

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

CA

Econ, 67-36

AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS EGYPT PROJECT

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

THE DEMAND FOR FRESH WINTER TOMATOES IN W. GERMANY FRANCE, AND THE UNITED KINGDOM

by
Waheed Megahid
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Richard L. Simmons
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, North Carolina

GIANNINI FOUNDATION OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS LIBERT NUMBER 2 9 1982

WORKING PAPER

g/E(YPT 🏙



THE DEMAND FOR FRESH WINTER TOMATOES IN W. GERMANY FRANCE, AND THE UNITED KINGDOM

by Waheed Megahid Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Richard L. Simmons
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, North Carolina

Working Paper Series No. 36

Note: The Research Reports of the Agricultural Development Systems: Egypt Project, University of California, Davis, are preliminary materials circulated to invite discussion and critical comment. These papers may be freely circulated but to protect their tentative character, they are not to be quoted without the permission of the author.

July, 1981

Agricultural Development Systems:

Egypt Project
University of California
Davis, Ca 95616

The Demand for Winter Tomatoes in the EEC

Objectives

West Germany, France, and the U.K. are the three large importers of fresh winter tomatoes in the EEC and Western Europe. These three countries have large populations (see Appendix Table 1), minimal local production in the cold season, and high per capita incomes, a combination of factors which leads to significant imports. Other EEC countries such as Denmark, Belgium, Luxembourg, Italy and the Netherlands have sufficient local production to nearly meet consumption requirements. This study focuses on estimating demand functions for fresh tomatoes at the wholesale level in West Germany, France and U.K.

The objective is to estimate price and income elasticities for purposes of demand projection and estimation of the possible effects of increases in market supplies on equilibrium prices. The winter season is defined as December through March. Since demand parameters may vary during this season, attention is given to estimating monthly demand functions for each of the three countries.

The Market Model

In order to obtain appropriate formulations of statistical demand models, it is first necessary to postulate the demand and supply forces operating in the EEC market, so that statistical models may properly reflect the workings of the market.

The principal sources of winter tomatoes vary somewhat during the season. Morocco and the Canary Islands supply tomatoes principally from open field production during the entire December through March season. Spain has traditionally supplied tomatoes principally until January or February, although in

recent years production has been extended through March and April, due to expanded production under plastic in Aquilas and Almeria. Minor quantities are supplied by Egypt, Israel, Bulgaria and several other countires. The Netherlands produces greenhouse tomatoes beginning in March in rather small amounts, but expanding significantly in April. Greenhouse tomatoes are of higher quality than open field tomatoes and command a much higher price early in the season. The price differential between greenhouse and open-field tomatoes decreases in May and later months as local supplies become plentiful. Greenhouse tomatoes may substitute imperfectly for tomatoes produced in the open air (as concerning consumer preferences).

Factors Affecting Quantities Supplied:

- (1) Weather in Supplying Countries: Supplies from Morocco, Spain and the Canaries are stochastically affected by weather factors such as abnormally cold temperatures during critical growing periods, by high winds and disease problems, all of which affect yields (after some biologically-determined time lag).
- (2) Marketing Controls: Supplies in all three countries are under the control of central marketing boards which set monthly and weekly quotas for all shippers and divert export supplies to domestic markets to avoid temporary low prices in EEC markets. $\frac{2}{}$

Once supplies arrive in importing countries the market is cleared by competitive market forces and prices arrive at equilibrium levels according to

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ See unpublished paper by R. Simmons, "Production of Winter Tomatoes in Mainland Spain," mimeo., 18 p.

^{2/} During April EEC imports cannot enter at less than a fixed minimum price without penalty to the export countries. Central marketing boards in export countries attempt to maintain prices above this minimum in April. They also voluntarily attempt to maintain a "satisfactory" price in other months.

the quantities deliverd. Allocation of supplies among importing countries to equalize net producer prices in all countries is fairly efficient, if not always optimal. There is little or no product differentiation according to source.

Tomatoes of similar qualities receive similar prices irrespective of the identity of the supplying country.

(3) Changing Currency Exchange Rates: Changing exchange rates among foreign currencies can also affect the supplies from exporting countries. From the stand-point of producers in Spain and Morocco the upward valuation of the Deutschmark made the German markets more attractive, since the price of tomatoes in Germany translates to more Spanish pesetas and Moroccan dirhams per kilogram for the producer. Upward valuations of currencies in consuming countries or devaluation in producing countries tend to stimulate trade, both within and between seasons.

Factors Affecting Consumption:

The quantities consumers will purchase at varying prices are affected principally by income and temperature. According to vegetable distributors and trade journals abnormally cold temperatures in consuming areas in any period tends to depress consumption of fresh tomatoes. Also, demands for tomatoes seem to expand in March and April as temperatures increase, possibly because of increased tendencies to consume salads in place of hot foods. In addition to pure temperare ture effects, tastes of consumers may cause demands in the different months to vary.

Quantities and prices of substitute vegetables could also affect tomato consumption, although the effects of related commodities has traditionally been difficult to measure due to the large number of possibly related commodities and the relative unimportance of each individually.

Summary of Supply-Demand Factors:

The previous description of equilibrium market forces implies that quantities and prices of tomatoes are, to a certain extent, jointly determined. A simultaneous equation system would seem to be a reasonable model for estimation, should

the appropriate data be available. Data availability is not uniform from country to country, however, so the statistical models have to be varied somewhat among the three countries.

A problem of specifying quality and type of tomato exists in some months. The analysis is directed toward estimating the market potential for open air field tomatoes such as might be produced in Egypt. It is assumed that the quality of Egyptian tomatoes would be equal to those produced in Spain, Morocco, and the Canary Islands, which are essentially similar in all three supplying regions and generally characteristic of all open field tomatoes. 1/

Economic Model:

The postulation that prices and quantities are, at least in part, jointly determined suggests the specification of a statistical model that allows simultaneity in price and quantity determination. Quantities imported would be related to price and to such predetermined variables as the currency exchange rate between the importing country and the exporting country (or the exchange rate of the importing country of International Monetary Fund SDR's, if more than one major supplying country is involved), and weather factors in the principal exporting country (primarily monthly average temperature). Local production is believed to be less than 5 percent of total imports and was ignored in the analysis.

Prices are jointly determined with imports and are also dependent on such predetermined variables as real per-capita income and temperatures in the consuming centers. Variation in quality also has considerable effect on price but cannot be included because such information is not recorded.

 $[\]frac{1}{}$ Quality of tomatoes from Morocco, Spain, and the Canary Islands are also affected by factors other than exposure to weather such as by long travel times and technologies in packing and selection.

In general terms the structural form of the statistical model would be as follows:

IMPORT: PRICE, EXCHR, TEMPE

PRICE: IMPORT, INCOME, TEMPI

where

IMPORT = total quantity imported in month j, in thousand metric tons

PRICE = average price per 6 kg. package, in currency of importing country, deflated by CPI

EXCHR = exchange rate between importing country's currency and exporting country's currency

TEMPE = mean monthly temperature in supplying country, lagged one month

INCOME = gross domestic product, deflated by CPI

TEMPI = mean monthly temperature in importing country.

A two-stage least squares estimation procedure is used to estimate demand parameters. In the first stage a reduced form equation is used which regresses imports on all of the predetermined variables in the model, and monthly dummy intercept variables to account for supply variation during the season. The second stage estimates a structural demand equation which regresses price on predicted imports, income, temperature in the importing country and monthly dummy intercept and slope variables to allow the slope and/or price elasticities of the demand equation to vary by month.

