2		
	- Minimum Time Savings	120.3	97.4	87.4	74.6		
2.	ASSUMED DAILY HARVEST PER VESSEL	20,860	16,900	14,410	11,160		
	TANK MANUTAN DEGLED TRANSMIC	•					
3•	DAILY MAN HOUR REQUIREMENTS PER VESSEL AT PRESENT HARVEST LEVEL			Hours			
	Condition: lresent	204	204	194	176		
	Assumed: a) New Trawl Design	182	182	173	157		
	b) Combined - New Trawl Design and Improved						
	Harvest System	164	164	156	141		
	- Maximum Time Savings	167	167	159	145		
	Median Time SavingsMinimum Time Savings	173	173	165	150		
4.	NUMBER OF CREWMEN REQUIRED ASSUMING NO CHANGE IN TOTAL HARVEST 2 /						
	Condition: Present	17.0	17.0	16.2	14.7		
	Assumed: a) New Trawl Design b) Combined - New Trawl &	15.2	15.2	14.4	13.1		
	Improved Harvest System		70.77	72 0	11.7		
	- Maximum	13.7					
	- Median - Minimum	13.9 14.4	13.9 14.4		·		

^{1 /} See Appendix C-IB-5

^{2 /} Man hours required divide by 12 man hours per day per man.

Appendix C-TB-7
Differential in Man Hour Requirements and Savings in Labor Costs
Flowing From New Trawl Design and Improved Harvest Systems,
Assuming Present Harvest Level

			Fleet			
		`A.	В	C	D	Total
	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)	(21)
••	REDUCTION IN DAILY MAN HOURS REQUIRED PER VESSEL		Daily Mar	Hours Pe	r Vessel	
	Assumed Condition: a) New Trawl Design b) Combined New Trawl & Improved	22	22	21	19	
	Harvest System - Maximum Time Saving - Median Time Saving - Minimum Time Saving	40 37 31	40 37 31	38 35 29	35 31 26	
•	REDUCTION IN ANNUAL MAN HOUR REQUIREM PER VESSEL CLASS 1 /	ENTS				
	Assumed Condition: a) New Trawl Design b) Combined New Trawl & Improved Harvest System	11,924	41,426	21,903	31,597	
	- Maximum • - Median - Minimum	21,680 20,054 16,802	75,320 69,671 58,373	39,634 36,505 30,247	58,205 51,553 43,238	
•	DOLLAR LABOR SAVINGS PER DAY PER VESSEL 2 /					
	Assumed Condition: a) New Trawl Design b) Combined - New Trawl & Improved	105.60	89.98	71.82	53.39	
	Harvest System - Maximum - Median - Minimum	192.00 177.60 148.80	_	119.70	87.11	
•	ANNUAL DOLLAR LABOR SAVINGS PER VESSEL CLASS Assumed Condition:					
	a) New Trawl Design b) Maximum - Median - Minimum	57,235 104,064 96,259 80,650	1'69,432 308,059 284,954 238,746	74,908 135,548 124,847 103,445	88,788 163,556 144,864 121,499	390,36 711,23 650,93 544,34

1 / Based on Vessel	Days at Sea as follows:		Computed on following pages
Class A: 542		-	Class A: \$4.80 per man hour
Class E: 1,883			Class B: 4.09 per man hour
Class C: 1,043			Class C: 3.42 per man hour
Class D: 1.663			Class D: 2.81 per man hour

Appendix C-IB-8
Changes in Payments to Crewmen resulting from
New Trawl Design and Harvest Systems Improvement

				Vessel Class	3 D	Fleet Total
		A	В	C	رز ·	
	(Number of Vessels)	(2)	(7)	(4)	(8)	
	PRESENT CONDITION: 1/ a) Total Number of Share per vessel class b) Total Amount Shared(34	7 770 000	/, V & UU/!	572 020	2.658,020
	c) Amount per Share(\$)	12,086	9,984	7,855	4,931	8,030
2.	NEW TRAWL DESIGN ASSUMPT WITH NO CHANGE IN TOTAL AND CREW SIZE REDUCED	HARVEST'			207	200
	and CREW SIZE REDUCED a) Total Number of Share b) Total Amount Shared(c) Amount per Share(\$) d) % Increase over pres	es <u>2</u> / 30 \$)410,907 13,697 ent 13.3	106 1,188,099 11,208 12.3	58 486,994 8,396 6.9	572,020 5,448 10.5	2,658,020 8,890 10.7
3.	NEW TRAWL DESIGN COMBINE IMPROVED HARVEST SYSTEM- TIME SAVING ASSUMPTION A	D WITH -MEDIAN AND NO				
	CHANGE IN TOTAL HARVEST a) Total Number of Shar b) Total Amount Shared c) Amount per Share d) % Increase over Pres	res 2/ 28 410,907 14,675 sent 21.4	97 1,188,099 12,248 22.7	53 486,994 9,189 17.0	97 572,020 5,897 19.6	275 2,658,020 9,666 20.4
1 .	NEW TRAWL DESIGN - ASSUMENCREASED HARVEST WITH a) Total Number of Shab) Total Amount Shared c) Amount per Share(\$) d) \$ Increase over Presented	MING SMALLER CREW res 30 3/460,274 15,342 sent 26.9	106 1,330,478 12,552 25.7	58 545,400 9,403 19.7	105 640,666 6,102 23.7	299 2,976,818 9,956 24.0
5.	NEW TRAWL DESIGN COMBIN	ED WITH IMPR	ROVED E SAVINGS,			
	a) Total number of Shab) Total number of Shab) Tot. Amt. Shared (\$0) Amount Per Share(\$0) \$10 Increase over President Share (\$0) \$10 In	res 28 5) 4500,509 17,875		592,996 11,189 42.	696,604 7,181 4 45.0	3,236,624 11,770 46.6
6.	NEW TRAWL DESIGNED COM IMPROVED HARVEST SYSTEM MEDIAN TIME SAVINGS, IN BUT NO CHANGE IN CREW	M-ASSUMING NCREASED HAR SIZE			776	331
	a) Total Number of Sh. b) Total Amount Share c) /mount per Share(\$ a) % Increase over Pr	ares 34 d 4/500,509) 14,721	1,446,515 12,156	592,996 9,564	696,504 6,005	3,236,624 9,778

Appendix C-IB-8 (continued)

- 1/ See Appendix D-2
- 2/ From Appendix C-IB-6(4)
- Present Shared plus crews' percentage of increase due to increased revenue shown in Appendix C-IB-2(7). Crew percentage computed on basis of 1965 relationship crew share of total revenue (Appendix___).
- $\frac{1}{4}$ Present olus crew percentage of increase shown in Appendix C-IB-4(7).

Appendix C-IIA-1 Savings in Labor Costs Resulting from Automated Shipboard Handling of Fish Assuming Present Catch Level