OLS procedures will not produce statistically consistent parameters in Equation (2) since the variable IMPORT is not uncorrelated with the error term in that equation.

RESULTS FOR WEST GERMANY

Import data for West Germany reflect very large increases in imports over the 1965-80 period of analysis, probably due partly to the very large growth in per capita income (see Appendix Tables 1 and 2). The significant upward valuation of the Deutschmark in relation to the International Monetary Fund SDR stimulated imports from Spain and Morocco. Results of the two-stage least squares analysis for West Germany are as follows:

First Stage:

$$R^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
 .90 IMPORTS = 32.4393 + .0035 TEMPI - 6.2614 EXCHR - .0288 INCOME + .0404 TEMPE (.08) (-7.37) (-.64) (.25)
$$-1.2728DI_{\text{JAN}} - 2.4754DI_{\text{FEB}} - .7757DI_{\text{MAR}} \\ (-1.92) - (-3.58) - (-1.17) - (-$$

Second Stage:

$$R^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
 .53 PRICE = 3.1419 - .3334 IMPORTS + .0792 TEMPI+ .1076 INC + .6035DI JAN (2.23) + .8210DI FEB + .7532DI MAR - .0354DS JAN - .0838DS FEB + .649DS MAR

Numbers in parentheses below the coefficients are the t-ratios. Exchange rates are very significant in explaining variations in quantities imported.

In the demand equation imports, income and temperature are significant at the 90 percent level or better.

In order to compare the estimated coefficients of the demand equation of the 2SLS model with an OLS model the results of the OLS model are presented below,

$$R^{2}$$
 .55 PRICE = 2.7010 - .3126 IMPORT + .0795 TEMPI + .1112 INC + .6541DI JAN (2.5) (1.8) (3.5) + 1.2676DI FEB + 1.5763DI MAR - .0382DS JAN - 1328DS FEB + .0847DS MAR

The coefficients of the import variable for the various months were not significantly different between the two-stage estimation procedure and the OLS procedure, as indicated in Table 1.

Table 1: Comparison of coefficients for the import variable for 2SLS and OLS for Germany

	Estimation Procedure					
Month	2SLS	OLS				
Dec.	3334	3126				
Jan.	3688	3508				
Feb.	4172	4454				
Mar.	1685	2279				
ł						

RESULTS FOR FRANCE

A complete price series for the 1966-80 period for France was available only for tomatoes at the <u>retail level</u> in Parisian markets. Monthly average prices at the wholesale level were available only for the period 1974-80. Consequently, the estimation procedure involved the use of retail prices in the demand function with a later conversion to the wholesale level with the aid of an equation for estimating the wholesale-retail margin.

In the reduced form equation relating total imports to the predetermined variables in the model for France, the monthly mean temperature (lagged one month) in Agadir, Morocco was included, as was the temperature in Alicante, Spain. For much of the period Morocco was the principal supplier of France, and temperature variation in Morocco is substantial. Supplies from Morocco are often affected by cold temperatures in producing areas, and such temperature variations appear to

affect supplies with a one-month lag. Also in the first-stage equation two exchange rate variables were defined: (1) the official exchange rate between the Spanish peseta and the French franc, and (2) the French franc divided by the SDR. Moroccan currency has traditionally been tied to the French franc so variation in the franc-dirham rate is minimal and was not included.

The 2SLS results are as follows:

First Stage:

$$R^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
 .67 IMPORT = 17.2673 + .3427 INC - .1632 TEMPI - .2574 EXCH₁ - 4.6863 EXCH₂ + .2045 TEMPE₁ + .5354 TEMPE₂ - 3.2418DI_{JAN} - 4.2647DI_{FEB} + .8339DI_{MAR}

where

INC = French gross domestic product divided by the wholesale price index

TEMP = monthly average temperature in Paris, degrees Fahrenheit

EXCH, = peseta per French franc

EXCH₂ = French franc per SDR

TEMPE, = mean monthly temperature in Agadir, Morocco (lagged one month), degrees F

TEMP E_2 = mean monthly temperature in Alicante, Spain (lagged one month), degrees F.

The structural demand equation, derived in the second stage is as follows:

$$R^{2}$$
 .50 PRIC = 1.6212 - .0932 IMPORT + .0307 TEMP + .0287 INC - .0774DI_{JAN} (1.59) + .3336DI_{FEB} + 1.0962DI_{MAR} - .0293DS_{JAN} - .0362DS_{FEB} - .0404DS_{MAR}

Income is significant at the 95 percent level and imports and temperature are significant at about the 88 percent level. An ordinary least squares demand equation using the same variables as the structural demand equation in the second stage of the 2SLS model was estimated for purposes of comparison. Results of the OLS equation is as follows:

$$R^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
 .69 PRICE = 1.1925 - -.0683 IMPORT + .0290 INC + .0303 TEMP1 (-2.26) (5.0295) (1.88) + .6979DI_{JAN} + .8333DI_{FEB} + 1.4614DI_{MAR} - .0683DS_{JAN} - .0677DS_{FEB} - .0622DS_{MAR}

A comparison of the estimated coefficients of the IMPORT variable using the OLS and the 2SLS procedures is given below.

Table 2: Comparison of results of OLS and 2SLS for France

	Proc	edure
Month	2SLS	OLS
Dec.	0932	0683
Jan.	1225	1366
Feb.	1294	1360
Mar.	1336	1305

The 2SLS estimates are comparable with the OLS estimates in each month.

In order to establish a relationship between retail and wholesale prices in France for use in converting the demand function to the wholesale level, the following equation was estimated:

$$R^{\frac{2}{2}}$$
 .51 MARG = -.8522 + .3386 PRIC_R - .0029 IMPORT + .00734 CPI (4.64) (1.37)

where

MARG = retail price minus wholesale price

 $PRIC_{D}$ = retail price in francs per kg.

IMPORT = quantity imported

CPI = consumer price index

A total of 40 observations were available. In this equation the change in wholesale-retail margin seems to be 33.9 percent of the retail price. No other variable is significant statistically. A simple average of the wholesale-retail margin as a percentage of the retail price for each month was calculated. There were only slight differences between months, averaging about 30-35 percent of the retail price. It was concluded that an estimate of a retail-wholesale margin of 34 percent of the retail price was sufficiently realistic to use to convert the demand equation to the wholesale level. Conversion to the wholesale level is accomplished by multiplying the net price-quantity relation by 0.66.

UNITED KINGDOM

Results for the U.K. were not as good as for the other two countries because (1) monthly data on imports were available only for 1971-80, a period of only 10 years, (2) real income did not change significantly during the period of analysis, and (3) there was less variation in measured prices and imports in the U.K. than for the other two countries.

The U.K. is supplied principally by the Canary Islands during this period. with mainland Spain supplying substantial quantities in December and January. The Channel Islands have produced significant quantities of glasshouse tomatoes in December in recent years. Price quotations for these tomatoes are not available for the entire period. December was omitted from the analysis because of data problems.

The price variable for January-March was defined as the weighted average of the monthly average prices in Spain and the Canary Islands.

It was not possible to do a two-stage-least squares analysis for the U.K. Predictions of imports were so unreliable that their use in the second stage gave unsatisfactory results. The following OLS formulation was used to estimate the structural demand equation.

$$R^{2}$$
 .52 PRIC = .0372 - .0428 IMPORT + .0375 TEMPI+ .0635 INC - .3653 DIFEB (.60) (1.18) (1.48) + .3135 DIMAR + .0276 DSFEB + .0069 DSMAR

All of the estimated parameters have the correct signs. Explained variance in the dependent variable is low, however, and minor modifications in specification caused rather large differences in results. Lack of robustness in the U.K. estimates tends to prevent heavy reliance on elasticity estimates.