			Vessel			Fleet
		A	В	С	D	Total
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			POUND)S		
• ,	DAILY CATCH PER VESSEL	20.000	16 000	7/. // 70	11 140	· ·
	(Present)1/	20,860	16,900	14,410	11,100	7.
	MAN HOURS PER VESSEL		•			•
•	MAN HOURS PER VESSEL PER DAYL	204	204	194	176	
	THE DAIL!	204	204	174	110	
·	CATCH PER MAN PER HOUR1/	102.3	82.8	74.3	63.4	
	The Local Control of the Control of	2020	J2.0	, , , ,	,	
	INCREASED MAN HOUR CATCH					
	FROM AUTOMATIC HANDLING	•			•	
	a) Maximum time savings35%	138.1	111.8			
	b) Median time savings30%					
	c) Minimum time savings25%					
	-, menting cline savings23/					
	en e		HOUR	RS		
	MAN HOURS REQUIRED AT NEW					
	OUTPUT LEVEL	•				
	a) Maximum time savings	151	151	144	. 130	
	b) Median time savings	157	157	149	135	
	c) Minimum time savings	163	163	155	141	
	DAILY MAN HOURS SAVED AT					
•	NEW OUTPUT LEVEL					
		53	53	50	46	
	a) Maximum time savings	53 47	53 47		40	• *
	b) Median time savings	4/	· 4.1	/· -		
	A\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			45 39		
•	c) Minimum time savings	41	41	45 39	35	
	c) Minimum time savings	41	41			
	LABOR COST PER MAN		41	39 LARS	35	
		41	41	39 LARS	35	
	LABOR COST PER MAN		41	39 LARS	35	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR ² / DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER		41	39 LARS	35	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR ² / DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY	4.8	41 DOLI 30 4.09	39 LARS 9 3.42	35 2 2.81	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum	4.8 254.5	41 DOLI 30 4.09 50 216.7	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00	35 2 2.81 0 129.26	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR ² / DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median	4.8 254.5 225.6	41 30 4.09 50 216.77 50 192.23	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum	4.8 254.5	41 30 4.09 50 216.77 50 192.23	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR ² / DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median	4.8 254.5 225.6	41 30 4.09 50 216.77 50 192.23 30 167.69	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90 9 133.38	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median c) Minimum	4.8 254.5 225.6 196.8	41 30 4.09 50 216.77 50 192.23 30 167.69	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median c) Minimum ASSUMED NUMBER OF DAYS AT SE	254.5 225.6 196.8	41 30 4.09 50 216.7 50 192.2 30 167.6	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90 9 133.38 DAYS	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21 3 98.35	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median c) Minimum	4.8 254.5 225.6 196.8	41 30 4.09 50 216.77 50 192.23 30 167.69	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90 9 133.38	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median c) Minimum ASSUMED NUMBER OF DAYS AT SE	254.5 225.6 196.8	41 30 4.09 30 216.73 30 192.23 30 167.69	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90 9 133.38 DAYS	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21 3 98.35	
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR ² / DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median c) Minimum ASSUMED NUMBER OF DAYS AT SE PER VESSEL GROUP PER YEAR ³ / ANNUAL DOLLAR LABOR SAVINGS	254.5 225.6 196.8 542	41 30 4.09 30 216.73 30 192.23 30 167.69	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90 9 133.38 DAYS 1,043	35 2 2.81 0 129.26 0 115.21 3 98.35 1,663	5,1
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median c) Minimum ASSUMED NUMBER OF DAYS AT SE PER VESSEL GROUP PER YEAR3/ ANNUAL DOLLAR LABOR SAVINGS a) Maximum	254.5 225.6 196.8 542	41 30 4.09 30 216.7 30 192.2 30 167.69 1,883 408,178	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90 9 133.38 DAYS 1,043	35 2 2.81 2 2.81 3 1,663 1,663	5,1: 939,3
	LABOR COST PER MAN HOUR2/ DOLLAR LABOR SAVING PER VESSEL PER DAY a) Maximum b) Median c) Minimum ASSUMED NUMBER OF DAYS AT SE PER VESSEL GROUP PER YEAR3/ ANNUAL DOLLAR LABOR SAVINGS a) Maximum	254.5 225.6 196.8 542	41 30 4.09 30 216.77 30 192.23 30 167.69	39 LARS 9 3.42 7 171.00 3 153.90 9 133.38 DAYS 1,043	35 2 2.81 3 129.26 1 115.21 98.35 1,663 214,959 191,594	

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ / See Appendix C-IA-3. $\frac{2}{3}$ / See Appendix C-IA-5. $\frac{3}{4}$ / Appendix D-1 (6).

Appendix C-IIB-1
Increases in the Value of Catch Due to Higher Prices Resulting From Quality Improvement through Processes to Extend Shelf Life
And Increase Consumer Acceptance

		Vessel	Class		Fleet
	A	В	C	D	Total
A. PRESENT CATCH LEVEL					
1. Value of Annual Catch(\$) 1	936,700	2,761,500	1,184,100	1,491,200	6,373,500
2. Assumed Increase In Value				en e	•
Due to Improved Quality 2					
a) Maximum - 20%	187,340	552,300	236,820	298,240	1,274,700
b) Median - 15%	140,505	414,225	177,615	223,680	956,025
c) Minimum - 10%	93,670	276,150 0	118,410	149,120	637,350
B. CATCH LEVEL WITH IMPROVED HARVEST					
SYSTEM AND NEW TRAWL DESIGN					
1. Value of Annual Catch 3 /	1,140,806	3,362,468	1,442,012	1,815,637	7,760,923
2. Assumed Increase in Value					
Due to Improved Quality					
a) Maximum - 20%	228,161	672,494	288,402	363,127	1,552,185
b) Median - 15%	171,121	504,370	216,302		1,164,138
c) Minimum - 10%	114,081	336,247	144,201	181,564	
	,001	230,247	2,1,201	202,204	,

l / Appendix Dl

^{2 /} Estimate based on fish sales at 2 Boston locations (See Appendix C-IIB-2)