Summary of Results

Table 3 below summarizes the results for the three countries by substituting the average income and temperature effects into the equation and presenting the net effect between price and imports. In the case of France the equation is also multiplied by 0.66 to convert it to the wholesale level.

Table 3: Summary of Demand Equations for the Three Countries

Month	Country	Regression Equation	Estimate of Price elast.
	W. Germany	P = 12.547033340	3.5
Dec.	France	P = 4.99430932Q	2.1
	- 		
	W. Germany	P = 12.92323688Q	2.5
Jan.	France	P = 5.05431225Q	2.0
	U.K.	P = 2.58520428Q	2.3
	W. Germany	P = 13.38784172Q	2.4
Feb.	France	P = 5.375412940	1.6
	U.K.	P = 2.23690152Q	10.6
	W. Germany	P = 13.68911685Q	7.3
Mar.	France	P = 6.26541336Q	1.7
	U.K.	P = 3.00620359	4.4

The price elasticity of demand is calculated at the mean price and mean quantity point on the monthly demand functions. Price elasticities seem to center mostly on the -1.5 to -2.5 range, which is in conformity with previous studies.

Standardizing and Aggregating Demand Functions

The estimated demand functions can be placed at current levels by adjusting the intercepts by multiplying by current levels of real income and the CPI. At the same time the demand functions can be standardized in a particular currency by multiplying the intercepts and slopes by the appropriate exchange rates. In Table 4 below the demand functions are expressed in dollar terms and placed at December, 1979 levels.

Table 4: Estimated Demand Functions for W. Germany, France and U.K., by months.

	• x **			
Month	Country	Demand Function		
December	W. Germany France U.K	\$ 9.0913470 \$ 8.670628Q		
January	W. Germany France U.K.	\$ 9.3314900 \$ 8.7608250 \$ 9.3308560		
February	W. Germany France U.K.	\$ 9.6316860 \$ 9.2708720 \$ 8.0803040		
March	W. Germany France U.K.	\$ 9.820681Q \$10.660900Q \$10.860718Q		

Note: Exchange rates used per dollar were .4041 marks, .1701 Francs and 2.0 pounds. Q represents thousand metric tons.

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Unpublished studies by Landbouw-Economisch Institut Den Haag, The Hague, Netherlands.

The demand functions can be aggregated over countries and/or over months by solving each individually with Q as the dependent variable and then adding. The aggregated functions can then be rearranged with P dependent for use in predicting aggregated price effects with various levels of increased market supplies. Aggregating over countries gives the following monthly functions:

December $\frac{1}{2}$: P = \$ 8.98 - .0285Q

January: P = \$9.10 - .03280

February: P - \$ 8.53 - .0199Q

March: P = \$10.42 - .02520

Thus an increase in supply of 10,000 metric tons each month would reduce the price by \$.29 in December, \$.33 in January, \$.20 in February and \$.25 in March. An increase of 10,000 metric tons per month would be a supply increase in the range of 15-20 percent. In this way it can be postulated that Egypt could export 10,000 metric tons in each of these four months and expect to decrease the equilibrium market price on the average of about 25-35 U.S. cents per 6 kilogram box, assuming other factors remained constant. Effects of various other hypothetical supply adjustments could be analyzed in the same manner with those demand equations.

Conclusions of Study

Price elasticities for fresh tomatoes in W. Germany, France and U.K. are, in general, elastic, ranging for the most part between -1.5 and -2.5. Income elasticities are 1.8 for France, +1.5 for U.K. and +2.0 for W. Germany.²

$$\eta_{\bar{I}} = \frac{9\bar{I}}{9\bar{I}} \cdot \frac{\bar{I}}{\bar{I}} = \frac{9\bar{Q}}{9\bar{P}} \cdot \frac{9\bar{I}}{9\bar{I}} \cdot \frac{\bar{I}}{\bar{Q}} ,$$

where
$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial P} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial P}{\partial Q}}$$

¹/ For the U.K. the January function was used.

^{2/} The income elasticities are calculated by using the formula

These results are favorable for the feasibility of Egyptian exports, since increased supplies do not appear to have a large negative impact on price. Percentage increases in quantities supplied are much larger than their resulting percentage decreases in price. Also, high income elasticities cause favorable demand responses to increases in income. Although the demands for fresh tomatoes in the EEC appears favorable to increased Egyptian imports, it must, of course, be assumed that Egyptian exports would be equal in quality to supplies from Morocco, Spain and the Canary Islands. It also assumes that supply sources from Egypt would be sufficiently steady and reliable to develop and maintain the necessary market contacts and channels of distribution.

Recommendations for Further Study

The price elastic nature of the demands for fresh winter tomatoes in the three principal countries of the EEC and the relatively high income elasticities are favorable but not sufficient conditions for the feasibility of exporting Egyptian tomatoes. In order to actually implement such a program, it is necessary to develop and improve the tomato production and marketing facilities in Egypt. The necessary infra-structure in Egypt, such as packing plants, refrigerated transports, supplies of containers and other materials required, the coordination of marketing activities, and the extension of information on required standards and technologies must be developed.

It is probably not feasible from an administrative point of view to develop such an integrated marketing system solely for purposes of exports to the EEC. A coordinated program to develop both domestic and export activities simultaneously would probably prove to be more economical. It is believed that the development of an efficient production-marketing system would provide substantial benefits to the domestic markets as well, since it has already been determined

that up to 40 percent of the tomatoes produced in Egypt for domestic consumption are wasted due to spoilage. Also, exports to the Near East countries, with their expanding populations and incomes may also prove economical.

Consequently, this study proposes a further program of research on the domestic tomato (and other vegetables) production-marketing activities in Egypt for the purposes of answering the following questions:

- l. Would it be economical to construct packing stations at suitable locations near production areas to provide simple but suitable grading facilities and to provide standard containers for the produce?
- 2. Would these packing stations provide facilities for post-harvest cooling? Transportation? Mechanical sizers? Palletization equipment?
- 3. What length of season and percent of capacity would be required for economical operation?
- 4. Would cooperatives be a suitable organizational apparatus for accomplishing this?
- 5. Could buyers be located at the packing stations and/or the city markets to coordinate efficient movement to consuming centers?
- 6. What potential is there for expanding exports to Near East countries? Would such exports be complementary to domestic markets and to EEC exports in tersm of seasonal supplies and prices?

In conclusion, the present study of EEC demands addresses one aspect of a multi-faceted problem of overall industry feasibility. It is necessary to address these other questions before a complete answer can be obtained, and additional research is necessary to successfully implement such a development activity, should it appear feasible.

Appendix Table 1: Imports of Tomatoes into West Germany, by months, by Country of Origin, 1965-80

•		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total
•	•				ric tons)		
•	Jan. Feb.	2,203 2,148	1,518 1,743	78 5	16 13	28 10	3,843 3,920 5,215
	Mar. Apr. May	2,773 2,466 1,303	2,392 3,461 2,157	15 7 2,182	35 2,825 25,729	153 555	8,913 31,925
1965	June July Aug.	1,125 187 	246 6 	3,247 	42,414 37,174 36,293	3,466 16,172 8,523	50,498 53,534 44,816
•	Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	255 3,486 5,854 4,634	12 211 1,700	1 1 32	16,312 8,924 2,796 295	1,743 1,542 2,743 336	18,309 13,964 11,605 6,996
						00	6 200
	Jan. Feb.	2,579 1,071	3,552 3,437	24 53		28 48	6,200 4,609
	Mar. Apr.	1,647 1,104	4,897 4,127	15 41	2,245	89 459	6,688 7,975
	May	461	1,138	1,242	20,817	1,254	24,911
1966	June July	751 15	60 2	192 104	39,294 40,962	5,702 19,735	46,017 60,817
	Aug.				31,775	5,689	37,464
	Sept. Oct.	205 2,250	 1	2	16,526 8,879	1,274 1,856	18,006 12,988
	Nov. Dec.	2,697 2,519	270 1,431	40 551	1,999	2,613 677	7,619 5,320
	Jan.	2,038	2,883	194		277	5,392
	Feb.	330	3,928	3	164	142 306	4,400 6,875
	Mar. Apr.	1	6,402 4,672	119	5,804	941	11,537
	May	279	886	614	30,141	3,553	35,473
1967	June July	783 249	166	150 6	43,129 45,390	7,486 14,528	51,715 60,172
	Aug.	6	1		31,678	8,598	40,283
	. Sept.	19	42	-	16,387 11,343	1,395 3,459	17,800 18,28
	Oct. Nov.	3,436 4,007	43 379	149	2,844	4,067	11,44
	Dec.	1,677	1,892	268	227	756	4,820

Appendix Table 1: (continued)

		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total
	•			(me	tric tons)		
•	Jan. Feb. Mar.	1,625 68	3,632 4,163 5,237	38 17 9	306	234 250 322	5,529 4,497 5,875
1968	Apr. May June July	 173 85	4,328 992 70	1 18 175	8,608 34,591 38,088 39,315	1,211 4,424 11,907 13,916	14,147 40,025 50,412 53,316
	Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	40 4,871 5,124 1,639	35 867 1,847	 11 196	32,443 15,857 10,457 2,399 88	5,401 923 2,226 3,180 1,554	37,844 16,820 17,590 11,582 5,324
	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr.	2,300 358 25 14	4,086 4,066 5,797 1,992	573 209 240 221	 329 7,013	509 327 655 1,032	7,468 4,961 7,046 10,271
1969	May June July Aug.	17 121 33	145 	539 28 	26,217 40,801 48,206 34,825	4,408 7,218 16,543 7,122	31,325 48,168 64,782 41,948
	Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	66 4,296 6,814 2,413	66 4,296 655 3,080	 6 184 745	21,861 12,082 3,141 112	1,505 2,316 3,063 1,271	23,431 18,700 13,857 7,622
	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr.	1,470 209 41 6	3,044 3,442 3,960 2,038	197 30 51 129	 480 12,766	303 198 483 1,851	5,014 3,879 5,016 16,789
1970	May June July Aug.	12 37 57 449	75 3 4	485 88 	29,373 49,643 52,148 31,802 20,645	6,768 8,764 10,824 5,902 1,952	36,711 58,536 63,032 37,704 23,046
	Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	3,649 4,787 4,504	34 353 1,852	109 773	13,958 4,273 114	3,093 7,795 1,810	20,831 17,318 9,052

Appendix Table 1: Population of EEC countries, 1977

- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Country	Population (000)
Germany	61,400
France	53,078
U.K.	55,919
Italy	56,472
Netherlands	12,856
Belguim	9,830
Denmark	5,088
Ireland	3,192
Luxembourg	355

Source: Eurostat: Basic Statistics of the Community, 1979.
Brussels

Appendix Table 2: Imports of Tomatoes into West Germany, by months, by Country of Origin, 1965-80

:		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total
		Sparii '	Canary		cric tons)		
1965	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	2,203 2,148 2,773 2,466 1,303 1,125 187 255 3,486 5,854 4,634	1,518 1,743 2,392 3,461 2,157 246 6 12 211 1,700	78 5 15 7 2,182 3,247 1 1 32	16 13 35 2,825 25,729 42,414 37,174 36,293 16,312 8,924 2,796 295	28 10 153 555 3,466 16,172 8,523 1,743 1,542 2,743 336	3,843 3,920 5,215 8,913 31,925 50,498 53,534 44,816 18,309 13,964 11,605 6,996
1966	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	2,579 1,071 1,647 1,104 461 751 15 205 2,250 2,697 2,519	3,552 3,437 4,897 4,127 1,138 60 2 1 270 1,431	24 53 15 41 1,242 192 104 2 40 551	 2,245 20,817 39,294 40,962 31,775 16,526 8,879 1,999	28 48 89 459 1,254 5,702 19,735 5,689 1,274 1,856 2,613 677	6,200 4,609 6,688 7,975 24,911 46,017 60,817 37,464 18,006 12,988 7,619 5,320
1967	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	2,038 330 1 279 783 249 6 19 3,436 4,007 1,677	2,883 3,928 6,402 4,672 886 166 1 43 379 1,892	194 3 119 614 150 6 149 268	 164 5,804 30,141 43,129 45,390 31,678 16,387 11,343 2,844 227	277 142 306 941 3,553 7,486 14,528 8,598 1,395 3,459 4,067 756	5,392 4,400 6,875 11,537 35,473 51,71! 60,173 40,28 17,800 18,28 11,44 4,82

Appendix Table 2: (continued)

		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total
				(me	tric tons)		
1968	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	1,625 68 173 85 40 4,871 5,124 1,639	3,632 4,163 5,237 4,328 992 70 35 867 1,847	38 17 9 1 18 175 11	 306 8,608 34,591 38,088 39,315 32,443 15,857 10,457 2,399 88	234 250 322 1,211 4,424 11,907 13,916 5,401 923 2,226 3,180 1,554	5,529 4,497 5,875 14,147 40,025 50,412 53,316 37,844 16,820 17,590 11,582 5,324
1969	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	2,300 358 25 14 17 121 33 66 4,296 6,814 2,413	4,086 4,066 5,797 1,992 145 66 4,296 655 3,080	573 209 240 221 539 28 6 184 745	329 7,013 26,217 40,801 48,206 34,825 21,861 12,082 3,141 112	509 327 655 1,032 4,408 7,218 16,543 7,122 1,505 2,316 3,063 1,271	7,468 4,961 7,046 10,271 31,325 48,168 64,782 41,948 23,431 18,700 13,857 7,622
1970	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	1,470 209 41 6 12 37 57 449 3,649 4,787 4,504	3,044 3,442 3,960 2,038 75 3 4 34 353 1,852	197 30 51 129 485 88 109 773	480 12,766 29,373 49,643 52,148 31,802 20,645 13,958 4,273	303 198 483 1,851 6,768 8,764 10,824 5,902 1,952 3,093 7,795 1,810	5,014 3,879 5,016 16,789 36,711 58,536 63,032 37,704 23,046 20,831 17,318 9,052

Appendix Table 2: (continued)