^{3 /} Present catch value plus increasement shown in Appendix C-IB-4 (7B)

Appendix C-ITB-2
Price Differential Between Fishlandings at
Atlantic Avenue Pier (Boston) and Boston Fish Pier 1

Year	Haddock and	ce Per Pound Cod Landings . Boston Fish Pier	Percent Atlant Ave. of Boston Fish Pier	
		-CENTS	Percent	
1959	12.05	10.72	12.41	
1960	10.36	8.82	17.46	
1961	10.25	8.26	24.09	
1962	10.41	9.09	14.52	
1963	11.88	10.46	13.58	
1964	12.27	10.02	22.46	
1965	15.28	11.44	33.87	

Av. = 19.73

Fish landed at Atlantic are caught by small travlers which generally make shorter trips (1-2 days) then the larger vessels that land at Boston Fish Pier (8-9 day trips). Therefore, landings at Atlantic Ave. would consist of fish newer caught then the majority of fish landed at the Fish Pier.

APPENDIX C-II-C-1 Increase in Catch Value Resulting from Improving Weigh-out at Port Through Moisture Loss Inhibition

	A	Vessel B	ClassC	D	Fleet Total
A. Present Catch Level			dollars		
			0)		6
1) Value of annual catch \$ 1/	936,700	2,761,500	1,184,100	1,491,200	6,373,500
2) Assumed increase in value due					
to increased weigh-out at port a) 10% increase	93,670	276,150	118,410	149,120	637,350
b) 5% increase	46,835	138,075	59,205	74,560	318,675
B. Catch Level With Improved Harvest System & New Trawl Design					
1) Value of annual catch \$2/	1,140,806	3,362,468	1,442,012	1,815,637	7,760,923
2) Assumed increase in value due to increased weigh-out at port					
a) 10% increase	114,081	336,247	-144,201	181,564	776,092
b) 5% increase	57,040	168,123	72,101	90,782	388,046

39

^{1/} Appendix D-l 2/ Present catch value plus increment shown in Appendix C-IB-4 (7b)

Appendix C-IID-1
Increment to Total Revenue Resulting from Processing Total
Catch (Three Alternative Processes)--Assuming No Change in Total Catch

		Vesse]	Class		Fleet
	Α.	В	C	D	Total
Process with Present Catch					**************************************
A. Slurried Material 1. Raw material weight 1/					
(1,000 pounds)	6,290	17,792	8,201	10,166	42,449
2. Recovery @ 100%	6,290	17,792	8,201	10,166	42,449
3. Product value @		e de la companya de l		v er	
l¢/lb in \$	62,900	177,920	82,010	101,660	424,490
B. Fish Meal	•	•			
1. Raw material weight 1/				- 4 	
(1,000 pounds)	6,290	17,792	8,201	10,166	42,449
2. Recover @ 20%	1,258	3,558	1,640	2,033	8,490
3. Product value @					
6¢/lb in \$	75,480	213,480	158,400	121,980	509,400
C. Meat Extracts; Protein Exter	nders				
1. Raw material weight $\frac{1}{2}$					
(1,000 pounds)	6,290	17,792	8,201	10,166	42,449
2. Recovery @ 20%	1,258	3,558	1,640	2,033	8,490
3. Iroduct value @			1		
15¢/lb in \$	188,700	533,700	246,000	304,950	1,273,500

^{1/} See Appendix C-IID-3

Appendix C-TTD-2

Increment to Total Revenue Resulting from Processing Total Catch
(Three Alternative Processes) Assuming Increased Total Catch Due to New Trawl Systems

		Vessel	Class		Fleet
	A	В	С	D	Total
Process with increased catch from improved harvest system and new					
trawl design					
A. Slurried Material 1. Raw material weight1/					
(1,000 pounds)	7.661	21,664	9,986	12,374	51,688
2. Recovery @ 100%	7,661	- ·	9,986	12,374	51,688
3. Product value @	•				•
l¢/lb.in \$	76,610	216,640	99,860	123,740	516,880
B. Fish Meal 1. Raw material weight 1/					
(1,000 pounds)	7,661	-			51,688
2. Recovery @ 20%3. Product value @	1,532	4,333	1,997	2,475	10,338
6¢/lb in \$	91,920	259,980	119,820	148,500	620,28
C. Meat Extracts; Protein Extenders 1. Raw material weight 1					
(1,000 pounds)	7,661	21,664	9,986	12,374	51,68
2. Recovery @ 20%		4,333	-		10,33
3. Product value @ 15c/lb in \$		649,950			1,550,70

^{1/} See Appendix C-IID-3.