		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total
				(me	tric tons)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1971	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	823 264 54 3 17 20 13 4 574 4,364 2,851 3,277	3,810 4,883 6,190 1,645 27 9 234 1,132	581 94 130 182 25 1 107 591	1,106 14,160 29,404 49,139 45,589 28,093 18,741 13,634 3,918 244	157 353 744 2,159 8,685 11,579 11,484 5,533 3,745 5,190 8,079 3,531	5,371 5,594 8,224 18,148 38,158 60,740 57,086 33,629 23,060 23,197 15,188 8,774
1972	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	2,786 362 7 9 55 2 39 9 247 5,169 5,315 3,776	3,325 5,004 4,694 2,548 1 6 809 2,483	149 151 129 101 35 122 1,323	18 51 941 13,717 36,035 44,838 43,851 34,378 19,607 13,873 4,324 232	252 284 1,004 2,283 9,759 11,417 14,698 7,275 2,506 6,119 6,369 2,194	6,531 5,852 6,776 18,658 45,885 56,257 58,588 41,662 22,360 25,160 16,939 10,008
1973	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	1,678 235 28 2 57 180 84 4,067 2,661 1,489	4,293 6,098 4,701 413 18 668 2,205	2,271 857 1,087 725 105 5 1,310 2,535	174 202 1,257 11,332 39,356 46,467 51,884 33,242 20,730 10,460 2,204 144	347 492 666 2,505 2,616 6,078 11,460 5,984 2,449 6,442 10,669 2,067	8,764 7,884 7,739 14,970 46,73 52,60 63,52 39,22 23,26 20,98 17,51 8,44

Appendix Table 2: (continued)

		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total		
		(metric tons)							
	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May	1,819 298 9 42 36	4,701 5,765 5,946 733 16	2,657 1,700 1,861 1,480 532	71 155 2,092 15,837 42,082	544 342 461 2,556 7,110	9,792 8,260 10,369 20,648 49,760		
1974	June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	20 79 2 462 3,609 2,144 2,638	 68 611 2,639	884 32 1 1 1 544 3,602	44,178 48,859 40,888 22,966 12,670 2,992	5,077 10,103 7,680 3,437 6,951 7,878 1,900	50,160 59,073 48,570 26,866 23,299 14,189 10,914		
1975	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	1,864 194 28 15 12 90 5 302 6,686 6,456 4,358	5,204 6,295 5,786 2,121 124 92 954 2,848	5,040 3,438 5,013 4,524 2,715 1,234 23 1,905 2,607	136 153 2,503 15,098 28,566 39,396 46,082 30,263 22,497 8,916 2,524 230	516 371 277 2,005 5,546 7,272 15,427 6,999 5,210 6,947 4,597 1,000	12,760 10,451 13,606 23,762 36,963 47,993 61,536 37,262 28,009 22,640 16,435 11,043		
1976	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	2,345 214 95 18 11 62 175 19 1,024 9,029 6,461 5,001	4,317 5,639 4,644 347 1 1,101 2,672	5,429 4,877 3,023 2,797 1,929 1,165 681 6,262	98 134 1,603 18,143 36,998 48,375 43,382 23,048 19,477 14,812 3,392 262	409 223 293 1,232 5,794 7,158 19,405 10,595 2,634 3,982 3,998 1,028	12,598 11,087 9,658 22,537 44,733 56,761 62,962 33,663 23,139 27,82 15,62		

Appendix Table 2: (continued)

		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total
				(me	tric tons)	. ·	
	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr.	1,849 184 20 12	3,883 6,766 9,768 1,159	6,224 4,220 1,128 2,676	77 206 1,615 16,857	75 525 514 2, 149	12,107 11,901 13,045 22,583
1977	May June July Aug. Sept.	31 92 7 1	 	3,841 399 	34,106 44,822 41,994 30,261 20,087	6,729 10,470 15,376 9,993 3,358	44,711 55,783 57,376 40,255 24,471
	Oct. Nov. Dec.	9,511 7,794 3,407	100 1,877 2,985	1,926 5,853	13,886 4,625 363	2,618 2,182 1,060	26,115 18,404 13,668
	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr.	2,407 557 224 103	4,582 6,641 8,054 1,956	6,086 3,345 4,033 1,502	116 190 1,682 14,471	216 413 768 1,779	13,406 11,145 14,761 19,811
1978	May June July Aug.	71 620 35	61 	3,085 137 	33,721 48,389 43,144 35,526 24,228	3,732 7,582 12,652 11,606 4,624	40,669 56,728 55,831 47,132 29,657
	Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	805 10,457 8,259 4,673	38 793 3,541	3,211 5,272	16,286 4,112 177	5,005 2,983 901	31,786 19,359 14,564
	Jan. Feb. Mar.	3,716 1,457 401	5,414 7,498 7,781	5,928 4,160 5,673	83 242 2,074 13,075	462 646 798 1,823	15,602 14,004 16,727 21,129
1979	Apr. May June July Aug.	113 188 750 33	3,409 252 	2,708 3,689 	36,907 48,746 44,103 36,367	5,706 10,200 14,185 6,017	46,742 59,696 58,322 42,384
	Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	39 7,226 7,895 5,344	196 2,623 2,866	3,625 7,375	21,186 14,731 4,578 495	3,534 5,298 2,947 526	24,617 27,452 21,669 16,606

		Spain	Canary	Morocco	Netherlands	Others	Total			
	,		(metric tons)							
1980	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr.	4,140 2,392 2,728 566	5,132 6,013 5,907 3,592	3,816 4,129 3,464 4,208	107 179 1,515 12,004	73 134 558 787	13,385 13,267 14,697 21,436			

Source: Zentral Markt-und Preisberichtstelle für Erzeugnisse der Land-Forst-und Ernährungswirtschaft GmbH, ZMP Bilanz: Gemuse, Bonn, Germany, various issues.

Appendix Table 3: Monthly Data Used in Analysis for West Germany

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) Monthly
		Average Price (DiV6 kg.)	Consumer Price Index (1970 = 100)	National Income (10 Billion DM)	Deflated Price (DM/6 kg.)	Deflated Income (10 Billion DM)	Exchange Rate (DM ÷ SDR)	Average Temperature (FO)
Jan.	1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979	5.56 8.22 9.49 9.62 8.49 11.45 12.05 10.83 13.20 13.98 13.78 12.42 13.39 11.06 15.42	89.1 91.3 92.8 95.3 98.6 101.9 108.3 115.5 123.7 131.3 138.2 143.8 148.4 152.7 159.8	36.9 37.4 42.6 47.3 52.5 61.8 67.5 75.3 80.2 83.3 91.2 97.4 103.6 112.3 122.9	6.24 9.00 10.23 10.09 8.61 11.24 11.13 9.38 10.67 10.65 9.97 8.64 9.02 7.24 9.65	41.43 40.93 45.93 49.60 53.30 60.67 62.33 65.23 64.87 63.47 65.97 67.77 69.83 73.53 76.93	4.00 3,98 4.00 4.00 3.69 3.65 3.55 3.48 3.26 2.95 3.07 2.75 2.56 2.38 2.32	29.39 35.42 31.98 35.49 30.36 30.38 33.06 33.19 40.10 41.16 37.62 35.89 35.76 25.12 31.28
Feb.	1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979	6.00 11.52 8.93 9.84 11.83 11.45 11.38 10.37 13.83 13.24 13.28 13.43 13.28 13.04 15.87	89.8 91.3 92.8 95.3 98.6 102.8 108.7 116.2 124.8 132.0 139.2 144.7 149.2 153.6 161.4	36.9 37.6 42.6 47.3 52.5 61.2 67.5 75.4 80.2 83.3 91.2 97.5 103.6 112.3 122.9	6.68 12.62 9.62 10.33 12.00 11.14 10.47 8.92 11.08 10.03 9.54 9.28 8.90 8.49 9.83	41,13 40.93 45,93 49,60 53.30 59.53 62.10 64.87 64.30 63.13 65.50 67.37 69.47 73.10 76.17	4.01 3.98 4.00 4.00 3.69 3.65 3.55 3.48 3.26 2.95 3.07 2.75 2.56 2.38 2.32	43.39 39.31 35.76 34.03 33.35 36.90 38.10 35.40 40.10 36.39 34.09 40.95 33.06 32.70 39.56