Computation of Quantity of Catch Presently Discarded as Non-Barketable

	Vessel Class				Fleet	
	A	В		D	Total	
Present Annual Catch (1000 lbs)		•				
a) Round weight 1/	9,977	28,221	13,008	16,125	67,331	
b) As Landed (drawn)	8,676	24,540	11,311.	14,022	58,549	
c) Discarded Offal	1,301	3,681	1.,697	2,1.03	8,782	
Estimated non-Marketables Discarded under present system 2/	11,989	14,111	6,504	8,063	33,667	
Total Discarded Weight	6,290	1.7,792	8,201	1.0,166	42,449	
Catch level with improved harvest system and new trawl design - Annual (1000 lbs) 3/						
a) Round Weight	12,153	34,363	15,841	19,629	81,987	
b) As Landed (Drawn)	10,568	29,881.	1.3,775	1.7,069	71.,293	
c) Discarded Offal	1,585	4,482	2,066	2,560	10,694	
Rotinated Hon-Marketables Disearded under Present System	6,076	1.7,1.82	7,920	9,814	110,994	
Total Discarded Weight	7,661	21.,664	9,986	1.2,374	51,688	

42

^{1/} brown weight figures shown in Appendix D-1 increased by factor of 1.15.
2/ On basis of 1/3 of total haul
2/ Based on increment shown in Appendix C-IB-4

APPENDIX D-1
Operating Statistics Boston Offshore Trawler Fleet,
Year 1965, Grouped by Vessel Earnings Class

		Fleet			
Number of Vessels	A (2)	B (7)	1 Class C (4)	D (8)	Total (21)
Catch (thou. Pounds)	8,676	24,540	11,311	14,022	58 , 549
Revenue (thou. dollars)	936.7	2,761.5	1,184.1	1,491.2	6,373.5
Average Price Received per 1b. (cents)	10.80	11.25	10.47	10.63	10.89
Number of trips	63	148	112	183	506
Number of days at sea	542	1,883	1,043	1,663	5,131
Number of days fishing	416	1,452	785	1,256	3,909
Number of man days at sea	9,214	32,011	16,249	24,393	81,867
Number of man days fishing	7,065	24,679 -		18,431	62,878
Net running time - days at sea					
less days fishing	126	431	258	407	1,222
Days running time per 10 days					
fishing time	3.0:10	3.0:10	3.3:10	3.2:10	3.1:10
Net days in port	188	672	417	1,257	2,534
Days in port per 10 days sea time	3.5:10	3.6:10	4.0:10	7.6:10	4.9:10
Catch per day fishing - lbs. per					
vessel	20,860	16,900	14,410	11,160	
Catch per man day fishing					
Lbs. per vessel	1,228	994	890	761	
Revenue per day fishing	2,252	1,902	1,580	1,187	
Revenue per man day fishing	132.62	111.83	93.18	80.89	
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				

Source: Vessel Trip Settlement Sheets

43

APPENDIX D-2

Composite P & L Statement, Boston Offshore Trawler Fleet,
Year 1965, Grouped by Earning Class

		Vesse	l Class			
(Number of Vessels)	A (2)	B (7)	C (4)	D (8)	Fleet Total (21)	
Operating Revenues						
Proceeds from catch	936,700	2,761,500	1,184,100	1,491,200	6,373,500	
Direct Operating Expense	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Labor:						. · · · · · · .
Crew Shares 1/	410,907	1,188,099	486,994	572,020	2,658,020	
Bonuses	40,647	121,197	53,345	69,765	284,954	1
Payroll taxes	24,655	71,285	29,219	34,321	159,480	. *
Total labor	476,209	1,380,581	569,558	676,106	3,102,454	
Trip expense	162,466	501,250	230,229	337,787	1,240,732	
Maintenance & Repair	70,460	228,795	117,670	196,075	613,000	
Cotal Direct Operating Expense	709,135	2,110,626	926,457	1,209,968	4,956,186	
Indirect Expense						
Insurance	31,000	108,500	56,500	105,000	301,000	
Depreciation	15,000	117,500	47,500	55,000	235,000	
Miscellaneous Mngt. Expense	20,000	70,000	40,000	80,000	210,000	
Total Expense	66,000	296,000	144,000	240,000	746,000	
Total Direct & Indirect Expense	775,135	2,406,626	1,070,457	1,449,968	5,702,186	
Net Profit	161,565	354,874	113,643	41,232	671,314	
(before taxes & interest)					10 =	
%: Net Profit to Op. Rev.	17.2	12.9	9.6	2.8	10.5	
%: Op. Rev. to Opr. Exp.	120.8	114.7	110.6	102.8	111.8	

^{1/} Number of shares per group as follows: Class A-34; B-119; C-66; D-116

APPENDIX D-3

Operating Costs and Revenues Per Man Day at Sea, Boston Offshore Trawler Fleet, Year 1965, Grouped By Vessel Earnings Class

	Vessel Class					
(Number of Vessels) (Number of man days at sea)	(2) (9214)	(7) (32011)	C (4) (16249)	D (8) (24393)		
Operating Revenues						
Proceeds from catch	101.74	86.29	72.87	61.12		
Direct Operating Expense						
Labor:	•	• •	•			
Crew shares	44.60	37.12	29.97	23.45		
Bonuses	4.40	3.79	3.29	2.86		
Payroll Taxes	2.68	2.23	1.80	1.41		
Total Labor	51.68	43.14	35.06	27.72		
Trip Expense	17.63	15.66	14.72	13.85		
Meintenance & Repair	7.65	7.15	7.24	8.04		
Total Direct Operating Expense	76.96	65.95	57.02	49.61		
Indirect Expense						
Insurance	3.36	3•39	3.48	4.30		
Depreciation	1.63	3.67	2.92	2.25		
Misc. Managment expense	2.17	2.19	2.46	3.28		
Total Indirect Expense	7.16	9.25	8.86	9.83		
Total Direct & Indirect Expense	84.12	75.20	65.88	59.44		
Net Profit (before taxes & interest)	17.62	11.09	6.99	1.68		

Proposed P & L Statement After Implementation of Full New Trawl System*

	A	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathscr{S}}$	C	D	Total	
Operating Revenues Proceeds from catchl/ 1	, 445,577	4,251,601	1,830,275	2,302,505	9,829,958	
Direct Operating Expense Labor: Crew Shares2/ Bonuses3/ Payroll taxes1/	634,608 62,763 38,076	1,828,188 186,475 109,691	752,243 82,371 45,135	884,162 107,868 53,050	4,099,201 439,477 245,952	
Total labor	735,447	2,124,354	879,749	1,045,080	4,784,630	
Trip Expense <u>5</u> /	162,466	501,250	239,229	337,787	1,240,732	
Maintenance & Repair	70,460	228,795	117,670	196,075	613,000	
Total Direct Operating Expenses	968,373	2,854,399	1,236,648	1,578,942	6,638,362	
Indirect Expense Insurance Depreciation6/ Misc. Maintenance Expense	31,000 15,000 se 20,000	108,500 117,500 70,000	56,500 47,500 40,000	105,000 55,000 80,000	301,000 235,000 210,000	
Total Indirect Expense	66,000	296,000	144,000	240,000	746,000	
Total Direct & Indirect Expense	1,034,373	3,150,399	1,380,648	1,818,942	7,384,362	
Net Profit (before taxes & interest)	411,204	1,101,202	<u>4</u> 49,627	483,563	2 , 445 , 596	
%: Net Profit to Op. Rev.	28.4	25.9	24.6	21.0	24.9	
%: Op. Rev. to Op. Exp.	139.8	135.0	132.6	126.6	133.1	

^{1/} Operating Revenues-Proceeds from Catch: Calculated by adding proceeds from present catch (Appendix D-2), increment to Revenue resulting from processing slurried material (Appendix II D-2), increase in catch value resulting from improving weight out (Appendix CII C-1), increases in the value of catch resulting from Quality Improvement-median (Appendix CII B-1), and increment to revenue resulting from implementation of new harvest system and trawl design.