Appendix Table 3 (continued)

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) Monthly
•		Average Price (DM/6 kg.)	Consumer Price Index (1970 = 100)	National Income (10 Billion DM)	Deflated Price (DM/6 kg.)	Deflated Income (10 Billion DM)	Exchange Rate (DM ÷ SDR)	Average Temperature (F ^O)
Mar.	1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973	10.44 10.87 8.28 11.99 12.77 	89.8 91.3 92.8 96.1 99.5 103.6 109.2	37.4 42.6 47.3 53.2 61.2 75.4 80.2	11.63 11.71 8.62 12.05 12.33 13.04 11.51	40.93 45.93 49.17 53.50 59.07 64.47 64.07	3.98 4.00 4.00 3.69 3.65 3.48 3.26	43.74 41.90 38.70 37.74 36.68 41.99 45.30
	1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979	14.41 15.53 19.89 17.39 20.13 15.87 21.73	125.2 132.6 139.7 145.2 149.7 154.7 162.4	83.3 91.2 97.5 103.6 112.3 122.9	11.71 14.24 11.98 13.45 10.26 13.38	62.83 65.27 67.13 69.23 72.60 75.70	2.95 3.07 2.75 2.56 2.38 2.32	40.82 38.62 45.93 44.15 42.80 41.54
	1966 1967 1968 1969 1970	 11.94 9.55	90.5 92.0 92.8 96.1 99.5 104.1	39.6 39.2 43.4 49.2 56.2 62.8	 12.42 9.60	43.77 42.63 46.73 51.23 56.53 60.30	4,00 3.69	51.26 47.44 50.58 47.46 45.10 51.24 48.38
Apr.	1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979	17.43 19.17 12.29 22.33 15.74 17.50 16.62 19.33	109.5 117.8 125.9 133.6 140.6 145.9 150.1 155.5	67.7 76.5 81.7 84.8 93.0 98.8 106.2 115.3 126.1	14,80 15.23 9.20 15,88 10.79 11.66 10.69 11.83	61.87 64.97 64.87 63.50 66.13 67.70 70.73 74.13 77.20	3.48 3.26 2.95 3.07 2.75 2.56 2.38 2.32	44.98 50.92 47.89 48.11 45.30 48.34 46.36 47.66

Appendix Table 3: (continued) .

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) Monthly
		Average Price (DM/6 kg.)	Consumer Price Index (1970 = 100)	National Income (10 Billion DM)	Deflated Price (DM/6 kg.)	Deflated Income (10 Billion DM)	Exchange Rate (DM ÷ SDR)	Average Temperature (F ^O)
								00.00
	1965	6.23	89.1	40.9	6.99	45.90	4.00	39.20
	1966	10.44	91.3	43.2	11.43	47.30	4.00	37.85
	1967	8.54	91.3	44.6	9.36	48.83	4.00	33.44
	1968	8.42	94.5	45.8	8.91	48.47		30.85
	1969	7.97	97.8	52.6	8.15	53.83	4.00	26.53
	1970	7.97	100.8	59.1	7.91	58.60	3.69	33.78
			100.8	64.9	11.52	60.60	3.65	38.95
_	1971	12.34		71.9	8.68	63.00	3.55	32.92
Dec.	1972	9.90	114.1			64.60	3.48	33.66
	1973	11.07	122.9	79.4	9.01		3.26	
	1974	12.65	130.1	83.8	9.72	64.43		42.65 S
	1975	13.33	137.1	89.0	9.72	64.90	2.95	
	1976	14.58	142.5	96.5	10.23	67.70	3.07	31.78
	1977	13.97	147.5	102.7	9.47	69.63	2.75	36.50
	1978	13.79	151.2	110.5	9.12	73.07	2.56	35.87
	1979	12.59	159.0	119.8	7.92	75.33	2.38	41.43

Sources:

Column (1): Zentrale Markt-und Preisberichstelle für Erzeugnisse der Land-Forst-und Ernährungwirtschaft GmbH, ZMP Bilanz: Gemuse, Bonn, Germany, various issues for December and January a simple average of weekly prices of tomatoes from Spain and the Canary Islands was used. For February and March only the price of Canary tomatoes was used.

Column (2): United Nations, International Monetary Statistics, various issues.

Column (3): United Nationa, International Monetary Statistics, various issues.

Column (4): Column (1) divided by Column (2).

Appendix Table 3: (continued)

- Column (5): Column (3) divided by Column (2).
- Column (6): United Nations, <u>International Monetary Statistics</u>, various issues.
- Column (7): Deutscher Wetterdienst, <u>Agrarmeteorologische Beratungs-und Forschungsstelle</u>, Bonn, Germany. Mean monthly temperature in Frankfurt, German.

Appendix Table 4: Monthly Data Used in Analysis for France

						•			•	
		Retail Price of Tomatoes (francs/ kg.)	Quantity Imported (000 M.T.)	Consumer Price Index (1970= 100)	GNP (Billion francs)	Deflated Price (francs/ kg.)	Deflated GNP (10 billion francs)	Tem- perature in Paris (^F)	Exchange Rate	Tem- perature in Morocco (°Cent.)
1966	Jan.	2.22	12.8	81.5	439.0	2.72	53.87	36.14	12.2	12.8
	Feb.	2.80	9.2	81.5	439.0	3.43	53.87	48.20	12.2	14.3
	Mar.	3.05	15.1	82.1	439.0	3.71	53.48	46.04	12.2	16.1
	Apr.	3.19	23.9	82.1	439.0	3.88	53.48	53.78	12.2	17.1
	Dec.	2.89	13.4	83.9	439.0	3.44	52.33	44.06	12.1	14.8
1967	Jan.	2.63	11.3	83.9	474.4	3.13	56.55	40.28	12.1	13.1
	Feb.	4.22	4.9	83.9	474.4	5.03	56.55	44.78	12.1	12.9
	Mar.	3.66	10.3	84.5	474.4	4.33	56.10	48.20	12.1	14.8
	Apr.	3.39	19.5	83.9	474.4	4.04	56.55	49.82	12.2	17.6
	Dec.	2.36	14.6	86.4	474.4	2.73	54.92	39.56	14.2	16.7
1968	Jan.	3.05	10.8	87.6	515.3	3.48	58.82	40.10	14.2	12.0
	Feb.	3.25	8.2	87.6	515.3	3.71	58.82	39.92	14.2	12.2
	Mar.	3.69	9.1	87.6	515.3	4.21	58.82	46.76	14.2	12.0
	Apr.	5.05	10.7	88.4	515.3	5.71	58.28	52.16	14.1	13.4
	Dec.	3.02	13.2	91.4	515.3	3.30	56.37	36.50	14.1	19.1
1969	Jan.	3.09	13.7	92.2	587.1	3.35	63.68	42.98	14.1	13.7
	Feb.	3.19	10.2	92.2	587.1	3.46	63.68	37.94	14.1	15.7
	Mar.	3.34	16.6	93.0	587.1	3.59	63.14	45.68	14.1	16.1
	Apr.	3.69	26.3	93.7	587.1	3.94	62.66	51.62	14.1	16.0
	Dec.	2.80	14.1	96.8	587.1	2.89	60.66	34.88	12.6	18.6

Appendix Table 4: (continued)