Appendix D-4 (continued)

- 2/ Crew Shares: Calculated as same percent of proceeds from catch as in present P&L statement Appendix D-2 (A-43.9%, B-43.0%, C-41.1%, D-38.4%, and total = A D).
- 3/ Bonuses: Calculated as same percent of crew shares as in present P&L statement Appendix D-2 (A-9.89%, B-10.20%, C-10.95%, D-12.20%, and total = A D).
- 4/ Payroll Taxes: 6% of crew shares.
- 5/ The remaining expenses are identical with present P&L statement Appendix D-2.
- 6/ Does not allow for depreciation on new trawl system equipment.
- * Number of trips assumed to be same as present.

APPENDIX D-5

Share Payments to Crew After Implementation of Full New Trawl System

		Fleet			
	A	В	С	D	Total
Present System					•
1) Total vessel revenue (\$)	936,700	2,761,500	1,184,100	1,491,200	6,373,500
2) Total shared by crew (\$)	410,907	1,188,099	486,994	572,020	2,658,020
3) Number of shares	34	119	62	116	331
4) Amount/Share (\$)	12,086	9,984	7,855	4,931	8,030
New Trawl Systems					
1) Total vessel revenue (\$)	1,445,577	4,251,601	1,830,275	2,302,505	9,829,958
2) Total shared by crew (\$)	634,608	1,828,188	752,243	884,162	4,099,201
3) Number of shares					
a) no change from present	34	119	62	116	331
b) utilizing potential labor saving from new system (IIA(5b))	26	91	50	90	257
4) Amount/Share \$					
a) present crew	18,665	15,363	12,133	7,622	12,384
b) minimal crew	24,408	20,090	15,045	9,824	15,950
5) Percent increase over present					
a) present crew	54.4	53.9	5 ⁴ .5	54.6	54.2
b) minimal crew	102.0	101.2	91.5	99.2	98.6

(continued from inside front cover)

- 14. A Price Incentive Plan for Distressed Fisheries by A. A. Sokoloski and E. W. Carmon.
- 15. Demand and Prices for Shrimp by D. Cleary.
- 16. Industry Analysis of Gulf Area Frozen Processed Shrimp and an Estimation of Its Economic Adaptability to Radiation Processing by D. Nash and M. Miller.
- 17. An Economic Evaluation of Columbia River Anadromous Fish Programs by J. A. Richards.
- 18. Economic Projections of the World Demand and Supply of Tuna, 1970 90 by F. Bell.
- 19. Economic Feasibility of a Seafood Processing Operation in the Inner City of Milwaukee by D. Cleary.
- 20. The 1969 Fishing Fleet Improvement Act: Some Advantages of its Passage by the Division of Economic Research.
- 21. An Economic Analysis of Policy Alternatives for Managing the Georges Bank Haddock Fishery by L.W. Van Meir.
- 22. Some Analyses of Fish Prices by F. Waugh and V. Norton.
- 23. Some Economic Characteristics of Pond-Raised Catfish Enterprises by J. E. Greenfield
- 24. Elements Crucial to the Future of Alaska Commercial Fisheries by D. Nash, A. Sokoloski, and D. Cleary.
- 25. Effects on the Shrimp Processing Industry of Meeting the Requirements of Wholesome Fishery Products Legislation by D. Nash and M. Miller.
- 26. Benefit Cost Analysis of a Proposed Trawl Systems Program by M. M. Miller.

The goal of the Division of Economic Research is to engage in economic studies which will provide industry and government with costs, production and earnings analyses; furnish projections and forecasts of food fish and industrial fish needs for the U. S.; develop an overall plan to develop each U. S. fishery to its maximum economic potential and serve as an advisory service in evaluating alternative programs within the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

In the process of working towards these goals an array of written materials have been generated representing items ranging from iterim discussion papers to contract reports. These items are available to interested professionals in limited quantities of offset reproduction. These "Working Papers" are not to be construed as official BCF publications and the analytical techniques used and conclusions reached in no way represent a final policy determination endorsed by the U. S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.