		Retail Price of Tomatoes (francs/kg.)	Quantity Imported (000 M.T.)	Consumer Price Index (1970= 100)	GNP (Billion francs)	Deflated Price (francs/ kg.)	Deflated Income (10 billion francs)	Tem- perature in Paris (OF)	Exchange Rate	Tem- perature in Morocco (^O Cent.)
1970	Jan.	3.15	11.7	97.5	655.7	3.23	67.25	40.82	12.6	12.6
	Feb.	4.13	6.7	97.5	655.7	4.23	67.25	41.36	12.6	15.1
	Mar.	4.90	10.1	98.3	655.7	4.98	66.70	41.18	12.6	15.3
	Apr.	3.98	22.9	99.1	655.7	4.02	66.16	47.84	12.6	15.7
	Dec.	2.73	18.1	101.7	655.7	2.68	64.47-	38.12	12.6	18.9
1971	Jan.	3.50	11.0	102.3	733.3	3.42	71.68	39.56	12.6	14.6
	Feb.	3.34	12.8	102.9	733.3	3.24	71.26	42.08	12.6	14.2
	Mar.	3.72	19.2	103.3	733.3	3.60	70.98	41.18	12.6	15.1
	Apr.	5.51	19.1	103.9	733.3	5.30	70.57	53.78	12.6	14.8
	Dec.	4.47	10.4	107.8	733.3	4.15	68.02	43.52	12.6	18.1
1972	Jan.	5.13	5.9	108.1	823.2	4.74	76.15	38.66	12.6	12.7
	Feb.	5.02	11.4	108.7	823.2	4.62	75.73	43.52	12.9	13.0
	Mar.	5.77	12.5	109.3	823.2	5.28	75.31	50.18	12.9	
	Apr.	4.31	21.4	109.7	823.2	3.93	75.04	50.54	12.9	
	Dec.	3.71	20.2	115.5	823.2	3.21	71.27	41.54	12.7	12.7
1973	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	3.34 3.68 3.73 5.89 3.68	14.2 18.3 25.0 21.8 15.1	115.5 115.8 116.4 117.2 125.3	934.4 934.4 934.4 934.4	2.89 3.18 3.20 5.02 2.94	80.90 80.69 80.28 79.73 74.57	39.02 39.92 46.04 48.74 40.64	12.7 12.7 12.8 12.8 13.4	12.7 12.7 12.8

		Retail Price of Tomatoes (francs/ kg.)	Quantity Imported (000 M.T.)	Consumer Price Index (1970= 100)	GNP (Billion francs)	Deflated Price (francs/ kg.)	Deflated Income (10 billion francs)	Tem- perature in Paris (^O F)	Exchange Rate	Tem- perature in Morocco (^O Cent.)
1974	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	5.31 4.22 4.57 8.21 5.08	14.8 16.1 17.5 19.1 17.1	127.4 129.7 130.6 132.7 144.3	1,070.3 1,070.3 1,070.3 1,070.3	4.17 3.25 3.50 6.19 3.52	84.01 82.52 81.95 80.66 74.17	45.50 43.34 46.94 52.70 47.30	12.1 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.1	
1975	Jan.	5.49	16.2	145.9	1,212.7	3.76	83.12	45.86	12.6	12.6
	Feb.	6.14	12.9	147.0	1,212.7	4.18	82.49	44.42	13.3	
	Mar.	6.31	19.3	148.2	1,212.7	4.26	81.83	42.98	13.4	13.4
	Apr.	6.18	22.9	149.5	1,212.7	4.13	81.11	50.90	13.5	13.5
	Dec.	4.99	21.7	158.2	1,212.7	3.15	76.65	38.48	13.2	13.2
1976	Jan.	5.73	16.6	159.9	1,398.2	3.58	87.44	42.08	13.3	13.3
	Feb.	6.02	12.4	161.0	1,398.2	3.69	86.84	41.90	13.4	
	Mar.	6.67	16.5	162.4	1,398.2	3.90	86.09	44.42	14.3	14.3
	Apr.	9.03	21.2	163.8	1,398.2	5.08	85.36	50.90	14.3	14.3
	Dec.	6.42	22.3	173.8	1,398.2	3.73	80.45	37.94	13.8	13.8
1977	Jan.	5.10	18.7	174.3	1,568.2	2.92	89.97	40.28	13.7	13.7
	Feb.	6.47	14.4	175.5	1,568.2	3.69	89.35	45.50	13.7	13.7
	Mar.	6.91	21.2	177.1	1,568.2	3.90	88.55	49.64	13.8	13.8
	Apr.	9.12	19.2	179.4	1,568.2	5.08	87.41	49.10	14.0	14.0
	Dec.	7.06	17.3	189.4	1,568.2	3.73	82.80	44.06	17.2	17.2

Appendix Table 4: (continued)

		Retail Price of Tomatoes (francs/ kg.)	Quantity Imported (000 M.T.)	Consumer Price Index (1970= 100)	GNP (Billion francs)	Deflated Price (francs/ kg.)	Deflated Income (10 billion francs)	Tem- perature in Paris (^O F)	Exchange Rate	Tem- perature in Morocco (^O Cent.)
1978	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	6.30 7.98 6.62 9.66 6.90	16.4 15.1 27.0 16.5 21.3	198.2 191.7 193.4 195.4 207.8	1,779.3 1,779.3 1,779.3 1,779.3 1,779.3	3.18 4.16 3.42 4.94 3.32	89.77 92.81 92.00 91.06 85.62	38.84 38.66 47.30 48.20 42.44	17.2 17.4 17.5 17.5 16.7	17.4 17.5 17.5 16.7
1979	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	6.24 7.00 7.53 9.74 6.16	20.5 22.3 23.3 22.4	209.6 211.1 212.9 215.1 232.2	1,943.6 1,943.6 1,943.6 1,943.6 1,943.6	2.98 3.31 3.54 4.53	92.73 95.87 95.04 93.15	31.64 38.84 44.96 49.64	16.8 15.9 15.9 15.9	15.9

Source: Column (1): Ministere de l'Agriculture, Bullentin de Statistique Agricole, Paris, various issues.

Column (2): Ministere de l'Agriculture, Bullentin de Statistique Agricole, Paris, various issues.

Column (3): United Nations, <u>International Financial Statistics</u>, various issues.

Column (4): United Nations, International Financial Statistics, various issues.

Column (5): Column (1) ÷ Column (3)

Column (6): Column (4) : Column (3)

Column (7): Ministere des Travaux Publics, des Transports et du Tourisme.

Column (3): United Nations, International Financail Statistics, various issues, Exchange rate is pesetas per franc.

Appendix Table 5: U.K. Imports and Local Production of Tomatoes by Months, By Exporting Country

12					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Imports	1	
		Channel Islands	Local Heated	Canary Islands	Spain	Netherlands	Others	Total
				(thou	sand met	ric tons)		
1971	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	 0.160 2.739 0.021	 0.361 1.683	12.055 11.045 18.762 14.617 7.627	2.122 1.488 0.072 0.068 6.709	0.159 0.381 0.290 2.310 0.228	0.175 0.157 0.134 0.130 1.328	14.512 13.071 19.779 21.548 15.911
1972	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.003 0.090 2.599 0.003	 0.059 1.189	10.898 11.464 14.512 13.265 8.660	6.040 1.312 0.072 0.080 6.199	0.594 0.305 0.373 3.633 0.454	0.116 0.180 0.244 0.277 0.598	17.647 13.264 15.351 21.044 15.914
1973	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.073 0.009 3.482 0.039	 0.276 1.794 	15.042 13.448 18.923 6.334 8.609	2.973 0.553 0.041 0.004 5.706	0.685 0.569 0.344 1.827 0.204	0.273 0.112 0.259 0.634 1.331	19.045 14.681 19.853 14.075 15.889
1974	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.001 0.016 1.037 5.352 0.041	 0.071 2.128	13.459 14.406 14.031 11.218 8.228	2.770 0.450 0.159 0.256 6.410	0.133 0.140 0.366 1.232 0.457	0.649 0.293 0.235 0.634 1.122	17.012 15.306 15.899 20.817 16.258
1975	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.002 0.007 1.620 2.893	0.355 3.555	15.011 12.697 10.191 8.941 8.882	2.745 0.534 0.346 0.076 7.916	0.345 0.187 3.613 4.125 0.096	0.512 0.316 0.571 0.390 0.535	18.613 13.727 15.083 18.317 20.323
1976	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.707 0.007 0.006 0.297 2.772	0.169 2.553	13.572 13.672 14.841 10.170 10.715	3.218 1.019 0.253 0.301 6.601	0.094 0.014 0.210 2.803 0.268	0.483 0.203 0.116 0.288 0.780	18.07/ 14.91/ 15.59/ 16.41 21.13

Appendix Table 5: (continued)

						Imports		-
		Channel Islands	Local Heated	Canary Islands	Spain	Netherlands	Others	Total
				(thousand	metric	tons)		
1977	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.213 0.043 0.009 0.270 4.509	0.481 3.692	14.307 15.283 16.178 9.255 9.913	2.270 0.464 0.336 0.205 4.522	0.048 0.033 0.238 0.890 0.397	0.692 0.241 0.245 0.092 0.319	17.530 16.065 17.488 14.404 19.659
1978	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.223 0.117 0.524 1.298 2.632	 0.841 4.207	14.339 15.969 18.201 6.562 10.401	4.784 0.395 0.086 0.082 5.945		0.594 0.713 0.288 0.185 0.810	21.101 17.720 20.101 14.414 20.599
1979	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.862 0.011 0.103 0.227 2.718	1.287 3.354	11.352 12.217 14.500 12.698 11.052	3.794 0.531 0.037 0.076 6.076	0.116 0.251 1.989	0.041 0.175 0.017 0.108 0.730	18.760 13.051 16.195 18.452 21.169
1980	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. Dec.	0.398 0.020 0.048 0.515	1.188 5.049	12.864 15.107 17.084 12.169	3.484 1.457 0.903 0.266	3.464 0.101	0.496 0.364 0.206 0.157	17.941 20.412 19.529 23.023

Appendix Table 6: Monthly Data Used In Analysis for the U.K.

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Year	Month	Average Price (1bs./6kgs.)	Consumer Price Index (1975 = 100)	Deflated Price (1bs./6kgs.)	Income (billion lbs.)	Deflated Income (billions lbs.)	Temperature (^O F)
1971	Jan.	1.43	57.1	2.59	12.80	22.42	40.19
	Feb.	1.36	57.1	2.38	12.80	22.42	40.46
	Mar.	1.60	59.1	2.71	13.97	23.64	41.18
	Apr.	1.36	59.1	2.30	13.97	23.64	46.13
	Dec.	1.44	60.7	2.37	15.32	25.42	43.61
1972	Jan.	1.32	61.7	2.14	14.28	23.14	38.93
	Feb.	1.46	61.7	2.37	14.28	23.14	39.83
	Mar.	2.44	62.8	3.89	15.44	24.59	43.61
	Apr.	1.29	62.8	2.05	15.44	24.59	47.12
	Dec.	1.65	65.4	2.52	17.09	26.13	42.35
1973	Jan.	1.39	66.6	2.09	16.72	25.10	40.19
	Feb.	1.89	66.6	2.84	16.72	25.10	39.92
	Mar.	2.19	68.7	3.19	17.21	25.05	43.34
	Apr.	2.40	68.7	3.49	17.21	25.05	45.14
	Dec.	1.88	72.1	2.57	19.15	26.56	40.91
1974	Jan.	1.64	75.1	2.18	17.33	23.08	43.07
	Feb.	2.40	75.1	3.20	17.33	23.08	42.26
	Mar.	2.18	79.7	2.74	19.86	24.92	42.35
	Apr.	2.80	79.7	3.51	19.86	24.92	46.40
	Dec.	2.00	85.3	2.34	23.09	27.07	46.40

	i n	(1)	(2) Consumer	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Year	Month	Average Price (1bs./6kgs.)	Price Index (1975 = 100)	Deflated Price (1bs./6kgs.)	Income (billion lbs.)	Deflated Income (billion lbs.)	Temperature (^O F)
1975	Jan.	2.25	90.4	2.49	22.70	25.11	44.24
	Feb.	2.37	90.4	2.62	22.70	25.11	40.73
	Mar.	2.72	99.0	2.75	24.96	25.89	41.09
	Apr.	2.31	99.0	2.33	24.96	25.89	47.03
	Dec.	2.46	106.9	2.30	29.43	27.53	41.63
1976	Jan.	2.54	110.8	2.29	28.41	25.64	42.53
	Feb.	2.23	110.8	2.01	28.41	25.64	40.37
	Mar.	3.38	114.9	2.94	29.03	26.27	41.00
	Apr.	3.39	114.9	2.95	29.03	25.27	46.58
	Dec.	3.17	123.0	2.58	31.74	25.80	36.59
1977	Jan.	2.62	129.2	2.03	29.07	22.50	37.58
	Feb.	3.13	129.2	2.42	29.07	22.50	41.54
	Mar.	3.01	134.9	2.23	29.50	21.87	44.87
	Apr.	3.07	134.9	2.28	29.50	21.87	45.23
	Dec.	3.76	139.0	2.70	33.71	24.25	43.34
1978	Jan.	3.05	141.4	2.15	31.19	22.06	38.39
	Feb.	2.78	141.4	1.97	31.19	22.06	36.95
	Mar.	3.92	145.3	2.70	31.89	21.95	44.33
	Apr.	3.88	145.3	2.67	31.89	21.95	43.79
	Dec.	4.04	150.3	2.69	34.27	22.80	40.10

Appendix Table 6: (continued)

		(1)	(2) Consumer	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Year_	Month	Average Price (1bs./kgs.)	Pirce Index (1975 = 100)	Deflated Price (1bs./kgs.)	Income (billion lbs.)	Deflated Income (billion lbs.)	Temperature (°F)
1979	Jan.	3.24	155.0	2.09	31.36	20.53	32.90
	Feb.	2.94	155.0	1.90	31.36	20.23	34.79
	Mar.	3.84	160.7	2.39	32.80	20.41	40.82
	Apr.	3.60	160.7	2.24	32.80	20.41	46.04
	Dec.	4.29	176.2	2.43	35.65	20.23	42.71
1980	Jan.	3.57	180.6	1.98	37.15	20.57	36.77
	Feb.	4.16	180.6	2.30	37.15	20.57	42.62
	Mar.	4.38	180.6	2.42	37.15	20.57	41.00
	Apr.	3.64	180.6	2.02	37.15	20.57	47.66

Sources:

Column (1): Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Agricultural Market Report (Horticulture Supplement), Fruits and Vegetables, Wholesale Price Report, weekly, London, various issues.

Column (2): United Nations, International Financial Statistics, various issues.

Column (3): Column (1) \div Column (2).

Column (4): United Nations, International Financial Statistics, various issues.

Column (5): Column (4) : Column (2).

Column (6): Meteorological Office, Lon on.

